				# "
Petition for 2	Zoning R	e-Classific	ation	mpp V
			1	#1-8
I, or we, Serton	Reastu da	e legal owner of th	e property situa	ite BL
All that parcel of the West boundary of Baltim	land in the Firs	t District of Baltime line, beginning 930 i ding on said City, Co	ers County or feet North of ounty line	11857
All that parcel of the West boundary of Baltim locks Lane; thence running 180,68 feet; thence Norther the degrees 27 minutes West thence Southerly and bindin 173 feet to the place of be	ly 62 degrees 33 535 feet to the E g on said Bouleva g ming.	minutes West 100 feet ast side of proposed rd 777.12 feet; them	Security Blue Security Blue Se Northeaste	rth rd. arly
reby petition that the zoning state ning Law of Baltimore County, fr Reasons for Re-Classification:	is of the above describ	bed property be re-classifie	8-L	the
ning Law of Baltimore County, fr	Om an	a Cont		
Reasons for Re-Classification:	- Saoffin	y CERTET		-
e and height of building: front.	feet; depth	feet; height	fe	et.
out and side set backs of building	from street lines: from	utfeet ; side	fe	ret.
perty to be posted as prescribed				1
I, or we, agree to pay expense				
this petition, and further agree to			and restrictions	of
timore County adopted pursuant	to the Zoning Land	erton Coll Roun Cold	y, Ine	
	16	Noun Ora	ue you	-
	c/o	Jocob R 751	Arrea re	
	Addres	149 GRUIT	2 110	1.
		- rgain	. 2 // .	
ORDERED By The Zoning Con	missione of Baltimor	e County, this 19th	day	of
November 19 56 :	hat the subject matter	r of this petition be adve	rtised, as requir	red
the "Zoning Law of Baltimore C				
ere County, that property be post	ed, and that the public	ic hearing hereon be had :	in the office of	the
ning Commissioner of Baltimore				
9thday of	-	1957. at. 2		- 1
		Zoning Commissioner of B		
MI .	(over)	Zonrig Commissioner of D	an more County	
	(0.00)			j
				40
				16
CHILD COMPONENT COLOR		PRI TA STRUCTURE	ultimeter statement	
A December 1				
	1 1 1 1 1 1	A Viceroe		

Pursuant to the advertisement, posting of property, and public hearing on the above petition and it appearing that by reason of ... location, being an extension of an existing "B-L" Zone, the granting of which will not be detrimental to the safety, health and the general welfare of the community bilines have a set of last on the west side of Sittisore City-County Line, beginning 100 and the last of the County Line, the County Line 18,568 feets, themse borth Sit agrees, so that or starting you had to the County Line 18,568 feets, themse borth Sit agrees, so that not starting County Line, the County Sittines were Line of extiting County Line, there excelledly, on the east side of extiting County Line, there excelledly, in the east side of excelled Line, 165 feet to the proposed themse resultedly, on the County Line, and the County Line as side of proposed dependence of the County Line, and the County Lin The remaining portion of the property described in the petition is continued as an "R-6" (residence) Zone. ...the above re-classification should NOT be had ...., 19 ...., that the above petition be and the same is hereby denied and that the above described property or area be and the same is hereby continued as and to remain a.... Zoning Commissioner of Baltimore County County Commissioners of Bultimore County President

Petition for Zoning Re-Classification The Zoning Commissioner of Baltimore County :- Joce.

I, or we, Egertort Realty Joce. legal owner... of the property situate All Days percels of Each in the First Ristrict of Baltimore Omnty or the West boundary of Baltimore 24th, Contry Line, Septiming 250 facts North of Codes Lane; thence running Bortherly and Unidadg on each City, Comity Line 100,00 feety themce Septiming of Gargess 31 minutes New Line Frest Line Service 100,00 feety themce Septiming Code of Company 100,000 feet Line Service themce Southerly and binding on said Boulevard 777,12 feety themce Northeasterly 775 feet to the Janes of Sagining. 

