ref Petition for Reclassification i from R-6 Zone and R-10 Zone to an R-6 Zone and R-10 Zone to an R-6 Zone - NE/5 Liberty Road S/W Winans Road, Znd District -Rolling Realty, Inc., Patitioner

OMMISSIONER OF BALTIMORE COUNTY No. 65-168-R

2

84.8

## 111111111111111

6 6

The patitioners have requested a rezoning from R-6 and R-10 Zones and R-10 Zone to R-6 Zone of property in the above matter because the patitioner thought there was an error in the Western Afree Planning Map adopted on November 15, 1962.

This is one of a series of petitions that would result in ity within a relatively small area served by Liberty Road.

For some time there has been testimony before the Zoning board madd be founty Board of Appeals indicating that Liberty Board madd be improved and widened. Various dists have been given the dists have come and gone. At the present time and applied of the dists have come and gone. At the present time and will be rabulti and read-domes but one. The proposed is to be a superior of the proposed sits of Eah and 8-6 re-zoning.

In the case of Price vs Cohen filed June 4, 1957, 213 Md. at Page 254, the Court of Appeals had the following to say:

In the case of Price w Jona 1 the Case of Price w Jona 1 the Case of Price w Jona 1 the Case of Price w Jona 2 the Case of Price with Engineers the traffic housen on the Price with Price with State of Price with State

. No. 65-168-R - Rolling Realty, Inc. -3-

> (3) There exists a need for diversification in housing for benefit of a community, some apartments, some detached houses and some commercial.

(The owner, Mr. Robinson, cited this same point and reasoned that "sameness" could generate slum conditions and that diventification should be developed to provide a self contained community so to provide for varied type living, varied age groups and varied economistrata.)

(4) A zoning change by petition, case No. 65-153-R, was approved for apartments.

Dr. W. Worthington Ewell, a recognized traffic expert, appearing on behalf of the protestants, testified that he disagreed with Mr. Wolff's studies, but went on to say that the expected maximum capacity of a two lune road in a 35 mile per hour zone could be 1500 cars per hour, though he believes Liberty Road conditions would restrict capacity to 1200 cars per hour.

Mr. J. Fred Cohen, a nearby resident, protested because he was opposed to any increased traffic congestion, but said that if Liberty Road were widened past the subject, he would have no objection to the reclassification.

Mrs. Leon Lemer opposed the petition because she travels regularly at 40 miles per hour past the subject and wants to "sail" after passing Offutt Road. She objects to any development that would delay her trips. She cannot see the subject from her home.

The Board recognizes that the petitioned property is located between two other tracts, zoned differently, all under the same ownership. The commercial tract in front on Liberty Road is planned to be developed into a shopping center. The 86 acres of R-10 land in the rear is proposed to be developed into fine single family homes We halleve that anartment zoning between the two tracts provides a logical transition

The tests of error and change in the map have been proven to the Board's satisfaction by testimony given. While traffic problems leave something to be desired, conditions here are better than in many other areas and certainly apartment zoning is

width with 5 foot shoulders. This roud now handles capacity traffic. It is indefinite also whether Liberty Bade will be improved, and, if so, when, To great the rezoning useful ambidately recorded to the state of the state of

It will be noted that the representative from the facte communities on the traffic on Liberty Bood in the case of Frice vs Cohen. In the pesent matter Mr. Eugene J. Clifford, Traffic Engineer, made a traffic study and his report indicated battap recent Liberty Bood in the vicinity such that the contract that the cont It will be noted that the representative from the State con

At times some may think that the protestion of the health safety and general welfare his 'been fregotion because error fire meding, and change in the character of the area are the only things considering. This is not so and in consideration of the petition considering. This is not so and in consideration of the petition will be residents of the area and of all other citizens using tiberty Road is of priese confectation. It is obvious that this petition is premiume and since the matter is now to be decided upon, the only decision can be a firm decided. At times some may think that the protection of the health.

For the above reasons the reclassification isDENIED.

