IN THE JAMES H. COOK CIRCUIT COURT Appellant FOR BALTIMORE COUNTY NATHAN H. KAUFMAN, JR. G. MITCHELL AUSTIN, and CHARLES STEINBOCK, JR., being and constituting the COUNTY BOARD OF APPEALS Appellees

ORDER FOR DISMISSAL

Mr. Clerk:

Will you kindly dismiss the appeal heretofore taken in the above entitled case, costs to be paid by the Appellant.

ney for Appellant

f Chesapeake Ave.
Courtland Ave.

77.

TE: PETITION FOR VARIANCES TO SECTIONS 231.2(c); 232.1; 232.5 and 409.2 of the Zoning Regulations - SS ZONING COMMISSIONED Avenue, 77 OP Courtland Avenue, 9th Dist. Valley Green, Inc., BALTIMORE COUNTY Petitioner No. 5643-V

. PETITION

健

The petition of Valley Green, Inc., respectfully represents

- 1. That at the public hearing before the Zoning Commissioner of Baltimore County, your Petitioner presented evidence consisting of testimony of the Deputy Director of Planning and a professional architect and engineer.
- 2. That thereafter your Petitioner received a copy of the Opinion and Order of the Zoning Commissioner herein which denied one of the four variances sought although said opinion flatly stated that it would be "a logical and proper thing to build the proposed building so that it would fit in harmoniously with the existing Jefferson Building" but the order denied one of the requested variances and rendered it a literal impossibility to construct what the Zoning Commissioner found to be a logical and proper building.
- 3. That in denving one of the said variances the Opinion stated that the Petitioner did not allege that approximately 44 parking spaces are required. However, the professional architect and engineer who testified for the Petitioner directly testified to this fact at said public hearing.
- 4. That the only other matters and facts set forth in said Opinion denying a part of the petition consist solely of

JANS H. COOK CIRCUIT COURT FOR NATHAM H. KAUFMAN, JR. G. MITCHELL AUSTIN, and CHARLES STEINBOCK, JR., BALTIMORE COUNTY being and constituting the COUNTY BOARD OF APPEALS

ORDER OF APPEAL

Mr. Clerk:

Please enter an appeal in the above entitled matter on behalf of James H. Cook, Appellant, who was a party of record before the County Board of Appeals, to the Circuit Court for Baltimore County, from the Ominion and Order dated April 25, 1963 of the said County Board of entered in case number 5643-V being the application by Valley Green. Inc. for variances to Sections 231.2(c), 232.1, 232.5 and 409.2 of the Baltimore Courty Zoning Regulations for property located on the south side of Chesapeake Avenue, 77 feet east of Courtland Avenue in the Ninth District of Baltimore County, said Opinion and Order granting the variances

I HEREBY CERTIFY that copy of the within Order of Appel was served upon the County Board of Appeals by leaving a copy thereof with Edith T. Eisenhart, the Secretary to said Board, this May, 1963.

RE: PETITION FOR VARIANCE Sections 231,2 (c), 232,1, 232.5 and 409.2 of the Zoning Legulations S/S Chesapeake Avenue, 771 east of Courtland Avenue 9th District Valley Green, Inc.,

COUNTY BOARD OF APPEALS OF

BALTIMORE COUNTY

No. 5643-V

. . . .

\$5643-V

OPINION

This is a petition of Valley Green, Inc., for Variances to Sections 231.2 (c), 232.1. 232.5 and 409.2 of the Baltimore County Zoning Regulations for property located on the south side of Chesapoake Avenue, 77 feet east of Courtland Avenue in the Ninth District of Baltimore County. The property is presently zoned "B-L".

The Board of Appeals is unanimous in its opinion that the varia quested should be granted. Under Section 307 of the Baltimore County Zoning Regulation the Bourd of Appeals is given the power to grant variances where strict compliance with the zoning regulations would result in practical difficulty or unreasonable hardship.

The variances requested above would enable the petitioner to construct of four-story addition to the present Jefferson Building; a four-story office building located on the southeast corner of Courtland Avenue and Chesapeake Avenue, directly east of the Baltimore County Office Building and south of the Baltimore County Court House.

