NER OF BALTIMORE COUNTY:

THE ZONING COMMISSIONER OF BALTIMORE COUNTY:

McLaughlin

KMKwe, Erederick, P., & Elizabeth, C.legal owners, of the property situate in Baltimore
unty and which is described in the description and plat attached hereto and made a part hereof.

hereby petition for a Variance from Section 402.1 to permit a front building line width of 70 feet and a lot area of 8,750 square feet in lieu of the required

building line of 80 feet and lot area of 10,000 square feet

of the Zoning Regulations of Baltimore County, to the Zoning Law of Baltimore County; for the following reasons: (indicate hardship or practical difficulty)

Petitioners have been the owners of this property for a period of time in excess of fifteen years and during the last fifteen years have made piece-meal alterations to their home for the purpose of a two family dwelling and to deny them now the use of their property as a two family dwelling would be an undue hardship and would cause a large financial loss to the petitioners.

Property is to be posted and advertised as I, or we, agree to pay expenses of above Van petition, and further agree to and are to be bound Balimore County adopted pursuant to the Zoning

John E. Mudd Attorney for the petitioners

521 Wilton Road Address 22 W. Pennsylvania Avenue... Towson 4, Maryland

Towson 4. Maryland

oning Law of Baltimore County, in two newspapers of general circulation through nny, that present be posted, and that the public hearing be had before the Zoning Diffusion County in Room 106, County Office Building in Towson, Baltimore

0. 2. m.

. 196.5. at 19199 o'clock 9 of Baltimore County, 417165 MICROFILMED

Frederick P. McLaughlin, et al - \$65-270-A

ORDER

-2-

For the reasons set forth in the aforegoing Opinion, it is this 27th day of April, 1967 by the County Board of Appeals, ORDERED that the variances petitio

Any appeal from this decision must be in accordance with Chapter 1100, subtitle 8 of Maryland Rules of Procedure, 1961 edition.

COUNTY BOARD OF APPEALS

PREDERICK E. MCLAUGHLIN and ELIZABETH C. MCLAUGHLIN

70

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT

WILLIAM &. BALDWIN W. GILES PARKER JOHN A. SLOWIK Constituting the Con Appeals of Baltimore

FOR BALTIMORE COUNTY

#### MEMORANDUM OPINION

The Petitioners in this case, Frederick P. McLaughlin and C. McLaughlin, who are the appellants, seek variances from as 402.1 and 402.2 of the Zoning Regulations to permit a two family n an R-6 lot which contains 8.750 square feet instead of the are feet required under regulations. The Petitioners also requests from the front and side yard setbacks required under Section 402.2 riances were requested and which is known as 521 Wilton Road.

The Zoning Commissioner denied the variances requested and notice of appeal was filed by the Petitioners to the County Board of Appeals. On April 27, 1967, the County Board of Appeals passed an Order denvine the ned for in this proceeding.

ound 1959-60 completed an addition to their existing dwelling which added a

11W 2 1 '85 W

NOTICE OF APPEAL

Zoning Commissioner of Baltimore County dated May 25, 1965.

Please note an appeal in the above entitled matter to the Order of the

ZONING COMMISSIONER

John E. Mudd

22 W. Pennsylvania Avenu Towson 4, Maryland VA 3-4111

ney for Petitioner

BALTIMORE COUNTY

PETITION FOR VARIANCE -

Sec. 402.1 of Zoning Regulations 521 Wilton Road, 9th Dist., 57 Fred P. McLaughlin, et al

Petitioner

MICROFILMED

presently rent the apartment to a Miss Coleman, who pays \$85.00 per month stal. The Board further found that from the testimony presented before it, rs did not produce sufficient evidence of a practical difficulty or sonable hardship to entitle them to the warrances requested

It seems evident to the Court fact there was substantial evidence ere the Board of Appeals to support its decision. The scope of appellate review in an appeal from the Board is limited in nature. This Court does not reach ive body, if the question decided was fairly debatable. If there is room able debate as to whether the facts justify the action of the Board, ction must be withheld. Having read the record of the proceedings before and considered argument of counsel, this Court is of the opinion that antial evidence produced at the hearing to support the finding

