

5W-5-D

mL

WILLIAM G. SCHMELYUN, ET AL E/S of Waelchill Ave. 639.52* Vera Ave.

13th

89

6

PETITION FOR ZONING RE-CLASUFICATION #69-193R AND/OR SPECIAL EXCEPTION

I, or we, William G. Schmelyun, et ekgal owner... of the property situate in Baltirror (MA)?

County and which is described in the description and plat attached hereto and made a part hereof. hereby petition (1) that the zoning status of the herein described property be re-classified, pursuant zone to an

...zone; for the following reasons

Change in the character of the neighborhood

Error in the original zoning man

See attached description

and (2) for a Special Exception, under the said Zoning Law and Zoning Regulations of Baltimore County, to use the herein described property, for

Property is to be posted and advertised as prescribed by Zoning Regulations.

I at we, agree to pay expenses of above re-classification and/or Special Exception advertising posting, etc., upon filing of this petition, and further agree to and are to be bound by the zoning regulations and restrictions of Baltimore County adopted pursuant to the Zoning Law for Baltimore

Bertha E. Schmelynn

Emma B. Schmiljan

Con ract purchase

national Ben

ORDERED By The Zoning Commissioner of Baltimore County this; 16th 19

196_8, that the subject matter of this petition be advertised, as required by the Zoning Law of Baltimore County, in two newspapers of general circulation through out Baltimore County, that property be posted, and that the public hearing be had before the Zoning Commissioner Gautty, that property be posted, and that the public hearing be had before 'the Zoniii Commissioner of Baltimor County is Room 106, County Office Building in Towson, Baltimor to 12 to 1 196.8. at 10:00 o'clock

LAW OFFICES RICHARD D. PAYNE
THE LAW BUILDING
26 W. PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE
TOWSON. MARYLAND 21204

RGBERT A. DICICCO

A. 15/20169

2/2//68

May 21, 1969

The County Board of Appeals County Office Beilding Towson, Maryland 21204

Re: Case No. 68-193-R
William G. Schmelyun, et al
for reclassification from R-6 to M.L.

Please be advised that I, as attorney, represent the following Protestants:

ARBUTUS CO. MUNITY ASSOCIATION. INC. Town Hall

1349 Stevens Avenue Arbutus, Maryland 21227

LAWRENCE W. KIDWELL 5242 Dewitt Road Arbutus, Maryland 21227

WILLIAM J. ALLEN 1541 Sulphur Spring Road Arbutus, Maryland 21227

JOHN '1. CORCORAN 5501 Heatherwood Road Arbutus, Maryland 21227

Richard D Payme

WILLIAM G. SCHMELYUN

NO 68-193-R 13th District

39.4 acres

Petition filed Ion. 16, 1968 7.C. DENIED reclassification Mar. 12

E/S Woolchli Ave. 639.52' NW of Vera Avenue

Order of Appeal to County Board of Appeals filed Board GRANTED reclassification on Parcels A and B Apr. 23, 1970

Order for Appeal filed in the Circuit Court by Richard D. May 15 Payne, Esq., Attorney for Protestants, Arbutus Community Association, et al. (File #4456)

Order for Appeal filed in the Circuit Court by John J. Bishop, Esq., Attorney for Protestants, Patrick J. Gollizzo, et al (File #4459

Record of proceedings filed in the Circuit Court July 22 Judge Barrett granted Motion for Suspension of Dismissal Rule (Md. Rule 530) Feb. 8, 1973

Apr. 13, 1976 Dismissed by the Circuit Court for want of prosecution without prejudice (from Court Docket)

See Case #12-96-R- under Cycle Zoning

Mauley F. Galely ATTORNEY AT LAW

SA 7-3068-9

233 EQUITABLE BLDG

County Bourd of Appeals County Office Building 111 West Chesapeake Avenue Towson, Maryland 21204

Please withdraw my name from the above cantioned case since I do not represent any of the participants

MFG/sde

Recd 12/6/68

L-bs	IN THE CIRCUIT COURT	FOR BALTIMOR COUNTY
		Docket No Folio No
vs.	Plaintiff	LAW-EQUITY
•		File No
	Defendant NOTIFICATION TO PARTIES	OF CONTEMPLATED DISMISSAL
"No	proceedings of record in the above-sty	led action having been taken within a period of cightee

months, all parties and their coursel of record are hereby notified, as provided by Maryland Rule 530, that an Order of Dismissal and Judgment for Costs for lack of prosecution will be entered after the expiration of thirty (30) days from the date of receipt of sale Motice unless prior to the expiration of said thirty (30) days a motion for suspension is filed pursuant to section - of Maryland Rule 523."

JOHN J. BISHOP, JR.

Mrs. Edith T. Eisenhart, Secretary

COUnty Board of Appeals County Office Building 111 West Chesapeake Avenue Towson, Maryland 21204

Gentlemen:

JJB:mls

Sincerely yours, /

the Doha John J. Bishop, Jr.

November 19, 1968

RE: Case No. 68-193-R, William G. Schmelyun, et al. for reclassification from R-6 to M.L. E/S Waelchili Ave. 639.52' NW of Vera Avenue, 13th District, 3/12/68 - Ruchassification Denied by Z.C.

Please enter my appearance as counsel for the Halethorpe Improvement Association, Inc. in regards to the above st-led matter which has been set in for hearing on Lauraday, December 5, 1968 at 10:00 a.m.

by regular mail, postage prepaid, this.

Clerk

Clerk

10 10

DATE

RE: Petition for Reclassification: From R-6 Zone to M-L Zone -E/S Waelchlai Ave., 639, 52°: N/W Vera Ave., 13th Dist., Wm. G. Schmelyun, et al.

Thi teenth District of Baltimore County.

BEFORE

Baltimore County

No. 68-193-R

The petitioners have requested reclassification, from an R-6 Zone to a M-L Zone, of property on the east side of Waelchili Avenue 639. 52 feet northwest of Vera Avenue in the

The Thirteenth District Zoning Map clearly indicates that in the triangle enclosed by the southerly-side of the Baltimore County Beltway, the southeast side of \(\tilde{\text{Prinspay}}\) vania Railroad and the southwesterly side of Interstate 95-S. there is no manufacturing soning whatsoever.

It is obvious that the County Council was not in error in approving Giss portion of the Map and the property should remain R-6.

For the above reasons the above reclassification should NOT BE HAD.

by the Zoning Commissioner of Baltimore County, ORDERED that the above reclassification be and the same is hereby DENIED and that the above described property opyrarea be and the same is hereby continued as and remain an R-6/Zone.

Joing Commissioner of Baltimore County

BALTIMORE COUNTY, MARYLAND

INTER-OFFICE CORRESPONDENCE

TO Mr. John to Rose, Joning Countestoner Date. February 1, 1968

FROM Secret E. Sevrelis, Director of Planning

SUBJECT. Petition #68-193-8. East mide of Washchill Avenue 639.52 fant Rorthment of Yere Avenue. Folition for Reclassification from R-6 to M.L. William G. Schmelyun, et al - Petitlemors

13th District

HEARING: Wednesday, February 21, 1968. (10:00 A.H.)

The planning staff of the Office of Planning and Zoning has reviewed the subject petition and offers the following community

The proparties the Comprehensive Resoning Man for the 19th Heartst, the possibility of classifying the 19th Heartst, the possibility of classifying the 19th Heartst, the possibility of classifying the sudgest proparties for the 19th Heartst, the possibility of classifying the possibility of the planning staff, the standard man for Council Cultumody is use decident that path the Council Cultumody is use decident that path and social moderation and evolutions at the south and social. The subject property, further, does not have and, for the foreseable that there, will he have good access to the freezay system; that lead access has not been planned. Creation of industrial sofing here would lead to an intension of conserval traffic into realizations. We sollive that the may are correct in maintenance. We sollive that the may are correct in maintenance where the property of the area severed by I-95.

REFORE

COUNTY BOARD OF APPEALS

OF

BALTIMORE COUNTY

No. 68-193-R

OPINION

This case comes before the Board on an appeal by the Petitioner from decision of the Zoning Commissioner, Acted March 12, 1968, denying the captioned petition, which seeks a reclassification from R-6 to M.L.