Variance to Section 231.2 (c) would allow for a height of 55 feet instead of the required bright of 50 reet, and would conform with the height of the present Jefferson Building

Variance to Section 232.1 would allow for a front yard set-back of 9.07 feet instead of the required set-back of 10 feet. This variance would be in line with the existing Jefferson Building and the United States Post Office Building just to the east of the Jefferson Building.

Variance to Section 232.5 would arrow for four (4) floors, 46 feet by 108.73 feet, and would be a variance from the new Floor Area Ratio Regulation adopted by the County Council on February 13, 1962. This regulation was not in effect at the time of the construction of the present Jefferson Building.

Variance to Section 409,2 would permit twenty-seven (27) off-street parking places instead of the forty-four (44) places required by the regulations. While the building itself can only produce twenty-seven (27) parking spaces and the regulations res till duffer that any land used for parking must be within 500 feet of the building it is intended to serve, the petitioner has acquired the additional seventeen (17) spaces on land operated

. .

presumably have parking permitted on various County-

owned lots, and specifically 15 parking spaces were

used by the Department of Health on a publicly owned

lot, and since that Department is now located in the

existing Jefferson Building those parking spaces have

presumably relieving parking in the primary publicly

and Baltimore Streets; that public employees occupy

structure and applying the regulations with respect

thereto, would ordinarily require 33 parking spaces

and said spaces must have already been obtained and

used when those persons worked at other locations,

or if said spaces were not already available, then

the County itself is directly contributing to the

problem found by the Office of Planning and Zoning

to require over-all solution by the County.

owned lot at the southwest corner of Susquehanna

approximately 16,790 square feet of the existing

been turned over to another County department

by the Baltimore County Revenue Authority, located approximately 525 feet from the Jefferson Building

It is quite clear from the above that strict compliance with these regulation sult in practical difficulty and unreasonable hardship, and that the petitioner is entitled to the variances requested in this application.

ORDER

For the reasons set forth in the aforegoing Opinion, it is this 35 of April, 1963 by the County Board of Appeals, ORDERED that the variances petitioned for, be and the same is hereby granted.

Any appeal from this decision must be in accordance with Chapter 1100, subtitle 8 of Maryland Rules of Procedure, 1961 edition.

COUNTY BOARD OF APPEALS OF BALTIMORE COUNTY

Matter It Konghow

4. Mitchell auch

(2) That the said opinion recognizes, as did (3) That consideration must be giver to the the comments of the Office of Planning and Zoning, fact that the property for which a variance was sought that the parking problems in the general area are can be sold and transferred, and under the uncontradicted common to the Towson area and can only be solved testimony, if any separate use is attempted to be made by an "over all parking plan for the Towson Court House of said property, it is impossible to provide parking area" which has not yet been accomplished by the public thereon since it has no access except :o a major officials; that in considering the over-all parking artery and ramps would be required to be built leading problem and the fact that the same can only be solved into Chesapeake Avenue thus leaving insufficient space by the public officials, consideration must be given to for any feasible building construction. the fact that one-fourth of the space of the present (4) That considering the existing structure structure is occupied for use by public departments of the County and that all of the employees therein

together with the additional lot applied for, the opinion is erroneous in claiming that there is a shortage of 109 spaces for the following reasons: a variance was granted for the original structure to permit 54 spaces and the variance sought for the new structure is to permit 27 spaces and there must be added to these figures the agreement to lease, as the occasion arises, up to 50 spaces from the Baltimore County Revenue Authority plus 15 parking spaces previously used by the Department of Health, so that the total number of available spaces would be 146 and the so-called "shortage" would be 44 instead of a shortage of 109 spaces as erroneously found by the Commissioner.

