## PETITION FOR ZONING RE-CLASSIFICATION AND/OR SPECIAL EXCEPTION

TO THE ZONING COMMISSIONER OF BALTIMORE COUNTY:

I, or we, \_\_rlajor\_Realty \_\_\_\_\_legal owner\_ of the property situate in Baltimore
County and which is described in the description and plat attached hereto and made a part hereof, hereby petition (1) that the zoning status of the herein described property be re-classified, pursuant to the Zoning Law of Baltimore County, from an DR-16

BM with CNS District zone; for the following reasons

Error in zoning - SEE ATTACHED MEMORANDUM

See attached description

and (2) for a Special Exception, under the said Zoning Law and Zoning Regulations of Baltimore County, to use the herein described property, for use and combination for a grocery store, automotive service station

Property is to be posted and advertised as prescribed by Zoning Regulations.

I, or we, agree to pay expenses of above re-classification and/or Special Exception advertising, posting, etc., upon filing of this petition, and further agree to and are to be bound by the zoning restrictions of Baltimore County adopted pursuant to the Zoning Law for Baltimor

MAJOR REALTY

By: 25 1 Drie form

., 19671., that the subject matter of this petition be advertised, as of August required by the Zoning Law of Baltimore County, in two newspapers of general circulation throughout Baltimere County, that property be posted, and that the public hearing be had before the Zoning
NG Terminkiloher of Battimere County in Room 103, County office Building in Towson, Baltimore , 19871., at \_19:00'clock day of September

Sini Di Henna Zoning Commissioner of Baltimore County

1800 N. Charles Street. Baltimore, Maryland 21201

(SOS YORK ROAD 2111

72-83-R

April 8, 1975

Mr. Leon A. Crane 1800 North Charles Street

> and Ingleside Avenue - 1st Election Major Realty - Petitione:

RE: Extension of Original Petition for

Dear Mr. Crane-

As per your request, I have extended the Special Exception for an Automotive Service Station Use in combination with a grocery store for a period of three (3) years, beginning March 27, 1975, and ending March 27, 1976. A copy of the Extension Order is attached for your reference.

Very truly yours,

S. ERIC DI NENNA Zoning Commissioner

SED/srl

ZONING CASE #72-83-RX

CULOTTA CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. :

VS

COUNTY BOARD OF APPEALS OF BALTIMORE COUNTY MAJOR REALTY

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR BALTIMORE COUNTY

MEMORANDUM OPINION

The character of the subject property makes this a somewhat unusual zoning case. It consists of 0.8 acres and is the small tip of a triangular area bounded on one side by Security Boulevard, on one side by Ingleside Avenue, and on the third side by public land owned by the State running from Ingles de Avenue to a ramp leading from I-70, an interstate highway, into the south bound lane of Security Boulevard. The property is more fully described in the Opinion of the County Board of Appeals, and photographs offered in evidence and designated as Protestants Exhibits A-2 and A-4 show clearly how the subject propert 's situated. The principles of law applicable to a zoning appeal are so clear and well established that citation of authority is unnecessary. The action of the Board of Appeals in reclassifying the property from D.R. 16 to B.M. and granting a special exception can only be upheld if there is a finding of change in the character of the area, or error by the County Council in placing the subject property in the D.R. 16 category when it adopted a comprehensive zoning map in 1971.

Secondly, the Court may not substitute its judgment for that of an administrative agency. The Board of Appeals found error in the comprehensive plan with reference to the subject property. Was there sufficient evidence to justify this finding?

The Court has reviewed the transcript and believes that the testimony of the witnesses, and the analysis of the testimony by the Board of Appeals makes the matter fairly debatable. The Board was convinced that the County Council was in error when it zoned this property D.R. 16. It concluded that "it is inconceivalle to believe that the Gunty Council intended this unique 0.8 acre tract be developed with apartments". This Court agrees. It should be noted that the Deputy Zoning Commissioner had initially denied the reclassification granted by the Board. He concluded that the petitioners had not met the burden of showing error, but there are no statements made in support of his conclusion, and his opinion makes it clear that his action was predicated on a finding that serious traffic problems would result if the property was put to any commercial use. One thing is crystal clear to this Whatever use is made of the property there will be serious traffic problems. Because of its location any routes of ingress and egress will have to be extremely close to the intersection of two busy highways. The question as to what use may be made of the property in conformity with zoning decisions may well be rendered moot if governmental authorities, exercising control over the highways, deny to the owner the right of access to the adjacent roads. Hazardous traffic problems will exist whether the property is used

for apartments under D.R. 16 classification, or is used for a service station. There are coinion evidence that service stations serve traffic out do not generate additional tra fic to the extent that apartment use does. This proposition seems reasonable and, at the least, it is fairly debatable. The proposition is supported by the testimony of the witness Flanagan, called on behalf of the protestants. Where traffic problems exist, whatever the use of the property may be, their existence should not be a factor relied on to deny reclassification.

The Board further found that the proposed use would not adversely affect the value of the neighborhood residences, or be detrimental to the general welfare of the community. The opinion evidence of the witness Heinmuller supports this conclusion, as does the testimony of M. Willemain.

Believing the action of the Board to be both legally and factually sound its Order will be AFFIRMLD.

October 19, 1973

REGISTERED, RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

1800 North Charles Street Baltimore, Haryland 21201 727-7030

March 14, 1975

Eric DiNenna, Zoning Commissioner Baltimore County Toxson, Maryland 21204

Re: Decision of Board of Zoning Appeals Dated 3/27/73, Your File No. 72-83-RX Security Boulevard and Ingleside Avenue

You will note that the rezoning of the subject property at Ingleside Avenue and Security Boulevard was granted on March 27, 1973, together with a Special Exception for a combination service station with a grocery store. Under the regulations affecting Rezoning with a Special Exception, it is my understanding that we must request an extension before the expiration of two years from the date of the granting of said Rezoning and Special Exception.

The property remains unimproved. At this time we are preparing plans in order to implement the zoning granted and will endeavor to proceed with these plans as sceedily as possible.

In view of the above, we herewith request that the Rezoning obtained, together with the Special Exception, se extended for a further period of three (3) years; that is from Harch 27, 1975, to March 27, 1979.

Leon a. Crane, Individually and for Hajor Realty, Inc., et al

LAC:few cc: C. Victor McFarland

P.S. - an appeal was taken to the Circuit Court of Baltimore County on April 25, 1973; on November 28, 1973, Judge Raine upheld our zoning.



the current zoning map. We would appreciate having this information put on the new zoning map. It's omission may have been caused through an oversite.

