RE: ZOMING VIOLATIONS No. 507-ZV Pulaski Highway & 66th St., Industrial Enterprises, Inc. No. 508-ZV Fulaski Highway & 66th St., Robb Tyler, Inc.

DEFORE THE ZONTHO CONVISSIONER Œ BALTIMORE COUNTY

412-50

No. 509-ZV Pulaski Highway & 66th St., Refuse Disposal. Inc.

................

The Industrial Enterprise, Inc., Robb Tyler, Inc., and Refuse Disposal, Inc., petitioned that the Zoning Commissioner, as provided in Section 500.7 of the Zoning Regulations, shall have the power to conduct such other hearings and pass such orders thereon as shall, in his discretion, esary for the proper enforcement of all zoning regulations, subject, to the right of appeal to the Board of Appeals as hereinafter provided. The power given hereunder shall include the right of any interested person to potition the Zoning Commissioner for a public hearing after advertisement and notice to determine the existence of any purported non-conforming use on any premises or to determine any rights whatsoever of such person in any property in Baltimore County insofar as they are affected by these Regulations.

In addition to see petition it is necessary to decide:

1. May the Zoming Commissioner grant a definite amount of time in which to comply with his Order in the case of

The answer is he may From the time of the first Zoning Commissioner, John J. Thannus, it has been customary to grant time to any and all violators so that they may comply with the Salkimore County Zoning Regulations.

May the Zoning Commissioner extend the time given on such an Order?

There is no definite regulation or ruling to guide the Zoning Commissioner but in Madison vs State 205 Mt. 431, the Court had this to say:

-1-

"In Maryland all judgments are under the control of the Court during the term in which they are entered, and during that time the Court has inherent power to strike out or madify judg-ments in both civil and criminal cases".

In the September term of 1960, Judge Stadmar

Prescott, Associate Judge of the Maryland Court of Appeals in the case of Scott vs State, 233 Md 376, had the following to say:

State, 233 Ht 376, had the following to say:

"The record makes it crystal clear that the learned trial judge "launed over bockward" in his efforts to afford the appellant every opportunity for a full, fair and ispartial trial; with think, however, that the say that the same of court at which it was remotived. There is no doubt that he had discretionary revisory power over his verdict until the expiration of the term of court at which it was remotived. Sath viambers.line, it is not country to the same of the same of

We think the erroneous belief of the trial judge that he did not have the power to strike out his verdict, coupled with the other irregularities, in reactical effect, denied to the appellant his right to prosecute his sotion for a new trial, and this constituted projutice.

Having reached this conclusion, it becomes unnecessary to consider the other questions raised by the appellant.

It is my opinion t'at the courts look with favor upon

considering a case on the marite, therefore, I halieve the Zoning Commissioner may extend the time given in the original order.

-2-

the netitioner's roomest should be granted.

It is this 6th day of November, 1961, ORDERED that the rder dated August 26, 1961, setting the time in which the notitionars had to comply with Section 256.h of the Baltimore County Regulations, is hereby extended to the date of the passage of an petition No. 5505-I for a special exception for the operation of an

RE: ZONING VIOLATIONS: No. 507 - ZV No. 507 - ZV Pulaski Highway & 66th St. Industrial Enterprise, Inc. No. 508 - 2V

No. 509 - ZV Pulaski Highway 7 66th St. Refuse Disposal, Inc.

ZONING COMMISSIONER OF

BEFORE THE

Pulaski Highway & 66th St Robb Tyler, Inc.

BALTIMORE COUNTY

.

PETITION FOR HEARING

The Petition of Johnson Bowie, County Solicitor of Baltimore County,

1. That on August 28, 1961, a hearing was held in the above entitled matter and that on the same date, the Zoning Commissioner of Baltimore County passed an Order as follows:

"It is this 28th day of August, 1961, by the Zoning
Commissioner of Baltimore County ORDERED that the above
named individuals and corporations shall comply with Section
256, 4 of the Baltimore County Zoning Regulations within sixty
(60) days of today's date or cease present operations at that time."