(5) That the variance sought for the new structure would be for 27 spaces in lieu of 44 spaces or a variance of 17; that consideration must be given to the fact that one of the existing tenants formerly occupied 15 public spaces, which was a fact not known at the hearing on the original structure; as a result the .ctual shortage for the new structure, taking such fact into consideration, would merely be 2 less parking spaces than required by a

matters which were not presented at the hearing before the Zoning Commissioner and consequently as to which it had no opportunity of cross examination or rebuttal; that in the case of Temmink v. Board of Zoning Appeals, 205 Md. 489, the Court of Appeals of Maryland flatly held that the zoning authorities may not consider matters or facts not admitted in evidence at the time of the hearing and thus deprive a party of the opportunity to challenge the same and as a result in said case remanded the same for further processings: that it is respectfully submitted that in order to prevent needless appeals and needless remands of this case that the same should be reconsidered by the Zoning Commissioner upon one of the following bases:

> (1) That your Petitioner was and still is prepared to lease up to 50 spaces from the Paltimore County Revenue Authority but that it is impractical if not impossible, to do so unless and until the needs of tenants are definitely known and established, and with respect to any new structure, the nature of said tenants and their requirements are presently completely unknown; that in fact your Petitioner has already leased 20 parking spaces from the Baltimore County Revenue Authority and will lease the balance if and when necessary for the use of particular tenants.

- (a) That the said matter should be reconsidered and redetermined by the Zoning Commissioner without respect to any personal knowledge of the zoning officials or other public officials and without respect to any matters and facts of any kind not publicly stated at the time of the original hearing; or
- (h) That if said matturs and facts are to be considered, the Petitioner alleges in reply thereto the following:

literal application of the Zoning Regulations

WHEREFORE your Petitioner prays that the matters herein be reconsidered and determined either on the basis of the evidence solely before the said Commissioner, or, in the alternative, upon the basis of the aforegoing with respect to those matters as to which your Petitioner had no opportunity of cross examination and presentation of evidence at the time of the original hearing.

> Richard C. Murray Attorney for Petitioner Jefferson Building Towson 4, Maryland Va 3 6200

I hereby certify that copy of the within Petition was mailed this 8th day of October, 1962 to L. Robert Evans, Esq., Masonic Building, Towson 4, Maryland, Attorney for Louis M.

Bichard C Murray for Petitioner

Item 2 noted that the Zoning Commissioner "flatly stated that it would be a logical and proper thing to build the proposed building so that it would fit in harmoniously with the existing Jefferson Building".

It is obvious in observing the proposed site that the Jefferson Building was so constructed that the proposed site would eventually be used for expansion of the Jefferson Building.

This does not mean that the new building can be built without providing for the parking spaces, a need for which this building will create

As to Item 3, it is agreed that the required number of parking spaces is forty four.

Item & quotes Termink vs Board of Zoning Appeals 205 Md. 489. In that case the Board of Zoning Appeals was not satisfied with expert testimony, but went out and measured some points on the ground *hemselves

In the case of Kracke v. Weinberg, 197 Mt. 346, *Judge Moser made a personal observation of the property in the company of counsel and he said he was convinced that it was not practical from a financial point of view to use the property for residential purposes, that there was no change in conditions since it was zoned commercial that warranted its rezoning and there was no showing of any public good that demanded its reclassification.

It will be noted that while counsel went along there is no mention of cross examination.

In the case now before the Zoning Commissioner the potitioner's attorney is referring to information in Zoning Petition No. 4870-V concerning testimony of Gerson Bonnett, of Bonnett & Brandt, who testified in both the Jefferson Building matter and the present petition, This information is contained in the Zoning Commissioner's written opinion dated February 10, 1960. Said opinion was and in availPETITION FOR VARIANCES TO SECTIONS 231, 2(c); 231,1; 232,5 and 409.2 of the Zoming Regulations SS Chesapeake Avenue, 77' East of Courtland Aven 9th District Valley Green, Inc., Petitioner

- OCT 25 '62 AH -ZONING COMMISSIONER OF BALTINORE COUNTY ARTHENT S643-V

.

APPEAL TO COUNTY BOARD OF APPEALS

Louis M. Machacek, protestant, by L. Robert Evans, his attorney hereby enters his appeal to the County Board of Appeals from the following Orders and decisions of the Zonine Commissioner of Baltimore County wir the Owley and decision dated October 2, 1962 and the Owley and decision dated October 23, 1962, in the above entitled cause Dated: October 25, 1962

cc: County Roard of Anneal

The one other witness appearing for Valley Green, Inc., Mr. George Gavrelis, Deputy Director of Planning, contributed very little information in the matter,

The petition then goes on to plead for the granting of the parking variance.

