

May 4, 1967

County Office Building
111 N. Greenmount Ave.
Baltimore, Md. 21202

George E. Davell
Director
John G. Rose
Zoning Commissioner

Mr. E. A. Davidson
E. A. Davidson Trucking
630 North Point Road
Baltimore, Maryland 21219

Dear Mr. Davidson:

On July 7, 1964, an Order was passed by the Zoning Commissioner for Case No. 64-148-X.

You have not complied with this Order until you have presented, received and complied with a plan approved by the Office of Planning and Zoning.

You are hereby directed to set up an appointment with Mr. James Dyer of this Office so that an inspector can meet you or your representative on the property to check for compliance with the ZONING COMMISSIONER'S ORDER.

A copy of the ORDER is enclosed.

Failure to comply will mean that you do not have the right to use your property in accordance with this Order. Action will then be taken by the ZONING COMMISSIONER.

Very truly yours,

John G. Rose
JOHN G. ROSE
Zoning Commissioner

JGR/jdr

Enclosure - Copy of Board of Appeals Order
cc: William T. Evans, Esquire
2 Market Place
Baltimore, Maryland 21222

BY

Ed Davidson

LETTER SENT TO OWNER OF RECORD

LETTER ACKNOWLEDGED

MEETING SET FOR

UPDATED OR REVISED PLANS RECEIVED FOR APPROVAL

PLANS APPROVED

INSPECTION DATE ASSIGNED TO INSPECTOR

INSPECTOR'S REPORT

LETTER SENT APPROVING SITE PLAN COMPLIANCE

CASE CLOSED

CASE REFERRED TO HOUSING COURT

DATE

5/16/67

5/16/67

Mr. Davidson indicated that he was applying for a permit to build on his property and that he had complied with the zoning requirement. He also stated that his attorney, Mr. Evans, informed him that Old North Point Rd. was to be widened and that it would be impossible to build entrances at this time. I suggested that he contact John G. Rose at the SDC, 111 N. Greenmount Ave., with regard to the entrance and let me know sometime within two weeks.

A. J. CASE,
GERTRUDE CASE, his wife,
FRANCIS ROCK, SR.,
JOSEPHINE ROCK, his wife,
MICHAEL A. ROCK,
VERNA ROCK, his wife,
H. L. FRYNCHER,
JOSEPH AKERS,
ALICE AKERS, his wife,
CAROLINE BERNER,
WILLIAM H. CLARK,
NITA CLARK, his wife,
ANNE A. JANNINEN,
JOHN BERNER,
SUZANNA BERNER, his wife

vs.

WILLIAM S. BALDWIN,
W. GILES PARKER, and
A. BRUCE ALDERMAN,
constituting the County
Board of Appeals of
Baltimore County

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT
FOR BALTIMORE COUNTY

AT LAW

Misc. Docket 7
Folio 550
Case No. 3347

MEMORANDUM OPINION
AND ORDER OF COURT

This case involves an appeal from the County Board of Appeals of Baltimore County (hereinafter referred to as the Board) which by its Order dated August 12, 1965, granted a special exception for the use of the property, described in this proceeding as a truck terminal. The applicants in the original Petition, upon which the special exception was granted, were Mary F. Frew, legal owner, and Eugene A. Davidson, contract purchaser, of the parcel of ground in question. At the time of the hearing before the Board on April 20, 1965, the contract purchaser had settled for the property and was actually then the legal owner.

The subject property is situated on the North side of Old North Point Road in the Fifteenth Election District of Baltimore County. This roadway is a state highway known as Route No. 20. The right of way width is 40 feet and is paved with a stabilized surface for a width of approximately 20 feet. The lot contains approximately 1 acre of land, has a frontage on

DATE ON DOCKET 1-6-66

-3-

A truck terminal is a permitted use under the existing zoning classification provided a special exception is obtained for such use.

