

PETITION FOR ZONING RE-CLASSIFICATION AND/OR SPECIAL EXCEPTION

TO THE ZONING COMMISSIONER OF BALTIMORE COUNTY:

I, or we, Vincent J. Caples, legal owner of the property situate in Baltimore County and which is described in the description and plat attached hereto and made a part hereof, hereby petition (1) that the zoning status of the herein described property be reclassified, pursuant to the Zoning Law of Baltimore County, from an _____ zone to an _____ zone for the following reason:

See attached description

Property is to be posted and advertised as prescribed by Zoning Regulations and (2) for a Special Exception, under the said Zoning Law and Zoning Regulations of Baltimore County, to use the herein described property, for _____ living quarters in a commercial building.

Property is to be posted and advertised as prescribed by Zoning Regulations and (2) for a Special Exception, under the said Zoning Law and Zoning Regulations of Baltimore County, to use the herein described property, for _____ living quarters in a commercial building.

Property is to be posted and advertised as prescribed by Zoning Regulations and (2) for a Special Exception, under the said Zoning Law and Zoning Regulations of Baltimore County, to use the herein described property, for _____ living quarters in a commercial building.

Property is to be posted and advertised as prescribed by Zoning Regulations and (2) for a Special Exception, under the said Zoning Law and Zoning Regulations of Baltimore County, to use the herein described property, for _____ living quarters in a commercial building.

Property is to be posted and advertised as prescribed by Zoning Regulations and (2) for a Special Exception, under the said Zoning Law and Zoning Regulations of Baltimore County, to use the herein described property, for _____ living quarters in a commercial building.

Property is to be posted and advertised as prescribed by Zoning Regulations and (2) for a Special Exception, under the said Zoning Law and Zoning Regulations of Baltimore County, to use the herein described property, for _____ living quarters in a commercial building.

Property is to be posted and advertised as prescribed by Zoning Regulations and (2) for a Special Exception, under the said Zoning Law and Zoning Regulations of Baltimore County, to use the herein described property, for _____ living quarters in a commercial building.

Property is to be posted and advertised as prescribed by Zoning Regulations and (2) for a Special Exception, under the said Zoning Law and Zoning Regulations of Baltimore County, to use the herein described property, for _____ living quarters in a commercial building.

Property is to be posted and advertised as prescribed by Zoning Regulations and (2) for a Special Exception, under the said Zoning Law and Zoning Regulations of Baltimore County, to use the herein described property, for _____ living quarters in a commercial building.

Property is to be posted and advertised as prescribed by Zoning Regulations and (2) for a Special Exception, under the said Zoning Law and Zoning Regulations of Baltimore County, to use the herein described property, for _____ living quarters in a commercial building.

BALTIMORE COUNTY, MARYLAND

INTER-OFFICE CORRESPONDENCE

TO: Mr. John G. Rose, Zoning Commissioner Date: September 28, 1967
FROM: George E. Gavelis, Director of Planning

SUBJECT: Petition #68-79-X. East side of Reisterstown Road 76 feet North of Westminster Pike. Petition for Special Exception for Living Quarters in a commercial building.
Vincent J. Caples - Petitioner.

4th District

HEARING: Monday, October 9, 1967 (11:00 A.M.)

The planning staff of the Office of Planning and Zoning will offer no comment on the subject petition.

Pursuant to the advertisement, posting of property, and public hearing on the above petition and it appearing that by reason of _____ the requirements of Section 502.1 of the Baltimore County Zoning Regulations having been met

_____ the above reclassification should be _____ and it is hereby recommended that the same be _____

A Special Exception for a living quarters in a commercial building _____ should be granted

IT IS ORDERED by the Zoning Commissioner of Baltimore County this _____ day of _____ 1967, that the above reclassification be _____ and the same is hereby continued as and to remain a _____ zone; and/or the Special Exception for _____ be and the same is hereby DENIED