I. Robert Evans, Esc., Attorney for Louis M. Machacok answered Valley Green. Inc.'s petition and in general agreed with Valley Green, Inc.

These were the only parties in the case and these two petitions will be attached to and made a part of the amended order.

In spite of the statements set forth in the petition the parking situation created by the Jefferson Building and the proposes building is not satisfactory, however, the proposed renewal of Towson proper has not advanced enough to force the petitioner to do any more than others in the immediate Towson area have done so that the petition for a variance to permit 27 spaces instead of his is granted.

Potes Cotha 23, 1962

BOONE AND COOK





Nove.pher 20, 1962

Mr. John G. Rose Zoning Commissioner of Baltimore County County Office Building Towson 4. Maryland

> Pay Potition for Veniannes to Sections 221 2 (c); 232.1; 232.5 and 409.2 of the Zoning Regulations - S.S. Chesapeake Avenue 77' E. of Courtland Ave., 9th Dist., Valley Green, Inc., Petitioner No. 5643-V

Dear Mr. Rose:

A. GORDON BOOK JAMES H. COOK JOHN E. MUDO

Will you kindly enter an appeal on my be-half from your Order dated October 23, 1962 granting the variance for parking in the above case.

Very truly yours,



RE: PETITION FOR VARIANCES TO SECTIONS 231.2 (c);232.1; 232.5 and hC9.2 of the Zoning Regulations - S.S. Zoning Regulations - S.S. Chesapeake Ave., 77' E. of Courtlani Ave., 9th District Valley Green, Inc., Putitioner

BEFORE ZONING COMMISSIONE

BATTTMORE COUNTY No. 56h3-V

.

The petitioner has requested four variances so that a building may be constructed on the south side of Chesapeake Avenue and Courtland Avenue. This is apparently going to be a part of an existing building known as the Jefferson Building. Mr. Gerson Bonnett of the firm of Bonnett & Brandt, Architects and Angineers, testified that an opening will be cut in the wall from the existing building to the proposed building and that cars will be parked in the basement of the proposed building, including the driveways in the building.

It would appear a logical and proper thing to build the proposed building so that it would fit in marmoniously with the existing Jeffers n Building, therefore, three out of the four requested variances should be granted.

The request for a variance from Section 109.2 to permit 27 offstreet parking spaces is due more consideration.

Henry Adams once remarked that "No one means all he says, and yet very few say all they mean".

The minimum parking required is approximately 14 spaces as opposed to a request for 27. The petition does not mention this.

RE: PETITION /OR VARIANCES TO SECTIONS 231.2 (c); 232.1; 231.5 and 109.2 of the Zoning Regulations - S.S. Chasapeake Avenue 77° E. of Courthand Ave., 9th Dist., Valley Green, Inc., Petitionsr

BEFORE ZONING COMUSSIONER BATTEMORE COUNTY

No. 5643-V

.............

Valley Green, Inc., filed a petition requesting four variances so that a building could be constructed on the south side of Chesapeake Avenue 77 feet east of Courtland Avenue. On October 2. 1962 all the variances were granted but one. A variance to permit 27 off-street parking spaces was denied.

The Court of Appeals of Maryland in Carney vs City of Baltimore 137 Mt. 130, had the following to save

The approach of practical difficulties or unmonessary hardships made difficulties or hardships which are
produced to the attention of the difficulties or hardships which are
produced to the attention of the difficulties of hardships which are
not necessary to carry out the spirit of the critisance and which
are of such adgree of sowerity that their existence amounts to
a substantial and unnecessary injunities to the opplicant. Exceptions on the grown of "practical difficulties or unnecessary hardhips" should not be made except where the burden of the general
rule upon the individual property outle not, because of its induce
situation and the singular direcumstances, serve the essential legisatum varianted immassion of the basic right of privide property. Exists v
City of Baltimere, 155 Mz. 395, 73 A. 2d [91] Hoffernan v. Zoning Bourd
of Farlus, 95 Dz. 1.2 62 lb. A. 671; Petts v. Sovid of Adjustment of
Princeton, 133 N. J. L. 2D, lb A. 2d 850*.

