July 14, 1967

William S. Bakiwin, Esq., County Board of Appeals Towson, Maryland

Re: No. 66-292-V (Zoning File 2275)

Dear Mr. Baldwin:

The Board of Appeals has asked the Zoning Commissioner to investigate the current status of the above entitled matter.

The following is the present status!

866-292-V

Marvin Topper, Lessec, Texaco Oil Co., owner

Allen & Bessie Kermisch 42275

Liberty and Milford Mill Roads 2nd Dist. (Zoning File)

District Proposed: C. C. C.

15, 775 eq. ft., more or less Sive 2 trailers

2 trailers '
5 care (no tage, one for sale)
2 bays
3 nozite
3 entrances (no screening
against residential property)
2 epot lights (one 16' high, one 20" high Mr. Topper is in a C. C. C. District and subject to Section 405. 8-B. C. D. R. and F.

> CAROLINE SERVICE STATION VIOLATION CASES PENDING BEFORE THE BOARD OF APPEALS AS OF HAY 5, 1987

#66-292-V

Marvin Topper, Lesson Texaco Oil Co., Cumer

#2275 (Zoning File)

District Proposed: CCC District Zone: BL

Size: 16,775/sq. ft., nor or less

On Site: 2 trailers

3 cars (no tags, one car for sale) 2 bays

3 noszles

2 spot lights (one 18' high & one 20' high)

Page 2 - 66-292-V (Zoning Fule #2295

He violated the old law by keeping trailers on his property and selling used cars. Under the new law the let size requirements for arcillary used are as set forth in Section 405.4.C.

Vdry truly yours

Zoning Commissioner

DASOLINE SERVICE STATION VIOLATION CASES PENDING REFORE THE BOARD OF APPEALS AS OF MAY 5, 1967

#65-292-V

Marvin Topper, Lesson Texaco Cil Co., Owner

#2275 (Zoning File)

Allen & Bessie Kermisch Liberty & Milford Mill Roads 2nd District

District Proposed: CCC District

Zone: BL

Size: 16,775 sq. ft., mor or less

2 trailers

3 cars (no tags, one car for sale)

2 bays

3 nozzles

3 entrances (no screening against residential property)

2 spot lights (one 18' high & one 20' high)

OMSOLINE SERVICE STATION VIOLATION CASES PARDING REPORT THE BOARD OF APPEALS AS OF MAY 5, 1967

#66-292-V

Marvin Supper, Lasson Texaco Oil Co., Owner

#2275 (Zoning File)

Allen & Bassic Kermisch Liberty & Milford Hill Reads 2nd District

District Proposed: GCC District

Zone: EL

Simo: 10,775 aq. ft., nor or less

2 trailers

On Site:

3 cars (no tags, one car for sale)

2 bays

3 entrances (no sorganing against residential property)

2 spot lights (one 18 high & one 20 high)

PROPOSED CCC Tegal Curum of Grand allen & Berice Kornich -Hading as .. Marin Toffer - Mill . 5/a Cor of the Goddwing 16.875 H'+ Zoned BL.

CERTIFICATE OF POSTING ZONING DEPARTMENT OF BALTIMORE COUNTY

#2275 Towson, Maryland

Date of Posting 5-29-52 District 2 and rannon Glentklesse Merosakt rannon geram Sil Car Salar Inglord light like Herik semain Mit hadag in Mose s Ling H. 195 wag eregula un dight suffert badag en Me 195 footfed vijet fot rannon a some lette afgres gastled an Me southered corner of behalf Hend licularis gg Posted for an A. Asuder Goul to an b Com Petitioner: Glen & Bessey Myronighy musikane + for a gasoline Severil station

Remarks: Posted by Llough A. Jumannel Date of return: 5-29-52

June 2h. 1952

MCSIVED of Albert Equation tie sum of Twenty Two (\$22.00) bellars, being cost of specal to the Board of Zoning Appeals from the decision of the Zoning Consissioner denying the melassification and a special permit for a gasoline service station at the southeast corner of Liberty and Milford Mill Board n.

Zonine Consissioner

PAID JUN 2 5 1952 COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF BALTIMORE COUNTY April 13, 1953

MCKIVED OF Hishael Paul Smith, Attorney for Albert Karmisch, et al, appellants, the sum of \$22.50, being soet of certified copies of papers filed in the matter of reclassification of property at the southwest corner of Liberty and Milford Mill Boads.

Zemine Commissioner

PAID APR 1 5 53 OF BALTIMORE COUNTY BY - V. Toloway

OPINION OF THE BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS BALTIMORE COUNTY

This is an appeal by Albert Kermisch from an Order of the Zoning Commissioner of Baltimore County dated June 13, 1952, denying the reclassification from an "A" Residence Tone to an "E" Commercial Zone and a special permit for a gasoline service station in regard to the property described in the said

The case came on for hearing before the Board, testimony was taken, and counsel heard.