It is this \( \frac{\frac}\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\fra Property or area be R-6 and R-10 Zones.

> Un IN Ga Zoning Commissione Baltimore County

No. 65-168-R - Rolling Realty, Inc. -4-

more appropriate with access to such major corridors as Liberty Road than on less important roads. Therefore, the Board unanimously concurs that the petitioned reclassification is appropriate and should be granted.

## ORDER

For the reasons set forth in the aforegoing Opinion, it is this  $3^{7d}$ of March, 1967, by the County Board of Appeals ORDERED, that the reclassification petitioned for be and the same is hereby GRANTED.

Any appeal from this decision must be in accordance with Chapter 1100, subtitle B of the Maryland Rules of Procedure, 1961 edition.

COUNTY BOARD OF APPEALS

William S. Boldwin, Chairma

from R-6 zone to R-A zone (Parcel 2), and from R-10 zone to R-6 zone (Parcel 4) : NE/S of Liberty Road SW of Winans Rd. Rolling Realty, Inc. . . . . . . . . . .

RE: PETITION FOR RECLASSIFICATION :

from R-10 zone to R-A zone (Parcels 1 and 3),

BEFORE COUNTY BOARD OF APPEALS OF

BALTIMORE COUNTY No. 65-168-R

OPINION

This case comes before the Board on the petition of Rolling Realty, Inc. for reclassification of the above referenced properly as follows:

11.208 acres from R-10 to R-A (Parcels 1 and 3)

24.382 acres from R-6 to R-A (Parcel 2)

86.272 acres from R-10 to R-6 (Parcel 4)

121.862 acres total

The petition to reclassify Parcel 4 from R-10 to R-6 was withdrawn by counsel for the petitioner at the beginning of the hearing, leaving a remainder of 35,590 acres to be considered. The petitioner proposes to develop the 35,590 acres with 520 garden type apartment units. The tract is irregularly shaped and the outline roughly resembles a horse's head and neck. It is located behind 17 acres of undeveloped But iness Local land on the north side of Liberty Road east of Tiverton Road. The site slopes down imately 30 feet from the grade of Liberty Road to Scotts Level stream, which traverses the rear portion of the tract

The zoning and land uses surrounding the subject are as follows: Adjacent to the south and bounding along Liberty Road is the aforementioned undeveloped commercial 17 acre tract, which is under the same ownership as the subject land and is proposed for future use as a shopping center. Directly across Liberty Road from the commercial land is a larger tract zoned Manufacturing Light, Restricted (M.L.R.). To the west of the subject are X-10 and R-6 zones developed with single family dwellings and known as the Burmont and Rolling Green subdivisions. To the east of the subject are undeveloped R-6 and R-10 zones. Adjacent to the north of the subject and under the same ownership is the 86 acre tract of R-10 land which was withdrawn from this petition. The owner testified that he plans to develop single family homes on the withdrawn parcel that will be in the thirty to forty thousand dollar class.

-2-

No. 65-168-R - Rolling Realty, Inc.

To justify the reclassification sought, the petitioner claims both error and change occurred in the original western area zoning map, which was adopted by the County Council on November 15, 1962. He further claims increased traffic that may be generated will not adversely affect the area.

Mr. Jerome Wolff, a recognized consulting civil engineer and traffic expert appearing on behalf of the petitioner, testified that Liberty Road in front of the site is 24 feet wide, with shoulders up to 12 feet wide, and that 1,3 miles to the east Liberty Road is being widened into four lanes. His traffic studies indicated to him that Liberty Road at the site has a realistic practical capacity of 1800 cars per hour. He counted 1155 cars per peak hour and projected 300 cars per hour as the estimated yield to be generated by the 520 proposed apartment units, for a total of 1455 cars per hour using Liberty Road, and concluded that there is adequate capacity on Liberty Road to handle this valume. He also testified that sewer and water utilities are available and pressure problems regarding water supply are being corrected.