On October 8. 1962 the attorney for the potitioner filed a petition with the Zoning Commissioner setting forth matters that apparently were not made clear at the hearing.

The petition for a variance in regard to parking for the building now known as the Jefferson Building was more forthright and a request was made for 54 spaces instead of 146 spaces.

The result is that the two buildings will operate side by side with a shortage of 109 parking spaces.

Mr. Gerson Bonnett was the petitioner's witness at both hearings. At the first hearing Mr. Bonnett stated that one-half of the first floor would be a bank and the other half a financial agency. One floor would IBM equipment and six employees. Another floor would have a Ragional Accounting Office for a large firm and that there would be long term leases for fifteen years.

The bank is there, but occupancy of the other portion of the building has turned out quite differently. There are people instead of machines and people need a place to park their cars.

Mr. Bonnett in testimony concerning the Jefferson Building said that in view of the proposed use and based on experience with other buildings 53 extra parking spaces would be sufficient and the petitioner would consider leasing 50 spaces from the Baltimore County one Authority. Actually 20 automobile parking spaces were rented from the Revenue Authority for a term of five years.

In the Zoning Commissioner's Opinion of February 10, 1960 in the matter of what is known as the Jefferson Building, it was noted that "if future parking difficulties arise, thuse difficulties must be worked out in an overall parking plan for the Towson Court House area".

In the instant case the Office of Planning and Zoning nted "with respect to parking, the petition should show to what extent, if any, arrangements will be made to provide or to use currently available offstreet parking spaces elsewhere".

44 parking again I, secon. Valley Green, Inc. legal owner of the property situate in Baltimore

For the following reasons it is this 2nd day of

October, 1962, by the Zoning Commissioner of Baltimore County, ORDERED that the following variances should be and the same are hereby granted:

BALTIMORE COUNTY, MARYLAND

INTER-OFFICE CORRESPONDENCE

TO. Mr. John G. Rose, Zoning Commissioner Date. September 17, 1962

#5543-V. Petition for a Variance from Section 231.2 (c)

HEARING: Thursday, September 27, 1962 (1:00 P.M.)

#5903-7. Fettition for a Variance from Section 23.2 (c) in order to permit a building sheight of 5 feet along the east property line; 2. A Variance from Section 190,2 to Section 23.1 to pe.mit a sebeshed of 9.007 feet from the front property line; and la. x Variance from Section 22.5 to permit construction of it floors 16 feet x 106,7 feet and basement parking uree of the same disension. South sides of Chesapaska xxv. 77.25 feet Sect of Courtland Arems.

The staff of the Office of Planning and Zoning has reviewed the subject petition for variances to the height, parking, setback and floor area ratio requirements of business local soning as they apply to the subject property. In light of the variances to the parking the property of the parking the parking the parking the parking the parking the parking and coverage provisions. With respect to parking, the patitions and coverage provisions. With respect to parking, the patitions and coverage provisions with respect to parking, the patitions provide or to use currently - available offstreet parking spaces elections.

SEP 17 82

PROM Mr. George E. Gavrelis, Deputy Director

Court House area and none was proposed at the hearing on September 27;

A variance from Section 231-2 (c) in order to pomit a building beight of 55 feet along the east property line;

There has been no solution to the parking problem in the

- A variance to Section 232.1 to permit a setback of 9.07 feet from the front property line in lieu of 10 feet; and
- A variance from Section 232.5 to permit construction of h floors, 16 x 108.73 foot and basement parking area of the same dimension.

The variance to Section h09.2 to permit 27 offstreet parking spaces is DENIED.