Size and height of building: froat.....feet; depth... Front and side set backs of building from street lines: front\_\_\_ Property to be posted as prescribed by Zoning Regulations. I, or we, agree to pay expenses of above re-classification, advertising, posting etc., upon filing of this petition, and further agree to and are to be bound by the zoning regulations and restrictions of Louis Chan for appearant super one on torroles 16 Jacob L. Ford Joseph Down 149 Equatorial May. ....... 19. 56, that the subject matter of this petition be advertised, as required by the "Zoning Law of Baltimore County," in a newspaper of general circulation throughout Baltimore County, that property he posted, and that the public hearing hereon be had in the office of the Zoning Commissioner of Baltimore County, 111 W. Chesapoaks Ave.
Zoning Commissioner of Baltimore County, on

Zoning Commissioner of Baltimore County

1957 at 2 o'clock P. M.

Bepartment Of Fublic Works

BUREAU OF LAND DEVELOPMENT

To \_\_\_\_\_CHARLES\_L. FITZPATRICK. Zoning Petition for Reclassificati Security Boulevs and Cooks Lane

The following comments are offered by this office on the above referenced zoning petition:

Cooks Lane adjacent to this site shall become a dead end street with a cul-de-sac.

Zoning should be withheld from a storm drain reservation that would have to be determined by the Bureau of Engineering or by the developer's engineer with approval of the Bureau of Engineering.

4) Any zoning of the County portion of the property should be coordinated with the action of Baltimore City on the City portion.

Entrance to the site from Security Boulevard as shown on the plan is not acceptable.

It is suggested that a decision on this petition be withheld until the State Roads Commission has defined the alignment of new Route ho. Any decision on this petition should cuit the areas desired by the State and the required atom drain reservation.

George R Jem OEDROE R. LEWIS Chief - Permit Section

January 8, 1957

GRT.-3s

CC: Mr. Stirling

CERTIFICATE OF POSTING ZONING DEPARTMENT OF BALTIMORE COUNTY Towson, Maryland #4016 Date of Posting 18-18-56 Posted for: Lin R-6 Jane to an B-6 Jane Petitioner: Egester Beatly Jose Joseph Jan atte de lit horation of Signa: Bringer Fill amiles 12 roft, South 1 15toft Mouth of Coches Level in all Est Il Goge South Posted by Jing A Harman Date of return: 12 15 56

to determine whether or not the following mentioned and de-scribed pomerty should be changed or reciassing as afereasid for Business Local to wit:

All that parrel of land in the First District of Bultimore Com-

THE BALTIMORE COUNTIAN 4016 THE COMMUNITY PRESS
Dundalk, Md. THE HERALD - ARGUS

No. 1 Newburg Avenue

CATONSVILLE, MD.

January 2, 1957. THIS IS TO CERTIFY, that the annexed advertisement of

THIS IS TO CERTIFY, that the annexed advertisement of Wilsie & Glame Jorning Commissions of Bultimore Codardy was inserted in THE BALTIMORE COUNTIAN, a group of three weekly newspapers published in Baltimore County, Maryland, once a week for the 2nd day of January the same was inserted in the issued of land, once a week for successive weeks before 1957, that is to say

December 24 and 3! 1954.

THE BALTIMORE COUNTIAN

By Paul J Margar Editor and Mangar

Zoning Commissioner

December 17, 1956

RECEIVED of Egorton Realty, Incorporated,

petitioner, the sum of Forty-One (341,00) dollars,

West boundary of Baltimoro City-County line, beginn-

ing 930 feet North of Cooks Lane - 1st. District of

being cost of petition for property situate on

Wednesday, January 9, 1956

Baltimore County.

RECEIPE JAN 2 1957

01.622 - advertising \_ \$10.00 01 623- posting - 13100

Pursuant to the advertisement, posting of property, and public hearing on the above petition
location, being an extension of an existing "B-L"
Zone, the granting of which will not be detrimental to the safety, health and the
general velfare of the community
IN PART
the above re-classification should be had.
19. Is Ordered by the Zoning Commissioner of Baltimore County this charter desorrhed  February 19.57, that the above described property or arrathould be and the same is
reordary 19.21, that the above described property or area/anomal of and the same to
hereby reclassified, from and after the date of this Order, from a.n. "B-6" (residence) zone
to *8-L* (business local) the property realessified being if
All that pure of almos on the west side of Balthore Oity-Geomy, Line, beginning 100 feets much of existing Geolar Lane, themes newtherly, on said Oity-Geomy Line 155.65 feets) themes Berth Sid organs 50 nimes sent 10.05 feets) themes Berth Sid organs 50 nimes sent 10.05 feets) themes Berth Sid organs 50 nimes sent 10.05 feets) themes Berth Sid organization of the sent of the
The remaining portion of the property described in the petition is continued as an "B-6" (residence) Zone.