Mr. Bernard Willemain, a planning and zoning expert, testified for the petitioner that the map was in error because it did not provide for the future but simply adopted the status quo of the area and made no provision for apartment need. "Changes which he cited since the adoption of the map included:

- The widening of Liberty Road from two to four lanes, which permits development of the upper Randallstown area to higher
- (2) A general trend to apartment living that was not recognized, nor for which zoning provisions were made.

BALTIMORE COUNTY

IN THE

CIRCUIT COURT

FOR

At Law

PETITION OF APPELLANT

J. Fred Cohen, by his attorney, Robert C. Verderaime, petitions this Honorable Court to reverse the decision of the County Board of Appeals of Baltimore County, and as grounds of his petition says:

1. That William S. Baldwin, W. Giles Parker and John A. Slowik, constituting the County Board of Appeals of Baltimore County, by their decision rendered on March 3, 1967, reversed the decision of the Zoning Commissioner and approved a petition filed by Rolling Realty, Inc., to reclassify certain parcels of land lying in the Second Election District of Baltimore County from R-10 and R-€ to R-A Zoning.

2. That the reclassification granted by the County Board of Appeals of Baltimore County was arbitrary, capricious and illegal in that a. there was no evidence legally sufficient to justify

its decision.

J FRED COHEN

3902 Innerdale Court Randallstown, Maryland

WILLIAM S. BALDWIN.

County Board of Appeals of Baltimore County

JOHN A. SLOWIK,

constituting the

W. GILES PARKER and

b. its decision was contrary to the law of this State. WHEREFORE, petitioner prays that this Court reverse the decision of the County Board of Appeals of Baltimore County.

> Robert C. Verderalme, 341 N. Calvert Street, Baltimore, Maryland 21302, Pl. 2-8888, Attorney for Appellant

I hereby certify that on this 30 day of March 1967, a copy of the foregoing petition was served upon the County Board of Appeals of Baltimore County, County Office Building, Towson, Maryland.

Robert Clerderam

Service admitted this 31 at day of Merch , 1967, by the County Board of Appeals of Baltimore County.

- 2 -

IN THE I FRED COHEN, 3902 Innerdale Court Randallstown, Maryland CIRCUIT COURT FOR BALTIMORE COUNTY At Law WILLIAM S. BALDWIN, W. GILES PARKER and

of Baltimore County. ORDER FOR APPEAL

Mr. Clerk:

JOHN A. SLOWIK,

constituting the

Please enter an appeal on behalf of J. Fred Cohen from the decision of the County Board of Appeals of Baltimore County, Case No. 65-168R rendered on March 3, 1967 in the case of the Petition for Reclassification filed by Rolling Realty, Inc.

Robert C. Verderaime, 341 N. Calvert Street, Baltimore, Maryland 21202, Attorney for Appellant. I hereby certify that on this 30 day of World

1967, a copy of the foregoing Order for Appeal was served upon the County Board of Appeals of Baltimore County.

TAMES D NOLAN TOWNON & MARYLAND

December 28, 1966

County Board of Appeals Room 301 County Office Building Towson, Maryland 21204

Attention: Mrs. Edith Eisenhart, Secretary

Re: Petition of Rolling Realty, Inc. No. 65-168R

Dear Mrs. Eisenhart;

Please enter the appearance of myself and this office Please enter the appearance of myself and this office in the above entitled matter. I have been in contact with Allan J. Malester, Esquire, and he advises me that he and his partner, Robert L. Sullivan, intend to withdraw from

We will be ready to proceed on January 31, 1967, the scheduled hearing date.

an 27/8la James D. Nolan

JDN/mpc

Robert L. Sullivan, Esq. Allan J. Malester, Esq. Benjamin A. Earnshaw, Esq. Jay Fred Cohen, Esq. Robert C. Verderaime, Esq.