J. WILLIAM TREUTH, JR.

TREUTH AND MCFARLAND

TELEPHONE 744-0931

J. WILLIAM TREUTH. JR. C. VICTOR MC PARLAND

TREUTH AND MCFARLAD

0

January 31, 1972

FFR 1

TELEPON

Mr. S. Fric DiNenna Zoning Commit sioner County Office Building 111 W. Chesapeake Avenue wson, Maryland 21204

Re: Petition for Reclassification, Redistricting and Special Exception S/W Corner of Security Boulevard and Ingleside Avenue - 1st District Major Realty - Petitoner No. 72-83-RX (Item No. 34)

Dear Mr. Commissioner:

Please enter an appeal to the Board of Appeals for Haltimore County on bshalf of my clients, Major Realty, Incorporated, for an adverse decision of James E. Dyer, Deputy Zoning Commissioner, dated January 10, 1972.

Enclosed is a check in the amount of \$75.00 representing advance appeal costs payable to Beltimore County, Maryland.

> C. Victor McFarland Attorney for Applicant, Major Realty, Incorporated

Bernard Willemain

yw cc: Major Realty, Inc.

Mr. John A. Slowik, Chairma County Board of Appeals County Office Building 111 W. Chesapeake Avenu

> Re: Major Realty File No. 72-83-RX

> > Very truly yours,

Dear Mr. Slowik-

Pursuant to your request please be advised that Major Realty was Planning Board issue No. 600 and Baltimore County Council No. 778.

If you need additional information, please advise

With kind regards, I am

CVMCF:yw cc: Bernard J. Willemain Leon A. Crane, Esquire James S. Ansell, Esquire

Red 6-1-72 q:45 AM

Eı	PETITION FOR RECLASSIFICATION			IN '	THE
	from D.R. 16 to B.M. zone; UNDSTRICTED TO C.N.S. DISTRICT; SPECIAL EXCEPTION for Automotive	•		CIRCUIT	COURT
	Service Station Use in Combination with a Gracery Store	•		FC	R
	SW corner of Security Boulevard and Ingleside Avenue	•		ALTIMORE	COUNT
	1st District, N.W. Sector	•		AT	LAW
	Major Realty Petitioner		Misc.	Docket N	0. 9
	Zoning File No. 72-83-8X		Folio	но	290
	Culotta Construction Co., Inc.,	:	File	No	5025
	t/a Westcliffe Apartments Clarence D. Smith	•			
	Pentestants-Annellants	2			

ANSWER TO ORDER OF APPEAL TO CIRCUIT
COURT FOR BALTIMORE COUNTY AND
CERTIFIED COPIES OF PROCEEDINGS BEFORE
THE ZONING COMMISSIONER AND BOARD
OF APPEALS OF BALTIMORE COUNTY

. . . . . . . . .

Mr. Clerk

Please File, &c

600

cc: McFarland, Esq. Ansell, Esq. Zoning Proctor, J., CC

Muriel E. Buddemeier

6

RE: PETITION FO: RECLASSIFI:
CATION, REDISTRICTING AND
SPECIAL EXCEPTION
SW corner of Security Boulevard and Ingleside Avenue
1st District, Major Realty Petitioners
NO. 72-83-RX (Item No. 34)
: BALTIMORE COUNTY

This is a Petition for Reclassification 'rom a D. R. 16 Zone to a B. M. Zone, Redistricting from Undistricted to a C. N. S. District, and a Special Exception for an Automotive Service Station use in combination for a Grocery Store.

The above requests are being made for a .8 acre parcel of land located at the southwest corner of Security Boulevard and Ingleside

The property is owned by Major Realty, Incorporated. One of the principals of this corporation, Mr. Leon Crain, testified that the corporation had owned the property for fifteen [15] years. He further stated that the .8 of an acre represented residue from the original tract of sixty (60) to seventy (70) acres. Part of the acreage was developed by him, part sold for development, and part sold under condemnation proceedings to the State of Maryland for the 1-70N Highway. Mr. Crain described himself as a builder of homes for the past twenty-five (25) years and indicated that he had built several hundred homes in this area. He has not tried to sell the residue land because he would like to develop it himself.

A land planner, testifying on behalf of Mr. Crain, stated that the Baltimore County Council had erred in placing D.R.16 zoning on the .8 of an tre parcel for the following reasons:

 To zone the subject parcel D, R, 16 is confiscatory in that it does not permit the highest and best, or most logical use of the property. REI PETITION FOR RECLASSIFICATION | IN THE from D.R. IA to B.M.; zone) | UNDSTRECTED TO C.M.S. DETRECT; | CIRCUIT COURT SPECIAL EXCEPTION for Automative Service Station Use in Combination with a Grocary Store SW corner of Security Boulevard | BALTIMORE COUNTY and Ingleside Aversure Ist District, N.W. Sactor | AT LAW Major Realty | Misc. Docket No. 9 Patitioner | Folio No. 290 | Zoning File No. 72-83-80X | File No. 5025 | Cylotita Construction Co., Inc., 1/2 Westellife Appartment |

TO THE HONG MABLE, THE JUDGE OF SAID COURTS

And now come John A. Slowik, W. Giles Parker and Walter A. Ralter,

Jr., constituting the County Board of Appeals of Bailtimore County, and in enswer to the

Order for Appeal directed against them in this case, herewith return the record of proceedings had in the above entitled matter, consisting of the following certified copies or original

papers on file in the office of the Zoning Department of Baltimore County:

. . . . . .

ZONING ENTRIES FROM DOCKET OF ZONING COMMISSIONER
OF BALTIMORE COUNTY

No. 7	72-63-RX	
May	21, 1971	Commonts of Baltimore County Zoning Advisory Committee - filed
Aug.	17	Patition of Major Realty for reclassification from D.R. 16 zone to B.M. zone; Undistricted to C.N.S. District: and Special Exception for autonotive service station use in combination with a grocery store, on property located on the southwest coner of S. curity Southward and Ingletial Avenue, is to District; N.W. Sector - filled
•	17	Order of Zoning Commissioner directing advertisement and posting of property - date of hearing set for September 27, 1971 at 10:00 a.m.
Sept.	9	Cortificate of Publication of property - filed
	24	Contificate of Posting of property - filed
•	27	At 10 a.m. hearing held on potition by Deputy Zoning Commissioner - case held sub curia
Jan.	10, 1972	Order of Deputy Zoning Commissioner danying reclassification, re- districting and special exception

There is no question that the use of the property should be oriented to the automobile. A service station use would be proper.

There have been changes in the area in recent years that should have justified commercial zoning on the subject parcel.