Today Constitutions' of laltinors County of laltinors County  the above re-dessification should NOT.  It is Ordered by the Zeolog Commissioner of Baltimore County, this.  19. that the above portions be and the same is hereby denical and it above described property or area be and the same is hereby continued as and to renation a  **Today Constitutions**  **Today Cons	day s
the above re-dissification should NOT.  It is ordered by the Zening Commissioner of Baltimore County, this	day s
	day s
It is Ordered by the Zoning Commissioner of Baltimore County, this	day s
above described property or area be and the same is hereby continued as and to remain a	
Zoning Commissioner of Baltimore C	ounty
Approved	

be presented to the court within thirty(30) days after the filing of the decision in the office of the Board.\*

It is conceded that mandamis will not lie to control the exercise of judgment and discretion by public officials. Of. Board of County Commissioners of Baltimore County et al v. Oxford Development Company, 209 Md. 373, 378 and cases cited. The appellac contends, and the brial court found, that approval by the County Council is sourcely ministerial act, involving no judgment or discretion and no power to disapprove. Reliance is placed upon our observation in Tennink at al v. Board of Zoning Appeals for Saltimore County et al, 205 Md. 489, 493, that under the authority conferred by Chapter 502, Acts of 1945, The County Commissioners had "wested in the Zoning Commissioner the power to reclassify tracts of land." That statement, which was in no sence a holding in the case, must be qualified to the extent that the statute plainly calls for approval by the Commissioners (now the County Council), of every reclassification. The point now before us was not in isour. It is almost uniformly held that the word "approved" connotes a confirmation and involves the exercise of judgment and discretion. See McCarton v. Sanderson et al, 109 P. 2d 1108 (Mont.); Marris et al v. Board of Education of Vance County et al, h S.E. 2d 328 (N.C.); Powers et al v. Isley et al, 183 P 2d 880 (aris.); LoRoy et al v. Morcester St. Ry. Co., 191 N.E. 39 (Mass.). The case of State ex reli Great Falls Housing Authority v. City of Orest Falls et al. 100 P 2d 915 (Mont.), relied on by the appelles, is not in point. The cases also recognize that the requirement of approval implies the power to disapprove.

This is particularly true where the effectivement of the action to made to depend upon the appeared of a patche buty possessing beginning the property of the potent to recome in this potent to the potent to recome in the potent to the potent to recome in the potent of the potent of actions of the potent potent in the potent to the following to the potential could have an expected its active legislative power in this pitted to an admitstrative of the potential to a specific me in the potential to a position to be not reach. Failing, the statute does not comparation to the training boundaries. The potential to the profession, has all professions in the profession, has all potential to appear appeared such action force potentials in the institutive procession in the potential to the profession.

The speak is from an order of the Clenit Court for Maithern Senity, passed on Squamber 10, 1957, granting a writ of same dawn directing the County Council to sympton the reclassification of a perton of the property seemed by Nagreton Hasky, line, from an Hot to a Lit wine, as ordered by the Zoning Commissions of Zalthores Doubly on Fabruary 5, 1957.

On Strember 19, 1959, the appellag files with the Coning Constraints of the project, acquired by it in 1951. After as severated paths sensing had been head, the Zening Constitutions passed the order in quantities, and not appeal was taken by the project acquired to receive the contract of the Zening Constitutions from that order within the ten days specified in sec. 500.10 of the Zening Beylations, argued been 30, 1955. After the assistant of the Line for appeal, the action of the Zening Constainmer was referred to the County Conneil, as directed by sec. 500.2 of the Jossing Regulations. The County Council requested an application from the County council must appear to disapprove the action of the Zening Consistence, but that 'under the existing regulations the County council must approve, pro Count the action of the Zening Consistence are the County of the Sening Consistence of the County County of the Sening County of the Sening County of the Sening County of the Sening County o