Real 12-24-30 9.15 ans

Service of the foregoing Order for Appeal admitted this

3, At day of March, 1967 by the County Board of Appeals of Baltimore County,

Elek 9. Cuinkart. Sentang

PETITION FOR ZONING RE-CLASSIFICATION #65-168R AND/OR SPECIAL EXCEPTION

TO THE ZONING COMMISSIONER OF BALTIMORE COUNTY:

Western I, or we, Rolling Realty, Inc. legal owners, of the property situate in Balt County and which is described in the description and plat attached hereto and made a part hereof, hereby petition (1) that the zoning status of the herein described property be re-classified, pursuant 55%, 1-6 ..... R-A .....zone; taxxibaxibiliswing reasons and from an R-10 zone RA to an R-6 zone; for the following reasons:

Error in original zoning; change in the neighborhood.

See attached description

and (2) for a Special Exception, under the said Zoning Law and Zoning Regulations of Baltimore County, to use the herein described property, for...

Property is to be posted and advertised as prescribed by Zoning Regulations. I, or we, agree to pay expenses of above re-classification and/or Special Exception advertising posting, etc., upon filing of this petition, and further agree to and are to be bound by the zoning regulations and restrictions of Baltimore County adopted pursuant to the Zoning Law for Baltimore

William Cobuin William Robinson, President Rolling Realty, Inc. 2225 St. Paul Street Allan J. Malester Baltimore 18. Maryland y Robert C Herderan

Robert L. Sullivan, Jr. 1410-20 Court Square Building Address Baltimore 2, Maryland 341 n Colvert IL

...P. M.

oner of Baltimore County, this ...... 26th , 1964..., that the subject matter of this petition be advertised, as required by the Zoning Law of Baltimore County, in two newspapers of general circulation throughout Baltimore County, that property be posted, and that the public hearing be had before the Zoning Commissioner of Baltimore County in Room 106, County Office Building in Towson, Baltimore County, on the\_

act 25 64 4 Maly of December 2 Soe

Pet 1- res

J. FRED COHEN 3902 Innerdale Court Randallstown, Maryland vs. .

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR

WILLIAM S. BALDWIN, W. GILES PARKER, and JOHN A. SLOVET County Board of Appenls BALTIMORE COUNTY

No 3716 Folio 135 Docket S

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

Dear Mr. Clerk:

Please dismiss the above case with prejudice, cost to be paid by Rolling Realty, Inc.

T Fred Cohen

Robert C. Verderaime, 341 N. Calvert Street, Baltimore, Maryland 21202, Pl. 2-8833, Attorney for Appellant.

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing Order of Dismissal was mailed to James D. Nolan, Esq., and Newton A. Williams, Esq., 204 W. Pennsylvania Avenue, Towson, Maryland 21204, attorneys for Rolling Realty, Inc.

Robert C. Verderalme

#65-168F

August 31, 1964 AREA

GEORGE WILLIAM STEPHENS, JH. & ASSOCIATES, INC. ENGINEERS

Description of Part of the Property of The Rolling Realty Company, Inc. be Rezoned From R-6 to R-A, Parcel ?

#65-16812

October 2, 1964 / western

Beginning for the same at the end of the twenty sixth or South 34° 37' 58° West 528.58 foot line of the first parcel of land RPdescribed in a mortgage from The Rolling Realty Company, Inc., to Lloyd E. Mitchell Incorporated, dated November 1, 1962, and recorded

among the Land Records of Baltimore County in Liber W.J.R. 4071, folio 335, said point of beginning being distant North 34° 37° 58° East 898.98 feet from the centerline of Liberty Road, and running thence binding on part of the twenty seventh line of the first parcel of said mortgage North 56° 19° 30" West 860.26 feet, thence for lines of division the nine following courses and distances viz: first North 31° 37° 34" East 323.99 feet, second North 26° 33° 54° East 559.02 feet, third North 6° 11° 31° East 213.24 feet, fourth North 87° 47° 20" West 259.19 feet, fifth North 77° 51' 47" West 300.00 feet, sixth North 3° 07° 26° East 238.74 feet, seventh South 77° 51° 48° East 435.00 feet, eighth North 78° 47° 11° East 349.68 feet, ninth South 71° 03' 20° East 309.72 feet to intersect the twenty fourth or South 2° 15° 17° East 1239.09 foot line of the first parcel of said mortgage at a point 165.00 feet from the beginning of said twenty fourth line, thence binding on part of said twenty fourth line, South 2° 15' 17" East 500.00 feet to intersect the southwesterly 1300 foot line of zoning area 2 R-6-1, thence binding on part of said southwesterly 1300

foot line of zoning area 2-R-6-1, as now surveyed South  $26^{\circ}$  45 $^{\circ}$  00 $^{\circ}$ 