A service station use would not be detrimental
to the health, safety or general welfare of the
community, nor would a service station create
congestion in the surrounding roads or streets.

Several area residents, representing improvement associations, gave testimony in opposition to the requested zoning. Their objections were based on spot zoning, and traffic conditions at the intersection of Security Boulevard and Ingleside Avenue.

Testimony indicated that no commerical zoning existed in the area north of 1-70N and west of Security Boulevard. The only commerical zoning in the immediate area is located on the west side of Security Boulevard at the Baltimore City Line. Residents also felt that doctor's offices are needed in the area, and would generate less traffic than the proposed use. The .8 of an acre parcel was described as being located at an intersection that carries a tremendous volume of traffic. A witness described Lack-ups of two (2) to three (3) blocks and many accidents occuring at this intersection

Zoning Advisory comments by the Department of Traffic Engineering described the entrance on Security Boulevard as being hazardous. This point of ingress and egress, must by necessity of the size and location of the site, be located in an acceleration lane. Drivers using the free right turn lane from Ingleside Avenue to merge with north bound traffic on Security Boulevard are placed in the predicament of keeping an eye on the exit in front of them, while trying to merge with traffic approaching from the rear.

Commerical uses on this property could only increase the above described hazard. Business uses would generate a larger number of vehicles at peak traffic hours than an apartment building, or other uses

672-83-RX - Major Realty

. 25

Feb. 1, 1972 Order of Appeal to County Board of Appeals from Order of Deputy
Zoning Commissioner

May 11 Hearing on appeal before County Board of Appeals

Certinued hearing on appeal before County Board of Appeals - case
held sub-curle

Mar. 27, 1973 Order of County Board of Appeals granting Petition

Apr. 23 Order of County Board of Appeals granting Petition

Apr. 24 Order of County Board of Appeals granting Petition

Apr. 25 Areall, fig., order of Presistents-Appeallants

Petition to accompany Order of Appeal filed in Circuit Court for

Transcript of testimony filed - 2 volumes

Petitioner's Exhibit No. 1 - Western area zening map (2-8), 3/24/71

" 2 - Plet of subject property - Purcum & Jackhis, 4/8/71
" 3 - Kap by Ceri Heinsteller, Jr., roads map, with circle
" 4 - Photos, 4/28/72, by Ceri Heinsteller, Jr., (6 pictures on 3 cleats - "A" thru "M"

" 5 - Plat, 2/13/62, Purdum & Joschko
" 6 - Traffic chart by Cargill

\* \* 8 - A.M. \* \* \* \*

Profestants' Exhibit A1 thru

A4 - Color photos of subject property, in fram

"
14 Record of precedings filed in the Circuit Court for Battanese County
Record of precedings pursuant to which said Order was entered and said
Board acted are permanent records of the Zoning Department of Battimore County, as are
also the use district maps, and your respondents respectively suggest that it would be inconvenient and inapprepriate to file the same in this proceeding, but your respondents will preduce any and all such rules and regulations, together with the zoning use district maps.

ring on this petition, or whonever directed to do so by this Court.

Respectfully submitted,

Muriel E. Buddemeler County Board of Appeals of Baltimoro Cour

permitted as Special Exceptions under the present zoning classification. The use in combination grocery store that is required to qualify the site for a C.N.S. District, can only add to the problems of developing this site for retail uses. The burden of proof is upon the Petitioners to show error and this burden has not been met.

For the aforegoing reasons, IT IS ORDERED by the Deputy Zoning Commissioner of Baltimore County, this Defendancy, 1972, that the above Reclassification, Redistricting, and Special Exception be and the same is hereby DENIED and that the above described property or area be and the same is hereby continued as and to remain a D.R. 16 Zone.

Deputy Zoning Commissioner of

FOR SPECIAL EXCEPTION
SW/corner of Security Boulevard and : ZCNING
Ingleside Avenue - 1st Election Dis-

RE: EXTENSI N OF ORIGINAL PETITION :

y Boulevard and : ZCNING COMMISSIONER t Election Dis-: FOR

BEFORE THE

111 111 111

rrict : FOR
Major R'alty - Petit'oner
NO. 72-8 -RX (item No. 34) : BALTIMORE COUNTY

EXTENSION ORDER

IT IS ORDERED by the Zoning Commissioner of Baltimore County, this \_\_\_\_\_\_ day of April, 1975, that the Special Exception for an Automotive Service Station Use in combination with a grocery store be and the same is hereby extended, in accordance with Section 502.3 of the Baltimore County Zoning Regulations, for a period of three (3) years, beginning March 27, 1975, and ending March 27, 1978.

Zoning Commissioner of Baltimore County

DATE STANDED TO RELING
DATE STANDED TO

BY ALLE P. LAND OF

\*O: Hr. Edward D. Hardnesty, Zonias Commissioner Atta: Hr. Myers

FROM: Planning Division Fire Prevention Barse

SUBJECT: Property Comes Major Realty

Location: W/S Security Blvd., 1000' No. of I-70-N

Itan #34

Fire hydrants for the proposed site are required and shall be in accordance with Baltimore County Standards. The hydrants shall be located at intervals of 300 feet along an approved road.

The owner shall be required to comply with all applicable requirements of the 101 Life Safety Code, 1967 edition, and the Fire Prevention Code when construction plans are submitted for approval.

Lt Thomas Kell

APR 22 1975

COUNTY BOARD OF APPEALS

OF BALTIMORE COUNTY

with a grocery store SW corner of Security Boulevard and Ingleside Avenue 1st District, NW Sector

No. 72-83-RX

### CPINION

This case comes before the Board on an appeal by the Petitioner from "n Order of the Deputy Zoning Commissionder dated January 10, 1972 denying the subject perition. The Petitioner requests a reclassification in zoning from D.R. 16 (Density Residential, 16 units per acre) to B.M. (Business Major) zoning; from Undistricted to a C.N.S. (Commercial Neighborhood Shopping District), and for a Special Exception for a Automotive Service Station use in combination with a augcery store. Basically, the Petitioner seeks zoning in order to construct and operate an automotive service station. However, to become eligible for such, he must obtain the other parts of the petition as a prerequisite according to regulations promulgated by Baltimore County Council Bill No. 40 exacted May 8, 1967, with specific compliance with Sections 259.28, 405 and 502.1 of the Baltimore County Zoning Regulations

The subject property is located on the southwest corner of Security Boulevare and Ingleside Avenue, in the First Election District of Baltimore County, Maryland. It contains 0.8 acres (34,848 square feet) of vacant land, which by virtue of prior public takings for road development has resulted in its severance and isolation from a larger sixty to seventy acre tract.