TO THE ZONING COMMISSIONER OF BALT! MORE COUNTY:

I. Shown. FRALEST. AND STATES THE COURT OF THE PUPPER STATES IN DAMAGE. THE COURT AND WHICH IS described in the description and plant stateded hereto and made a part hereof, variances for the following:

hereby petition for CANAMARIONOCHINESTREET, a Variance from Section 231.2(c) in order to permit a building height of 55 feet along the east property line; offer to permit a building neight of 5.7 feet along the dask property lim (2) a variance from Section 409.2 to permit 27 offstreet parking spaces; (3) a variance from Section 232.1 to permit a setback of 9.07 feet from the from property line in law of 10 feet;

the tront property line in lieu of 10 test:

(4) a variance from Section 212.5 to permit construction of 4 floors

—46. faet. x 108.73 feet. and basement parking area of the game dimension of the Zoning Regulations of Baltimore County, to the Zoning Law of Baltimore County; to the following reasons: indicate bardship or practical difficulty)

Parcel is adjacent to existing office building having an approved height of 55 feet and lot is of insufficient size to comply fully with height and parking requirements without hardship or practical difficulty. Setback as requested would be in line with existing structure. Section 232.5 of the regulations pertaining to floor area ratio was adopted since construction of existing building on adjacent parcel. adjacent parcel.

See Attached Description

Property is to be posted and an ortised as prescribed by Zoning Regulations.

Low, agree to pay expenses of above Variance advertising, posting, etc., upon filing of this petition, and further agree to and are to be bound by the zoning regulations and restrictions of Balimore County adopted pursuant to the Zoning Law For Battmore County.

Contract purchaser Smith & Harrison Petitioner's Attorne The Jefferson Building Towson 4. Md., Va 3 6200

Address 16 Park Avenue Baltimore 1, Maryland Silis ans

President Legal Owne

ORDERED By The Zoning Commissioner of Baltimore County, this 10th day July 196. R, that the subject matter of this position be advertised, as trial by the Zoning Law of Raltimore County, in two newspapers of general circulation through-lailtimore County, that the public hearing be lead before the Zoning institute of Ralliand County office Building in Towson. Balliand the County of Ralliand County of the Rallian

1001-27 Se Zohing Commissioner of Baltimore Count

S. PLANNING & ZORING

BALTHORE COUNTY, MARYLAND

No. 14279

OFFICE OF FINANCE DATE 11/21/62

COURT HOUSE TOWSON 4, MARYLAND

James H. Cock. Esq. 305 West Chesapeaks Avenue, Toyson h, Haryland

TELEPHONE

Office of Planning & Zoning County Office Emilding Towson h, Mt.

DEPOSIT TO ACCOUNT NO 01-622			TOTA'L AMOUN
QUANTITY	DETACH UPPER SKE	TION AND RETURN WITH YOUR REMITTANCE	COST
17.7	Coet of appeal in matter of 56k3-V	petition of showmost Valley Green, Inc.	\$35,00
		stabel corps + + + ML-	5.00
	-		
	3		

MAIL TODIVISION OF COLLECTION & RECEIPTS, COURT HOUSE, TOWSON 4, MARYLAND

CERTIFICATE OF POSTING TONING DEPARTMENT OF RALTIMORE COUNTY

Towson, Maryland

#5643 District. 9. th Date of Posting 9-5-62 Posted for Naryand to Banny Segulations Posted for Mally of Mary Hard Delitioner Mally of Mary Hard Mountain of property S. S. of Milyglacki Gifting 27.25 ft. Earl of Mountain of Signs Dorland for property prosecutive 1030 feargual ac-tobation at Signs Dorland for property prosecutive 1030 feargreat acanguil Date of return 9-6-62

IN SCRIPTION OF NO. 105 WEST CHESAPPARE AVENUE, TOWNER, EALTIMORE COUNTY, MARYLAND.

folio 527, was conveyed by Malter C. Eylander, et al. to Townen Ford Sales, inc., thence binding on said second line North 82 Degrees 40 Minutes 40 Feeonds West 45.55 feet to the beginning of the third live of the land first herein referred to, and to interseat the first line of the land which by Doed dated June 4, 1947. and recorded among the aforesaid Land Records in Liber J.W.B. No. 1565, folio 244, was conveyed by Ferdinand E. Chnen to Towson Ford Sales, Inc., and running thence and binding on the third line of the land first herein referred to and reversely on part of the first line of the land last herein mentioned, North 7 Courses 19 Minutes 20 Seconds East 108.73 feet to the place of beginning. Containing 0.1157 acres more or less.