The property which is the subject of this petition is located on the Southwest corner of Liberty and Milford Mill Roads at Rockinle. The property on the South side of Liberty Road between Milford Mill Road and Abbie Place is zoned "A" Residential with the exception of a tract of land at the Southwest corner of Liberty Road and Abbie Place, which said tract of land has a frontage of approximately 200 feet on Liberty Road and is zoned "E" Commercial. The property between Eitmiller Road and Rockdale Avenue on the North side of Liberty Road is goned "A" Residential except for that portion lying between Ruler Avenue and Hilford Mill Road. The subject property is across Liberty Road from this latter described tract of land. It is a part of the development known as "Millvale" which has been developed exclusively by residential properties. There is at present two gasoline service stations located in this area besides three other special permits which have been granted recently, and this would enable additional nervice stations to be erected which should adequately provide for the needs in this

The petitioner failed to show any need beyond this, or that there were any special extenuating circumstances why this Board should grant him a special permit for the property

Loning Coomingtoner

Monday June 9, 1952 at 1:00 P. H.

-2-

in question. The petitioner admitted that helmow of the residential classification of this property at the time he purchased it; and consequently, he cannot now claim hardship for feilure to reclassify and grant a special permit, nor that the property is not suitable for residential use. The case of Edwin J. Kintner, et al., vs. Board of Zoning Appenls, Daily Record, June 26, 1952; states "A special exception means exactly what the ordinary grammatical use of the words imply, a specially permitted use in a particular area without rezoning it, grented only for everwhelming, and convincing reasons not applying to the whole area, and based on 'need', thardship' and other related foundations. In reviewing appeals in these situations, the Court is required to view the record to see whether or not the proof supports the Board's action. The burden on such an applicant is heavy and its showing must be convincing and clear."

The Poers is of the ominion that the natitioner has not met this burden, and that the reclassification from an "A" Read dence Zone to an "E" Cormercial Zone and the granting of a special permit would be detrimental to the general welfare of the community; and it will, therefore, pass its Order denying the manleyeification and amodial normit.

CREEKED by the Zoning Consissioner of Baltimore County this 21st day of May . 1952. that the subject matter of this petition be advertised in a namepaper of general circulation throughout Baltimore County and that the property be posted, as required by the Zonian Secondations and Lot of Assembly aforesaid, and that a public hearing thereon be had in the office of the Zoning Consissioner of Baltimore County, Laryland, on the 9th . 1952, at 1:00 o'clock

ALBERT KERMISCH, MY AT. VS.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR BALTIMORE COUNTY

£ 2274-

BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS OF BALTIMORE COUNTY, ET AL LAW

The Court has considered the above entitled matter and has viewed the property.

The Court is of the opinion that the denial of the petition would be arbitrary and unjustifiable and that the property in question should be rezoned as commercial and the special permit granted. (J.B.G. 6/8/53) The action of the Board will be reversed.

> JOHN B. GONTRIM JOHN B. GONTRIM

May 27, 1953

filed May 29, 1953

RE: FETITION FOR REGLASSIFICATION AND SPECIAL PERHIT POR GASOLINE SERVI STATION - S. W. Corner Liberty and Milford Mill Road, End District -Allen & Bessie Kermisch, Petitioners.

The appeal in the above entitled matter coming on for hearing before the Board of Joning Appeals of Baltimore County on Decomber 11, 1952, denying the reclassification from an "A" Residence Zone to an "E" Gormereial Zone and a special permit for a gasoline service station in regard to the property described therein; and it appearing from the facts and evidence adduced at the appeal that the granting of the reclassification and the special permit would be detrimental to the general welfare of the community and for other reasons stated in the opinion: therefore.

It is this 26 day of March, 1953, Ordered by the Board of Zening Appeals of Baltimore County that the Order of the Zoning Commissioner of Baltimore County, denying the reclassification from an "A" Residence Zone to an "E" Commercial Zone and a special permit for a gasoline service station, be and the same is hereby ratified and affirmed.

one or

PRITITION FOR RECLASSIFICATION AND SPECIAL PERMIT FOR GASOLINE SERVICE STATION - S.W. CORNER LIBERTY ROAD LLEN AND BESSIE KEROTSCH. PETITIONE

MOARD OF ZONING APPEALS OF BALTIMORE COUNTY

.................

