November 23, 1951

Re: J. E. Briggs vs. Board of Zoning Appeals, et al. At a hearing held before Judge J. Howard Murray today the action of the Board in the above entitled matter was effired.

George L. Byerly

0

Evidence introduced at the hearing indicated that the parcel of land used for a filling station has been so used since the acquisition of the property by the Petitioners in 1928. Evidence was also introduced that the garage building has been used continuously by the Patitioners for the conduct of a general automobile repair business and that the parcel of land at the rear of the property, heretofore described, has been used continuously since 1928 in connection with the automobile repair business conducted in the garage building.

It is apparent from the foregoing that the land upon which the filling station and garage are located and the sant lot in the rear were being used for commercial purposes at the time of the adoption of the Zoning Regulations and Restrictions and the establishment of zoning areas by the County Comms' "or vrs of Baltimore County on January 2, 1945. It was the policy the Zoning Department in the original zoning to establish commercial areas to a depth of 150 feet. However, in setting up the original commercially zoned areas in Catonsville, on the south side of the Prederick Road, the Zoning Commissioner took cognizance of existing commercial uses which extended further back from Prederick Road than the aforesaid 150 feet with the exception of the property which is the subject of this Petition. It seems reasonable to believe that if the Zoning Commissioner took cognizance of the commercial uses which extended southerly from Frederick Road on Bloomsbury Avenue and which extended. southerly from Frederick Road, between Mellor Avenue and Smith Lane to Bloomingdale Avenue and southerly from Frederick Road on the west side of Mellor Avenue, that he should have taken cognizance of the existing commercial uses at this location and included them in the commercially zoned areas.

Due to the fact that the line of demarkation between the commercial and residential zone cuts approximately in half both the garage building and the apartment building, certainly RE: PETITION FOR RECLASSIFICATION FROM AN "A" RESURENCE ZONE TO AH "E" DOMESTICAL ZONE "S. THE TENT PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY O

The appeal in the above entitled matter coming on for hearing on the 2nd day of August, 1951, before the Board of Zoning Appeals of Baltimore County from an Order of the Zoning Commissioner of Baltimore County dated June 27, 1951, granting the Petision for reclassification from an  $^{\rm H}{\rm A}^{\rm H}$ Residence Zone to an "E" Commercial Zone, and it appearing from the evidence and facts adduced at the appeal hearing that the granting of the Petition would not be detrimental to the health, safety, morals, and general welfare of the community, it is this /700 day of September, 1951, Ordered by the Board of Zoning Appeals of Baltimore County that the Order of the Zoning Commissioner granting the Petition be and the same is hereby ratified and affirmed, granting the Petition for reclassification from an "A" Residence Zone to an "B" Commercial Zone; subject to the establishment of the following setbacks for any building which might be constructed on the rear lot, heretofore mentioned, (said rear lot being the southernmost 50 feet of the land described in the Petition):

- A front setback from the center of Sanford Avenue equal to the setback of the Murphy Residence, that is 54 feet.
- A side setback from the property line bordering the Nurphy property of 7 feet to the rear of the Nurphy residence a distance of 10h feet from the center line of Sanford Avenue. (The building line for breakining 52 feet of this line to coincide with the property line.)

-3-

such a line is an arbitrary one. It is a reasonable procedure in zoning to include all of a building in one zone or another and not to have half in one zone and half in another. Gertainly the use of the garage property and the rear lot by the Fetitioners continuously since 1928 to the present date for the conduct of a commercial enterprise has created a lawful non-conforming use for this property outside of the present commercially zoned

Therefore, in view of the foregoing, and it appearing that this reclassification will not be detrimental to the health, safety, and general welfare of the community, said property having been used for a more obnoxious use than that allowed under a commercial zoning, namely, for the dismantling of cars ans storage of junk, it is the opinion of the Zoning Commissioner of Baltimore County that the property described in the Petition should be reclassified from an "A" Residence Zone to an "E" Commercial Zone, subject to the establishment of the following setbacks for any building which night be constructed on the rear lot, heretofore mentioned, (said rear lot being the southernmost 50 feet of the land described in the Petition):

- A front setback from the center of Sanford Avenue equal to the setback of the Nurphy Residence, that is Si feet.
- A side setback from the property line bordering the Murphy property of 7 feet to the rear of the Murphy regione, a distance of 10h feet from the order line of Sanford Avanue. (The building line for the resaining 52 feet of this line to coincide with the property line.)

The Board will, therefore, sign an Order ratifying and affirming the Order of the Zoning Commissioner and approving the Petitioner's application reclassifying from an  ${}^{n}\mathbb{A}^{n}$  Residence Zone to an "E" Commercial Zone, subject to the setback requirements for the rear lot as aforementioned.

OPINION OF THE BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS BALTIMORE COUNTY

This is an appeal by J. C. Briggs from an Order and decision of the Zoning Commissioner of Baltimore County dated June 27, 1951, by which Order the property described in the Petition was reclassified from an "A" Residence Zone to an "E" Commercial Zone, subject to certain setback requirements.

The case came on for hearing before the Board, testimony was taken for and against said Petition, and counsel for both sides heard. The written decision of the Zoning Commissioner filed heretofore in this case sets forth the reasons for reclassifying the property, and the Board is of the opinion that the same should be ratified and affirmed; and, therefore, quotes said opinion as follows:

The property which is the subject of this Petition is the rear 142 feet of a lot of dimensions of 133 feet by 292 feet located at the southeast corner of Frederick Road and Sanford Avenue, Catonsville. The lot in question is improved by three

- A building of approximate dimensions of 25 feet by 85 feet which is occupied by the Catonsville Library Association, Incorporated.
- A garage building of irregular shape having a frontage of 95 feet and a depth of 190 feet and extending back 240 feet from Frederick Road.
- An apartment building of irregular shape of approximate dimensions of 60 feet by 50 feet and extending approximately 180 feet back from Frederick Road.

