PETITION FOR ZONING RE-CLASSIFICATION #53/3 MAP . AND/OR SPECIAL EXCEPTION TO THE ZONING COMMISSIONER OF BALTIMORE COUNTY: #12 REDEC We. STANFORD LAND COMPANY legal owner. 5 of the property situate in Sall County and which is described in the description and plat attached hereto and made a part hereoff. (A has by petition (1) that the zoning status of the herein described generate hereacters. county and which is described in the description and past asserted in the second has by petition (1) that the zoning status of the herein described property be re-classified, purs to the Zoning Law of Baltimore County, from an_____R_G_ _zone; for the following reasons: Changes have occurred in the neighborhood affecting the use of this pro It is ideally located for the construction of garden apartments with abundant open space around it. Such resoning would be of great benefit to the neighborhod and entire community and would satisfy a great need. SEE ATTACHED DESCRIPTION and (2) for a Special Exception, under the said Zoning Law and Zoning Regulations of Baltimore County, to use the herein described property, for-Property is to be posted and advertised as prescribed by Zoning Regulations. I, or we, agree to pay expenses of above re-classification and/or Special Exception advertising. or we, agree to pay expenses or above re-crassurcation and/or operate exception advertising, posting, etc., upon filing of this petition, and further agree to and are to be bound by the zoning posing, etc., upon many or una peticina, and interest against the latest of the Zoning Law for Baltimore regulations and restrictions of Baltimore County adopted pursuant to the Zoning Law for Baltimore STANFORD LAND COMPANY Contract purchaser

County, on the _____day of ___July

Im Trus

required by the Zoning Law of Baltimore County, in a newspapers of general circulation the global Baltimore County, that property be posted, and that the public hearing be had before the Zoning Saltimore County, that property ne posted, and that the public healing on has better the Zoning Commissioner of Baltimore County in Room 106, County Office Building in Towson, Baltimore

..., 1961..., that the subject matter of this petition be advertised, as

Samuel M. Trivas Petitioner's Atto

Address 6301 Reisterstown Road Baltimore 15, Maryland

A. M. _ ## 5= ##

- AUG 17 '61 AM -Annu Q II ... 2 Q Reed Q Reed Q 2

Shen a the half allowing for The Below Broken Both of Market But to med

1961 , at 10:00 o'clock

John Rose, Zoning Commissione Baltimore County Office Building Towson 4, Maryland

- AUG 17 '61 AM -

Sand Q

ZONING DEP

Please enter an appeal from your decision dated July 21, 1961, which was rendered in the matter of the Stamford Land Company's petition for reclassification from 80 to 8A, and transsit all records and papers to the Battinece County Board of Appeals.

STUADTON REALTY COMPANY

2116 Edwundson Avenue Baltimore 28, Maryland

We are enclosing herewith our check for \$70 payable to Baltimore County, Maryland to cover the cost of this appeal.

Very truly yours, STUARTON REALTY COMPANY in I Spellousel Louis L. Spelshouse, President

Enclosure

RE: PETITION FOR RECLASSIFICATION : FRITTON FUN REGLASSIFICATION from "R-G" to an "R-A" Zone -E.S. of Invermes Road, N.S. No. Boundary Road and W. S. Melbourne Road, 12th District Stamford Lend Company, Potitioner

MAP BALTIMORE COUNTY

No.5313

..........

A hearing was held on July 10, 1961 on the petition of the Stamford Land Company, Robert E. Meyerhoff, President, for a reclassification of property on the east side of Inverness Road, the north side of Boundary Road and the west side of Melbourne Road, from "R-G" (group homes) to "R-A" (apartments).

The principal argument for the reclassification was that a need for apartments in the area does exist, but more important did exist when the Land Use Map was approved on May 1, 1956, thereby constituting

zoning as approved under present zoning regulations. Some homes have been converted to apartment use and such uses would now be illegal under present zoning regulations.

Other apartment use was intended for office use and not actual residential use. In place of 83 group homes covering 16.2% of land 108 apartment units would cover 13.2%.

The arguments against the reclassification were that the builder sold group homes and did not inform the purchasers of an intention to build apartment units, that the type of people who would live in the apartment units would not be interested in the community.

community keep their premises, that parking lots will face the nearby homes, that transportation is poor and that there is no present need

people there is a definite lack of apartment units constructed in accordance with the present Baltimore County Zoning Regulations.

Recently begrings have been conducted in various areas of Baltimore County concerning apartment zoning and in every case there has been considerable opposition. Not too many years ago it was very difficult to obtain an apartment and future events may very well create 1956 and it may do so again, but this is a very short-sighted viewpoint.

Mr. Edward L. Gussio, Chief of Project Planning for the Office of Plynning & Zoning, testified that the needs for apartment zoning in this area were not met on the Land Ute Map of 1956 and that adding apartment goning to the group zoning would be a diversification

that the apartments would not be kept as neat as the home owners in the c. (. 4)

In a community with an estimated population of 70,000

another shortage. Swidently public pressure stopped apartment zoning in

The petitioner's request is a modest one. Robert R. Meyerhoff, President of the Stanford Land Company, personally took the stand and tostified that the apartments would be similar to those he has built on Northern Parkway called Wellington Gate Apartments. He further testified that the grounds would be attractivily planted and that proper maintenance of the area around the apartment units would be kept up in a superior manner. We can only take Mr. Meyerhoff at his word and see if he can build and maintair model apartment units in Dundalk as well as in Baltimore City.