REILES.

TELEPHONE VALLEY 3-3000

BALTIMORE COUNTY, MARY ND OFFICE OF FINANCE

No. 14229 TATE 20/25/62

COURT HOUSE
TOWSON 4. MARYLAND

iffice of Planning & Zoning 119 County Office Blog., Towson b, No.

POSIT TO A	ON THURST	TOTAL AMOUNT
UANTITY	DETACH UPPER SECTION AND RETURN WITH YOUR REMITTANCE	COST
	Cout of Appeal - stressection Valley Green, Inc. No. 5640-V	\$70.00
	10.001 .7.6 . 0 ML-	7 0.00
	#4	Ì
	3	1111111111111111

IMPORTANT: MA'GE CHECKS PAYABLE TO BALTIMORE COUNTY, MARYLAND MAIL TO DIVISION OF COLLECTION & RECEIPTS, COURT HOUSE, TOWSON 4, MARYLAND PLEASE RETURN UPPER SECTION OF THIS BILL WITH YOUR REMITTANCE.

BALTIMARE COUNTY, MARYIAND OFFICE OF FINANCE

No. 12917

COURT HOUSE TOWSON 4. MARYLAND

BILLED Zoning Copartment of

	ACCOUNT NO. 01622	\$25.00
QUANTITY	DETACH UPPER SECTION AND RETURN WITH YOUR REMITTANCE	COST
	Petition for a Variance for Valley Green, Inc.	25.00 -
	Fruit - tenen - or come to a military	
		- 10
	1-1162 7257 · · · TIL-	25.00
	-	
	8	

IMPORTANT: MAKE CHECKS PAYABLE TO BALTIMORE COUNTY, MARYLAND MAIL TODIVISION OF COLLECTION & RECEIPTS, COURT HOUSE, TOWSON 4, MARYLAND PLEASE RETURN UPPER SECTION OF THIS BILL WITH YOUR REMITTANCE.

DESCRIPTION OF KG. 105 WEST CHESAPRAKE AVERUE, TOPSON, BALTINGSE

seginalng for the same at a point on the South side of West Thesapeake Avenue SO feet wide at the distance of 77.25 feet tensured Easterly sleng the South side of West Chesapeake Avenue the East side of Courtland averue, said point being at the ming of the last line of the land which by Deed dated November 21, 1988, and recorded among the land secords of Beltimore County in Liber G.L.H. Sc. 5652, Folio 026, was conveyed by Henry A. Mylander, et al. to me Culsean Corporation, and running thence and blading on the South bids of Seat Chesapente Avenue and or the last line or said land, as now surveyed, and referring the courses of this description to the Beltimore County Grid Meridian, South 32 Fegrees 40 Sinutes 40 Seconds East 46,41 feet to an iron pipe set at the beginning of the first line of said land, thence leaving Fest Chesapeske Avenue and binding on said first line, and for a part of the distance along the West face of an 8-inch concrete wall, South 7 Degrees Ol Minute 50 Seconde West 108.75 feet to the beginning of the second line of said lend, which is also the second line of the land which by Deed dated May St, 1950, and recorded among the aforesaid Land Records in Liber T.E.S. Ro. 1842,

CERTIFICATE OF PUBLICATION

TOWSON, MD. Segretter ... 1962 THIS IS TO CERTIFY, that the annexed advertisement was published in THE JEFFERSONIAN, a weekly newspaper printed

and published in Towson, Baltimore County, Md., amorciaceante oft. 1 fine concessive months before the 27th. day of ______September_____, 19.52_, the first publication appearing on the . Ith . . . day of . . September

THE JEFFERSONIAN

PETITION FOR A ZONING VARIANCE

present 27 offertree paradies (10); a
A Variance from Section 222.1 to permit
a without 6 702 feet from the front
section 6 702 feet from the front
section 122.2 to permit resoftments
section 122.2 to permit resoftments
and of section 122.5 feet front of the
section 122.5 feet front section from
the front of the free permit resoftments
and the free permit resoftments
and the free free free free free
definition of the free free free
definition from
the free free free free
definition free
de