In addition to the land occupied by these buildings there is a parcel of land on Frederick Road to the east of the Library Building of approximate dimensions of 95 feet by 50 feet which is used for the operation of a filling station; and another parcel of land at the extreme rear of the property having a frontage of 65 feet on Sanford Avenue with a depth of 133 feet.

FILED JUL 7 1951

RE: PETITION FOR RECLASSIFICATION FROM AN "A" MEMILIENCE ZOUE TO AN "B" COMMEMORIAL ZOUE - S. E. COP. Prederick Niced. & Sentond Ave., Pirst District of Baltimic A. Ordan, Anthony F. Cobs., Zenton W. Ordan, Roland S., Right S. Anthony W. S. Elizabeth, Petitions & Sather B. and Sarah M. Peters, Petitions.

82

On behalf of J. C. Briggs, a resident and tax payer of Baltimore County, please enter an appeal to the Board of Zoning Appeals for Baltimore County, in the above entitled case.

Attorney for J. C. Briggs

- A garage building of irregular shape baving a frontage of 95 feet and a depth of 190 feet and extending back 250 feet from Frederick Rose.
- An apartment building of irregular shape of approximate dimensions of 60 feet by 50 feet and extending approximately 180 feet back from Proderick Road.

Constingious took compaisance of the conversal was which extended southerly from Frederick Road on Riconsiury Aware and which worked to take from Frederick Road on Riconsiury Aware and which would be a supported to the first three and the first three supports and the first three frederick Road on the west fourth from Frederick Road on the west fourth from Frederick Road on the worth fourth from the first three fourth from the first three fourth from the first three fourth from the fourth from the fourth from the fourth from the first from the

Due to the fact that be line of demonstration between the converted and varieductal some cuts appreciately in all the converted and varieductal some cuts appreciately in the latter than the carried particular continuous appreciate the classification of the continuous and the continuous continuous

to the arthack of the Numphy Positionne, that is no server.

2. A side of school from the property line bendering the
interior revision of the three field the varie of the Position
produced became. (The builting line for the Country line;
of Senderd Jermen. (The builting line for the Country line;
of the of that line line, clearfield with the property line;
of the other line line, the control of the property line;
of the country line, the property line;
of the country line; the state of the line, some is probly reckamified,
free and after the date of the line, some is probly reckamified,
for the rear last we have control to be actually revolutions for the rear last as breatfacts of the line.

FILED MAY 2 1951 ... Petition for Zoning Re-Classification

et the southeast corner of Frederisk Road and Sanford ave., ist District of Esto. Co., thence eastedly, on the south side of Frederisk Road, 135 fest, theree Santh Sanford ave. 132 fest theree South Od degrees 54; west INSpreas and seast 1950 fest; thence southerly, on the east side of Sanford Ave. 202 festing, thence northerly, on the east side of Sanford Ave. 202 festing. Saring and excepting therefrom that portion Minding on the Sanford Sanford Sanford Avenue, heretofere some as Mandalm on the east side of Sanford Avenue, heretofere some as Mandalm on the east side of Sanford Avenue, heretofere some as Mandalm on the east side

Anthony P. Orban, et al,

Reasons for Re-Classification: APPROVED COMM. USE.

... feet; side....

August W. Peters

Bonjamin & Peter

Esther B. Peter. Sarah M. Petera

nthrong P. Orban hony P. Orban

ianford Ave., Catonsville, Md.

County, this 2nd day of ar this petition be advertised, as required eneral circulation throughout Baltimore reon be had in the office of the Zoning

waon, Haltimore County, on the 101:00 o clock A. M. Commissioner of Baltimore County

FILED MAY 2 1951

Petition for Zoning Re-Classification \$1986 To The Zoning Commissioner of Baltimore County:

Anthony P. Orban, et al,

hereby position that the sming status of the above described property be re-dissilled, pursuant to the limiting law of Indianous County, from a first Source to such COMMITTUDES.

Beauss for Re-Cassillination APPROVED COMMITTUDES.

cont and side set backs of building from street lines; from .... Property to be posted as prescribed by Zoning Regulations.

of this petition, and further agree to and are to be bound by the seming regulations and restrictions of Baltimore County adopted pursuant to the Zoning Law for Baltimore County,

Anthony P. Orban Anthony P. Orban

Address 1 Sanford Ava., Catonaville, Md.

ORDERED By The Zoning Commissioner of Baltimore County, this. 2nd 

CERTIFICATE OF POSTING ZONING DEPARTMENT OF BALTIMORE COUNTY Towson, Maryland

Date of Posting May 11/57. Petitioner: anthony & Orban

Location of property about out of Sanford ave 240 feet south of Frederick Rosal Location of School east sade of Sanford ave 245 feet south of Frederick Rodd

Posted by Harry & Bartie de Date of return May 11/37.

July 10, 1951

RECEIVED of J. Francis Ford, Attorney for J. C. Briggs, of Frederick Road and Sanford Avenue, 1st District of Baltimore

PAID

May 8, 1951

RECEIVED of Anthony P. Orban, et al, the sum of Twenty (\$20.00) Dollars, being cost of petition for reclassification, advertising and posting of property, southeast corner of Frederick Road and Sanford Avenue, lat District of Beltimore County.

Zoning Commissioner

Hearings Tuesday, May 22, 1951 at 10:00 a.m.

> PAID OF BALTIMORE COUNTY

NO PLAT IN THIS FOLDER