PETITION FOR ZONING RE-CLASS ICATION #69-164RX AND/OR SPECIAL EXCEPTION

1, or we Leaveence & Norma Jenninesegal owners. of the property situate in Balamore //// willy and which is described in the description and plat attached hereto and made a part bereof. hereby petition (1) that the zoning status of the herein described property be re-classified, pursuan SE1,2-A to the Zoning Law of Baltimore County, from an R-6RA. Sw-3-G for medical offices.

and (2) for a Special Exception, under the said Zoning Law and Zoning Regulations of Balti-County, to use the herein described property, for Medical offices for 4 physicians as shown on the attached plat erty is to be posted and advertised as prescribed by Zoning Regulat

L or we, agree to pay expenses of above re-classification and/or Special Exception advanposting, etc., upon filing of this petition, and further agree to and are to be bound by the zoning regulations and restrictions of Baltimore County adopted pursuant to the Zoning Law for Baltimore

Laurence w Dann

Address S.27 W 60 57

Hullah Fla

Protestant's Attorney

County Polecelle frum Robert C. Irwin

2 Iney Mahar

E Brnay Mahar

Contract purchaser

Mount Weeker

Address . 1000 Maryland Trust Bldg.

ORDERED By The Zoning Commissioner of Baltimore County, this. . . 9th

ired by the Zoning Law of Baltimore County, in two newspapers of general circulation throughout Baltimore County, that property be posted, and that the public hearing be had before the Zoning of Baltimore County in Room 106, County Office Building in Towson, Baltimore . 196.9 ., at 11:00 o'clock

County, on the 183 - 169 AM ... day of February

3/11/69

Re: Petition for Reclassification

XX

Frediction for Rectassification from R-6 Zone to R-A Zone Special Exception for Offices and Office Building - S/E Cor. Frederick Road and Balfred Roads, 1st District Lawrence & Norma B. Jennings

physicians be granted

#69-164 RX MAP #1 SEC. 2-1 5W-3-G PA-Baltimor

Petitioners are requesting a reclassification of property at the southeast corner of Frederick and Balfred Roads, in the First District of Baltimore County, from an R-6 Zone to an R-A Zone. It is further petitioned that a special exception for Medical Offices for four

......

The proposed use would be located on an 0.724 acre site cut out of a tract of three acres, more or less.

The Office of Planning and Zoning made the following comment:

"The staff of the Office of Planning and Zoning has reviewed the subject petition for reclassification from it to R-A zoning together with Special Exception for Offices. In light of commercial potentials on the opposite side of Frederick Itoad and classification of the commercia on the opposite side of Frederick Road and classification of the commer area as a Neighborhood Shopping Dist. 1ct, the Planning staff (feels that conversion of the existing structure for medical offices by means of apartment zoning is anypropriate. If granted, the granting should be conditioned upon compliance with an approved site plan at a level of intensity limited to that area shown by the petitioner for parking and conversion only of the present structure for offices.

This general area was considered in Helfrich v. Mongelli. et al, and the Court had the following to say at 248 Md page 505:

Appellees' witness, Mr. Gavrelis, replying to a question

concerning change in the neighborhood stated: My recollection, without referring to a map *** is that

all development has occurred within the constraints imposed by the zon-ing map, and that several attempts to change the zoning have not been successful.

changes in the subject area as were anticipated by those who enacted the comprehensive plan. See County Council vs Gendleman, 227 Md. 491, 177 A22 687 (1962); Serio vs. City of Baitimore, 208 Md. 545, 119 A 2d

*69-164 RX

We are of the opinion that the record in this case is so lacking in evidence in change affecting the character of the neighborhood as to render the question net "fairly debatable" and that the lower court was in error in affirming the decision of the Board granting reclassi

In the present matter testimony was lacking concerning any

change in the area or any error in the man

Mention was made of the large commercial area accross

Frederick Road zoned commercial in 1945. In this connection attention

is called to Stocksdale vs Barnard, 239 Md Page 548;