_____ the above reclassification should NOT BE HAD, and/or the Special Exception should NOT BE GRANTED.

_____ the above reclassification should NOT BE HAD, and/or the Special Exception should NOT BE GRANTED.

_____ the above reclassification should NOT BE HAD, and/or the Special Exception should NOT BE GRANTED.

_____ the above reclassification should NOT BE HAD, and/or the Special Exception should NOT BE GRANTED.

_____ the above reclassification should NOT BE HAD, and/or the Special Exception should NOT BE GRANTED.

_____ the above reclassification should NOT BE HAD, and/or the Special Exception should NOT BE GRANTED.

_____ the above reclassification should NOT BE HAD, and/or the Special Exception should NOT BE GRANTED.

_____ the above reclassification should NOT BE HAD, and/or the Special Exception should NOT BE GRANTED.

_____ the above reclassification should NOT BE HAD, and/or the Special Exception should NOT BE GRANTED.

_____ the above reclassification should NOT BE HAD, and/or the Special Exception should NOT BE GRANTED.

_____ the above reclassification should NOT BE HAD, and/or the Special Exception should NOT BE GRANTED.

_____ the above reclassification should NOT BE HAD, and/or the Special Exception should NOT BE GRANTED.

_____ the above reclassification should NOT BE HAD, and/or the Special Exception should NOT BE GRANTED.

_____ the above reclassification should NOT BE HAD, and/or the Special Exception should NOT BE GRANTED.

_____ the above reclassification should NOT BE HAD, and/or the Special Exception should NOT BE GRANTED.

_____ the above reclassification should NOT BE HAD, and/or the Special Exception should NOT BE GRANTED.

_____ the above reclassification should NOT BE HAD, and/or the Special Exception should NOT BE GRANTED.

_____ the above reclassification should NOT BE HAD, and/or the Special Exception should NOT BE GRANTED.

_____ the above reclassification should NOT BE HAD, and/or the Special Exception should NOT BE GRANTED.

_____ the above reclassification should NOT BE HAD, and/or the Special Exception should NOT BE GRANTED.

_____ the above reclassification should NOT BE HAD, and/or the Special Exception should NOT BE GRANTED.

_____ the above reclassification should NOT BE HAD, and/or the Special Exception should NOT BE GRANTED.

_____ the above reclassification should NOT BE HAD, and/or the Special Exception should NOT BE GRANTED.

_____ the above reclassification should NOT BE HAD, and/or the Special Exception should NOT BE GRANTED.

_____ the above reclassification should NOT BE HAD, and/or the Special Exception should NOT BE GRANTED.

_____ the above reclassification should NOT BE HAD, and/or the Special Exception should NOT BE GRANTED.

_____ the above reclassification should NOT BE HAD, and/or the Special Exception should NOT BE GRANTED.

_____ the above reclassification should NOT BE HAD, and/or the Special Exception should NOT BE GRANTED.

_____ the above reclassification should NOT BE HAD, and/or the Special Exception should NOT BE GRANTED.

_____ the above reclassification should NOT BE HAD, and/or the Special Exception should NOT BE GRANTED.

_____ the above reclassification should NOT BE HAD, and/or the Special Exception should NOT BE GRANTED.

_____ the above reclassification should NOT BE HAD, and/or the Special Exception should NOT BE GRANTED.

_____ the above reclassification should NOT BE HAD, and/or the Special Exception should NOT BE GRANTED.

_____ the above reclassification should NOT BE HAD, and/or the Special Exception should NOT BE GRANTED.

_____ the above reclassification should NOT BE HAD, and/or the Special Exception should NOT BE GRANTED.

_____ the above reclassification should NOT BE HAD, and/or the Special Exception should NOT BE GRANTED.

_____ the above reclassification should NOT BE HAD, and/or the Special Exception should NOT BE GRANTED.

_____ the above reclassification should NOT BE HAD, and/or the Special Exception should NOT BE GRANTED.

_____ the above reclassification should NOT BE HAD, and/or the Special Exception should NOT BE GRANTED.

_____ the above reclassification should NOT BE HAD, and/or the Special Exception should NOT BE GRANTED.

_____ the above reclassification should NOT BE HAD, and/or the Special Exception should NOT BE GRANTED.

_____ the above reclassification should NOT BE HAD, and/or the Special Exception should NOT BE GRANTED.