West 820.00 feet to the end of said line, thence binding on part of

the southeasterly 2900 foot line of zoning area 2-R-6-1 as now surveyed

South 74° 45° 00" East 340.97 feet to intersect the said twenty sixth

SULLIVAN & PITTLER BALTIMORE, MARYLAND 21202 December 28, 1964



Honorable John G. Rose Honorable John G. Rose Zoning Commissioner of Baltimore County County Office Building Towson, Maryland 21204

Petition for Reclassification Property of Rolling Realty, Inc. Case No. 65-168-R. Our File No. 7052

Dear Mr. Rose

On behalf of our client, Rolling Realty, Inc., the Petitioner in the above captioned reclassification proceeding, we herewith appeal your Order dated December 10, 1964, to the County Board of Appeals of Baltimore County. Enclosed herewith is our check in the amount of \$85.00 to cover the costs of this Appeal and advertising. Very truly yours.

Allan J. Malester

AJM:eml

cc: Benjamin A. Earnshaw, Esquire Robert C. Verdersime, Esquire Jay Fred Coben, Esquire

200 d 5/67

11/23/64

Description of Part of the Property of The Rolling Realty Company, Inc. to be Rezoned from R-10 to R-A, Parcel 1

Beginning for the same at the end of the twenty fifth or South g3° 42° 32° East 144.53 foot line of the first parcel of land described in " a mortgage from The Rolling Realty Company, Inc., to Lloyd E. Mitchell Incorporated, dated November 1, 1962, and recorded among the Land Records of Baltimore County in Liber W.J.R. 4071, folio 335, said point of beginning being distant North 34° 37° 38° East 1427.56 feet from the centerline of Liberty Road, and running thence binding on part of the twenty

sixth line of the first parcel of said mortgage South 34° 37° 58° West 126.56 feet to intersect the southeasterly 2900 foot lineof zoning area 2-R-10-3, thence binding reversely on part of said southeasterly 2900 foot line of zoning area 2-R-10-3 as now surveyed North 74° 45° 00° West 340.97 feet to the beginning of said line, thence binding reversely on part of the southwesterly 1300 foot line of zoning area 2-R-10-3 as now surveyed North 26° 45° 00° East 820.00 feet to intersect the twenty fourth or South 2° 15° 17° East 1239.09 foot line of the first parcel of said

mortgage at a point distant 665.00 feet from the beginning of said twenty fourth line, thence binding on the remainder of said twenty fourth line, and all of the twenty fifth line of the first parcel of said mortgage the

two following courses and distances viz: first South 2° 15° 17" East 574.09 feet and South 3° 42' 32" East 144.53 feet to the place of beginning.

Containing 3.753 acres of land more or less.

Description of Part of the Property of

#65-1686 October 2, 1964

pren

324.1-6

RA

RL

11/23/64

Sheet S line of the first parcel of said mortgage thence binding on part

said twenty sixth line South 34° 37' 58" West 402.02 feet to the western place of beginning.

Containing 24.382 acres of land more or less.