There are certain prerequisites required under the Baltimore County Zoning Regulations before a special exception shall be granted. These requirements are set forth in Section 502.1 of the Regulations as follows:

"502.1--Before any Special Exception shall be granted, it must appear that the use for which the Special Exception is requested will not:

- Be detrimental to the health, safety, or general welfare of the locality involved;
- Tend to create congestion in roads, streets or alleys therein;
- Create a potential hazard from fire, panic or other dangers;
- Tend to overcrowd land and cause undue concentration of population;
- Interfere with adequate provisions for schools, parks, water, sewerage, transportation or other public requirements, conveniences, or improvements;
- Interfere with adequate light and air."

The Appellants-Protestants in this case rely upon subsections a. and b. of Section 502.1 above quoted. There is no contention that the other subsections are being violated by the special exception requested. In other words, the Appellants contend that the granting of the special exception would "be detrimental to the health, safety, or general welfare of the locality involved" and would "tend to create congestion in roads, streets, or alleys therein."

There were seven witnesses appearing before the Board. The first witness was Eugene A. Davidson, the Petitioner, and the remaining six witnesses appeared on behalf of the Protestants. Five of these witnesses were property owners, only one of which resides within close proximity to the subject property. These residents object to an additional truck terminal in the community. It is disclosed by the record, however, that the Petitioner had used the subject property for the storage of trucks prior to the filing of the Petition for special exception, and it is contended that such use was permitted under the zoning classification.

The main objection on the part of the Appellants relates to the traffic question. It is contended that trucks leaving the premises will create a

-4-

hazard upon entering Old North Point Road and that trucks using the premises will create additional traffic on this road as well as other roads in the immediate vicinity. One of the witnesses testifying before the Board on behalf of the Protestants (Appellants) was John L. Duerr, Assistant Development Engineer of the State Roads Commission of Maryland assigned to Baltimore County. The testimony of Mr. Duerr minimizes, if not eliminates, the problem of traffic hazard by reason of trucks entering Old North Point Road. At pages 41-42 of the transcript, he testified as follows:

"Q Let me ask you this. If the Board were to grant a special exception, what recommendations would you have for channelization?"

"A That a minimum of this be constructed, which means we have to go into Mr. Davidson's property, in order to construct, that is planned for the access be subject to the approval of the State Roads Commission."

"Q Are you saying, in other words, if we were to grant the special exception, that the conditions of ingress and egress, plan would be subject to State Roads Commission approval, - could you then channelize so it would not be a hazard?"

"A With Mr. Davidson's permission, yes."

On the question of additional congestion in the roadways, this witness at page 44 of the transcript testified as follows:

"Q (By Mr. Patti) Now Mr. Duerr, assuming that Mr. Davidson would comply with the plan, as we have shown, what effect would this additional truck traffic have on North Point Road? I am talking about the old road now, which only has a paved surface of 20 feet."

"MR. EVANS: Objection."

"MR. BALDWIN: I think he can ask him if it would congest the traffic. If he knows, he is able to answer that question."

"A I don't think I am able to answer it, based on what Mr. Davidson has testified, the fact that it will be spread out at times. I can answer you this way. Any point of access, any point of access to our road, naturally tends to decrease the efficiency of that road. If we had our way nobody would come out of it."

Of course, it is impossible to refute the fact that the development of any important road is necessarily accompanied by access to the

-5-

which it frays and the burden of additional traffic on such roadway. The Board concluded from the evidence before it, however, that the proposed use of the property in question would not violate the requirements which have to be met before any special exception is granted under the Baltimore County Zoning Regulations. The Board, moreover, in granting the special exception did so subject to certain restrictions, the second of such restrictions being in compliance with the suggestion of Mr. Duerr of the State Roads Commission, a representative of the State Roads Commission which controls Old North Point Road on which the property in question is located. These restrictions are as follows:

1. That the surface of the subject property be improved by proper grading and slop
2. That entrance and exit driveways be installed in accordance with plans approved by the State Roads Commission
3. That all matter stored on the subject property other than trucks and one office building shall be removed"