The Zoning Commissioner of Baltin County, by sufficient of the Zoning and Regulations of Baltimore County,

ZONING: Peutism for a Variance from Section 231.2 (c) in order to permit a building height of 25 feet along the east 1.2. A Variance from Section 409.2 to permit 2 delired parking repres; 2. A Variance from Section 23.1 to permit a settles of 9.07 feet from the front section 23.1 to permit.

dimension.

LOCATION: South side

Chesapeake Avenue 77.25 feet East of Courtland Avenue. DATE & TIME: THURSDAY.

SEPTEMBER 27, 1962 at 1:00

P.M. PURLIC HEARING: Room 108, County Office Building, 111 W. Chatapeake Avenue, Towson,

Maryland Commissioner of The Zoning Commissioner of Baltim.re County, by authority of the Zoning Act and Regula-tions of Haltimare County, will hold a public hearing: Concerning all that parcel of land in the Ninth District of

hand in the Ninth District of Baltimore County.
Beginning for the same at a point on the South able of West at the district of the form at the district of the form at the district of the form that the district of the form ing at the beginning of the la-ling at the form of the form of the land which the form of the land which the form of th

recorded among the Land Rec-ords of Baltimore County in Li-ber G.L.B. No. 3452, folio 026,

was conveyed by Henry A. My-

THE BALTIMORE COUNTIAN

THE COMMUNITY NEWS THE COMMMUNITY PRESS
Dundalk, Md.

> THE HERALD - ARGUS Cotonsville, Md.

No. 1 Newburg Avenue CATONSVILLE, MD.

September 11, 1962.

THIS IS TO CERTIFY that the annexed advartisement of John G. Rose, Zoning Commissioner of Baltimore County

was inserted in THE BALTIMORE COUNTIAN, a group of three weekly newspapers published in Baltimore County, Mary land, once a week for One Week 11th day of September. 1962 , that is to say the same was inserted in the issues of

> September 7, 1962. THE BALTIMORE COUNTIAN

> > By Paul J. Morgany Editor and Manager

5643

QUAN

INVOICE BALTIMORE COUNTY, MARYIAND OFFICE OF FINANCE

Division of Collection and Receipts COURT HOUSE TOWSON 4, MARYLAND

No. 14167 DATE 9/25/62

.....

Mesers. Smith & Berrison The Jefferson Building

Zoning Department of Beltimore County

UT TO AC	COUNT NO.	COST
TITY	DETACH UPPER SECTION ALD FIETURN WITH YOUR REMITTANCE	51.00
	Advertising and posting of property for Valley Green, Inc.	31.00
	129L m toronto - Carron - 1800 (1816)	ent I
	非改長 151 : : Ht=	3199
	•	
	8	

IMPOBTANT: MAKE CHECKS PAYABLE TO BALTIMORE COUNTY, MARYLAND MAIL TODIVISION OF COLLECTION & RECEIPTS, COURT HOUSE, TOWSON 4, MARYLAND

CERTIFICATE OF POSTING ZONING DEPARTMENT OF BALTIMORE COUNTY Towson, Maryland

District 9.ch Posted for Dariances le Sections 231,2 (6); 232.1, 232.5 and 409.2 a roser 10: sarriera M. venera Aviation Land John Marie Land Petitioner Whiley Links State Location of property: S/S Chisapetere Ave, n' last of Courtland ave.

Posted by

PLEASE RETURNUPPER SECTION OF THIS BILL WITH YOUR PEMITTANCE.

and binding on the third line of the land first herein referred to and reversely on part of the first line of the land last herein mentioned, North 7 Degrees 19 Minutes 20 Seconds East 108.73 feet to the place of beginning. Containing 0.1157 acres more

or less.

Being the property of Valley Green, Inc. as shown on plat plan filed with the Zoning De-By Order Of JOHN G. RC JE

Zoning Commissioner Of more Coup's