The subject property is located in Arbutus, in the Thirteenth Election
District of Baltimore County, on the east sida of Waelchli Avenue, 639.52 feet northwest
of Vera Avenue, and is on the west side of 1-95 which is now under construction. It is
located within the southwest quadrant formed by the intersection of the Baltimore County
Beltway and the new Intersacte 95 highway.

Testimony heard in this lengthy case revealed that the subject had previously consisted of thirty-four contiguous acres in one ownership, and due to paper streets, out conveyances and takings for public roads, now leaves a remainder of 18.81 acres divided into five separate and irregularly sha, ed parcels, which are illustrated on the plat entered in evidence as Petitioner's Exhibit #1.

Parcel D contains 0.86 acr	res
Parcel E contains 0.50 acr	res

The Petitioner cmended his petition to eliminate the request for reclassification of a lot 50 feet wide by 182 feet long; soid lot is shown enc. reled in red markings on Exhibit g 1.

The entire property is presently zoned R-6. It was described as unimproved, and while new lying dormant it had been for a number of years operated as a
sand and gravel quarry. Prior to that it had been a brick yard. It is of very rough
and irregular topography, with a \$5 foot differential in elevation between the high and lov

William G. Schmelyun - #68-193-R

- 5 -

(Zanning Case *67-29-R), and the construction of the Post Office | and garage, all being adjacent to the subject. He emphasized the tremendous change in the area, and the impact that the new roads have on the subject since the adoption of the comprehensive zoning map; namely:

- The Beltway was indicated on the 1959 zoning map but was not constructed until 1962
- The alignment of proposed Potomac Avenue was changed in the last three or four years by the County and the State Road Commission, relucating if fro. The west side of the subject to a course through the center of the subject. It being a henry road, 42 feet of paving an a 50 foot intelliging.
- At the time of the adoption of the map in 1959, there was no definite plan for the alignment of proposed I-95

 CONTENTS

 CONTENTS
- The design, fixing location, and acquisition of property for the 1-95 interchange was accomplished in 1968/69
- 1-95 is the heaviest expressway in the Baltimore area, and as late as 1962 no grade alignment had been set, nor had property owners affected by it been advised of expressed bridges are in and crossing.
- 6. Sulphur Spring Road relocated was approved by the SRC in 1962.

The Petitioner's expert witness, Mr. Frederick Klaus, recommended that Parcels C, D and E should be zoned M.L.R. instead of M.L. as further protection for the residences along the south side of Suiphur Spring Road, but that Parcels A and B should be zoned M.L.

Several residents of the area, as well as representatives of the Arbutus

Community Association and the Halethorpe Improvement Association, testified in apposition
to granting the petition. Their objections generally were that they anticipated an
increase in traffic that would be generated, particularly truck traffic, endangering
pedestrian safety; that their property values would be depreciated, and that residential
land is needed in the area. They acknowledged that the subject property is row an

William G. Schmelyun - #68-193-R

points of the property. It is partially barren and partially in scrubby brushland. It repeatedly has been subject to unauthorized Jumping of trash and litter. Much of the land was backfilled without compacting during the course of the quarry operation, and is too unstable to support buildings without providing pilings. The ground water table was reported to be high and troublesome. Many springheads were encountered by the Petitioner when he graded the adjacent Fost Office site, requiring him to install special conduit facilities as a provision for perpetual drainage. The name of Sulphur Spring Road, he said, is derived from the springs common to the area.

- 2 -

-6-

It was shown that extensive changes in roadways have been mode, or are in the process of being constructed, through and around the subject property; (See plats, entered as Petitioner's Exhibits #1 and #12). 1-95, a major highway, is being cut through along the easterly side of the site. The excovated cut is as much as 65 feet below grade of the subject, and creates a very steep bank from the subject to the bed of the right-of-way. This highway bisects old Sulphur Spring Road causing it to become dead and at the highway. A new or relocated Sulphur Spring Road, which runs through the property and separctes Parcels C, D and E from the remainder, is under construction.

It will serve as an access road from the old Sulphur Spring Road to the new 1-95 highway. Its width through the property ranges from 100 to 200 feet, and will initially have 56 feet of paving. Additionally, portially completed Potamac Avenue, 60 feet wide, as shown on Exhibit #1, will curve through the property, separating Parcels A and 8, and connect with the dispersementioned relocated Sulphur Spring Road.

The Petitioner, and his expert witnesses, claimed that the problems inherent to the rite; such as, its irregular configuration, rugged and uneven topography, high water table, unstable ground conditions, and the impact of the many heavy roads, prevent it from being developed in its present R-6 classification, both from a physical and accommic standpoint. If successful in his petition, he plans to develop a light industrial park, primarily with warehouses, and being similar in general appearance to Security Industrial Park. He claimed that no adverse effect would result on neighboring residential properties. Buth sides agreed that satisfactory sewer and water utilities are available.

William G. Schmelyun - #68-193-R

yun - -00-173-k

eyesore; is detrimental to their property values in its present state; and that all of Arbutus is badly cut up by major public roads.

At the conclusion of the hearing, counsel requested the Board to impact the property before deciding the case. After viewing the property, the neighborhood, and carefully weighing the testimony presented, the Board recognizes that this is a complex case. The impaction of the site fortified the testimony that the subject property is a "pocket" of land "clobbered" by massive roads, and has unusual inherent physical problems influencing its development.

Testimony by both sides conclusively indicated to the Board that at the worst there will be no greater volume of traffic generated by M.L. than if the site were developed as R-6. No doubt more truck traffic will result from the M.L. than the R-6 however, trucks have been in and out of the subject in the past years hauling sand and gravel without hindering residential growth. Petitioner's photograph (Exhibit #4-b) gives some indication of the large fleet of trucks stationed at the adjacent Post Office. Board believes that granting the potition will not result in a development that will unduly not convincingly proven, but the Fetitioner has proven overwhelmingly to the Board's satisfaction that there has been substantial "change" in the character of the neighborhood, by virtue of the road changes and the construction of the Post Office, garage, and Colony Apartments. The past use of the subject property as a sand and gravel pit has not been residential, and total denial of the petition would be confiscatory in nature. C. D and E are exceptions to this since they are oriented to the residential area on the south side of Sulphur Spring Road and are effectively separated from the remainaer of the subject by relocated Sulphur Spring Road; they should be denied. The few R-6 homes existing along Waelchli Avenue, and the Caiony Apartments can continue to be as well screened from the subject in the future as they are now, through control of the site plan approval by

William G. Schmelyun - 68-193-R

(6)

The mixed zoning surrounding the site was described as including R-A to the southwest, across Waelchli Avenue, which area has been developed with 116 apartment units, accupied, and known as the Colony Hill Apartments; a B.L. zone to the north, which is the site of a new Post Office and a maintenance garage; R-6 zones located toward the south along Waelchli Aranue and to the north along old Sulphur Spring Road, which areas have been daveloped with several varied type individual houses. The P. B. and W. Railroad traverses in a north-aouth direction approximately 90 feet west of the subject. Continuing farther to the east and to the west are other extensive M.L. zones

The Petitioner's traffic expert, Mr. John Erdman, testified that the proposed M.L. facility would generate an estimated 900 automotive trips per day, with 138 trips being developed at peel: hours. This, he said, was less uverage daily traffic than could be expected if the property were developed in its R-6 category generating an anticipated 1100 trips daily, or in an R-A category generating 2220 trips. He estimated that currently five percent of the total traffic along old Sulphur Spring Road is truck traffic, removing it from the role of a residential street, and that twenty percent of the traffic generated by the M.L. proposal would be truck traffic. From his personal experience of living in the development of Chadwick, near the Security Industrial Park, he has observed that the truck traffic is not a ruisance there, and he felt it would not be a nuisance here. He stated that Sulphur Spring Road, 24 feet wide, would have a capacity of 1900 vehicles per hour. He concluded, from his analysis, that the existing and proposed roads would adequately serve the area, and that developing the subject site os petitioned would not unduly cause traffic cangestion.