In matters of judicial review of the action of a zoning authority such as the County Board of Appeals of Baltimore County, the Court is limited in its function. It may not substitute its judgment for that of the Board and if the evidence supporting the decision of the Board is substantial and renders the question of its action reasonably debatable, the Board must be affirmed. In considering whether or not the Board's action is reasonably debatable in any specific case, all evidence before the Board at the time of its decision must be considered and not merely evidence offered on behalf of the Petitioner. As was pointed out by the Court of Appeals in the recent case of *Motherhead v. Bd. of Comm'rs*, 240 Md. 365, the Court quoted at page 371 from Judge Hammond's Opinion in the case of *Board v. Oak Hill Farm*, 232 Md. 274 at page 283:

"... the courts have exercised restraint so as not to substitute their judgments for that of the agency and not to choose between equally permissible inferences or make independent determinations of fact, because to do so would

-2-

Old North Point Road of 184 feet and abuts along the water front known as Green Hill Cove. It is situated approximately 562 feet from the overpass leading to North Point Boulevard. It is also situated approximately 1500 feet from the intersection of Old North Point Road and Sparrows Point Road, said intersection being the location of the North Point - Edgemere Elementary School. The Sparrows Point Junior-Senior High School is located approximately 500 feet further east.

Adjoining the subject property on the east is a tavern presently in operation and known as Everglades Inn. To the east of this property is a "statutory or monument business being conducted. Immediately adjacent on the west is another tavern property which has ceased operation since the building was destroyed 6 or 8 months prior to the hearing before the Board. Directly across from the subject property on the south side of Old North Point Road is another truck terminal operated by Inland Equipment Company, the land, however, being owned by Bethlehem Steel Company. Also on the south side of North Point Road in the same block are two other trucking terminals, one operated by Moss and Moss Trucking Company and the other by Demas Trucking Company. There are also in existence two gas stations within 200 yards of the property. The nearest residential development is "about a city block" to the east. There are no residences between the subject property and the intersection of Old North Point Road and North Point Boulevard.

The property is zoned under a B.R. (Business, Roadside) classification. The same classification applies to that land situated between the subject property on the north side of North Point Road and North Point Boulevard. The same classification applies to the properties situated on the south side of Old North Point Road extending to North Point Boulevard and northwest of the overpass and in which triangle the other three truck terminals above mentioned are located. These zoning classifications were established on the comprehensive rezoning map for the Patuxent Neck Planning Area adopted by the County Council of Baltimore County under date of November 15, 1962.

be exercising a non-judicial role. Rather, they have attempted to decide whether a reasoning mind could reasonably have reached the result the agency reached upon a fair consideration of the fact picture pointed by the entire record.

In the cases dealing with consideration of the weight of the evidence, the matters seem to have come down to whether, all that was before the agency considered, its action was clearly erroneous or, to use the phrase which has become standard in Maryland zoning cases, not fairly debatable."

The Court is of the opinion that considering the entire record, there was substantial evidence before the Board to justify its decision.

At the time of argument before the Court, counsel for the Appellants made reference to the fact that the Applicant filed no answer to the Petition for appeal within the period of 30 days as required by Maryland Rule 59. The Court asked Appellants' counsel if he desired to preclude argument by counsel for the Applicant and received a reply to the effect that it was his intention to only preclude such argument as might bring in any new matter before the Court whereby the Appellants would be prejudiced. Counsel for the Applicant was permitted to argue, and at the conclusion thereof no prejudice was claimed or asserted on behalf of counsel for the Appellants. For this reason, the Court sees no necessity to further pursue this procedural matter. (See *Pahl v. County Bd. of Appeals*, 237 Md. 254 p. 259.)

For the reasons stated and in conformity with the foregoing opinion, it is this 6th day of January, 1966, by the Circuit Court for Baltimore County ORDERED that the Order of the County Board of Appeals for Baltimore County dated August 21, 1965, be and the same is hereby affirmed.