Description of Part of the Property of The Rolling Realty Company, Inc. to be Rezoned from R-10 to R.A., Parcel 3

October 2, 1964

#65-168R

Beginning for the same at the intersection of the 3900 foot April SECH line of Zoning Area 28-10 3, and the twenty fourth or South 2º 15' 17" East 1239.09 foot line of the first parcel of land described in a mortgage from The Rolling Realty Company, Inc., to Lloyd E. Mitchell." Incorporated, dated November 1, 1962, and recorded among the Land Records of Baltimore County in Liber W.J.R. 4071, folio 335, and run ning thence binding reversely on part of said 3900 foot line of Zoning Area 2R-10-3 the three following courses and distances as now surveyed viz: first Marth 71° 03' 20" West 309.72 feet, second South 78° 47' 11" West 349.68 feet, and third North 77° 51' 48" West 435.00 feet. thence for lines of division the five following courses and distances, vis first North 9° 07' 00" West 313.55 feet, second South 85° 00' 52" East 227.61 feet, third South 72° 47' 42" East 384.25 feet, fourth North 86° 13' 18" East 461.15 feet, and fifth South 84° 32' 13" East 312.70 feet to intersect the twenty third or South 62° 28° 38" West 569.12 foot line of said first parcel of said mortgage at a point distant 275 feet from the beginning of said twenty third line, thence binding on part of said twenty third line and binding on part of said twenty fourth line of said mortgage the two following courses and distances, viz: first South 62° 28' 38" West 294.12 feet and second South 2º 15' 17" East 165.00 feet to the place of beginning.

.......

Containing 7.455 acres of land more or less.

WILLIAM STEPHENS, JR. & ASSOCIA ....... 202 ALLEGHENY AVENUE TOWNON MARY AND THE

Description of Part of the Property of The Rolling Realty Company, Inc. to be Rezoned From R-10 to R-6, Parcel 4

October 2, 1964

#65-168R WESTERN PALA cer.1-Beginning for the same at the intersection of the 3900 foot  $\frac{KR}{2L}$ 

line of Zoning Area 2R-10-3, and the eighth or North 22° 47° 58° East 1/23/4 954.36 foot line of the first parcel of land described in a mortgage from The Rolling Realty Company, Inc., to Lloyd E. Mitchell, Incorported, dated November 1, 1962, and recorded among the Land Records of Baltimore County in Liber W.J.R. 4071, folio 335, said point of beginning being distant 1,085 feet more or less measured easterly along the said eighth line of said mortgage and the prolongation westerly of said line, from the east side of Liberty Road, and running thence binding on part of said 3900 foot line of Zoning Area 2R-10-3, the three following courses and distances as now surveyed, viz: first South 69° 00° 44° East 420.17 feet, second North 85° 46' 10" East 731.99 feet, and third South 77° 51' 48" East 326.00 feet, thence for lines of division the five following courses and distances, viz: first North 9° 07' 00" West 313.55 feet, second South 85° 00' 52° East 227.61 feet, third South 72° 47' 42" East 384.25 feet, fourth North 86° 13' 18" East 461.15 feet, and fifth South 84° 32' 13" East 312.70 feet, to intersect the twenty third or South 62° 28' 38" West 569.12 foot line of the first parcel of said mortgage, thence binding reversely on part of said twenty third line. all of the twenty second through the ninth lines, and part of the eighth line of the first parcel of said mortgage, the fourteen following courses and distances, viz: first North 62° 28' 38" East 275.00 feet; second North 34° 10° 52° East 813.15 feet; third North 79° 26° 12° West 1602.90 feet; fourth North 70° 58' 47" West 594.92 feet; fifth South 31° 09° 30" West 198.01 feet; sixth North 62° 23' 00" West 643.55 feet;

Description of Part of the Property of The Rolling Realty Company, Inc. to be Rezoned From R-10 to R-6

October 2, 1964 Sheet 2

seventh South 23° 48' 30" West 303.64 feet; eighth South 60° 48' 309" West 198.01 feet; ninth North 23° 12' 47" West 630.35 feet; tenth wastes South 9° 10' 08" West 1243.25 feet; eleventh South 15° 06' 10" West 151.65 feet; twelfth South 48° 27' 00" East 239.28 feet, thirteenth South 32° 03° 00° West 9.90 feet, and fourteenth South 22° 47° 58° 26 West 100.00 feet to the place of beginning. 11/23/44

Containing 86.272 acres of land more or less.