Cisputing Mr. Erdman's testimony and disagnesing with him on all points except with regard to the expected volume of truck traffic, was Protestants' a pert witness, Dr. W. W. Ewell. Dr. Ewell claimed, arm his studies, that Mr. Erdman's 1900 vehicles per hour estimated capacity of Sulphur Spring Road was exaggerated by 800 cars per hour, and that in his opinion the expected peak traffic generation of 108 trips per hour, also stated by Mr. Erdman, world more likely be 190 to 200 trips per peak hour. He theorized this figure by computing an a basis of 10 car trip, per acre (10 x 18.81 acres).

- 7 -

William G. Schmelyun - #68-193-R

Baltimore

/ County's Department of Planning.

From the testimony presented and from conclusions drawn from an Laspection of this site, some details of which have been briefly stated in the aforegoing opinion, the Board hereby denies the position on Parcels C, D and E, and grants the petitioned reclassification from R-6 to M.L. on Parcels A and 3, as shown on Petitioner's plat, Fullsit #1.

ORDER

For the resuons set forth in the aforegoing Opinion, it is this 23rd day of April, 1970 by the County Board of Appeals, OR DERED that the reclassification petitioned for on Parcels C, D and E, be and the same is hereby DENIED, and

FURTHER ORDERS that the reclassification petitioned for an Parcels A and B, from an R-6 zone to an M.L. zone, be and the same is hereby GRANTED.

Any appeal from this decision must be in accordance with Chapter 1100, subtitle 8 of Maryland Rules of Procedure, 1961 edition.

COUNTY BOARD OF APPEALS OF BALTIMORE COUNTY

John A. Slowik, Acting Chairman

W. Giles Parker

ant list

William G. Schmelyun - *68-193-R

-3-

188 cars), whereas Mr. Erdman used twelve percent of his projected average daily traffic to arrive at a peak hour use of 108 cars (12% of 900 = 108). Dr. Ewell v.as of the opinion that the traffic signal at Sulphur Spr. or keed and Washington Soulevard would have to be recycled to permit more grean time for Sulphu. Spr. or Road traffic and less green time for Washington Boulevard traffic, and still, he colized, there would be congestion and backup of traffic. However, he believed that an R-6 development of the property would generate the same volume of traffic as an Mr.L. development. He was close concerned that the approximate two miles distance between the subject and the Beltwoy interchange, via new Sulphur Spring Road, would encourage truck traffic to use a shorter route through residential treets.

On cross examination, Dr. Ewell read into the record the official comments prepared for this case by Boltimore County's traffic engineer which stated in part:

> "The proposed intersection of Potomac Avenue and relocated sulphur Spring Road appears as planned and should be adequat to accommodate the 1500 trips/day generated from the site".

The Petitioner put on lengthy testimon, of change and error to just by his petition, some of which has already been recited in this report. Additionally, he showed that the Office of Planning and the Planning Board at one time did recommend M.L. zoning for the subject. He claims it was error for them to later reverse themselves. Mr. George E. Gavrells, Director of Planning, denied this contention of error, and held that the property should remain residential. Mr. Malcolm Dill, the Director of Planning at the time of the adoption of the zoning map, testified that while in his pinion there was no original error in the zoning adopted for the property, it is logical and conservable that the subject, saving Parcels C, D and E, now could be reclassified as M.R. or M.L.K., the subject specified in the subject is a "row" piece of land; that the R-6 classification is "O.K.", but R-A zoning is preferable.

Changes cited by the Petitioner since the adoption of the comprehensive zoning map in 1959 included the reclassification of the Ring property from R-6 to R-A

LAW OFFICES

COOK, MUDD, JURRAY & HOWARD
MERCANTILE-TOWSON BUILDING
400 WASHINGTON AVENUE
TOWSON, MARYLAND 21204

* # E * + O D

60

December 2, 1974

Mrs. Edith T. Eisenhart County Board of Appeals County Office Building Towson, Maryland 21204

Re: Zoning File No. 68-193-R William G. Schmelyun E/S Waelchll Ave. 639.52' NW of Vera Avenue 13th District

Dear Ediri

I have your letter of November 20th. You are correct in assuming that Case No. 68-193-R is moot; and if you want anything from me, you have my authorization as per this letter to dismiss that old case if you are still carrying it on your docket. However, since the appeal was taken by the protestants, I guess you would rather have a notice of dismissal by them.

Very truly yours,

James H. Cook

JHC:rm c.c.: Richard D. Payne, Esq.

828 0990

Rec'd. 14/3/74

2/24/15 - Der Begrie der gering to election and the and of the start o

BALTIMORE COUNTY OFFICE OF PLANNING AND ZONING COUNTY OFFICE BUILDING TOMSON, HARYLAND 21204

Frank E. Cicone, Esq., First National Bank Towney, Maryland 21204

SUBJECT: Reclassification from 8-6 to Mail-for Williem G. Schmeligums, located on Market Sera Ave., 2001 No. of weight! Ave. 2th District [ten 79, January 23, 1968]

Door Stre

The Zoning Advisor Countitee has reviewed the subject patition and has the ing comments to offers

NEALTH DEPARTMENT:
Public utilities must be indicated and musts of providing public water and sewer
this site. These must be shown on revised plans prior to the hearing.

TRAFFIC ENGINEERINGS
This office will submit comments at a later date.

FIRE CUREAUS.

STATE ROADS COUNTESTIBLE
There is a perpetual assement for outlet ditch proposed that will affect the site.
Any change in the definings area must be approved by the State Roads Countission.
Any change in the definings area must be approved by the State Roads Countission in the plan must be revised to indicate the proposed assement and the changes in the proposed RV line for Interstate Roate 95 and relocated Sulphur Spring Road, proposed RV line for Interstate Roate 95 and relocated Sulphur Spring Road,

IONING ADMINISTRATION DIVISION: In view of the above comments this office is withholding a hearing date until such in the of the above comments.

The slove commonts are not intended to indicate the appropriateness of the soring action requested, but to assure that all parties are used marks of plans or problems that may have a bearing on, this case, the section and/or the Seputy of the Office of Plansing and Service and of the September 1 submit recommendations on the appropriateness of the requested soring 10 days before the Zoning Commissioner's hearing.

The following members had no comment to offers

Project Planning Division Building Engineer Board of Education Industrial Davelopment

Very truly yours. TAMES E. DYER.

#Bold CC: Carlyle Sroom-Sur. of Engr.; William Greenmalt-Health Dept.; C. Richard Moore-Sur. of Traffic Engr: Cept. Chis. Hospital Fire Dept.; John Neyers-State Reads Comm.

FRANK E. CICONE Atterney At Law FIRST NATIONAL BANK BLDG. TOWSON, MARYLAND 21244 March 20, 1968



Mr. John G. Rose
Zoring Commissioner
Baltimore County Office
of Plenning and Toning
County Office Building
111 W. Chesapeake Avenue
Towson, Maryland 21204

Dear Mr. Rose:

Re: Petition for Reclassification from R-6 Zone to M-L Zone E/S Waelchill Avenue, 639,52° N/W Yers Avenue, 13th District, William G. Thmelyun, et al., Petitioners - No. 68-193-E

I am hereby taking an appeal to the founty Board of Appeals on behalf of William G. Schmelyun, et al., Fetitiomers, to the Order rendered in the above-entitled case on the 12th day of March, 1964

I am enclosing herewith a check to cover the cost of this ap-

Very truly yours,

Frank E. Cicone Attorney for Petitioners

FEC:bil

PATRICK I. GALLIZZO In The Matter of the Application of the Petition For Reclassifi-cation From An R-5 Zone to an Appellant M.L. Zone, Et Al vs. Circuit Court for Baltimore County Docket Misc. 9 BOARD OF APPEALS FOR BALTIMORE COUNTY Folio No. 7 File No. 4459 Appellee

. . . MOTION FOR SUSPENSION OF DISMISSAL RULE

. .