It is the Petitioner's contention that the site and his development plans satisfy all the requirements of the Zoning Regulations, (see plat and notes Petitioner's Exhibit No. 2), and that the County Council erred by putting the subject property in D. R. 16 zoning when they adopted the comprehensive zoning map for the uses on March 24. 1971 (Zoning map 2-8 Western Area, Petitioner's Exhibit No. 1). Error is the legai basis

Major Realty - No. 72-83-RX

on which he may seek a zoning reclassification, and the burden is on the Petitioner to extrate that such is the case in this instance

In pursuing his appeal, the Petitioner produced several expert witnesses wh testified at length on his behalf. The witnesses were Mr. Carl Heinmuller, realtor, appraiser and consultant; Mr. Bernard J. Willemain, City planner and consultant, and Mr. William Coroill, traffic consultant. Their testimony revealed that the subject is the apex of an isolated triangle of land formed by the intersecting of three roads, namely Ingleside Avenue on the north, Security Boulevard on the east, and 1-70N on the south. The only other properties within the triangle are a 2.7 acre tract along the south side of Ingleside Avenue containing forty apartment units known as Westcliffe Apartments, and another tract owned by the Maryland State Highway Administration, which bounds on the subject and extends southerly to highway 1-70N. This last mentioned tract is utilized for the 1-70N off-ramp right of way. The subject, having been described as within a triangle of roads along with two other tracts, is actually an island to itself, since one of the tracts, the off-rame along its south border, is public land. Ingleside Avenue, bo ing on the north, and Security Boulevard bordering on the south, complete the encirclement with public road property. The subject has 200 feet along the south side of Ingleside Avenue, 135 feet across the curve at the corner of Ingleside Avenue and Security Boulevard 158 feet along the west side of Security Boulevard, a 15 foot offset at the back and 365 feet across the back boundary line. (See plat, Petitioner's Exhibit No. 2). Ingleside Avenue at this location runs in an east-west direction and the intersecting Security Boulevard runs in a north-south direction. The subject property is the southwest corner of this intersection. The northwest corner is vacant land, which as required by regulation is reserved as open space land in the development of a D.R. 16 apartment zone. The southeast and northeast corners are predominantly in the flood plain of a stream known or Dead Run. Said corners are owned by Baltimore County and by the State Highway Admin istration. Of the four comers, only the subject site has any development potential. East of the stream, along Franklintown Road, are several commercial establishments. South west of the subject, separated by public land, are the Westcliffe Apartments, same being

Major Realty - No. 72-83-RX

seventy feet higher than the subject land. Mr. Heinmulte: testified that Security Boulevard and Dead Run effectively separate the subject tract from residences to the east and that the residences cannot be seen from the subject : 'te. In his opinion, from studies which he had made, the proposed service station would not adversely affect the value of the neighborhood residences or be detrimental to the general welfare of the community. He and Mr. Willemain stated that the subject is totally isolated from other D.R. 16 zoning and from any other residential uses by roads, ramps, wooded parkland, flood plain and a full flowing stream. Mr. Heinmuller explained that one of the two service stations that had been closed within a one mile radius of the subject was appraised by him in 1963 for the owner and because of a changed road pattern it was converted to another use as a carry out restaurant. The other station that had been closed was sold to Knott Industries. A restriction in the sale prohibited its reuse as a service station. He further testified that the subject site is within one quarter mile east of the huge Federal Social Security Complex, on the same side of Security Boulevard, and there are no intervening service stations on that side of the street to serve the Social Security employe driving home. While he did not make a formal "needs" study, he refuted a prima facie presumption of "no need" by stating in effect that the loss of several service stations to changing road patters and the class proximity of the Social Security Complex with their high employment truly demonstrated a probability of a reasonable public need for the

Mr. Willemain corroborated Mr. Heinmuller's testimony. He also testified as to the availability of public utilities, although utilities were not contested since couns stipulated they were satisfactory. He further testified that during the course of it is emplayment as Deputy Director of Planning for Baltimore County he set up the public roads pattern for the area in 1948. He demonstrated by testimony that he has a detailed and comprehensive knowledge of the subject area extending over the past twenty five years. He has been intimately involved with the area's planning, development, road patterns and zoning. He is of the opinion that it was error in comprehensive rezoning to classi v the subject in D.R. 16, because such zoning was confiscatory; that the land use for the

Major Realty - No. 72-83-RX

subject is dominated by the intricate roads system, the I-70N overhead ramp, and tha no other use than auto service use is proper for the site. He emphasized that the site. in his opinion, is ideal for service station use. He went on to say that such error in zoning was compounded because the proposed zoning map that was presented at the public hearings and evidenced to the members of the County Council was itself in error inasmuci as it indicated a portion of land was zoned D.R. 16 on the east side of Ingleside Avenue when, in fact, it was unzoned public land. Also, about ten acres of land which comprises the 1-70N off-ramp loop was shown on the map as D.R. 10.5, when it actually was unzoned public land. Therefore, the members of the County Council had misleading information pertaining to the subject area when they deliberated and decided on the

Mr. Corgill testified that he had made traffic counts at Security Boulevard and Ingleside Avenue, and that he had reinforced his studies by analyzing analyzing traffic data compiled by the State Highway Administration. See traffic charts, Petitioner's Exhibits Nos. 6, 7 and 8). He summorized his testimony by stating that there is excallent sight distance along the streets in all directions from the subject; that the intertion is extremely well designed and properly phased with traffic light signals and turns that most often there is excessive green time on the signal lights, indicating adequate movement of traffic, and that the proposed station would not create a traffic hazard. He also made another point that had been acknowledged earlier in testimony given by Mr. Michael Flanigan, Associate Traffic Engineer for Baltimore County, and later by Mr. Norman Gerber, Chief, Land Use Planner for Baltimore County; which point has been repeatedly established in testimony before this Board in numerous service station cases; that service stations are not generators of traffic, per se, but rather they service the existing traffic. The objection regarding traffic narrowed down to the fact that there would be an increase in turning movements in and out of the site. Such objection, it was acknowledged, would also be true if the site were developed for apartments or for

Major Realty - No. 72-83-RX

office use in the existing D.R. 16 zone. Petitioner's witnesses also testified that the proposal satisfies the provisions of Section 502.1 of the Zoning Regulations, which is required before a special exception may be granted.