... INTER-OFFICE CORRESPONDE DIVISION OF TRAFFIC ENGINEERIN Baltimore County, Margland

165-168R

Date November 5, 1964

Mr. James E. Dver C. Richard Moore

Item 5 - ZAC - October 26, 1964
William Robinson (Rolling Realty, Inc.)
Northeast side Liberty Road & Southwest of Winans Road
Reclassification from R-6 and R-10 to RA.

Towson 4, Maryland

Review of the subject plat dated October 7, 1964 results in the following comment:

The future 70' road should be moved 70' in a southeasterly direction so as to meet Liberty Road opposite

C. Richard Moore, Engineer IV Bureau of Traffic Engineering

CRM:nr

## BALTIMORE COUNTY, MARYLAND

INTER-OFFICE CORRESPONDENCE

TO John G. Rose, Zoning CommissionerDate November 20, 1964

PROM George E. Gayrelis, Director

SURJECT. 165-168-R. R-6 and R-10 to R.A. and R-10 to R-6. Northeast side of Liberty Road Southwest of Winans Road. Being property of Rolling Realty, Inc.

2nd District HEARING:

Thursday, December 3, 1964 (1:00 P.M.)

The staff of the Office of Planning and Zoning has reviewed the subject petition for reclassification from R.S. and R.D to R.A. zoning as well as from R.D to R.S. only. It has the following advisor; or ments to make with respect to pertinent planning factors:

make with respect to pertinent planning factors:

1. Since the adoption of western Planning Area Zoning Map; inordinate pressures have been brone Western Planning Area Zoning Map; inordinate pressures have been brone Western Houning Area Zoning Map; inordinate was a constraint of the pressure of the second points of the second points of the second points of the property of the property was a second point of the property and the property and the property of t

Mith regard to the R.10 to R.6 zoning the Planning staff absolutely is opposed to such reclassification. R.6 zoning would permit the second provided permit the second provided permit the second place family housing single family housing single family housing on the propose housing densities here would place undue strain on the promise housing densities here and would place undue strain on the promise region of the second permit the second p From a planning viewpoint, there is no error in the R.10 zoning now in effect northerly from Scotts Level.

EWELL BOMHARDT & ASSOCIATES

HENRY C BOMHARDY, BE, M.S. HOWARD C BAILEY, BE, LOUIS H. SEATTY, B.S.

NORTH CHARLES STREET TIMORE MARYLAND 21201 LE 9-2300

Pebruary 9, 1967

Board of Zoning Appeals County Office Building Room 300 Towson, Maryland 21204

Gentlemen:

This copy of a recent traffic record made by the Maryland State Roads Commission, may substantiate my belief that hourly traffic counts on Litery Road are not 10% of the A.D.T. but instead run consistently high throughcut most of the day light

Very truly yours.

W. Wint Eigha Enel W. Worthington Ewell

Enclosure

WWR/Ath

Bid 2-18-67

\* Note: W. a Rolling Rd on Liberty Road where Average Aut : 25555 v.Ad unformly heavy traffic from TAM to IDPM with maximum hourly counts 65% - 7.5% of ADT

Note: 1888 uph is max. peak hour count for week day

MARYLAND STATE ROADS COMMISSION-BUREAU OF TRAFFIC Station 03911 Route TRAFFIC RECORD Week Beginning DAY SUN, MON. TUES. WED, THURS. PRI. SAT. DATE HOUR 18-1 787 -777 334 1-1 627 106 17/ 67 1-1 67 ... 418 10-10 855 1276 1233 -10-11 27 116 11-12 1761 1085 95% 077 14-1 1745 1886 2-3 18/1 (77) 3-3 1836 1493 4-3 18/5 1743 •-• /75 \*\*\* /mi? /479 \*\*\* 177/ /42 \*\*\* /679 /450 10-10 /637 /34 10-11 /676 977 11-12 63/ 547 TOTAL 25140 24439 26011 2747 S AVER REMARKS

Average Day 25600

Average 8 - 4 10193

GEG: bme