The Baltime County Zoning Embling Act (Chapter 267, Acts of 1351), opposed the County Consistences to appoint a Zoning Consistences and a Board of County Experts 260, Acts of 1355 and Chapters 260, 265, and 355, Acts of 1353, interported in the Code of Public Igeal Laws of Baltimers County, (1955 ed.) as see, 557, the County Countartoware were empower to pass regulations, in accordance with a competencies coning than, and to delegate correian mithority if the Zonine Countainteners. Now. 570(c) set up the mechany for establishing the various power and territorial directors, upon recommendation of the Toning Countainteners while hearing by the goal of the County Countainteners upon the final report. The section provided that the County Countainteners should have power, from time to time, upon recommendation of the County Countainteners and after hearing by this and by them, that with years to the houndarine of sorting districts, divisions or sorted the County Countainteners are united that the County Countainteners are after hearing by this and by them, that with years to the houndarine of sorting districts, divisions or sorted the County Countainteners are imposed on and work in the County

the, or a lack of power to disapprove in an appropriate case. We must assume that the public body, whose approval is required to rake the section effective, has considered each case upon its marits. The occasion for disapproval may rever have arisen. The Council takes the position that it is not legally bound in all cases, to ack as a "mibro study", particularly where there is in immediate prospect a reseming of the entire district in a comprehensive way.

The appellee argues that it is "incomprehensible" that a person seeking a reclassification should be granted the right to file a petition to that end, succeed before the Zoning Commissioners and the Board on Appeal, successfully defend the reclassification in the trial court and in this Court, and then be denied approval by the Council without a hearing. Whether the Council could postpone its action until after judicial review is a question we need not now decide. The Zoning Commissioner must certify favorable action of the Board to the Council "forthwith", and the Regulations seem to contemplate action by the Council before the time for filling a petition for certiorari has expired. In the instant case there was no appeal from his action, so the question posed is not before us. The fact that the feilure of the Council to approve cuts off his right to maintain on court the legality of the action of the Zoning Commissioner is not eignficant. That question is now mot, even if if were not established by the failure of the protestants to appeal to the Board. The appellee is in no worse position than it would have been if the Council had exercised its power to deny reclassification without the aid of any administrative finding. A public hearing is not necessary where the action is approved. It is, of course, a usual, though not always a nocessary, prelade to action by a legislative body. The record does not show that hearing was requested. Whether the 18 months! provision is applicable under such circumstances we likewise leave open.

Sec. 305 (d) of the Charter provides that legislative acts pased by the Council require the afformative vote of at least four numbers, No question is related on this appeal, and no question seems to have been restand below, as to whether this section is explicable to the action taken for the instant case, or as to the validity of the Councils action in disapproving, or Consistency the power to maked, supplies to the control from the in these the boundaries of each scaling districts, (Thairon on some, gravided that the Eming Consistence pill lotts a public hearing or bearings on may proposed anotherit, supplement or chairs, his such manchents, supplement or thereign however, abalt become effective and binding until it shall have been approved in writing by the County Consistency, but no notice and public bearing before the County Consistency abalt he necessary before such approval. "(Italian supplied.)

Sec. 500.9 of the Zering Regulation liberies calls for written approval by the County Commissioner before any change in the boundaries of a core, submitted by the Zering Commissioner after the time for appeal has exprised, shall become effective and brinding. Sec. 500.3 provides that if, upon appeal to the Exard of Zering Appeals, a reclassification denied by the Zering Commissioner is granted, he shall Testhwith submitted and reclassification to the County Commissioners for "blair written approxima". Sec.500.12 provides that if a app denium for reclassification within 15 months from the date of his fland order, or the final order of the Nord.

Under sec. 306 of the Nove Hule Charter, edopted Diverter 6, 1556, it is provided that all legislative powers bereiofree converted by the Coulty Countsatoners shall be wested in the County Council. It see. 521 the privare heretofree coveriesd by the "Council Countsatoner were conditioned and continued, subject to change by the Council in the councils of its legislative function. It is connected that the County Council had not received or altered in any respect the powers previously conferred upon the noting Councils marrier and the Sparie of "county Councils, or its predicates", the "county Council to approve a Council Cou

See. SOME() of the local Gode calls for the appointment of a found of funing Appeals and provides for appeals to it "From and decision of the foring Gordantomer." Subsections (g) and (h) provide for further review, by contrart, to the Circuit Gourt, and by appeal to this Court. Cf. Code (1997), Art. 656, see. 22(1) and (c) of the Public Gourcal Lowe. Spher section 539(g), the potition for continuous nest forth that "much decision is 'lingal, in whole or in part, specifying the ground of the litegality. Such potition shall

-2-

fa ling to approve, the reclassification by the affirmative vote of only three members. We express no opinion on the point, but assume for present purposes that the action taken assumed to disapproval.

CRDER REVERSED AND PETITION DISKISSED