_____ the above reclassification should NOT BE HAD, and/or the Special Exception should NOT BE GRANTED.

_____ the above reclassification should NOT BE HAD, and/or the Special Exception should NOT BE GRANTED.

_____ the above reclassification should NOT BE HAD, and/or the Special Exception should NOT BE GRANTED.

_____ the above reclassification should NOT BE HAD, and/or the Special Exception should NOT BE GRANTED.

_____ the above reclassification should NOT BE HAD, and/or the Special Exception should NOT BE GRANTED.

_____ the above reclassification should NOT BE HAD, and/or the Special Exception should NOT BE GRANTED.

_____ the above reclassification should NOT BE HAD, and/or the Special Exception should NOT BE GRANTED.

_____ the above reclassification should NOT BE HAD, and/or the Special Exception should NOT BE GRANTED.

_____ the above reclassification should NOT BE HAD, and/or the Special Exception should NOT BE GRANTED.

_____ the above reclassification should NOT BE HAD, and/or the Special Exception should NOT BE GRANTED.

_____ the above reclassification should NOT BE HAD, and/or the Special Exception should NOT BE GRANTED.

_____ the above reclassification should NOT BE HAD, and/or the Special Exception should NOT BE GRANTED.

_____ the above reclassification should NOT BE HAD, and/or the Special Exception should NOT BE GRANTED.

_____ the above reclassification should NOT BE HAD, and/or the Special Exception should NOT BE GRANTED.

_____ the above reclassification should NOT BE HAD, and/or the Special Exception should NOT BE GRANTED.

_____ the above reclassification should NOT BE HAD, and/or the Special Exception should NOT BE GRANTED.

_____ the above reclassification should NOT BE HAD, and/or the Special Exception should NOT BE GRANTED.

_____ the above reclassification should NOT BE HAD, and/or the Special Exception should NOT BE GRANTED.

_____ the above reclassification should NOT BE HAD, and/or the Special Exception should NOT BE GRANTED.

_____ the above reclassification should NOT BE HAD, and/or the Special Exception should NOT BE GRANTED.

_____ the above reclassification should NOT BE HAD, and/or the Special Exception should NOT BE GRANTED.

_____ the above reclassification should NOT BE HAD, and/or the Special Exception should NOT BE GRANTED.

_____ the above reclassification should NOT BE HAD, and/or the Special Exception should NOT BE GRANTED.

_____ the above reclassification should NOT BE HAD, and/or the Special Exception should NOT BE GRANTED.

_____ the above reclassification should NOT BE HAD, and/or the Special Exception should NOT BE GRANTED.

_____ the above reclassification should NOT BE HAD, and/or the Special Exception should NOT BE GRANTED.

_____ the above reclassification should NOT BE HAD, and/or the Special Exception should NOT BE GRANTED.

_____ the above reclassification should NOT BE HAD, and/or the Special Exception should NOT BE GRANTED.

_____ the above reclassification should NOT BE HAD, and/or the Special Exception should NOT BE GRANTED.

_____ the above reclassification should NOT BE HAD, and/or the Special Exception should NOT BE GRANTED.

ALL that lot or parcel of land situate, lying and being in Reisterstown in the Fourth Election District of Baltimore County, State of Maryland, and described as follows, this is to say:

BEGINNING at a spike driven in a concrete sidewalk 35.3 feet from the centerline of Reisterstown Road and 76 feet Northerly Pike and the East curb along Reisterstown Road, said spike also being at the end of the North 33 degrees 34 minutes 30 seconds West 149.99 feet line in the second parcel of a Deed from Robert Gordon Caples et al. to Vincent Talbot Caples, Jr., dated April 12, 1966, East 33.30 feet to a spike 21.7 feet East of the center of minutes East 150.00 feet to an iron rod at the end of the North 07 degrees 16 minutes East 53.70 feet line in the first parcel of the degrees 06 minutes 10 seconds East 53.70 feet line, South 33 09 minutes West 5.14 feet to a pipe and North 07 degrees 16 minutes East 37.36 feet to a pipe on the Northernmost side and Easternmost green 16 minutes West 23.76 feet line in the second parcel of the along the North side of the alley 9.64 feet wide and running thereof in common with others, North 33 degrees 34 minutes 30 seconds West 149.99 feet to the place of beginning, containing six or less.