For the reasons as above set forth, it is ORDERED by this Court day of February, 1968, that the decision of the Board of Appeals of April 27, 1967, denying the variances petitioned for by the appellants be and

LESTER L. BARRETT

MICROFILMED

RE: PETITION FOR VARIANCE from Sections 402.1 and 402.2 of the Zoning Regulations on property located on the 5/5 Wilton Road 819.72' East 9th District Frederick P. McLaughlin, et al

MEFORE COUNTY BOARD OF APPEALS OF

0

BALTIMORE COUNTY No. 65-270-A

OPINION

The petitioner in this case, Frederick P. McLaughlin, seeks variances from Sections 402.1 and 402.2 of the Zoning Regulations to permit a two family residence on a R-6 lot which contains 8,750 square feet instead of the 10,000 square feet required by the The petitioner also asks variances from the front and side yard setbacks required under Section 402.2 to permit the continued use of his property as a two family

This is a companion case to Case #65-257-V which was heard and decided by the Board simultaneously with the instant case.

The facts of the case are that Mr. McLaughlin, about 1959 to 1960, completed an addition to his existing dwelling which added a kitchen and both to the first floor of the house; and a kitchen, bath, and living room to the second floor of the house; rting the house into a two family dwelling. Mr. McLaughlin advertised the for rent, and presently rents the apartment to a Miss Coleman who has a separe telephone, electric meter, and kitchen, and pays \$85.00 per month rental.

From the testimony presented before the Board, the petitioner did not produce sufficient evidence of a practical difficulty or unreasonable hardship to entitle

Producick Philip:McLaughlin and Elizabet; Catherine McLaughlin

Beginning for the same on the south side of Wilton Road(formerly called San Sebastian Road) forty (40') feet wide at the distance of eight hundred mineteen and seventy-two one hundredths (819.72') feet easterly from the corner formed by the intersection of the south side of Wilton Road, with the southeast side of York Road and running thence easterly Binging on the South side of Wilton Road seventy (70) feet thence southerly at right angles to Wilton Road (125') one hundred twenty-five feet, thence westerly parallel with Wilton Road seventy(70') feet to intersect the line drawn southerly at right angles to Milton Road from the place of beginning, thence northerly reversing said line so drawn and binding thereon one hundred twenty-five (125') feet to the place of beginning. The improvements thereon being at present known as 521 Wilton Road.

BRING lot No. 105 as shown on Plat No. 1 of Wiltendale, said Plat being recorded among the Plat Records of Baltimore County in Plat Book W.P.C.

Lederal O're redding

JAMES - COO.

COOK. MUDD & HOWARD

ADD -5 '65 1

April 2, 1965

Mr. John G. Rose Zoning Commissioner for Baltimore County County Office Building Towson, Maryland 21204

Re: Petition for Variance for Frederick P. McLaughlin #65-270A #65-257-V

Confirming our conversation of Thursday, April 1, 1965, kindly postpone the hearing on the above matter which is now scheduled for Wednesday, April 7, 1965.

I am amending the petition so that it will conform with the comments made by Mr. Gavrelis of the Planning and Zoning Department. The petition will be amended in the very next few days, at which time I would ask that you readvertize and repost a date for the hearing of this petition and the allowed violation.

A copy of this letter is being sent to Mr. Nolan, counsel

Very truly yours,

John E. Wuld John E. Mudd

JEM:rm c.c.: James D. Nolan, Esquire

MICKUFILMED

MICKUFILMED

### BALTIMORE COUNTY, MARYLAND

INTER-OFFICE CORRESPONDENCE

TO Br. John G. Rose, Zoning Commissioner Date May 7, 1965

PROM. George E. Gavrelis, Director of Planning

SUBJECT. Petition No. 270-A. "Variance to permit a front building line width of 70 feet and a lot area of 6,750 square feet in lieu of the required front building line of 80 feet and a lot area of 10,000 square feet; and side yards of 12 feet and 19 feet 6 inches, totaling 31 feet 6 inches in lideu of the required 15 feet for one side yard and a sum of both side yards of 35 feet. South side of Mitton Read 519,72 feet East of York Hoad. Being the property of Frederick P. and Elizabeth C. McLaughlin."