Mr. Gerber, who was summonsed to testify by the Protestants, recalled that there were several prior unsuccessful attempts to reclassify the subject to B.L. in 1962 and in 1966, but that the petition then encompassed a larger tract. The subject was then a part thereof. This larger tract was not yet severed by the now existing roads. Also, Bill No. 40 had not then come into existence establishing districts and regulating automative service stations. One of Mr. Gerber's functions is to make zoning recomme dations to the Planning Bourd, which in turn make recommendations to the County Council for its consideration in applying zoning classifications on new comprehensive zoning maps. Mr. Gerber's thinking was that the subject property should be zoned D.R. 16 but be granted a special exception for office use. He considered the subject site as being located in a residential area, and that Woodlawn could provide adequate neighborhood services without additional zoning in the community. Responding to cross examination, he said his primary objection to granting a reclassification was the potential traffic effect.

Mr. Flonigan, also summonsed by the Protestants, stated there were no existing traffic capacity problems on the local streets. He was concerned, as was Mr. Gerber, with the possibility of ingress and egress problems to the site. He went on to say that his department would object to providing ingress to the site from Security Boulevard, but that the site plan could be redesigned to eliminate such ingress.

Two area residents and one of the owners of the nearby Westcliffe apartment development, testified in protest to the granting of the petition. They were Mrs. Barbara Worthington, Mrs. Nancy Mosters and Mr. Clarence E. Smith. Mrs. Worthington and Mrs. Masters live two blocks distant and out of view of the site; IAr. Smith lives in Towson. Mrs. Worthington objects to a gracery store on the subject site as being unnecessary and because pedestrian customers would be subject to traffic hazards. Mrs.

Major Realty - No. 72-83-RX

Mosters stated that there was no need for a service station. She listed five station within a mile radius of the subject that had been converted to other uses and/or razed. and one station located at Route #40 that is closed. She acknowledged, on cross examination, that relocating the roads out some of the service stations out of business Mr. Smith testified it was his opinion that the proposed service station would cause traffic backup, create glaring lighting and depreciate the value of his apartments. The Protestants generally responded that they would not object to an office building or a medical center on the subject site.

The Board has carefully weighed the testimony and evidence presented to it in this hearing, some of which is briefly recited above. Greatest weight is given to the documented testimony of the three export witnesses who testified on behalf of the Petitioner. All are nightly qualified in their respective disciplines, and the Board relies heavily on their testimony. They have convinced the Board that the subject D.R. 16 zoning placed on the zoning map was and is "error". The County Council may have acted differently had they been properly informed of surrounding zoning and of the complete isolation of the subject tract. Certainly it is inconceivable to believe that the County Council intended this unique 0.8 acre tract be developed with apartments. It is our opinion that Petitioner's testimony demonstrates that there is a reasonable public need for the proposed service station. Furthermore, all of the tests required by the Baltimore County Zoning Regulations as conditions precedent to granting the Petition has been satisfied, particularly as to Sections 259.2B, 405 and 502.1. The Board has taken judicial notice of the comments of the Zoning Advisory Board, dated May 21, 1971, which are in the Zoning Commissioner's file, and finds only routine development compliance require of the various Baltimore County departments noted therein.

Therefore, for the reasons set forth above and from all the testimony and evidence presented, the Board grants the Petition as requested, and hereby reclassifies the subject 0.8 acres from D.R. 16 to B.M. zone, Undistricted to C.N.S. District, and Special Exception for an Automotive Service Station use in combination with a gracery store. Major Realty - No. 72-83-RX

proposed service station.

ORDER

For the reasons set forth in the aforegoing Opinion, it is this 27th day of March, 1973, by the County Board of Appeals O &D ERED, that the reclassification from D.R. 16 to B.M. zone petitioned for be and the same is hereby GRANTED; and it

FURTHER ORDERED, that the petition for Undistricted to C.N.S. District be and the same is hereby GRANTED; and it is

FURTHER ORDERED, that the Special Exception for Automotive Service Statio Use in combination with a gracery store petitioned for be and the same is hereby GRANTED; all subject to the approval of the site plan by the State Roads Commission, the Bureau of Public Services and the Office of Planning and Zoning.

Any appeal from this decision must be in accordance with Chapter 1100, subtitle 8 of the Maryland Rules of Procedure. 1961 edition.

> COUNTY BOARD OF APPEALS OF BALTIMORE COUNTY

BALTIMORE COUNTY BOATON EDUCATION

ZONING ADVISURY CONSITTER RESIDED OF APRIL 27 428 (CTELE BELLINE)

Potitioner: Mayor Really

Incation. Districts 1

7.

Present Zoning: To 10 Proposed Zoning: Pock to By (CMS

No. of Acres: 0. Yo

Comments: No "BOARING ON SHOREST POPULITIES"

Appellee ......

ORDER OF APPEAL

Mr. Clorks

Please note the Anneal in this Court from a decision and Order of the County Board of Appeals of Baltimore County, Maryland, dated March 27, 1973, on the application of Major Realty for a petition for reclassification from D.R. 16 to 8.M. zone; undistricted to C.N.S. District: Special Exception for Automotive Service Station use in combination with a procesy store, on the S.W corner of Security Blvd. and Ing eside Avenue, 1th District, N.W. sector, being case number

Shoel tank or James S. Ansell 6803 York Road Baltimore, Taryland 21212 Attorney for Appellant Phone 377 7711

### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY, that on this 20 day of Range a copy of the aforegoing Order of Appeal was neverly , on the County Board of Appeal, County Office Building, 111 U. Chesapeake Avenue, Touson, Maryland 21204 ands /

of Appeal was Market to C. Victor McFarland, Esq., 922 Frederick \_\_\_, 1973, a copy of the Order Avenue, Catonsville, Maryland, 21228, attorney for Major Realty, the cetitioner for the re-zoning.

James S. Ansell Attorney for Appellant

CULOTTA CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
T/A WESTCLIFFE APARTMENTS, A
MARYLAND CORPORATION, by
CLARENCE D. SMITH, an officer
of said corporation IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR Touson, Maryland 21204 BALTIMORE COUNTY

AT LAW NO.

Accel lant

.......