"The record shows that the subject property lies on York Road almost midway between Seminary Avenue on the south and Bellona Avenue on the north. On the opposite side of the Ro.d there have been some soning applications granted for R -A classification. However, on the cast side of York Road there have been no changes in the immediate neighborhood with the exception mentioned above at the corner of Thornhill Road. Judge Menchine in his colorful at the correct of Normalistic August Mencheine in his color did opinion Levy. Seven Balde, Inc., supra, and concluded that the Board had no reasonable beas in factor support the ential. And reverse the Board, thus allowing his paficant is support the ential. He based his decision on the fact that several zoning changes had been granted in the area. But, an examination of the record shows that all except one of these changes in the immediate neighborhood were on the one of uses changes in the immediate neighborhood were on the opposite, west side of York Road from applicants' property. We have held in past cases that a street or road may be a natural boundary line between two zones. Sapero v. M. & C. C., 235 Md. 1, 200A, 2d 74. In Shadynook Imp. Assn. v. Molloy, 232 Md. 265, 192 A 2d 502, we held that the existence of apartment uses on one side of the street doe not alter the use of the land on the opposite side, and therefore the not after the use of the fails on the opposite state, and increate in the treet issun appropriate line of demartation. Here, there was evidence before the Commissioner and the Board from which they reasonably could and did reach their conclusions. We therefore think that the question before them were at least fairly debatable and that the trial court actually substituted its judgment for that of the Commissioner and the Board, and that in so doing, it exceeded the proper exercise of its

Having reached this result we need not consider appellants final argument that there was no evidence to justify appellees' request for a variance or special exception.

For the reasons set forth above, the order of the Circuit Court must be reversed with directions to reinstate the order of t he Board denying the reclassification, special exception and variance"

\$69-164 RX

For the above reasons the reclassification should NOT BE WAD The reclassification being denied it is unnecessary to consider the special exception for offices.

Commissioner of Baltimore County ORDERED that above reclassification should be and the same is hereby DENIED and the above described property or area is hereby continued as and to remain an R-o Zone and the special exception for Offices, he and the same is hereby DENIED.

Zoning Commission

. F. RAPHEL & ASSOCIATES Registered Land Surveyors 201 COURTLAND AVENUE TOWSON 4. MARYLAND 10/4/68

#69-164RX

DESCRIPTION TO ACCOMPANY ZONING PETITION I. W. TENNINGS PROPERTY 1st ELECTION DISTRICT. BALTIMORE COUNTY

BEGINNING for the same at a point formed by the intersection of the southeast side of Frederick Road and the eastern side of Balfred Road at the beginning of the 5th or last line of the first parcel of land which by deed dated March 22, 1951 and recorded among the Land Records of Baltimore County in Liber GLB 1944, folio 316, was granted by Eleanora W. Shaw, divorced, to Lawrence W. Jennings, running thence and binding on the southeast side of Frederick Road and on the last line of the first parcel and continuing reversely on part of the first line of the second parcel N69*35'E 225.00' I thence leaving the southeast side of Frederick Road and running for lines of division the two following courses and distances: 1) N20°25'04"E 127.72'; 2) %69°35'02"M 268.73° to the east side of Ealfred Avenue and to intersect the 4th line of the first parcel in aforesaid deed, running thence and binding on the east side of Balfred Avenue and on part of the 4th line in aforesaid deed N1"31'00"W 135.00'.

CONTAINING 0.724 Acres of land more or less.

BEING part of the land which by deed dated March 22, 1951 and recorded among the Land Records of Baltimore County in Liber GLB 1944, folio 316, was granted by Eleanora W. Shaw, divorced, to Lawrence W. Jennings.



BALTIMORE COUNTY, MARYLAN

John G. Rose, Zoning Cormissioner Date ... Jeruscy 30, 1969

PROM. George E. Gerralis, Director of Planning

1st District

19/61

DATE

Tuesday, February 11, 1969 (11:00 A.M.)

Office of Planning and Zoning has reviewed the subject resulfication from R-6 to R.A. zoning lapsther with Seecial Tices. In light of commercial potentials on the opposite R lead and classification of the commercial case as a hosping District, the Planning soft feels that commercian octave for medical offices by means of operational zoning is granted, the generic photological potential control of the pean of a level of intensity limited to that over shown for partial points of the present structure.

BALTIMORE COUNTY, MARYLAND

Mr. William E. Fornoff TO ... Administrative Officer Mr. Edward D. Hardesty

Date_April 24, 1970

SUBJECT. Baltimore County Zoning Regulations

The Zoning Commissioner respectfully requests an opinion from the Office of Law on an interpretation of Section 500.12 of the Baltimore County Zoning Regulations

On February 19, 1969, the late John G. Rose denied a Petition seeking a Reclassification of a 0.724 acre site from an R-6 zone to a RA zone and a Special Exception for an office building.