Walter M. Jenifer
Walter M. Jenifer
JUDGE

A. J. Case,
Gertrude Case, his wife,
Francis Bock, Sr.,
Josephine Bock, his wife,
Michael A. Bock,
Verna Bock, his wife,
H. L. Hysinger,
Joseph Akers,
Alice Akers, his wife,
Catherine Berzma,
William H. Clark,
Rita Clark, his wife,
Arne A. Jarvinen,
John Berzma,
Susanna Berzma, his wife,
versus
William S. Baldwin,
H. Bruce Alderman,
Constituting the
County Board of Appeals
of Baltimore County.

Petition to accompany Order for Appeal.

The Petition of A. J. Case, Gertrude Case, Francis Bock, Sr., Josephine Bock, Michael A. Bock, Verna Bock, H. L. Hysinger, Joseph Akers, Alice Akers, Catherine Berzma, William H. Clark, Rita Clark, Arne A. Jarvinen, John Berzma, and Susanna Berzma, filed in accordance with the Maryland Rules of Procedure, respectfully represents:

1. That by Opinion and Order dated August 12, 1965, the said County Board of Appeals of Baltimore County overruled the Order of the Zoning Commissioner.
2. That the Appellants, your Petitioners, protested the granting of a special exception for a truck terminal at the hearing before the said County Board of Appeals

of Baltimore County.

3. That the aforementioned Opinion and Order of the County Board of Appeals of Baltimore County dated August 12, 1965, whereby Petitioners - Appellants are aggrieved and injured should be set aside and annulled by this Honorable Court for the following reasons:

- A. That the said Opinion and Order constitutes an arbitrary and capricious act and a gross abuse of Administrative discretion.
- B. That the said Opinion and Order constitutes an attempt at unlawful exercise of authority.
- C. That the County Board of Appeals of Baltimore County misconstrued the law in the case.
- D. That there is no evidence in the record to support the said Opinion and Order.
- E. That the purported reasons fail to substantiate or support the Order.
- F. That Section 502.1 of the Zoning Regulations requires that "Before any Special Exception shall be granted it must appear that the use for which the Special Exception is requested will not:
 - a. Be detrimental to the health, safety, or general welfare of the locality involved;
 - b. Tend to create congestion in roads, streets or alleys therein;
 - c. Create a potential hazard from fire, panic or other dangers;
 - d. Tend to overcrowd land and cause undue concentration of population;
 - e. Interfere with adequate provisions for schools, parks, water, sewerage, transportation or other public requirements, conveniences, or improvements;
 - f. Interfere with adequate light and air."

That the testimony at the hearing before the County Board of Appeals of Baltimore County, clearly and positively showed that the granting of the Special Exception, would be detrimental to the health, safety, or general welfare of Baltimore County.

fare of the locality involved; would tend to create congestion in roads, streets or alleys therein and would create a potential hazard from fire and other dangers.

WHEREFORE the Appellants pray that this Honorable Court:

1. Reverse the Order of the County Board of Appeals of Baltimore County dated August 12, 1965.
2. Grant such other and further relief as the nature of this case may require.

Joseph Patti Jr.
Attorney for Appellants.
Joseph Patti Jr.,
Federal Land Bank Building,
St. Paul & 24th Streets,
Baltimore, Md. 21218.
Phone: Belmont 5-9100.

RE: PETITION FOR SPECIAL EXCEPTION :
for a Truck Terminal
N/S Old North Point Road 662' from
North Point Overpass, 15th District
Mary F. Frew, Petitioner
Eugene A. Davidson,
Contract Purchaser
COUNTY BOARD OF APPEALS
OF
BALTIMORE COUNTY
No. 64-148-X

OPINION

The petitioner here seeks a special exception to operate a truck terminal in a B-R Zone. The subject property is located on the north side of Old North Point Road just northwest of that section of the Fifteenth District of Baltimore County known as Edgemere. On both the east and west sides of the subject property there has been operation, and the totem to the west side of the subject property is no longer in operation due to a fire which almost completely destroyed the building. Across the street, on the south side of North Point Road, is another trucking terminal and there are two gasoline service stations within 200 yards of the subject property. The closest residential zone is approximately a "city block" away.