- 2. That the attorneys for Industrial Enterprise, Inc., Robb Tyler, Inc. and Refuse Disposal Inc. have filed a Petition with the Zoning Commissioner praying that the Zoning Commissioner pass an Order extending the date within which they must comply with Section 256.4 of the Baltimore County Zoning Regulations.
- 3. That Section 500.6 of the Baltimore County Zoning Regulations gives the Zoning Commissioner the power to conduct hearings involving any violation or alleged violation or non-compliance with any zoning regulations and to pass his Order, subject to the right of appeal to the Board of Zoning
 - 4. That no reservation was in said Order o'August 28, 1961, to consider

matter before the Board and without notice to any of the parties.

5. That Section 500. 7 of the Baltimore County Zoning Regulations ovides the power to determine any rights whatsoever at a public hearing after advertisement and notice

6. That it is the opinion of the Office of Law that under Section 500.6 of the Baltimore County Zoning Regulations, the Zoning Commissioner has no right to change the Order of August 28, 1961, and that such exception should but in any event not until not be considered ,/after a public hearing in accordance with the provisions of Section 500, 7 of the Baltimore County Zoning Regulations,

WHEREFORE, your Petitioner prays that if any consideration shall e given to the Petition filed for the extension as aforesaid, that the question of such extension be set for hearing, in accordance with Section 500, 7 of the timore County Zoning Regulations.

Johnson Bowle
County Solicitor
315 County Office Building
Baltimore 4, Maryland
VAlley 3-3000, ext. 346

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on this 27 Aday of October, 1961, a copy the aforegoing Petition for Hearing was mailed to Kenneth C. Proctor, Esq. ampbell Building, Baltimore 4, Maryland and Norwood B. Orrick, Esq., 409 Mercantile Trust Building, Baltimore 2, Maryland.

John Bourge

NOV -2'61 am



INDUSTRIAL ENTERPRISES, INC.

November 1st, 1961

Mr.John G.Fose, Zoning Commissioner, Baltimore County. Baltimore County, County Office Building, Towson - 4, Mi.

We are in receipt of a Notice of Hearing dated October 27th,1561 re "Feititon for Special Hearing Requesting Extension of Time for Industrial Enterprises_Inc., located at Pulseki Hidney and 66th Street" setting up a time on Monday November 6th, 1961 at room 166, Jounty Office Building.

This notice war mailed to us at Pulaski Highway and 66th Street, Baltimore - 6, Md., and has been forwarded to us today.

We have not requested any special hearing and do not own any property at 66th Street and Fulaski Highway.

We therefore respectfully request that our name be removed from this Pebition since we are not interested in same. A recent experience, which we did not bring to your at-tention, caused some unnecessary, bad publicity.

are located at 20 W. Franklin Street, Battisore - 1, Md., and we would appreciate your so marking your records.

Thanking you for past favors, I am Very truly your.

DWCM

(David W. Chertkof)

KCP/lg (4)

AND
MUELLER
COMPSELL BUILDING
YOUSEN & MD
VALLEY 3-1800

RE: ZONING VIOLATIONS No. 507-ZV Pulaski Highway & 66th St. Industrial Enterprise, Inc.

No. 508-ZV Pulaski Highway & 66th St. Robb Tyler, Inc.

No. 509-ZV Pulaski Highway & 66th St. Refuse Disposal, Inc.

BEFORE THE ZONING COMMISSIONE

OF

BALTIMORE COUNTY

...... PETITION

The Petition of Industrial Enterprise, Inc., Robb Tyler, Inc., and Refuse Disposal, Inc., by Kenneth C. Proctor and Norwood B. Orrick their attorneys, respectfully shows unto the Zoning Commissio

- 1. That subsequent to the Order passed by the Zoning Commissioner in this matter on August 28, 1961, your Petitioners immediately took steps to have a registered land surveyor prepare the necessary plats and description so that Petition for Special Exception for the operation of an open dump might be filed.
- 2. That your Petitioners were not furnished with the necessary plats and descriptions until Friday, October 20, 1961.
- 3. That on October 20, 1961, your Petitioners filed their Petition for Special Exception for the operation of an open dump.
- 4. That at the time of the hearing in the above captioned matter your mers attorneys advised the Zoning Commissioner that, because of eyor, it might be necessary to ask the Zoning Commissioner to extend the ime of the Order which he contemplated filing in this matter

5. That your Petitioners are advised that hearing on their Petition for Special Exception for the operation of an open dump cannot be had until Tuesday, November 21, 1961.