MENORANDER OF LAW ON BEHALF OF PETITIONERS

This appeal was taken from an Order of the Zoning Corrissioner of Baltimore County, dated June 13, 1952, which refused reclassification of the subject property, from "A" Residential to "E" Commercial and also refused to issue a Special Permit to erect a gasoline service station thereon,

From the undisputed testimony in the record, the existing zoning on Liberty Road, between Rolling Road and Milford Mill Road, is almost entirely omercial on the north side of Liberty Road and is roughly fifty percent ercial on the south side of Liberty Hoad. In addition, on the south mide of liberty Road there are several non-conforming uses and the Consolidated Cas and Electric Company operates a transformer station and the Baltimore County Commissioners operate a manitary seware numning station under Special Permits. The re-zoning of this area on Liberty Road has taken place since the Zoning Regulation was passed in January of 1945, with the greatest number being re-zoned during the year 1952. As a matter of fact, five parcels of lan lying in this area were re-zoned from "A" Residential to "E" Commercial during the first six months of 1952, three parcels being located on the south side of Liberty Road and two being located on the north side.

It is true that the purpose of the Zoning Law is, of course, to devot eral areas or districts to selected uses. The whole value of soming lies. in the establishment of more or less permanent districts, well planned and anged. It is also true that there is a presumption that the original soning as correct in considering applications for Special Permits. However, it is espectfully submitted that it is obvious from the zoning map and from the cord in this case that the neighborhood has so greatly changed, insofar as ding is concerned, since the Zoning Regulations were emacted in 1945, that

the Petitioners are legally entitled to re-zoning of their property.

The just and legal test for re-zoning was clearly and concisely stated by the Court of Appeals of Maryland in Eracke vs. Weinberg, 79 Atl. 2nd 387, at page 391:

> "Where property is re-zoned, it must appear that either there was some mistake in the original zoning, or that the character of the neighborhood has changed to such an extent that such action ought to be taken."

To refuse re-zoning of the Petitioners' property not only results in preventing the comers from using it for its most suitable use, but for any practical use at all. Under these circumstances, as was stated by the Court of Appeals in the Kracke vs. Weinberg case, their property is being taken from them without compensation, and the owners would be denied a privilege which has been extended to practically all of its neighboring property owners on

In addition, there was testimony that due to neighboring zoning and the populiar size and shape of the lot in question, it could not be satisfactorily or feasibly used for residential development, although the most critable use was for a gasoline service station. The protestants testified that they objected to a Special Permit being issued to erect a gasoline station at this location although they admitted that they did not protest the issuance of Special Permits to erect filling stations on three other importies located on the came side of Liberty Road and ismediately to the west of the Petitioners' property. As a matter of fact, the record shows that they went so far as to give written approval to the applications for those Special Permits. Regarding a similar situation, the Court of Appeals said in the Kracke vs. Weinborg case, supra:

> "If a residential neighborhood desires protection by a border of unused property, necessarily it must provide its own property, not appropriate its neighbors. for this purpose. * * * Property memers in a residential district cannot create a 'no man's land' at the border of

> > Et Putition for Reclassification from an "As Pestierne Zone to an "F" Commercial Zone and special Fermit for a Gasoline Service Station - S. W. Oor. Liberty & Hilford Hill Boals, Second Histrict of Baltimore County, Allen & Bassie Kermisch, Petitioners

The property which is the subject of this petition is not tell to the subject corner of filterly and Milford Mills Rodds, no Rodds, as the Second Heartst. The lot in question is a part of the development known as "Millvads" where approximately continues. The land between Art Parliam and Illan Rodds, which lies to the subject of the su

All the property on the south side of Liberty Read between Rillord Rill had and for Plance, a citatence of approximation of a lot at the content of read of the re

On the north side of Idberty Read all the property statistics. Rimillar Read and Rechaids Avenue is sound "A" residential with the exception of the block between Bale Avenues and Millered Mill Read. The property in question is immediately serves imberty Read from this commercially comprehensive the property of the pr

we, John B. Gatther, Jr. who developed "Hillywile" are representing it as each to let in question for residential uses, representing it as much but did not restrict. What this who can be a represented that the other residential modern described the stifted that the first intention to continue the use of his properly residentially. The lets we continue the use of his properly residentially. The lets we the work of the let in question exhausting the many continue that are the seathers coverned of his flood way. residential use.

realmental new of the foregoing it appears that the reclassification to conservial mes and the greating of special points for the section of a good time are not the property of the section of a good time are not the first property of the contract of the contract which is observed for cottages and, therefore, would be detrimental to the contract whitery of the community. It is the contract whitery of the community. The two first property was conservably it would appear that the Maithen property would have the new right and therefore, the seminal be opposed up to connected upon to the detriment of currently greatlested properties.

their own district by forbidding one property owner in an adjoining district from making any use at all of his property or any use for which it is 'peculiarly suitable: - especially when the adjoining district has hern saned for mitable uses for fifteen years."

Certainly, regulations which restrict property within or upon the boundary of a commercial none to a use which the property is not adapted is unreasonable, and thereby destroys the greater part or all of its value. In this case, the protest is clearly an attempt to prevent the Petitioners from using their property for its most suitable use and such action is capricious mable. There is little doubt that it is inconsistent with proper zoning to permit public excitement and clamor to demy the Petitioners the resoning to which they are entitled and to permit arbitrary interference with the lawful and legitimate use of property.