It is the opinion of the Board that the petitioner has satisfied the requirements contained in Section 502.1 of the Zoning Regulations, proof of which is necessary for the granting of the special exception.

The majority of the testimony offered by the protestors in this case was directed toward Section 502.1 (b) of the Zoning Regulations in that the granting of the special exception would "tend to create congestion in roads, streets or alleys therein". Some fear was expressed that the existence of large trucks on the North Point Road and Sparrows Point Road in the Edgemere area created a safety problem for children who travel the aforesaid roads by bicycle on the way to and from schools, playgrounds, etc. However, there was no positive testimony that any such hazards were created by trucks coming from the subject property which has already heretofore been used as a truck storage and terminal area. There was also positive testimony that the majority of trucks coming from the subject property would leave between the hours of 2 and 4 a.m. and return between the hours of 5 and 6 p.m. which are certainly not the hours of heavy traffic in the heart of the Edgemere district, and further testimony that trucks coming to and from the subject property could avoid the use of those roads that would take them through Edgemere. Indeed, there was convincing testimony that the logical route for trucks using the proposed terminal would not take them into the heart of Edgemere, but rather their logical route of travel would be to and from the North Point Boulevard westerly from the property and from the center of Edgemere.

JOSEPH PATTI, JR.
ATTORNEY AT LAW
2808 S. BALTIMORE STREET
BALTIMORE 24, MARYLAND
April 10th, 1965.
County Board of Appeals,
County Office Building,
All West Chesapeake Avenue,
Towson, Maryland. 21204.
Attention: Miss Edith T. Eisenhart
Secretary

Re: Petition for special exception for a truck terminal, N/S Old North Point Road 662' from North Point Overpass, 15th District. No. 64-148-X
Mary F. Frew, Petitioner,
Eugene A. Davidson, Contract Purchaser.

Gentlemen:
Please summons the following witness to appear for the hearing to be held on the above case, on Tuesday, April 20th, 1965, at 10 A. M., in Room 301, County Office Building,

John L. Duerr, Esq.,
Asst. Development Engineer,
Development Engineering Division,
300 West Preston Street,
Baltimore, Maryland. 21201.

Very truly yours,
Joseph Patti Jr.,
Attorney,
Federal Land Bank Building,
Baltimore, Md. 21218.
Telephone: Belmont 5-9100.

Mr. Sheriff:

Please issue summons in accordance with the above.

Edith T. Eisenhart,
County Board of Appeals of Baltimore County

(1 Set)
\$14.00 FEE

WILLIAM T. EVANS
ATTORNEY AT LAW
2 MARKET PLACE
DUNDALK 22, MD.
ATTORNEY W 2800

July 30, 1964

John C. Rose
Zoning Commissioner
Baltimore County Office of Planning and Zoning
111 W. Chesapeake Avenue
Towson 4, Maryland

Re: Petition for Special Exception for Truck Terminal - N.S. North Point Road 662' from North Point Underpass, 15th District - Mary F. Frew, Petitioner. Eugene A. Davidson, Cont. Pur. No. 64-148-X

Dear Mr. Rose:

Please enter an appeal to the Planning and Zoning Board of Baltimore County in the above matter.

Yours truly,

William T. Evans

WTE:les

RE: PETITION FOR SPECIAL EXCEPTION :
for Truck Terminal, N.S.
North Point Road, 662' from
North Point Underpass, 15th
District - Mary F. Frew,
Petitioner - Eugene A. Davidson,
Contract Purchaser
ZONING COMMISSIONER
OF
BALTIMORE COUNTY
No. 64-148-X

The petitioner in the above entitled matter seeks a special exception for a Truck Terminal on the north side of North Point Road 662 feet north of the North Point Overpass, in the Fifteenth District of Baltimore County.