BALTMORE COUNTY, MARCAND OFFICE OF FINANCE

Zoning Departs

54.00

CERTIFICATE OF POSTING ZONING DEPARTMENT OF BALTIMORE COUNTY

Towson, Maryland #5313 Date of Posting 6-22-6/ Posted for: Len A. Location of proper siefs of formation co. A. 1. 11/2 of March Country Solid Mist, and of My Weaven Sol of Aff of May formation for Location of Signa Del super approved self faculity and the reproperty Haven Rond , another septist come of morning & Thang

6301 Reisters Baltimore 15, \$50.00 01622 DETACH UPPER SECTION AND RETURN WITH YOUR REMITTANCE 50.00 Petition for Reclassification for Stanford Land Company 6-1461 1028 . . TIL-50.00 000 901-101-049-119

BALTMORE COUNTY, MAINLAND

OFFICE OF FINANCE

COUPT HOUSE TOWSON 4, MARYLAND

TELEPHONE

No. 4866

DATE 6/14/61

IMPORTANT: MAKE CHECKS PAYABLE TO BALTIMORE COUNTY, MARYLAND MAIL TO DIVISION OF COLLECTION & RECEIPTS, COURT HOUSE, TOWSON 4, MARYLAND PLEASE RETURN UPPER SECTION OF THIS BILL WITH YOUR REMITTANCE.

#12

213

The Land Use Map of May 1, 1956 was in error in not

MAP

For the above reasons the reclassification should be

It is this 2fet day of July, 1961 by the Zoning

Commissioner of Baltimore County, ORDERED that the herein described property or ares should be and the same is hereby reclassified, from for the development of said property by the Bureau of Lami Development and the Office of Planning & Zoning of Baltimore County.

No. 7398

Division of Collection and Receipt COURT HOUSE TOWSON 4, MARYLAND

Advertising and posting of your property

IMPORTANT: MAKE CHECKS PAYABLE TO BALTIMORE COUNTY, MARYLAND MAIL TO DIVISION OF COLLECTION & RECEIPTS, COURT HOUSE, TOWSON 4, MARYLIPLEASE RETURN UPPER SECTION OF THIS BILL WITH YOUR REMITTANCE. PETITION FOR ZONING RECLASSIFICATION ZONING: From R-G Zone To R-A

ZONNO: From It-7 Zone To R-A Zone.

Zone.

Zone.

Zone.

Zone.

Zone To Reat side of North

Location Season of North

Loca

Ilmore County, by authority of the Zoning Act and Regulations of Baltimore County, will hold a public and the County of the Zoning Act and Regulations of Baltimore County, will hold a public and the Twelfth Dier for the same at a point on the County of t

●#53/3

CERTIFICATE OF PUBLICATION

THIS IS TO CERTIFY, That the annexed advertisement was published in The COUNTY Paper, Inc., a weekly newspaper printed and published in Towson, Baltimore County, Md., once in each of __One__ accessive weeks before the 10th. day of July, 1961 ,the first publication appearing on the_____29th._____ day of June 19 61

> The COUNTY Paper, Inc. W. F. Keipir F.L. Manager.

TOWSON, MD., June 29, 1961

TELEPHONE VALLEY 3-3000

INVOICE BALTIMORE COUNTY, MAR AND OFFICE OF FINANCE

DATE 8/17/61

Division of Collection and Receipts
COURT HOUSE TOWSON 4, MARYLAND

To: Stuarton Realty Company 2116 Edmondson Avenue, Baltimore 28, Maryland

Zoming Department of Baltimore County 113 County Office Bldg., Townson L. Ma.

DEPOSIT TO A		TOTAL JAOUN
QUANTITY	DETACH UPPER SECTION AND RETURN WITH YOUR REMITTANCE	COST
	Cost of appeal to County Board of Appeals - Stamford Land Company No.5313	#70 . 00
-	PAD-rained of the beautiful and the second trans	
2	8 1885 3835 8 2 8 711=	7099
L.	8	4.0

IMPORTANT: MAKE CHECKS PAYABLE TO BALTIMORE COUNTY, MARYLAND MAIL TO DIVISION OF COLLECTION & RECEIPTS, COURT HOUSE, TOWSON 4, MARYLAND PLEASE RETURN UPPER SECTION OF THIS BILL WITH YOUR REMITTANCE.

TELEPHONE VALLEY 3-3000

INVOICE BALTIMORE COUNTY, MARYLAND

OFFICE OF FINANCE

No. 9758 DATE 12/5/61

Division of Collection and Receipts COURT HOUSE TOWSON 4, MARYLAND

Stuarton Facility Company 2116 Edmondson Ave., Baltimore 28, Md.

Zoning Department of Baltimore County, 113 County Office Bldge, Townen h, Nd.

TOTAL AMOUNT DEPOSIT TO ACCOUNT NO. QUANTITY DETACH UPPER SECTION AND RETURN WITH YOUR REMITTANCE COST Cost of posting property for appeal hearing No. 5313 3 signs 25.00 15.00

IMPORTANT: MAKE CHECK PAYABLE TO BALTIMORE COUNTY, MARYLAND MAIL TO DIVISION OF COLLECTION & RECEIPTS, COURT HOUSE, TOWSON 4, MARYLAND PLEASE RETURN UPPER SECTION OF THIS BILL WITH YOUR REMITTANCE.

Uppeal #5313 withdrawn 1/22/62