PCTITION OF APPEAL FROM A DECISION
OF THE BOARD OF APPEALS OF BALTIMORE COUNTY

The petition of Culotta Construction Co., Inc., by its attorney, James S. Ansell, respectfully represents:

- 1. That your Appellant is a Maryland Corporation and a taxpaver of the County and State: that the Appellant owns and operates an apartment complex known as Vestcliffe Apartments, LBCATED adjacent and just south of the subject property to be rezoned; that it brings this suit on behalf of itself and others similarly situate.
- 2. That the Appellant is a party appriaved by the Decision and Order of the County Board of Appeals, as rendered on the 27th day of March, 1973, whereby the Appe lee granted a reclassification from D.R. 16 to B.F. zone, by further ordering that the petition for Undistricted to C.N.S. District be granted, and further ordered that a Special Exception for Automotive Service Station Healin Combination with a procesy store petitioned for be and was practed. A photostatic copy of the Decision and Order is attached bareto and grayed to be made a part hereto and marked "Appellant's Exhibit # 1".
- 3. That your Appellant alleges and avers that the Decision and Order is arbitrary, capricious, discriminatory, illegal, confiscatory, unconstitutional and void for the following reasons:
- (a) That the action of the Board is in violation of its charter and constitutional provisions and,
- (b) That the action of the Board is in violation of its statutory authority and jurisdiction, and
- (c) That the Decision and Order of the Spard was made upon an unlauful application of the provisions of the County regulations and.
- (d) That the decision by the Board was so orosaly erroneous. when viewed in relation to the competent, matieral and substantial evidence produced at the time of the hearing, so as to imply had faith.

(e) and, that the decision of the Board was totally devoid of any competent, matieral and substantial evidence, whether the evidence be examined as to the entire record, or any portion thereto, and

(f) That all of the findings of the decision of the Board are unsupported by substantial, competent and matieral evidence, and in fact are contrary thereto, and

- (a) That the granting of this application is not in accordance with Planning and Zoning theories and practices; that is, the over saturation of quasiline service stations in an area this small is not compatible with the residential neighborhoods nearby, and
- (h) That the evidence adduced at the time of the hearing does not show the need for another pasoline service station in the area.
- (i) and, the Board based their decision upon the testimony of alleged expert vitnesses, none of which were area residents, as to the need of this service station; in fact, various witnesses did testify, from encompassing neighborhoods, that there was no need for this service, and
- (j) That the Board has arbitrarily, capriciously and discriminatorily substituted its judgment for the Baltimore County Council; and the the Board has also, in the same manner, substituted its judgment from an Order of January 10, 1972 denying the requested re-zoning. A photostatic conv of this Order by Tames S. Dyer Deputy Zonico Commissioner of Baltimore County is attached and prayed to be made a part hereto and marked "Appellant's Exhibit # 2"

WHEREFORE, your Appellant states the granting of this re-zoning bears no substantial relationship to the public health, safty or uslfare, and does not serve the purpose of a comprehensive, adjusted. co-ordinated, and planned development of the area;

And, that the Appellant alleges that his property, together with several hundred other property owners, either adjoins or are in close proximity of the property to be reclassified and that their individual properties will be further damaged due to the fact that your Appellant,s property and other individual property owners will be cheapened and depreciated in value of a minimum of not less than \$300.00 and in excess of \$3,000.00 to many.

And, your Appellant further alleges that the Board have misconstrued Section 259,28, 405 and 502,1 of the Baltimore County Zoning Regulations.

and as reasons thereof, is an illegal interpretation of the law And, that your Appellant desires this Honorable Court to declare

the action of the County Board of Appeals null and woid/ James S. Ansell 603 York Road Baltimore, Maryland 21212 Attorney for Appellant

Reid 4/23/13

· (12)

### MEMORANDUM

Re: Major Realty Security Boulevard

Security Boulevard

1. This is a parcel. 8 acres in size left by the State
Roads Commission when they located Inglesice Avenue and
built Security Boulevard. Ingleside replaced the old Forest
Park Road with a new bridge across Dead Run in front of the
Park Road with a new bridge across Dead Run in front of the
Franklintoun laundry and leading to the old business area on the
east side of the stream. This road construction created a 4 stream
including a fully channelized intersection with light controls.
Behind the property the State has built up the hill and graded
ramps of the interchampe between 170 and SEcurity Boulevard.
Only the bridge structures are needed to carry 170 into town
as the East/West Expressway. This corner will have very heavy
potentials and making it unbearable for residential or office
use.

vie.

7. The recommendation of the Planning Board is curious in this case. The DR-16 suggested is not only confiscatory. This case. The DR-16 suggested is not only confiscatory and instruction. This is one second County Council to receive this erroneous information; it was wrong in 1962 when the Western Area map was adopted. An inspection of the map will show RA zoning on the NM and SE corners, R6 on the NE and SW (subject property) with the latter backed up by a large R6 will be considered to the NE and SW (subject property) with the latter backed up by a large R6 on top of a hill above the traffic. The other three corners were acquired for bridge or ramp construction in 1956, 1959 and 1963. The R6 zone was placed on the map after the County Council had adopted the map and when the preparation of the zone descriptions turned up a large grant unzoned. Actually, it should have been colored green on the map. The same holds for the zone 1-RA-13; this is land that the State took from Duncan-O'Neill in 1963. The State ownership is easily seen in the field by their construction work and the chain link fence along the rear of the subject property.

3. This property can only be used for an independent roadside business, and requires BL or BM zoning. It is too small to use for apartments and there is no rationale for an office use under special permit in this area, even i one could ignore the traffic effect. The Social Security Administration now holds 127 acres in the immediate area and they do little work with outside businesses. The exceptions are found in the large office machine

- 2 -

companies already located in the Meadows Industrial Park to the north, near the Security Headquarters. All are on large sites.

4. The preferable way to control the business use of the property is to grant the CNS District requested. This will permit a convenience grocery and a service station if a special exception is granted in this matter.

DESCRIPTION

PROPERTY OF LEON A CRANE & MAJOR REALTY, INC.
SOUTHWEST CORNER - SECURITY BOULEVARD AND INCLESIDE AVENUE FIRST ELECTION DISTRICT BALTIMORE COUNTY MARYIAND

BEGINNING for the same at the corner formed by the atersection of the Southernmost line of Ingleside Avenue, as laid out and now existing 70 feet wide, and the Southwesternmost line of Security Boulevard, as laid out and now existing (see Baltimore County right of way drawing No. HRW 55-205, filed in Highways Liber No. 15-D at Folio 445), and running thence binding along the aforesaid Southwesternmost line of Security Boulevard the two following courses and distances, viz.:

- (1) South 65°05'40" East 135 feet more or less, and
- (2) South 15°41'39" East 158 feet more or less, thence in a Southwesterly direction 15 feet more or less to a point on the Northeasternmost line of the land of the Maryland State Roads Commission - Route I 70 N as shown on Plat No. 17396 of the State Roads Commission of Maryland, thence in a Northwesterly direction binding along the Northeasternmost line of the said land of the Maryland State Rouds Commission 365 feet more or less to a point on the Southernmost line of Ingleside Avenue, as laid out and now existing 70 feet wide, (see Baltimore County right of way drawing No. HRW 56-038, filed in Highways Liber No. 15-D at Folio 461), thence binding along the aforesaid most line of Ingleside Avenue North 64°25'56" East 200 feet more or less to the place of beginning, containing 0.80 acre of land more or less.