Patrick J. Gallizzo, Et Al, Appellants, by John T. Bishop, Jr., their Attorney, moves for the suspension of Maryland Rule 530 pursuant to Section C of the said Rule and for reasons states:

- 1. The above captioned case involves an appeal from the County Board of Appeals ruling whereby the subject property was rezoned M. L.
- 2. That the granting of the reclassification would work great damages and hardship on the said appellants.
- ? That no action has been taken on part of the appellants since the appellee has not complied with local Rule 2.3, although the appellants have filed their memorandum in accordance with said Rule.
- 4. That a comprehensive zoning map was adopted by the County Council on April 23, 1970, whereby the said property was zoned D R 5.5, which rezoning supercedes the reclassification by the said Board
- 5. That the appellants are apprehensive that the dismissal of this appeal may be prejudicial to their position since a dismissal may leave the case in a posture whereby the Board of Appeals findings would be the last standing action in this case.

JOHN J. BISHOP, JR. 600 Best Joppa Road Towson, Maryland 21204 823-6301 Attorney for the Appellants

FFR -7 68 PM

ZONING DEPARTMENT

Date February 68 1958 4

INTER-OFFICE CORRESPONDENCE

BUREAU OF TRAFFIC ENGINEERING

Baltimore County, Macyland

Towson, Maryland 21204

Review of the subject plan dated November 16, 1967 results in the following comments.

The proposed intersection of Potomac Avenue and relocated Sulphur Spring Road appears as planned and should be adequate to accommodate the 1500 trips/day generated from the site.

It should be pointed out, however, the existing road system could not be expected to handle this volume of industrial traffic.

This office, therefore, recommends that if zoning is granted, occupancy should take place until the intersection of Potomac use and relocated Sulphur Spring Road is constructed.

Zoning Petition 58-193-R R-6 - M.L. Zone East side of Waelchili Avenue 639.53 feet northwest of Vera Avenue

Eugene J. Clifford

FROM

CERTIFICATION OF MAILING

I HEREBY CERTIFY this & H day of February, 1973, that a copy of the foregoing Metion For Suspension of Dismissal Rule was mailed to County Board of Appeals, County Office Building, Towson, Md. 21204; Richard D. Payne, Esq., 26 W. Pennsylvania Avenue, Towson, Md. 21204: and Frank E. Cicone, Esq., Jefferson Building, Tgwson, Md. 21204

> TOHN LABISHOP IR 600 East Joppa Road Towson, Maryland 21204 823-6301 Attorney for Appellants

PATRICK I. CALLIZZO In the Matter of the Application of the Petition For Reclassification From an R-6 Zone to an M.L. Zone, Annallant VS Circuit Court for Baltimore County BOARD OF APPEALS FOR Docket Misc. 9 BALTIMORE COUNTY Folio No. 7 File No. 4459 Appellee .

ORDER

grant of and the effect of Maryland Rule 530 A is hereby suspended.

It is ORDERED this 8 H. day of 1/2016 , 1973, tha the foregoing Motion For Suspension of Dismissal Rule be and it is hereby

Ch HAGAN & HOLDEFER

#68-19312 SURVEYORS AND CIVIL ENGINEERS

MAP #13 SEC. 2-A

November 22 1967.

5W-5-D ML DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY TO BE RECLASSIFIED FROM E-6 to M.I

HEGINAING for the same on the northwest side of Vera Avenue at a paint situate North AO degrees 01 mirute 15 seconds East 200 feet from the corner formed by the intersection of said northwest side of Vera Avenue with the northeast side of Maelchili Avenue, thence leaving said place of beginning and running and binding on said northest side of Vera Avenue North 40 degrees O1 minute 15 seconds East 544.05 feet, thence leaving said northwest side of Vera Avanue and running the two following courses and distances, viz: South 48 degrees 32 minutes 12 seconds East 155.09 feet and thence North 16 degrees 10 minutes 48 seconds East 932.77 feet to the south side of Spruce Avenue, thence running and binding on said south side of Spruce Avenue South 88 degrees 08 minutes West 631.75 Yest, thence leaving said south side of Spruce Avenue and running the 3 following courses and distances, vis: South 01 degree 52 minutes East 150 feet, thence South 83 degrees 08 minutes West 50 feet and thence North 01 degree 52 minutes West 180 feet to the north side of Spruce Avenue, thence running and binding on the north side of Spruce Avenue North 38 degrees 08 minutes East 580 feet, thence leaving said north side of Spruce Avenue and running the 4 following courses and distances, viz: North 01 degree 52 minutes West 162 feet, thence North 74 degrees 57 minutes 34 seconds West 13..65 Feet, thence South 88 degrees CV minutes West 180 Feet and thence Forth Of degree 52 minutes West 179.47 feet to the south side of Sulphur Spring Road, thence running and bigding on said south side of Sulptur Spring Road North 88 degrees 22 minutes West 50.09 feet, thence leaving said couth side of Sulphur Spring Road and running the 3 following courses and distances, vis: South 01 degree 52 minutes East 182.52 feat, thence South 88 degrees 08 minutes Nest 500 feet and

100

Page 2

68-193R

Description of Property to be Reclassified from R-6 to M.L.

thence North Of degree 52 minutes West 136.55 feet to said south side of Sulphur Spring Road, thence running and binding on said south side and on the southeast side of Sulmbur Spring Road the 2 following courses and distances, vis: by a curve to the left in a southwesterly direction for a distance of 235 feet, more or less, the arc of which is subtended by a chord bearing South 63 degrees 14 minutes West 230.58 feet to the end of said curve and thence South 56 degrees 28 minutes West 218.09 feet, thence leaving said south east side of Sulphur Spring Road and running the 3 following courses and distances, vix: South 44 degrees East 352.00 feet, thence South 56 degrees 23 minutes West \$67.46 feet and thence North 33 degrees 37 minutes West 200 feet to the southeast side of Waelchili Avenue, thence running and binding on said southeast side and on the east, northeast, northwest and Aurtheast side of said Waslehili Avenue the 8 following course and distances, viz: South 56 degrees 23 minutes West 345.22 feet to a point of curve, thence by a curve to the left with a chord bearing South 29 degrees 01 minute West 116.09 feet to the end of said curve, thence South 01 degree 57 minutes West 30.61 feet, thence North 88 degrees 03 minutes West 3.77 feet, thence South 00 degrees 50 minutes West 182.03 feet, thence South 48 degrees 18 minutes East 598.22 feet, thence North 39 degrees 30 minutes East 392.60 feet and thence Scuth 49 degrees 52 minutes 30 seconds East 639.52 feet to the place of beginning.

Containing 39.4 acres of land more or less.

Saving & Excepting thereform the right-of-way for the proposed 1-95 interstate highway and for the proposed realignment of Potomac Avenue.

This description was prepared for soning cruposes only and is not

d. Could Ho

MAY 1 1 1976

TO THE HONGRAPLE, THE JUDGE OF SAID COURT!

case held sub curla

And now come John A. Slowik, W. Giles Parker and Walter A. Reiter, Jr., constituting the County Board of Appeals of Baltimore County, and in answer to the Order for Appeal directed against them in this case, herewith return the record of proceedings had in the above entitled matter, consisting of the following certified copies or original popers on file in the office of the Zoning Department of Baltimore County:

ZONING ENTRIES FROM DOCKET OF ZONING COMMISSIONER OF BALTIMORE COUNTY

No. 68-193-R

21

16, 1968	Petition of William G. Schmelyun, et al for reclassification from an R-6 zone to un M.L. zone on property located on the cast side of Wastchill Avenue 639.52 feet northwest of Vera Avenue, 13th District - filed
*	Order of Zoning Commissioner directing advertisement and posting of property - date of hearing set for February 21, 1968 at 10:00 a.m.
1	Certificate of Publication in newspaper - filed
8	Certificate of Posting of property - filed
	,

Order of Zoning Commissioner denying reclassification Order of Appeal to County Board of Appeals from Order of Zoning 26

At 10:00 a.m. hearing held on patition by Zaning Commissioner -

Hearing on appeal before County Board of Appeals May 22, Juny 26 Oct. 21 22, 1969 case held sub curia Order of County Board of Appeals granting reclassification on Parcels A and B, and denying reclassification on Parcels C, D and E

SITH

RE:			IN THE
	from an K-6 zone to an M.L. zone E/S Woeichil Avenue 639.52*	1	CIRCUIT COURT
	NW of Vera Avenue 13th District	1	FOR
	William G. Schmelyun, Petitioner	:	BALTIMORS COUNTY
	Zoning File 68-193-R	:	AT LAW
	Arbetus Community Association,		Miss. Docket No. 9
	inc., et al		Miss. Docker 145.
	Patrick J. Gallizo, et al,	1	Folio No. 5 & 7
	Protestants-Appellants		File No. 4455 & 4459
	1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1	: : :	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1

ANSWER TO ORDER OF APPEAL TO CIRCUIT COURT FOR BALTIMORE COUNTY AND CERTIFIED COPIES OF PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE ZONING COMMISSIONER AND BOARD OF APPEALS OF BALTIMORE COUNTY

MR. CLERK:

Please Tle. & c.