WHEREFORE YOUR PETITIONERS PRAY that the Zoning Commissioner may pass an Order extending the date within which your Petitioners must comply with Section 256.4 of the Baltimore County Zoning Regulations to the date of the passage of an Order by the Zoning Commissioner of Baltimore Courty on Petitioners' Petition for a Special Exception for the operation of an open dump.

AND AS IN DUTY BOUND, ETC.

Kenneth C. Proctor

howood B. Orich

JB:lp File #1410 10/27/61



RE: ZONING VIOLATIONS: Pulaski Highway & 66th St.

Pulaski Highway & 66th St. Robb Tyler, Inc.

Pulaski Highway & 66th St. Refuse Disposal, Inc.

BEFORE THE

ZONING COMMISSIONER

BALTIMORE COUNTY

ANSWER TO PETITION

The Answer of Industrial Enterprise, Inc., Robb Tyler, Inc., and Refuse Disposal, Inc., by Kenneth C. Proctor and Norwood B. Orrick, their attorneys, to the Petition for Hearing filed in this matter by Johnson Bowie, County Solicitor of Baltimore County, respectfully shows unto the Zoning Commissioner for Baltimore County as follows:

- 1. That answering Paragraphs 1 and 2 of such Petition they admit the matters and facts therein alleged.
- 2. That answering Paragraph 3 of such Petition they admit the matters and facts therein alleged but aver that a hearing has already been held in this matter, at the conclusion of which attorneys for these parties advised the Zoning Commissioner for Baltimore County that they proposed to file an Application for a Special Exception for an open dump at the earliest possible date, but were confident that the necessary survey work, preparation of plats and descriptions, would involve so much time that a hearing could not be held on such Application within the sixty day period referred to in the Order passed by the Zoning Commissioner in this matter, and further aver that the Zoning Commissioner for Baltimore County indicated that after the filing of such Application he would grant such additional

time as might be required to held a hearing thereon.

- 3. That answering Paragraph 4 they deny the matters and facts
- 4. That answering Paragraph 5 they admit the matters and facts therein alleged but aver the additional facts set forth in 2 above.
- 5. That answering Paragraph 6 they are advised that such paragraph does not require an answer.

WHEREFORE, having fully answered the Petition for Hearing filed in this matter, Industrial Enterprise, Inc., Robb Tyler, Inc., and Refuse Disposal, Inc., pray that the Zoning Commissioner for Baltimere County may file the Order for extended time previously signed by him, sending copies thereof to all interested parties.

> Orrick Attorneys for Industrial Enterprise, Inc., Robb Tyler, Inc., and Refuse Disposal, Inc.

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a copy of the foregoing Answer to Petition was mailed by me this 3c Hday of October, 1961, to Johnson Bowie, Esq. County Solicitor, County Office Building, Yowson 4, Maryland.

117 30 61 AM =

15412-SPH

CERTIFICATE OF PUBLICATION

FOR A SPECIAL HEARING

TOWSON MD., November 3. , 19.61 THIS IS TO CFRTIFY, that the annexed advertisement was

published in THE JEFFERSOMAN, a weekly newspaper printed and published in Towson, Baltimore County, Md., once mouch day of _____November ____, 19_61, the FPSF publication appearing on the___3rd___day of_____November____

THE JEFFERSONIAN,

Cost of Advertisement, \$_____

54/2-SPH

CERTIFICATE OF PUBLICATION

TOWSON, MD., __Navember_2nd_19 ._61 THIS IS TO CERTIFY, 'that the annexed advertisement was published in The COUNTY Paper, Inc., a weekly newspaper printed and published in Towson, Baltimore County, Md., once in each of ____one successive weeks before the 6th day of November 19 61, the first publication appearing on the_____2nd_____ day of

The COUNTY Paper, Inc.

District. 15

Posted to: Special Affairly Affairling afternian of Fernil
Petitioner: findswitch and party affairling afternian of Fernil
Location of property finland young above of the Start le Sullab Location of Signal M. Mygr. Atally lend of Great and for forwilled S. W. Concer. of B. of the fine Great to and of all My U. Bu land the roof m. b. Comer of We marked to the of the Sands Posted by Marye Milanum

CERTIFICATE OF POSTING ZONING DEPARTMENT OF BALTIMORE COUNTY

Towson, Maryland

#5412

Nov. 3. 11 3 /61

NO PLAT IN THIS FOLDER

: MICROFILMED