Williamstruding

PURDUM AND JESCHKE,

April 8, 1971

1023 North Calvest Street, Baltimore, Marriago, 7110

BALTIMORE COUNTY, MARYLAND

INTER-OFFICE CORRESPONDENCE

TO Mr. Oliver Myers FROM Ian J. Forrest

SUBJECT. Item 34

14. Property Owner: Major Realty
Location: W/S Security Blvd., 1000' No. of 1-70-N
Present Zoning: D.R. 16
Proposed Zoning: Reclass to B.L. and
undistrict: lst
No. Acres: 0.80
No. Acres: 0.80

May 14, 1971

Public water and sewer are available to this site.

Air Pollution Comments: The building or buildings on this site may be subject to registration and compliance with the Maryland State Realth Air Pollution Control Pegulations. Additional information may be obtained from the Division of Air Pollution, Baltimore County Department of Health.

Chief
Water and Sewer Section
BUREAU OF ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH

PETITION FOR RECLASSIFICATION, REDISTRICTING AND SPECIAL EXCEPTION

1st DISTRICT --- NORTHWESTERN SECTOR

ZONING

From O.R. 16 to 8.M. Zone. From Undistricted to C.M.S. District Patition For Special Exception for Automotive Service Station.

Southwest corner of Security Boulevard and Ingleside

LOCATION: DATE & . TIME:

MONDAY , SEPTEMBER 27 19/1 at 10:00 A.M.

PUBLIC HEARING:

Room 10b, County Office Building, Ill M. Chesapeako Avenue, Towson, Maryland.

The Zoning Commissioner of Baltimore County, by authority or the Zoning est and Regulations of Baltimore County, will hold a public hearing:

Present Zoning: D.R. 10 Proposed Zoning: 3.M. Present District: Undistricted Proposed District: [.:],S.
Patition for Opecial Exception for Use and combination for a grocery store, automotive service station.

All that parcel of land in the First District of Buildings County

Being the property of Hajor Realty, as shown on plat plan filed with the Zoning Department.

Wearing Date, Monday September 27, 1971 of 10:00 April Rubiic Hearing: Reen 105, County Office Publishing, til N. Chorapecte Avenue, Texton, 100 Hearing Date: Monday

BY CREER OF THE TONING CONVISSIONER OF BALTIMORE COUNTY

January 10, 1972

C. Victor McFarland, Esquire 922 Frederick Avenue Catonsville, Maryland 21228

> RE: Petition for Reclassification, Redistricting and Special Exception SW corner of Security Boulevard and Ingleside Avenue - 1st District Major Realty - Petitioners NO. 72-33-RX (Item No. 34)

Dear Mr. McFarland:

I have this date passed my Order in the above captioned matter

Yery truly yours,

JAMES E. DYER Deputy Zoning Commissioner

JED:me

cc: James S. Ansell, Esquire 6803 York Road Baltimore, Maryland 21212

> Mrs. Barbara B. Worthinston Franklintown Community Association, Inc. 5117 Franklintown Road Baltimore, Maryland 21207

Mr. Wallace Oppel 5504 Dogwood Road Baltimore, Maryland 21207

EALTIMORE COUNTY ZONING ADVISORY COMMITTEE

COUNTY OF FICE MI PARTIES AND TOWNERS NAMED AND THE PARTIES AN de

OLIVER I MYERS

DEPARTMENT OF TRAFFIC ENGINEERING

STATE BOADS COME BUREAU OF FIRE PREVENTION BEALTH DEPARTMENT

PROJECT PLANNING BUILDING BLPARTMENT BOARD OF EDUCATION ZONING ADMINISTRATION May 21, 1971

Mr. George E. Gavrelis Director
Office of Planning and Zoning
301 Jefferson Building Towson, Md. 21204

> RE: Item 34 (April - October 1971) Property Owner: Major Realty Location: W/S Security Divd., 1000' N. of 1-70-N Present Zoning: D.A. 16 Proposed Zoning: Reclass. to B.L. and undistricted to C.N.S. District: 1st Sector: Northwestern No. Acres: 0.80

The Zoning Advisory Committee has reviewed the plans submitted with the above referenced patition on I has made an on site field inspec-tion of the property. The following commonts are a result of this review and inspection.

The subject property is a vacant tract of land which is locked in by three roads, ingleside Avenue on the north, interstate 70-% on the south, and Security Boulevard on the east. The properties to the morth, south, and Security mouseward on the cast. The properties to the north, and on the north side of ingleside Avenue are improved with an apartum project; the property to the east and on the east side of Security Soulevard is a Lauering establishment. The properties to the south and on the south side of interstate 70-th are group homes and convertial operations and apartume projects. All roods in the area or curred and guttered and have recently been realigned.

The following commonts are furnished in regard to the plat submitted to this office for review by the Zoning Advisory Committee in connection with the subject item.

Fully improved, entrances for any use subject to approved of the Department of Truffic Engineering and the standards of the Department of Public Works.

Mr. George E. Gavrells Item 34

- - 2 -

Stora Orcins:

Public storm drains are available to binefit this property.

Onsite drainage facilities serving only areas within the site do not require construction under a County contract. Such facilities are considered private and therefore must conform to the County Plumbing

The Petitioner must provide necessary drainage facilities (temporary or permanent) to prevent creating any muisances or danages to adjacent properties, especially by the concentration of surface waters. Correction of any problem which may result, due to improper grading or improper installation of drainage facilities, would be the full responsibility of the Petitioner.

Development of this property through stripping, grading and stabilization could result in a sediment pollution problem, demaging private and public holdings below this property, and cediment control is required by State law. A grading permit is, therefore, necessary for all grading, including the stripping of top soil.

Grading studies and sediment control drawings will be necessary to be reviewed and approved prior to the issuance of any grading and building permits.

Public water facilities are available to benefit this property.

A fee simple right-of-way is required through this site f-maintenance of the public water main.

Service within the site from the public system must be in accordance with the Baltimore County Building, Plumbing and Fire Prevention Codes. The service connection to the nater shall be in accordance with the standards of the Baltimore County Department of Public Works.

Sanitary Sewer:

Public sanitary sewer facilities are available to benefit this

A right-of-way is required through this property for maintenance of the public sanitary sewer.

Mr. George E. Gavrell.