The property owner now desires to file a Petition seeking a Special Exception to use a larger tract (of which the 0.724 acre is a part) for a fra-ternal organization. Of course, the eighteen months limitation in Section 500.12 has not yet elapsed.

Since the proposed Special Exception differs from the original re-quest and since we are dealing with a greater overall acfeage, would it be in or-der for this office to accept a new Petition to use the property for a fraternal or-ganization meeting place and process it for a hearing.

Edward D. Hardesty

FDH/sel

cc: R. Bruce Alderman, Esquire County Solicitor



DATE 2/19/6 ORDER RECE

STATE ROADS COMMISSION

BALTIMORE, MD. 21201 January 2, 1969

Mr. John G. Rosa, Coning County Office Bldg.
Towson, Maryland 21204

And Revised Flar for petition for Reclassification from 84 one and From 84 one and Special Except on 84 zone and Special Except of 84 zone for Federick Poad (Route 144) and Salfred Wood Districts Part of Special Except of 85 zone 184 petitioners Lamence Jennings Committee Meeting of Oct. 22, 1968 Item 97

\$69-164PX

This office has reviewed the subject revised plan and it was revised that the plan does not fully reflect our comments that were made at the time of the Zoning Advisory Committee Meeting. The radius return into Balfred ivo. must have a 30' radius. The plan does not indicate a dimension for the radius but it scales much less than 30'.

The entrance detail does not conform with State Moads Commission policy. The roadside curbing must return into the entrance on a radius of not luss than 10'.

The plan should be revised prior to a hearing date being assigned.

Very truly yours,

Charles Lee, Chief Development Engineering Section

CL:JEM:H



JAN - 3 '60 F. d - by: John E. Meyers
Asst. Development Engineer

BALTIMORE COUNTY ZONING ADVISORY COMMITTEE

November 4, 1969

COUNTY OFFICE BLDC 1) E. Chrangesia Air. Tawees, Maritand 2120

JAMES E DYER

MEMPERS BUREAU OF ENCINEERING

BUNGAU OF TRAFFIC ENGINEERING STATE ROLDS COMM

BURE AREAS TO HEALTH DEPARTMEN

PROJECT PLANTING BUILDING DELINEMEN BOARD OF LOCATION ZONING THEN STREET PARTITION AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTITION AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTIES OF THE PARTITION AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTITION ADDRESS OF THE PARTITION AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTITION AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTITION ADDRESS OF THE PARTITION ADDRESS OF THE PARTITION ADD

Ronald L. Maher, Esq., 1000 Maryland Trust Didd., Baltimore, Maryland 21202

RE: Type of Hearing: Reclassification from an R-6 zone to an RA zone, and Special Exception for medical offices Location: SW/Cor. Frederick Rd. & Balfred Rd. Petitioners Lawrence Jennings, et ux Committee Meeting of Oct. 22, 1968 Item 97 District: 1st

The Zoning Advisory Committee has reviewed the plans submitted with the above referenced pettion and has made an on site field inspection of the property. The following ments are a result of this review and inspection.

The subject property consists of 0.7 of an acre and is part of an overall tract which consists of 3.5 of an acre. This property is improved with a large one-story building which is apparently used for apartment at the present time, there are also too insuller buildings which are presently used as duellings - one of these chellings being located on the subject tract and one located just north of the subject tract on the 3.5 acre portion. It appears that the larger building could easily be the required for the parking area which is located to the east of this building,

Public Services: A water line dees not exist in Frederick Road as shown on the plan. A 12" water line does extend westerly in Frederick Road from Monmouth Drive for approximately 120". A water line also exists in Belfred Avenue as shown on the plan. Sanitary sewer exists in Frederick Road as is indicated on the plan. Balfred Avenue is proposed to be developed as a minimum 30 ft. road on a 50 ft. right-of-way.