Edith 1. Fiseshort, Adm. Secretory by Board of Appeals of Boltimare County

co: Frank E. Cicone, Esq. John J. Bishop, E.q. Richard D. Payne, Esq. 13, 1970 Order for Appeal filled in the Circuit Court for Battimore County by Richard D. Payne, Esq. on behalf of Arbutus Community Assn., Inc.,

Petition to Accompany Order for Appeal filed in the Circuit Court for Baltimara County by Richard D. Payne, Esq. 18

Order for Appeal filed in the Circuit Court for Baltimore County by 20 John J. Bishop, Jr., Esq. on behalf of Patrick J. Gallizzo, et al

Petition to Accompany Order for Appeal filed in the Circuit Court for Baltimore County by John J. Bishop, Jr., Esq. 21 Certificate of Notice sent to all interested parties on appeal taken on

shalf of Arbeites Community Assn., Inc., et al Certificate of Notice sent to all interested parties on appeal taken on behalf of Patrick J. Gallizzo, et al

Motion to Extend Time to July 30, 1970 for filling of transcript, filed by Richard D. Bayne, Esq., and Order of the Court granting same June 12

Matter to Extend Time to July 30, 1970 for filling of transcript, filled by John J. Bishop, Jr., Esq., and Order of the Court granting same

Transcript of Testimony filed - 2 Volumes 21

20

Petitioners' Exhibi No. 1 - Plat of subject property prepared by

" 2 - (a, b & c) Photos - 1961 - of subject

Photo - relocation of Sulphur Spring Rd. drainage ditches

- Photo - Post Office Building

(a thru e) Photos - 1961 - of subject * 5 -

13th District Proposed Zoning Map by Zoning Commissioner Wilsle Adams -3-26-59 - as letroduced prior to County Council 5/59 7 - 13th District proposed zoning for Wilsle Adores - 3/23/59

13th District map as adopted 6/2/59 . 8 original without changes

Minutes of Planning Board 5/5/59

" 10 - (a thru s) Photos - Klaus - 5/20/69

* 11 - Latter - Halethorpe

Map 2-A, Catanzville and Arbutus

ARBUTUS COMMUNITY ASSOCIATION, INC. THE THE

> APPRIJANTS . CIRCUIT COURT

JOHN A. SLOWIX

vs.

APPELLERS

BALTIMORE COUNTY WILLIAM G. SCHMELYUN Misc. Doc. 9 INTERVENING APPELLEES Folio File 4456

MOTION TO EXTEND TIME

Petition of Arbutus Community Association, etal cy Richard D. Pagne, their attorney, respectfully moves that the time for the transmission of the Baltimore County Board of Appeals records, exhibits and transcript of the testimony taken before the Baltimore County Board of Appeals be extended until July 30, 1970 for the following reason:

1. That C. Leonard Perkins, Court Reporter for the Baltimore County Board of Appeals, has advised the attorney for appellants that additional time as requested will be required in this care for his preparation of the transcript of testimony.

WHEREFORE, the petitioners pray this Monorable Court issue an order extending the time for transmission of the Baltimore County Board of Appeals records, exhibits and transcript of testimuny of its proceedings in this case to July 30, 1970.

Richard D. Payne 26 W. Pennsylvania Avenue Towson, 41, 21204 828-8990 Artorney for Petitioners-

1945 Official Zoning Map, updated to Protestants' Exhibit A

1959 - 13th District Official Zoning - Reard Opinion of 1/14/55, save #2926 C

- 3 -

Petition for reclassification from R to Industrial - 1954 -- Caton Sand Co.

Copy of Notice to Members - Arbutus Improvement Assn. 5/8/69

Affidavit certifying Scott as Zoning

Resolution apposed to reclassification Arbutus Community Assn. - Resolution - Halethorpe Imp. Assn.

13th District Master Plan, 1/30/59 (Planning Board copy with overlay) Dr. Ewell's map as to traffic and

July 22, 1970 Record of proceedings filled in the Circuit Court for Boltimore County

Record of proceedings pursuant to which said Order was entered and said Board acted are permanent records of the Zoning Department of Baltimore County, as are also the use district maps, and your Respondents respectively suggest that it would be Inconvenient and inappropriate to flie the same in this proceeding, but your Respondents will produce any and all such rules and regulations, together with the zone use district mans, at the hearing on this polition or whenever directed to do so by this Court.

Respectfully submitted

Edith T. Eisenhort, Adm. Secretary County Board of Appeals of Baltimore County

I MEREBY CERTIFY that on this day of Jine, 1970 a copy of the foregoing Motion to Extend Time was mailed to County Board of Appeals, County Office Building, Towson, Maryland 21204 and John J. Bishop, Jr., Esq., 606 Loyola Federal Buidling, Towson, Maryland 21204 and Frank E. Cicone, Est, First National Bank Building, Towson, 4d. 21204. Quehard W. Jayre

Richard D. Payne 26 W. Pennsylvania Avenue (21204) Attorney for Petitioners -

Appellants

CERTIFICATE OF POSTING ZONING DEPARTMENT OF BALTIMORE COUNTY

(i) In I

	CALCULATE AND A CONTROL OF THE ADDRESS OF THE ADDRE
1. S. S.	
District	Date of Posting
Posted for Manufacture to miner	
Petitioner	detemplace
Location of property: 25 9 4 4	chapalle have been to
a property January	equeries productions
	7, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3,
Location of Signs (2 3.42 2.42)	iphur years he -in Manistelesias tee. E.
30 . Els & Wardeh la live for hi	porther (2) 30 September to in Commen
Remarks: 1160 Coffward chile for	E in the I sulphus alla, the
Posted by	Date of coturn
Signature	The second secon

CERTIFICATE OF POSTING ZONING DEPARTMENT OF SALTIMORE COUNTY

3 21900

6

215	
District	Date of Posting
Posted for Epp. H.	
Petitioner William of Sc	horelyeur
Location of property 55 2/4/48/4/4	14 Ave 630 " No of John Hoe
	4
Location of Signs: 500 25 201441	Louise Con SE/s . Vach hill her
Gras Williams of one in 8h 1 bis.	The late of 15 6 - weeken how alf to
Remarks	
Posted by	Du 4-4- 4 // 63
Posted by Signature	Date of return.

ARBUTUS COMMUNITY ASSOCIATION, INC. *

CIRCUIT COURT APPELLANTS

FOR JOHN A. SLOWIK

APPELLEES BALTIMORE COUNTY

WILLIAM G. SOMELYUN Misc. Doc.9 Folio 5

File INTERVENING APPELLERS

ORDER

IT IS ORDERED this day or June, 1970, that in

accordance with Rule 70 of the Maryland Rules of Procedure and upon the foregoigg Motion, the time for transmission of the records, exhibits and transcript of testimony in the above captioned case be and the same is hereby extended to July 30, 1970.

- 2 -

PATRICK! GALIEZO 98 Waelchli Averue baltimore, Maryland 21227

Vernon E . MURPHY

102 Wasichli Avenue Baltimore, Maryland 21227 DONALD STEVENS 1553 Sulphur Spring Road Baltimore, Maryland 21227

IN THE

COSCUIT COURT

BALTIMORE COUNTY

POR

AT LAV

HELEN MCGARRIGLE 1550 Sulphur Spring Road Baltimore, Maryland 212°7

ELSIE FICKE 1405 Woodside Avenue

Baltimore, Maryland 21227 CLAUDIA EASTON 1557 Sulphur Spring Road Baltimore . Maryland 21227

ROBERT REINHOLDS 1548 Sulphur Spring Rca

Saltimore, Maryland 21227

TOSEPH P. KINSEY 1519 Woodside Avenue Baltimore, Maryland 21227

TORN A. SLOWIK

W. GILES PARKER

WATTER A REITER IR.