As the Court of Appeals stated in Northwest Merchants Terminal vs. O'Rourke 191, Md. 171, at page 190, (also quoted by Judge Murray in Mchard G. Kintner et al vs. Board of Zoning Appeals for Baltimore County, Daily Record, June 26, 1952):

"There is no magic in the word zoning, but there is a wide difference between the exercise of the police power in accordance with the comprehensive zoning plan, which imposes gutual restrictions and confers mutual benefits on property comers, and arbitrary permission to "A" and prohibition to "B" to use their property, at the pleasure of neighbors or at the whim of others."

In this same case the Court in quoting from the New York case of Arverne Bay Construction Company vs. Thatcher 278 N.Y. 222, stated:

"An ordinance which permanently so restricts the use of property that it cannot be used for any reasonable purpose, goes, it is plain, beyond regulation, and must be recognized as a taking of property. The only substantial difference, in such case, between restriction and the actual taking,

It is this \(\sqrt{3}\sigma^2\) day of June, 1952, officially by the Zening Commissioner of Baltimers County that the reclassification of the property care described in the petition and a special penulic period of the period of the same is breely dended.

of Haltimore County

the burden of payment of taxation, while outright confiscation would relieve him of that burden."

The record is replete with testimony of experts that this is a very logical spot for the location of a service station, that it is required due to the tremendous expansion and development of the Liberty Road area, that its location is at the widest spot on Liberty Road, that there has been a definite change in the zoning characteristics of the neighboring properties on Liberty Road since January 1, 1945, and that the property is peculiarly suitable for a gasoline service station. In addition, there was also testimony that the location of a service station at this point would not create any depreciation in value of neighboring real estate, nor would it be in any way detrimental to the health of the community. Therefore, it is respectfully submitted by the Petitioners, that they have fully met the burden placed upon them in their application for re-zoning to "E" Commercial and for a Special Permit to erect a gasoline service station at this location.

Respectfully submitted,

FILED JUN 21 1952

KERMISCH'S REAL ESTATE Albert Kermisch - Roullor PROPERTIES - BOUGHT - BOLD & MANAGE 1318 W. NORTH AVENUE

June 20, 1952

Inclosed find my check for \$22.00.

I wind to appeal In her retition for Reclassification, from some "A" familiates come to an TV Commercial Comme

allest Kann

AK/ ELG

#2275-RS

ral9/30



To the Zonine Commissioner of Baltilore County:

Your We. Allen & Bessie Kermisch Logal Owners

hereby petition (1) that the soning status of the above described preperty be reclassified, pursuant to the Zoning Law of Baltimore County, from an "A" Residence Zone to an "E" Connercial Zone; and (2) for a Special Fermit, under said Zoning Law and Zoning Regulations of Baltimore County, to use the above described property. TOT GASOLINE SERVICE STATION

Property to be posted as prescribed by Zoning Regulations.

I, or we, agree to pay expenses of the above reclassification and Special Permit, advertising, posting, etc., upon filing of this petition, and further agree to and are to be bound by the Zoning Regulations and Restrictions of Baltimore County, adopted pursuant to the Zoning Law for Baltimore County.

4 W. Hein

Y. allakum 3302 Liberty Leights The

med 5252

175

Jan 29, 1967 11:45 HM Zesaco 8101 Februly Rd



Jen. 24. 768 M. 45 AM. Dyoco 8101 Flesh R.



New 29, 1968 N:45 AM Secas 8:101 Flady



1145 # Johnson 1967



ACCESSORY BUILDINGS IN RESIDENCE ZONES

SECTION h02.2 - Separate cocking facilities and a separate bathroom shall be provided for each family unit.

CONVERSION OF ONE-FAMILY DWELLINGS MINIMUM DIMENSIONS

1	Width of Lot in Feet at			Lot Area In Square Feet		Side Yards-Feet	
	Front Building Lin		Ea.Add.	Two	Ea.Add. Family	Min. for One	
one	Duplex	Detached	Family	Families			Int60 Cor75
		175	25	50,000	10,000	001. 7	SHE THE OWN TO SELECT
1.40	175		25	25,000	7,500	Int20 Cor35	Int50 Cor60
R.20	125	125				Int20	Int40
			15	12,500	4,000	Cor30	Cor50
R.10	90	100	-	Section 1		Int15 Cor25	Int35 Cor40
R. 6	80	90	15	10,000	3,000	00127	
1	70	80	10	Interior 8,050 Corner 9,200	2,500	Int15 Cor25	Int30 Cor40
R. G.	70	80	10	Interior 8,050 Corner 9,200	2,500	Int15 Cor25	Int30 Cor30

Maryin Juffer. Dest 225