The subject property is located on a main road which is also a very narrow road carrying a great deal of traffic. There is also a truck terminal feeding into this road and a former use of this very property as a truck terminal proved without a doubt that such use was impractical and one that obviously affected the public health and general welfare of the community nearby.

For the above reasons the special exception should not be granted.

It is this 7th day of July, 1964, by the Zoning Commissioner of Baltimore County, ORDERED that the special exception for a Truck Terminal be and the same is hereby DENIED.

John C. Rose
Zoning Commissioner of
Baltimore County

Mr. F. Frew - No. 64-148-X

Mr. John Duerr, Assistant Development Engineer of the State Roads Commission, testified that a problem could be created by a tractor-trailer driving from the subject property onto North Point Road (a 20 foot paved road) unless the State Roads Commission was granted authority to install proper funneling access, in which case such a problem would not exist.

It is the feeling of the Board that the simple solution here is to impose a restriction requiring that use of the subject property as a truck terminal be subject to approval of the State Roads Commission as to the installation of entrance and exit driveways providing the proper funnel access. The special exception is, therefore, granted subject to the following restrictions:

1. That the surface of the subject property be improved by proper grading and slop
2. That entrance and exit driveways be installed in accordance with plans approved by the State Roads Commission
3. That all matter stored on the subject property other than trucks and one office building shall be removed

ORDER

For the reasons set forth in the foregoing Opinion, it is this 12th day of August, 1965 by the County Board of Appeals, ORDERED that the special exception petitioned for, be and the same is hereby granted, subject to the aforementioned restrictions.

Any appeal from this decision must be in accordance with Chapter 1100, subtitle B of Maryland Rules of Procedure, 1961 edition.

COUNTY BOARD OF APPEALS
OF BALTIMORE COUNTY

William S. Baldwin, Chairman

W. Giles Foster

H. Bruce Alderman

**PETITION FOR A
SPECIAL EXCEPTION
15th DISTRICT**

ZONING: Petition for a Special Exception for a Truck Terminal.
LOCATION: North side Old North Point Road 662 feet from North Point Overpass.
DATE & TIME: Monday, May 25, 1964 at 11:00 A.M.
PUBLIC HEARING: Room 108, County Office Building, 111 W. Chesapeake Avenue, Towson, Md.

The Zoning Commissioner of Baltimore County, by authority of the Zoning Act and Regulations of Baltimore County, will hold a public hearing:

Concerning all that parcel of land in the Fifteen District of Baltimore County.

Beginning for the same at an iron pipe now set on the northernmost side of State Road Route No. 28, laid out 40' wide at a distance of 662.6 feet measured along said side westerly from the Center Line of the North Point Boulevard Overpass; said beginning being also a corner between lots 16 and 17 as shown on a Plat of Bright Light Shores recorded in Plat Book No. 1, Folio 21, etc. Thence running along said State Road N. 44° 34' W. by the Magnetic Meridian of 1954, a line parallel to the center line of said Road at a distance of 20 feet measured at right angles thereto along the fronts of Lot 17; 54' Lot 18; 50' Lot 19; 50' making a total distance of 154' on said Highway, to an iron pipe now set at a corner of a 25 foot wide Road for use in common thence leaving said State Road and running along the said 25 foot wide Road, N. 45° 06' E. 152.5' to an iron pipe now set at a corner of Lot No. 20 with the same course N 45° 06' E along said 25 foot wide Road, 50 feet to an iron bar now set in the southernmost line of lot No. 21 and at the end of the said 55' wide road thence crossing the end of said road N 45° 54' W 23.1' to a pipe now set on the bank of a marsh thence running along the end of lot 21 N 45° 06' E 90' to a point in the marsh thence running along the northernmost side of lot 21, S 41° 34' E 123' to a point on the marginal bank of Green Hill Cove thence along the bank of said Cove the three courses and distances, 1, across the end of Lot No. 21 S 45° W 40' plus or minus (2) to a point at the junction of Lot No. 21 and Lot No. 29 (2) thence with the meanderings of the shore line of said Cove with an irregular line bearing to the left 100 feet more or less to an iron pipe now set (3) S 14° 24' E 28.6' to an iron pipe now set on the division line between Lot No. 16 and Lot No. 17 running thence and binding on the division line between these Lots S 67° 06' W 188.0' to the place of beginning containing 0.977 Acres. Note—the above description is intended to include the areas of Lots 17 to Lot 21 inclusive and the use in common of the 55 foot wide road described herein.