9th District

HEARING:

Tuesday, May 18, 1965 (10:00 A.M.)

The planning staff of the Office of Planning and Zoning has reviewed the subject petition and offers the following comments; these are similar to the comments we submitted March 19th, 1965, but without Item 2:

We understand that the actual purpose of this petition is to legalize the conversion of a one-family dwelling to two-family use.

It was the intent of Sub-sections 402.1 and 402.2 of the Zoning Regulations to allow the convenion of large, older houses, provided they met higher area standards. The latter were set forth in order to maintain a desirable neighborhood character and to prevent overcrowding of land and undue concentration of consultation.

In this case the lot area provided would be 12.5% less than would be required under the Zoning Regulations; to comply with the regulations the patitioner should be providing over 14% more area than the lot contains. Permitted gross density in the R-6 zone for two-family dwellings is 6 families per acre. The density requested is over 8 1/2 families per acre.

From a planning viewpoint the subject proposal would, in fact, tend to be in-compatible with the present, desirable neighborhood character and could have a debilitating effect on surrounding property.

MICROFILMED

### CERTIFICATE OF PUBLICATION

BALTIMORE COUNTY, MD. April 28 .19 65

THIS IS TO CERTIFY. That the annexed advertisement was blished in THE TIMES, a weekly newspaper printed and published in Baltimore County, Md., once in each of ene successive weeks before the 18th ,19 65, the first publication

29th day of April

Section 402.1 and 402.2 -50 feet frontage, 10,000 square feet in area and side

Marti

John M. Mertin Cost of Advertisement, \$25.50

Purchase Order A9506 Recuisition No. F430

MICROFILMED

THE TIMES.

-65.270-A District, 2 64 Date of Posting 78-65 Posted for:
Posted for:
Posted for:
Descriptioner: Tresperiete P. M. Langhlin et al.
Location of property. S.S. Welton Od. 819.77 5/26 feel Capped 10' from the working & so willow the Com Posted by Robert See Bully Date of roture 7-15-61

MICROFILMED

BALTIMORE COUNTY, MARYLAND

INTER-OFFICE CORRESPONDENCE

TO. Mr. John G. Rose, Zoning Commissioner Date March 19, 1965

FROM. George E. Gayrelis, Director

Petition
SUBJECT. 65-270-A. "Yariance to permit a front building line width of 70 feet and a lot area of 8,750 square feet instead of the required building line of 80 feet and a lot area of 10,000 square feet. South side Wilton Road, 819.72 feet East of York Road.
Being the property of Frederick P. McLaughlin and Elizabeth C. McLaughlin"

9th District

Hearing:

Wednesday, April 7, 1965 (10:00 A.M.)

The planning staff of the Office of Planning and Laning has reviewed the subject petition and offers the following comments:

We understand that the actual purpose of this petition is to legalize the conversion of a one-family dwelling to two-family use.

The intent of Subsections402.1 and 402.2 of the Zoning Regulations was to allow the convention of older houses too large to be practical as single-family dwellings, provided they met higher area standards. The latter were set forth in order to maintain a desirable neighborhood character and to prevent overdensity.

In this case the lot area provided would be 12.5% less than would be required under the Zoning Regulations; to comply with the Regulations the petioner should be providing over 14% more area than the lot contains. Permitted density in the R-6 Zone for one-family dwellings is 7.26 families per acre. The density requested for the <a href="https://www.more.com/www.edu/wow.no.46">www.edu/wow.no.46</a>. The density requested for the <a href="https://www.edu/wow.no.46">www.edu/wow.no.46</a>.

From a planning viewpoint, the subject proposal would, in fact, tend to be incompatible with the present, desirable neighborhood character and would result in overdensity.

As shown on the petioner's plat, side yards on the subject property would be 12 feet and 19'6", totalling 31'6". Subsection 402.2 of the Zoning Regulations would require no less than 15 feet for a side yard, and a minimum total of 35 feet for both yards. The petition, however, does not request a side-yard variance. In view of this, we question its validity and whether it has been properly advertised.