Constituting the County Board of Appeals of Baltimore County County Office Building Towson, Maryland 21204

Appslices

RE. PETITION FOR RECLASSIFICATION IN THE from R-6 zone to M.L. zone
E/S of Woelchii Avenue 639.52*
NW of Vera Avenue
13th District
William G. Schmelyun, CIRCUIT COURT BALTIMORE COUNTY Zoning File No. 68-193-R AT LAW Patrick J. Gallizzo, et al Mien. Docket No. 7 4459

CERTIFICATE OF NOTICE

Mr. Clark:

Pursuant to the provisions of Rule 1101-B (4) of the Maryland Rules of Procedure, Join A. Slowik, W. Giles Parker and Walter A. Reiter, Jr., constituting the County Esard of Appeals of Baltimore County, Love given notice by mail of the filing of the Appeal to the representative of every party to the proceeding before it; namely, Frank E. Cicone, Esq., First National Bank Bullaing, Towson, Maryland 21204, Attorney for the Patitioner, and John J. Bishop, Jr., Eur., Loyola Federal Pullding, Towson, Maryland 21204, and Richard D. Payne, Esq., 26 W. Pennsylvania Avenue, Towson, Maryland 21204, Attorneys for the Protestants, a copy of which Notice is attached hereto and prayed that it may be made a part thereof.

> Edith T. Eisenhort Adm. Securory County Board of Appeals of Baltimore County County Office Building, Towson, Md. 21234 494-3180

I hereby certify that a copy of the aforegoing Certificate of Notice has been mailed to Frank E. Cicone, Esq., First Notional Bank Building, Towson, Maryland 21204, Atherney for the Petitioner, and John J. Bishop, Jr., Esq., Layola Federal Building, Towers: Maryland 21204, and Richard D. Payne, Esq., 26 W. Penneylvania Avenue, Towson, Maryland 21204, Attorneys for the Protesionts, on this 21st dry of May, 1970.

Edith T. Elsenbart, Adm. Secretary County Board of Appeals of Baltimore County

PETITION IM SUPPORT OF APPEAL

The Petition of Patrick J. Gallizzt , Vernon E. Murphy, Donald Stevens. Helen McGarrigle, Elsie Hicks, Chudia Easton, Robert Reinholds, and Joseph P. Kinsey, by John J. Bishop, Jr., their attorney, respectfully represents unto

- 1. That the above-named Appellants are property owners and appeared as Protestants before the Zoning Commissioner of Baltimore County and the County Board of Appeals of Baltimore County and opposed the granting of the zoning reclassification in connection with Zoning File No. 68-193-R pertaining to property k cated in Arbutus, in the Thimsenth Election District of Baltimore County, on the east side of Waelchi Avenue, 639.52 Feet corthwest of Vere Avenue and that the said Appellants are aggrieved parties as a result of the opinion and order of the County Board of Appeals of Baltimore County dated April 23, 1970, by which Parcels A and B as shown on the Petitioner's Exhibit No. I were granted zoning reclassification from R-6 zone to M.L. zone.
- 2. That the said order of the Board and the opinion upon which it is based are clearly arbitrary, capricious, illegal, unsupported by the swidence, unreasonable and against the public interest in that:
 - A. The evidence substantiates the fect that ther, was no er,or in the original zoning and that there has not been a substantial change in the character of the neighborhood that would have warranted a reclassification.
 - 3. The opinion of the said Board of Appeals contains emoneous references to the evidence, specifically but not limited to the wiath and existence of roads and streets in the immediate area.
 - C. The opinion contains erroneous references

-2-

IN THE MATTER REPORE THE OF THE APPLICATION BOARD OF APPEALS OF THE PETITION FOR THE RECLASSIFICATION FROM an R-6 Zone to an M.L. Zone E/S Waelchi Avenue 539.52 PATTIMORY CONTACT NW of Vera Avenue 13th District illiam G. Schmelyun

ORDER FOR APPEAL

Please enter an appeal to the Circuit Court for Baltimore County, At Law, on behalf of Patrick J. Gallingo, Vernon E. Murphy, Donald Stevens Helen McGarrigle, Elsie Hicke, Claudia Easton, Robert Reinholds & Joseph P. Kinsey, from the Order of the County Board of Appeals of Baltimore County dated April 23, 1970, by which the Board granted reclassification of percels A and B, from R-6 Zone to M.D. Zone, krown as Case No. 68-193-R. involving property located at the cast side of Waelchi Avenue, 639.52 feet north west of Vers Avenue into the 13th Election District of Baltimore County

> TOWN T BISHOP TR 606 Loyola Federal Building Towson, Maryland 21204 823-6301 Attorney for Appellants

to testimony produced before the Board and refers to testimony that was never produced before the Board.

D. The finding of " . . . substantial change in the character of the neighborhood by virtue of the mad changes and the construction of the Post Office, garage, and Colony Apartments . . . " on which the Board based its granting of the reclassification from R-6 to M.L. zoning is clearly and totally without any foundation in fact and in law.

E. And for such other and further reasons which may be assigned at the hearing of this appeal.

WHEREFORE, your Petitioners pray

- 1. That this Monorable Court reverse the Order of the Beltingre County Board of Appeals dated April 23, 1970, whereby Parcels A and B of the Petitioner's Exhibit No. 1 were granted regiassification from R-6 to M.L.
- 2. That the said Order of the County Board of Appeals of Beitimore County dated April 23, 1970 be declared null and whide
- 3. And that the Court grant such other and further relief as the nature of this case may require and may be assigned at the Learing to be held in corpection therewith

JOHN J. BISHOP, 12. 606 Loyola Pederal Building Towson, Maryland 21204 Attorney for Appellants

I HEREBY CERTIFY this 2/8 day of Man ____, 1970, that a copy of the foregoing Petition in Support of Appeal was mailed to Prank E. Cicone, Esq., First Notional Bank Building, Towson, Maryland 21204, Attorney for William G. Schmelyun; and to Richard D. Payne, E q., 26 West Pennsylvania

-3-

I PEPEBY CERTIFY this day of May, 1970, that a copy of the foregoing Order for Appeal was mailed to Frank E. Cicone, Esq., First National Benk Building, Towson, Maryland 21204, Attorney for William G. Schmelyun: and to Richard D. Payne, Esq., 26 West Pennsylvania Avenue, Towson, Maryland 21204, attorney for other appellants.

> JOHN J. BISHOP, IR. 606 Loyola Faderal Building Towson, Maryland 21294

CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE

I HEREEY CERTIFY this _____ day of _____, 1970, that a copy of the foregoing Order for Appeals was served on the County Board of Appeals of Baltimore County, County Office Building, Towson, Maryland 21204

JOHN J. BISHOP, JR.

(HEREBY acknowledge receipt of the foragoing Order for Appeal this 20 day of May , 1970.

> COUNTY BOARD OF APPEALS OF BALTIMORE COUNTY

Avenue, Towson, Maryland 21204, allomay for other appellants

TOWN I BISSION IN

CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE

I HEREBY CERTIFY this 2/4 day of Many , 1970, that a copy of the foregoing Petition in Support of Appeal was served on the County Board of Appeals of Saltimore County, County Office Suilding, Towson, Maryland

> TOWN I BULLOP TO 606 Loyola Federal Building Towson, Maryland 21204 223-6301 Attorney for Appellants

I HEREBY ACKNOWLEDGE receipt of the foreging Petition in Support of Apped this 2100 day of Mary

COUNTY BOARD OF ABSTRACE

BALTE ORE COUNTY, MA YI ND OFFICE OF FINANCE

No. 51754 DATE Jan. 20, 1558

Division of Collection and Receipts COURT HOUSE TOWSON, MARYLAND 21204

BILLED

		\$50.00
UANTITY	DETACH ALCHG PERFORATION AND KELF THIS PORTION FOR YOUR RECORDS	COST
2	Petition for Asclassification for William G. Schoolyum, et al #68-193-R	50,00
£		
UR		
	M.	