Site Plan: The entire frontage of the property along Frederick Road must be curbed with 8 x 22" concrete curb. The roadside face of curb is to be 24 ft. from and parallel to the center line of Frederick Road. The curb Ronald L. Maher, Esq., 1000 Maryland Trust Blvd., Baltimore, Maryland RE: Lawrence Jennings, et ux

November 4, 1968

must return into Balfred Avenue on a 30 ft. radius. Either the right-of-way line or the parking setback line fronting on the parking lot must also be curbed. A 30 it, entrance would be more than adequate to serve this parking area. The plan must be revised to indicate those items prior to a hearing date being

The entrance will be subject to State Roads Commission approval and permit.

The building or buildings on this site may be subject to registration and compliance with the Haryland State Health Air Pollution Control Regulations. Additional information may be obtained from the Division of Air Pollution, Baltimore County Department of Health.

If you have any questions concerning this matter, please do not hesitate to contact the writer.

Sincerely yours,

JAMES E. DYER, Chairman

JED:JD

60828 BALTIMORE COUNTY, MARYLAND OFFICE OF FINANCE IMPORTANT: MAKE CHECKS PAYABLE TO BALTIMORE COUNTY, MARYLAND

KAR TO DIVISION OF COLLECTION & RECEIPTS, COURT HOUSE, TOWSON, MARYLAND 21204

825-3000 ENT. 337	DEFICE OF FINANCE Division of Collection of Receipts COURTY MOUSE TOWNSON MARVIAND 21244	DATE des 21, 190	
b)th	C-matching Affigurator and Busher stylend Fresh Sulf ding roy, nd. 21390 ACCOUNT NO. 4-622 DETACH ALONG PERFORATION AND RESP TIME YOUTHOU FOR YOUR SECRET.	YOYAL AM \$50.00 COST	
22 or 16	Post tion for Bullousification and Special Location for Learness Jamings 659-164-55	9.00	
2000 cos	4		

IMPORTANT: MAKE CHECKS PAYABLE TO BALTIMORE COUNTY, MARYLAND MAIL TO DIVISION OF COLLECTION & RECEIPTS, COURT HOUSE, TOWSON, MARYLAND 21204

Towson, Maryland 21204

October 23, 1968

Jim Dyen

Zoning Advisory Committee Meeting of October 22, 1968

Item 97 of Z.A.C. Mtg. of October 22, 1968. Since the amount of acreage is but 7 acres the effect of a zoning change on the school servicing this area is nil.

The rest of the items on the agenda would have no bearing on the increase in student population at all.



BALTIMORE COUNTY OFFICE OF PLANNING AND ZONING

County Office Building 111 W. Chesaperke Avenue Towson, Maryland 21204

Your Petition has been received and accepted for filing this

4 Sign's

69-164-RX

CERTIFICATE OF POSTING MG DEPARTMENT OF BALTIMORE COUNTY Towar, Maryland

Posted for Spesial Exception & Reclassification L.M. JENNINGS Location of property SEREL Frederice & Baltered Rose Location of Signa (2) - 40'+ Exp Balfred Rd CN 5/5 of Fred Alex (2)-40:15 of Fred Rider 5/5 of Softred Rid Date of return: 1-30-69

Cost of Adverti

matthere coverly, will hold a public hearing. The matter cover and the property of the propert

the exchange size of Fivoerick Road and runnings for lines of devision the two following courses are desirated two following courses are desirated to the following courses are desirated as a following course and desirated resources and the following course are desirated in adversarial deed, running tensors and bendings on the cell from the fill lines in adversarial does NI degree 3100/W1135.00.

BETHAL dark of the land which by the fill lines are desirated with the fill lines are desirated as a fill lines are d

CERTIFICATE OF PUBLICATION

JAN 88 1063 TOWSON MD

THIS IS TO CERTIFY, that the annexed advertise seen was published in THE JEFFERSONIAN, a weekly newsraper printed appearing on the 23rd day of scurery.

THE JEFFERSONIAN.

DRIGINAL

CATONSVILLE I IM IES

CATONSVILLE, MD. 21228 January 27, 1969

THIS IS TO CERTIFY, that the annexed advertisement of John G. Rose, Zoning Commissioner of Baltimore County

was inserted in THE CATONSVILLE TIMES, a weekly newspaper published in Baltimore County, Maryland, once a week for One

CENSUS weeks before the 27thy of Jan., 19 69 that is to say,

the same was inserted in the issue fofanuary 23, 1969.

STROMBERG PUBLICATIONS, Inc.

By Ruth Morgan