MICROFILMED

om and movemby-two on withs (\$19.72') fee cly from the corner forms

# CERTIFICATE OF PUBLICATION

BALTIMORE COUNTY, MD., March 18 , 1965

THIS IS TO CERTIFY. That the annexed advertisement was published in THE TIMES, a weekly newspaper printed and pub-

,19 65 , the first publication day of April appearing on the 18th day of March

THE TIMES Mark

Cost of Advertisement, \$ 25.00

Purchase Order A7936 Requisition No. F2667

MICROFILMED

CERTIFICATE OF POSTING ZONING DEPARTMENT OF BALTIMORE COUNTY

- 7 #65-270-A

Posted for Hanne Tues May 18:65 AT 10:00 A.M. Petitioner: Frederick p. 32 Paraghlin Location of property. 45 Wilton A. 819.72' & J Jack Rd Location of Signa Q on front lawn of 4521 Wilton the Posted by Rebert Lev Bull Se MICROFIL

> 0 # 65.270 4 CERTIFICATE OF POSTING

Date of Posting 3-18-65 Posted for Heaving 11) and agril 7-65 AT 10:00 A.71 ner trodorick of mc Parthlin of property 5/5 Wilton Rel. 819.72' E. & Jorde Rel of Signs (1) place on front lown of 521 Wilton Rd. Cased good to ten walkary to house, Posted by Alched Son Bull Date of return 3- 24- 65

## CERTIFICATE OF PUBLICATION

TOWSON, MD. March 19, 1955... THIS IS TO CERTIFY, that the annexed advertisement was published in THE JEFFERSONIAN, a weekly newspaper printed and published in Towson, Paltimore County, Md., omoccine such day of \_\_\_\_APr(1 \_\_\_\_\_\_, 1965 \_\_, the #### publication appearing on the 19th day of March

> THE JEFFERSONIAN. G. Leank Street

Cost of Advertisement, \$ ...

MICROFILMED

### CERTIFICATE OF PUBLICATION

9

THIS IS TO CERTIFY, that the annexed advertisement was appearing on the \_\_\_\_\_day of \_\_\_\_\_

L. Leanh Street

Cost of Advertisement, \$...

DIE COUNTY OFFICE OF PLANNING AND ZONING

ome: Productak P. Haland MICROFILMED

BALTIMORE COUNTY, MARYLAND Ma.31918 OFFICE OF FINANCE of Collection and R. COURT HOUSE

-PROD-PROS 6-3065 6061 . 31918 HP-000 MICROFILMED

IMPORTANTI MAKE CHECKS PAYABLE TO BALTIMORE COUNTY, MARYLAND MAIL TO DIVISION OF COLLECTION & RECEIPTS, COURT HOUSE, TOWSON 4, MARYLAND PLEASE RETURN UPPER SECTION OF THIS BILL WITH YOUR REMITTANCE.

> BALTIMORE COUNTY, MARYLAND OFFICE OF FINANCE

Ma. 30600

in and marker of property PRO- telliners Courty Mid. - Office of Fig. 2-1865 5 4 7 6 • 30600 TIN-> 1862 5 4 7 6 • 30600 TIN-MICROFILMED

IMPORTANT: MAKE CHECKS PAYABLE TO BALTIMORE COUNTY, MARYLAND MAIL TO DIVISION OF COLLECTION & RECEIPTS, COURT HOUSE, TOWSO PLEASE RETURN UPPER SECTION OF THIS BILL WITH YOUR REMITTANCE.

TELEPHONI BES 3000	BALT! JORE COUNTY, MAR' JANE OFFICE OF FINANCE Distance of Collection and Resigns COUNT HOUSE TOWNON 4 MARYLAND	Ma. 2860
DEPOSIT TO	this to the state of the state	<del></del>
QUANTITY	DETACH UPPER SECTION AND RETURN WITH YOUR REMITTANCE	-
-	PAID Bellman County, MA.	- Charles
	3-1265 1703 • 28609 TI	P- 2500
	MICROF	ILMED

IMPORTANT: MAKE CHECKS PAYABLE TO BALTIMORE COUNTY, MARYLAND MAIL TO DIVISION OF COLLECTION & RECEIPTS, COURT HOUSE, TOWSON 4. MARYLAND PLEASE RETURN UPPER SECTION OF THIS BILL WITH YOUR REMITTANCE.