BEING all of the same land described in the above mentioned Deed from Robert Gordon Caples et al. to Vincent Talbot Caples, Jr., dated April 12, 1966, recorded in Liber W.J.R. No. 3973 Folio 121 etc.

AS prepared April 29, 1967 for Vincent Talbot Caples, Jr.

BALTIMORE COUNTY OFFICE OF PLANNING AND ZONING

Dr. Vincent J. Caples
101 E. - Box 79 A
New Windsor, Maryland

County Office Building
111 W. Chesapeake Avenue
Towson, Maryland 21204

Your petition has been received and accepted for filing this _____ day of _____ 1967

John G. Rose
Zoning Commissioner

Petitioner: Vincent J. Caples

Petitioner's Attorney

Reviewed by _____
Chairman of Advisory Corp.

CERTIFICATE OF PUBLICATION

TOWSON, MD. September 21, 1967

THIS IS TO CERTIFY, that the annexed advertisement was published in THE JEFFERSONIAN, a weekly newspaper printed and published in Towson, Baltimore County, Md., once in each of _____ one time _____ weeks before the _____ day of _____ 1967, the first publication appearing on the _____ day of _____ September 1967.

THE JEFFERSONIAN

_____ Manager

Cost of Advertisement, \$ _____

BALTIMORE COUNTY, MARYLAND

INTER-OFFICE CORRESPONDENCE

TO: Mr. James A. Rose, Chairman
Zoning Advisory Committee
FROM: Vincent J. Caples, Jr., Esq.
Fire Bureau
SUBJECT: Property Owners - Vincent J. Caples
Locations - 82/8 Reisterstown Road, 76' N. of Westminster Pike
Petitioner: Vincent J. Caples
Proposed Zoning: Living quarters in a commercial building.
(4 apartments)

1. Shall be required to meet all fire department regulations for apartments.

BALTIMORE COUNTY OFFICE OF PLANNING AND ZONING
COUNTY OFFICE BUILDING
TOWSON, MARYLAND 21204

Vincent J. Caples
101 E. - Box 79 A
New Windsor, Maryland

SUBJECT: Special Exception for living quarters in a commercial building, for Vincent J. Caples, Jr., located on the 82/8 of Reisterstown Road, 76' North of Westminster Pike, 4th District (item 4, September 5, 1967)

Dear Sir:

The Zoning Advisory Committee has reviewed the subject petition and has the following comments to offer:

BUREAU OF ENGINEERING: Water - Existing 8" and 16" water in Reisterstown Road
Sewer - Existing 8" sanitary sewer as shown on the submitted plan.

FIRE BUREAU: It shall be required to meet all fire department regulations for apartments.

STATE ROADS COMMISSION: The parking area has two additional points of access, indicated on the plan is only 11' wide and in the vicinity of Reisterstown Road and Westminster Pike; therefore, it is recommended that the entrance indicated on the plan be made one way -- in only.

BUREAU OF TRAFFIC ENGINEERING: This bureau is in complete agreement with the comments of the State Roads Commission.

CRIMINAL ADMINISTRATION DIVISION: If the petition is granted, no company may be used such time as plans have been submitted and approved and the property inspected for compliance to the approved plan.

The above comments are not intended to indicate the appropriateness of the zoning action requested, but to assure that all parties are made aware of plans or problems that may have a bearing on this case. The Director and/or the Deputy Director of the Office of Planning and Zoning will submit recommendations to the following members had no comment to offer:

Project Planning Division
Health Department
Building Engineer
Bureau of Education
Industrial Development

Very truly yours,

James E. Gavelis
Zoning Technician

cc: Carlisle Brown-Bureau of Engr.
J.A. Charles Morris-Fire Bureau
John Maynard-Late Roads Commission
C. Richard Moore-Bureau of Traffic Engr.