MAIL TO DIVISION OF COLLECTION & RECEIPTS, COURT HOUSE, TOWSON, MARYLAND 21204

cc: Zoning

BEFORE THE IN THE MATTER BOARD OF APPEALS OF THE APPLICATION OF THE PETITION FOR THE RECLASSIFICATION PROM an R-6 zone to an M.L. zon R/S waelchi Avenue 639.52' NW of Vera Avenue 13th District William G. Schmelyun, Petitioner

ORDEP FOR APPEAL BY Arbutus Community Association, Inc., Lawrence W. Kidwell, William J. Allen and John M. Corcoran, Appellants.

Please enter an appeal to the Circuit Court for Caltimore County, At Law, on benalf of the Arbutus Community Association Inc., Lawrence W. Kidwell, William J. Allen, and John M. Corcoran, from the order of the County Board of Appeals of Baltimore County in Case No. 68-193-R, which case granted a re-classification from R6 to M.L. of the property at the east side of Waelchli Avenue, 639, 52 ft. northwest of Vera Avenue in the 13th District of Baltimore

> Richard D. Payne 26 Pest Pennsylvania Avenus Towson, Maryland 828-8990 Attorney for the Appellants

classification of parcels a and b as shown on the plat marked Petitioners Exhibit 41 prepared by Evans, Haggen & Assoc. from R6 Zone to an ML Zone.

-2-

0

- 2. That the action of the County Board of Appeals of Baltimore County in granting the relief petitioned for was erroneous for the following reasons:
- a. That the action of the County Board of Appeals of Baltimore County was unreasonable, arbitrary, capacious and unlawful in that it was contrary to the evidence and the weight of
- b. That the said County Board of Appeals of Baltimore County misconstrued and misinterpreted the evidence produced before it.
- c. That the action of the said County Board of Appeals of Baltimore County in cranting a re-classification of this land from R6 to ML is not supported by any testimony in the record.
- d. That the County Board of Appeals based its opinion in part, on emidence which was never produced and/or ever substantiated, in that the land was never used as a brick yard, that there was no testimony to the fact that the land was unstable nor that it was impossible to develope in an R6 context
- e. The County Board of Appeals erroneously found that there had been substantial change in the character of the neighborhood by virtue of the Road changes, though there was overwelliming testimony by the County Board of Appeals that all of the roads were known and identified and taken into consideration at the time the current zoning maps were adopted.
- f. That the petitioner, William G. Schmelyun, failed to produce any testimony to show either error in original zoning or change of the neighborhood.
 - g. That the petitioner, William G. Schmelyun, failed

Arbutus Community Association, Inc. Town Hall 1349 Stevens Avenue Arbitus, Maryland 21227 Lawrence W. Kidwell 5242 Dewitt Road Arbutus, Maryland 21227 CIRCUIT COURT

William J. Allen 1541 Sulphur Spring Road Arbutus, Maryland 21227

John M. Corcoran 5501 Heatherwood Road Arbutus, Maryland 21227

Appellants BALFINDRE COUNTY

John A. Slowik W. Giles Parker walter A. Reiter, Jr.
Constituting the County Board
of Appeals of Baltimore County
County Office Building County Office bu-111 W. Chesapeake Avenu-111 W. Chesapeake Avenu-111

ORDER FOR APPEAL

Mr. Clerks

.

Please enter an appeal to the Circuit Court for Baltimore County, At Law, on behalf of the Arbutus Community Associatio Inc., Lawrence W. Kidwell, William J. Allen, and John M. Corcoran, from the order of the County Board of Appeals of Baltimore County in Case No. 68-193R, which case granted a re-classification from R6 to ML of the property at the east side of Waelchli Avenue, 639. 52 ft. northwat of Vera Avenue in the 13th District of Baltimore

Richard D. Payne Attorney for the Appellants

to produce any testimony, that it was impossible to develope the land in its present R6 classification.

WHEREPORE, your Petitioner prays:

- 1. That this Honorable Court may reverse the action of the County Board of Appeals of Baltimore County
- 2. That this Honorable Court may declare null and void and of no effect the Order of the County Board of Appeals date the
- 3. And for such other and further relief as may be necessary and assigned at the hearing to be held herein.

Richard D. Payne 26 W. Pennsylvania Avenue Towson, Maryland 21204 828-8990 Attorney for Appellants

I HEREBY CERTIFY, that a copy of the foregoing Petition Accompanying Order For Appeal, was mailed to Frank E. Cicone, Esq., Pirst National Pank Building, Towson, Maryland 21204, attorney for william G. Schmelyun

Richard D. Payne Attorney for Appellants

CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE

I HEREBY CERTIFY, that a copy of the foregoing Petition Accompanying Order For Appeal, was served this ____ day of 1970, on the County Board of Appeals of Baltimore County, County Office Building, Towson, Maryland 21204.

Richard D. Payne Attorney for Appellants

I HEREBY acknowledge receiving service of the foregoing Petition Accompanying Order For Appeal and Certificate of Compli-__, 1970. ance, this ____ day of ____

County Board of Appeals

	I HEREBY CERTI	PY that a copy of to	e foregoing Order fo
Appeal was	served this	day of	, 1970 on
the County	Board of Appea	ls of Baltimore Cour	ty, County Office
Building,	Towson, Marylan	d 21204.	1000000

Richard D. Payne Zo W. Pennsylvania Avenue , Maryland 21204 Attorney for Appellants

		I KER	BY ac	cnowledge	rec	eiving	servi	ce of	the	rorejoin
Order	for	Appeal	and C	ertificat	e of	Compl	Lance,	this	_	day
of _			., .197	0.						

County Board of Appeals

I HEREBY CERTIFY, that a copy of the foregoing Order of Appeal and Certificate of Compliance was mailed to Frank B. Cicone Esq., Pirst National Bank Building, Towson, Maryland 21204, attor ney for William G. Schmelyun.

Richard D. Payne Attorney for the Appellants

Arbutus Community Association, Inc.		IN THE
Town Hall		
1349 Stevens Avenue		
Arbutus, Maryland :2122/		
and		
Lawrence W. Kidwell		CIRCUIT COURT
5242 Dewitt Road		
Arbutus, Maryland 21027 and		
William J. Allen		
1541 Sulphur Spring Road		
Arbutus, Maryland 21227		
and		POR
John M. Corcoran		
5501 Heatherwood Road	*10 S	
Arbatus, Maryland 21227		
Andreas,		
Appellants		
		BALTIMORE COUNTY
vs.		
vs.		
John A. Slowik		
W. Giles Parker		
and T		AT LAW
Walter A. Reiter, Jr.		
Constituting the County Board		
of Appeals of Baltimore County		
County Office Building	2516	
111 W. Chesapeake Avenue		
Towson, Maryland 21204		
Appellees		
FETITION ACCOMPANYING	ORDE	R POR APPEAL
FEITHOR RECOGNISSES		

The Petition of Arbutus Community Asso Lawrence W. Kidwell, William J. Allen, and John M. Corcoran, Appellants, by Richard D. Payne, their attorp , respectfully

1. That your Petitioners were parties in the proceedings before the County Board of Appeals of Baltimore County in the case which was entitled before that Board as case no. 68-193R and further titled as "Petition for Re-Classification from an R6 Zone to an ML Zone east side of Waelchli Avenue 639.52 ft northwest of Vera Avenue 13th District, William G. Schmelyun, Petitioner", and that as parties in this aforesaid proceeding they are parties aggrieved by the Opinion and Order of the Count Board of Appeals of Baltimore County dated the 23rd day of April 1970, which granted the petitioners William G. Schmelyun, reArbutus Community Association, Inc. Town Hall 1349 Stevens Avenue Arbutus, Maryland :21227 Lawrence W. Kidwell 5242 Dewitt Road CIRCUIT COURT Arbutus, Marviand 21227 William J. Allen 1541 Sulphur Spring Road Arbutus, Maryland 21227 and ohn M. Corcoran 5501 Heatherwood Road Arbutus, Maryland 21227 Appe?lants BALTIMORE COUNT VS. John A. Slowik W. Giles Parker Walter A. Reiter, Jr. AT LAW