Being the property of Mary Frew, as shown on plat plan filed with the Zoning Department.

By Order of
JOHN G. ROSE
 Zoning Commissioner of Baltimore County.
 May 8.

CERTIFICATE OF PUBLICATION

TOWSON, MD., May 8, 1964

THIS IS TO CERTIFY, that the annexed advertisement was published in THE JEFFERSONIAN, a weekly newspaper printed and published in Towson, Baltimore County, Md., ~~once in each~~ one time ~~successive weeks~~ before the 25th day of May, 1964, the first publication appearing on the 8th day of May 1964.

THE JEFFERSONIAN

Manager.

Cost of Advertisement, \$.....

TELEPHONE
823-3000

INVOICE
BALTIMORE COUNTY, MARYLAND
OFFICE OF FINANCE
Division of Collection and Receipts
 COURT HOUSE
 TOWSON 4, MARYLAND

No. 26201

DATE 8/7/64

TO: **Eugene A. Davidson,**
1917 Barry Road,
Baltimore 22, Md.

BILLED BY: **Office of Planning & Zoning**
119 County Office Building
Towson 4, Md.

DEPOSIT TO ACCOUNT NO. **01-622**

TOTAL AMOUNT

QUANTITY	DETACH UPPER SECTION AND RETURN WITH YOUR REMITTANCE	COST
	Cost of appeal - property of Mary Frew	\$70.00
	No. 61- 888 148-R	1 sign 5.00
		<u>\$75.00</u>
	PAID—Baltimore County, Md. — Office of Finance	
	8-764 8235 • 26201 TTP—	75.00

IMPORTANT: MAKE CHECKS PAYABLE TO BALTIMORE COUNTY, MARYLAND
 MAIL TO DIVISION OF COLLECTION & RECEIPTS, COURT HOUSE, TOWSON 4, MARYLAND
 PLEASE RETURN UPPER SECTION OF THIS BILL WITH YOUR REMITTANCE.

TELEPHONE
823-3000

INVOICE
BALTIMORE COUNTY, MARYLAND
OFFICE OF FINANCE
Division of Collection and Receipts
 COURT HOUSE
 TOWSON 4, MARYLAND

No. 29921

DATE 9/20/65

TO: **Joseph Patti, Jr., Esq.**
Federal Land Bank Building
Baltimore, Md. 21218

BILLED BY: **County Board of Appeals**
(Zoning)

DEPOSIT TO ACCOUNT NO. **01.712**

TOTAL AMOUNT

QUANTITY	DETACH UPPER SECTION AND RETURN WITH YOUR REMITTANCE	COST
	Cost of Certified Documents - No. 64-148-X	\$9.00
	Mary F. Frew	
	N/S Old North Point Road 662' from	
	North Point Overpass	
	15th District	
	PAID—Baltimore County, Md. — Office of Finance	
	9-2065 1488 • 29921 TTP—	9.00

IMPORTANT: MAKE CHECKS PAYABLE TO BALTIMORE COUNTY, MARYLAND
 MAIL TO DIVISION OF COLLECTION & RECEIPTS, COURT HOUSE, TOWSON 4, MARYLAND
 PLEASE RETURN UPPER SECTION OF THIS BILL WITH YOUR REMITTANCE.