CERTIFICATE OF POSTING

ZONING DEPARTMENT OF BALTIMORE COUNTY
Towson, Maryland

District: 4th
Posted for: Special Exception
Petitioner: Vincent J. Caples
Location of property: 82/8 Reisterstown Rd. 76' N. of Westminster Pike
Location of Signs: (on Street window) of #3 Reisterstown Rd.
Remarks: _____
Posted by: _____
Date of return: Sept. 28, 1967

OFFICE OF THE BALTIMORE COUNTIAN

THE COMMUNITY NEWS
Reisterstown, Md

THE HERALD - ARGUS
Catonsville, Md.

No. 1 Newburg Avenue

CATONSVILLE, MD.

September 25, 19 67

THIS IS TO CERTIFY, that the annexed advertisement of
John G. Rose, Zoning Commissioner of
Baltimore County

was inserted in THE BALTIMORE COUNTIAN, a group of
~~three~~ weekly newspapers published in Baltimore County, Mary-
land, once a week for One ~~successive~~ week/before
the 25th day of September, 1967, that is to say
the same was inserted in the issues of

September 21, 1967.

THE BALTIMORE COUNTIAN

By Paul J. Morgan
Editor and Manager.
R.M.

PETITION FOR SPECIAL EXCEPTION 4th DISTRICT

ZONING: Petition for Special
Exception for Living Quarters
in a commercial building.

LOCATION: East side of
Reisterstown Road 76 feet North
of Westminster Pike.

DATE & TIME: MONDAY,
October 9, 1967 at 11:00 A.M.

PUBLIC HEARING: Room
108, County Office Building,
111 W. Chesapeake Avenue,
Towson, Maryland.

The Zoning Commissioner of
Baltimore County, by authority
of the Zoning Act and Regula-
tions of Baltimore County, will
hold a public hearing:

Petition for Special Exception
for Living Quarters in a com-
mercial building.

All that parcel of land in the
Fourth District of Baltimore
County.

BEGINNING at a spike driv-
en in a concrete sidewalk 35.3
feet East of the centerline of
Reisterstown Road and 76 feet
Northerly from the intersection
of the prolonged centerline of
Westminster Pike and the East
curb along Reisterstown Road,
said spike also being at the end
of the North 83 degrees 54
minutes 30 seconds West 149.99
foot line in the second parcel
of a Deed from Robert Gordon
Caples et al. to Vincent Tal-
bott Caples, Jr., dated April
12, 1962, recorded in Liber
W.J.R. No. 3978 folio 121 etc.,
thence binding on that parcel
the two following lines, North
07 degrees 16 minutes East
52.80 feet to a spike 21.7 feet
East of the center of Reisters-
town Road, thence leaving that
road, South 84 degrees 15 min-
utes East 150.00 feet to an
iron rod at the end of the North
07 degrees 16 minutes East
53.70 foot line in the first par-
cel of the aforementioned Deed,
thence binding thereon four
lines, South 23 degrees 06 min-
utes 10 seconds East 220.76 feet
to a pipe, South 14 degrees,
14 minutes West 92.14 feet to an
iron rod, North 83 degrees 09
minutes West 209.39 feet to a
pipe and North 07 degrees 16
minutes East 37.86 feet to a
pipe on the Northernmost side
and Eastermost end of an alley
9.64 feet wide and to the end
of the South 07 degrees 16 min-
utes West 53.70 foot line in the
second parcel of the Deed above
mentioned, thence binding on
that parcel and running along
the North side of the alley 9.64
feet wide with the use thereof
in common with others, North
83 degrees 54 minutes 30 sec-
onds West 149.99 feet to the
place of beginning, containing
six hundred thirty-five one
thousandths of an acre (0.635) of
land more or less.

BEING all of the same land
described in the above men-
tioned Deed from Robert Gordon
Caples et al. to Vincent Talbott
Caples, Jr., dated April 12,
1962, recorded in Liber W.J.R.
No. 3978 folio 121 etc.

Being the property of Vincent
J. Caples, as shown on plat plan
filed with the Zoning Depart-
ment.

Hearing Date: Monday, Oc-
tober 9, 1967 at 11:00 A.M.
Public Hearing: Room 108,
County Office Building, 111 W.
Chesapeake Avenue, Towson,
Md.

BY ORDER OF
JOHN G. ROSE,
ZONING COMMISSIONER
OF BALTIMORE COUNTY
Sept. 21