PETITION ACCOMPANYING ORDER FOR APPEAL

Appellaes

The Potition of Arbutus Community Association, Inc. Navrence W. Kidwell, William J. Allen, and John M. Corcoran. Appellants, by Richard D. Payne, their attorney, respectfully

That your Petitioners were parties in the proceedings before the County Board of Appeals of Baltimore County in the case which was entitled before that Board as case no. 68 193R and further titled as "Petition for Re-Classification from av. R6 Zone to an ML Zone east side of Waelchli Avenue 639.52 ft est of Vera Avenue 13th District, William G. Schmelyun Board of Appeals of Baltimore County dated the 23rd day of Apri 1970, which granted the petitioners William G. Schmelyun, re-

classification of parcels a and b as shown on the plat marked Petitioners Exhibit #1 prepared by Evans, Haggen & Jasoc. from

- 2. That the action of the County Board of Appeals of Baltimore County in granting the relief petitioned for was errors ous for the following reasons:
- a. That the action of the County Board of Appeals of Raitimore County was unreasonable, arbitrary, capacious and unlawful in that it was contrary to the evidence and the weight of
- That the said County Board of Appeals of Baltimore County misconstrued and misinterpreted the syidence produced before it.
- c. That the action of the said County Board of Appeals of Baltimore County in granting a re-classification of this land from R6 to ML is not supported by any testimony in the record.
- d. That the County Board of Appeals based its opinion in part, on evidence which was never produced and/or ever substantiated in that the land was never used as a brick yard, that there was no testimony to the fact that the land was unstable nor that it was impossible to develope in an R6 contest.
- e. The County Board of Appeals erroneously found that there had been substantial change in the character of the neighborhood by virtue of the Road changes, though there was overweliming testimony by the County Board of Appeals that all of the roads were known and identified and taken into consideration at the time the current zoning maps were adopted.
- f. That the petitioner, William G. Schmelyun, failed to produce any testimony to show either error in original zoning
 - g. That the petitioner, William G. Schmelvun, failed

to produce any testimony, that it was impossible to develope the land in its present R6 classification.

WHEREPORE, your Petitioner prays:

- 1. That this Honorable Court may reverse the action of the County Board of Appeals of Baltimore County.
- 2. That this Honorable Court may declare null and word and of no effect the Order of the County Board of appeals dated the 23rd day of April, 1970.
- 3. And for such other and further relief as may be necessary and assigned at the hearing to be held herein.

Richard D. Payne 26 W. Pennsylvaria Avenue Towson, Maryland 21204 828-8990

I HEREBY CERTIFY, that a copy of the foregoing Petition Accompanying Order For Appeal, was mailed to Frank E. Cicone, Esq., First National Bank Building, Towson, Maryland 21204, attorney for

Krela Page Attorney for Appellants

Attorney for Appellants

CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE

I HERFBY CERTIFY, that a copy of the foregoing Petition Accompanying Order For Appeal, was served this / day of Manage 1970, on the County Board of Appeals of Baltimore County, County Office Building, Towson, Maryland 21204.

Richard D. Payne Attorney for Appellants

I HEREBY acknowledge receiving service of the foregoing Petition Accompanying Order For Appeal and Certificate of Compliance, this /6 day of ______, 1970.

County Board of Appeals

Zoning Commissioner of Baltimore County

True Copy Test:

TELEPHONI 823.300C EXT. 387	BALT MORE COUNTY, MARY AND OFFICE OF FINANCE	65663 25/79
TO	Dirkum of Ciliforium and Recipits COURT HOUSE TOWSON, MARYLAND 21204 Blichard D. Papes, Sta.	.
500	26 VI. Panaphrania Airanna Toman, Maryland 21204 ARCHIEF THE FACTOR WITH YOUR BENITTAND DETECH ALONG PERFORMAND REET THIS FORTON FOR YOUR RENITTAND DETECH ALONG PERFORMAND REET THIS FORTON FOR YOUR RECORD	
- 6 No. 45	Cost of certified documents - Cose #66-193-R William G., Schmelywc E/S Wondelli Ave. 679-52 NW of Van Av man 13th Obarlet	\$13.00
13.00%	A	

IMPORTANT: MAKE CHECKS PAYABLE TO BALTIMORE COUNTY, MAPYLAND MAIL TO DIVISION OF COLLECTION & RECEIPTS COURT HOUSE, TOWSON, MARYLAND 21204

East side of Wasichill 33.12 feet forthwest of one. Ex. Wedne, tay, February 8* 10:00 A.M. UNING Foom 16x County	CERTIFICATE	OF	PUBLICATION

FEB 1 1968 THIS IS TO CERTIFY, that the annexed advertisement was 19_68.

THE JEFFERSONIAN,

Cost of Advertisement &

BALTIMORE COUNTY OFFICE OF PLANNING AND ZONING Courty Office Building III W. Chesapeake Avenue Towson Maryland 21204 Your Petition has been received and accepted for filing this

Reviewed by Mess & Muse Chairman of Advisory Commit

William &. Schooligum

PETITION FOR
RECLASSIFICATIOS
13th DISTRICT
ZONING: From R-6 to M.L.

LOCATION: East side of Waelchili Avenue 629,52 feet DAY, FEBRUAG. 10:00 A.M. PUBLIC HEAREIG: Room Public HEAREIG: Room

of otherwood minutes were a compression of the comp

CERTIFICATE OF PUBLICATION

RALTIMORE COUNTY MD. February 8 .19 68

THIS IS TO CERTIFY. That the appeared advertisement was ive weeks before the 21st appearing on the 1st day ofFebruary THE TIMES ode m. Wasts

John M. Martin Cost of Advertisement, \$ 65.00 Purchase order E 3028 Purchase order E 3028 Requisition No. L 8254

BALTIMORE COUNTY, MARYLAND No. 53069 OFFICE OF FINANCE DATE 3/29/68 Division of Collection and Receipts COURT HOUSE TOWSON, MARYLAND 21204 BILLED Office of Planning & Zoring 119 County Office Building Towson, Md. 21204 To: Frank E. Cicano, Esq., First National Bank Bidg. Towson, Md. 21204 Cost of appeal - property of WED. C. S hmelyen \$70,00 15. 00 \$85.00 IMPORTANT: MAKE CHECKS PAYABLE TO BALTIMORE COUNTY, MARYLAND MAIL TO DIVISION OF COLLECTION & RECEIFTS, COURT HOUSE, TOWSON, MARYLAND 21204

No. 51800 BALTIMORE COUNTY, MARYLAND OFFICE OF FINANCE DATE Feb. 26, 1968 Division of Collection and Receipts
COURT HOUSE TOWSON, MARYLAND 21204 \$127.50 127.50 IMPORTANTI MAKE CHECKS PAYABLE TO BALTIMORE COUNTY, MARYLAN

No. 65666 BALT FORE COUNTY, MARY ND OFFICE OF FINAN

MAIL TO DIVISION OF COLLECTION & RECEIPTS, COURT HOUSE, TOWSON A

are of which is out- a chord bearing spreas I minutes feet to the end of and there South 36 minutes Wey 2118.09		Division of Collection and Receipts COURT HOUSE TOWSON, MARYLAND 21204
lewing and konth- duplum Spring Road a. the 3 following di distances, vist are all distances, vist are all distances, vist distances and distances for the distances for the distances and distances for the distances and dist	P. O.	Halo E. Hishin, Treas. Samp Improved Appendix Dama, Combaging Comb
All degrees 2T min- More to the worth You skell his Avenue, and the state of the state of the state and to the state of t	- U Cost of certi	STATE OF THE PROPERTY AND THE POST OF WHITTH YOUR ABSOLUTE OF THE POST OF THE
of minder West of Med 20.41 feet, West 20.41 feet, Mest 20.41 feet, Designer West 20 minder West 20 minder West 20 minder West 40 minder 40 m	MAIL TO DIVISION OF	ME CHECKS PAYABLE TO BALTIMORE COUNTY, MARYLAND COLLECTION & RECEIFTS, COURT HOUSE, TOWSON, MARYLAND 21204