The Peticioner is entirely responsible for the construction of his ensite private sanitary severage, which must conform with the Baltimore County Plumbing Code.

DEPARTMENT OF TRAFFIC ENGINEERING:

BALTIMORE COUNTY, MARYLAND

INTER-OFFICE CORRESPONDENCE

Proposed Zoning: Reclass. to B.L. and undistricted to C.N.S. District: 162 Sector: Northwestern No. Acres: 0.80

The following comments are furnished in regard to the plat submitted to this office for review by the Zoning Advisory Committee in connection with the subject item.

Fully improved, entrances for any use subject to approval of the Department of Traffic Engineering and the standards of the Department of Public Works.

Public storm drains are available to benefit this property.

Onsite drainage facilities serving only areas within the site do not require construction under a County contract. Such facilities are considered private and therefore to the County Plumbing and Building Codes.

or persanent to prevent creating any muranoes or damages to adjacent properties, especially by the concentration of surface waters. Correction of any problem which may result, due to improper grading or improper installation of drainage facilities, would be the full responsibility of

Development of this property through stripping, grading and stabilization could result in a sediment pollution problem, demagning private and public holdings below this property, and sediment control is required by Teste law. A grading permit is, therefore, necessary for all grading, including the stripping of top soil.

Grading studies and sediment control drawings will be necessary to be reviewed and approved prior to the issuance of any grading and building

The Petitioner must provide necessary drainage facilities (temporary

SUBJECT. Item #3h (April - October Cycle 1971)
Frogerty Owner: Major Realty
Location: W/S Security Bird., 1000' N. of I-70-H
Present Zoning: U.R. 16

Date\_ May 10, 1971

TO Edward Hardesty

Storm Drains:

Sediment Control:

FROM Ellsworth No Diver, P.B.

The subject petition is requesting a change from 0.8. 16 to 16. of .8 acres. Since the site is small, the trip density is relatively low. However, with the proposed entrance to Security Boulevard in the acceleration ince and drivers looking north along Security Boulevard rather than south when in the free right turn lane, an accident potential will exist.

HEALTH DEPARTMENT:

Public water and sewer are available to this site.

are Pollution Comments: The building or buildings on this site may be subject to registration and compliance with the Maryland State Health Air Pollution Control Regulations. Additions, information may be obtained from the Division of Air Pollution, Saltimore County

FIRE DEPARTMENT:

Fire hydrants for the proposed site are required and shall be in accordance with Baltimore County Standards. The hydrants shall be located at intervals of 300 feet along an approved road.

The owner shall be required to comply with all applicable requirements of the IOI Life Safety Code, 1967 edition, and the Fire Prevention Code when construction plans are submitted for approval.

ZONING ADMINISTRATION DIVISION:

If the property is to be developed per the Petitioner's site plan, all requirements of the Baltimore County Zoning regula-

> Miver of Miges OLIVER L. MYERS Chal coan

OLM: DV

cc: Major Realty Mr. Jacob L. Friedman 1800 N. Charles Street Baltimore, Md. 21201

Edward D. Hardesty, Zoning Commissioner

Item #34 (April - Oc er Cycle 1971) Property Owner: Major Realty Page 2 May 10, 1971

Waters

Public water facilities are available to benefit this property.

A fee simple right-of-way is required through this site for maintenance of the public water main.

Service within the site from the public system must be in accordance with Baltimore County Building, Plumbing and Fire Prevention Codes. The service connection to the meter shall be in accordance with the standards of the Baltimore County Department of Fuelic Works.

Public sanitary sewer facilities are available to benefit this property.

A right-of-way is required through this property for maintenance of the public samitary sewer.

The Petitioner is entirely responsible for the construction of his onsite private sanitary sewerage, which must conform with the Raitimore County

END: EAM: ONK . a.

cc: File (3) S. Bellestri J. Loos J. Warfield

Attachment

Key Sheet: K-SW Topo: NW 1 E Tax Map: 95

BALTIMORE COUNTY, MARYLAND

DEPARTMENT OF TRAFFIC ENGINEE JEFFERSON BUILDING TOWSON, MARYLAND 21204 INTER-OFFICE CORRESPONDENC

Edward D. Hardesty

Attn: Oliver L. Hyers C. Richard Moore

FROM:

Item 34 - Cycle Zoning Property Owner: Major Realty Security Blvd. N. of 1-70N Reclassification to BL & undistricted to CNS SITE IFCT.

The subject petition is requesting a change from DR 16 to BL of .8 acres. Since the site is small, the trip density is relatively low. However, with the proposed entrance to Security Boulevard in the acceleration lane and frivers looking north along Security Boulevard rather than south when in the free right turn lane, an accident potential will exist.

C. Richard Moore Assistant Traffic Engineering

Date\_\_\_\_May 13, 1971

CRM: nr

CATONSVILLE, MD. 21228 September 13 - 19 71

THIS IS TO CERTIFY, that the annexed advertisement of The Zun'ng Commissioner of Baltimore County was inserted in THE CATONSVILLE TIMES, a weekly newspaper pub-\*\* week/before the 13 day of Sept. 19 71 that is to say, the same was inserted in the issue of September 9, 1971.

STROMBERG PUBLICATIONS, Inc.

B. Ruth Morgan

# CERTIFICATE OF PUBLICATION

appearing on the 9th day of Sentrabor

PETITION	M	APPI	NG	PRO	GRE	SS	SHE	Ŧ		
FUNCTION	Wal	Мар	Orig	inal	Dup	licate		cing	200	Shee
FUNCTION	date	by	date	by	date	by	date	by	date	by
Descriptions checked and outline plotted on map										
Petition number added to outline							-27			
Denied										
Granted by Z.C., BA, CC, CA										
Reviewed by: SIM					ed Pla		or des	cripti		
Previous case:			1	Мар (	W.	-21	3		-	No

494-2413	PALTE OPE COVEREN MADE NO. 7	40
	BALIBROKE COUNTY, MAKYWAND	403
	OFFICE OF FINANCE DATE A	e 14, 1975
	Revenue Division COURT HOUSE BILLED	
	COURT HOUSE TOWSON, MARYLAND 21204	
To:	James S. Assell, Exercise County Board of Appe 6803 York Read (Zoning) Baltimore, Md., 21212	cals
DEPOSIT TO A	CCOUNT NO. 01,712 RETURN THIS PORTION WITH YOUR REMITTANCE	\$ 8000
- 2	DETACH ALONG PERFORATION AND REEP THIS PORTION FOR YOUR RECORDS	COST
94	Cost of certified documents in Case No. 72-83-8X	\$ 8.00
ž.	Major Realty, Inc. SW Corner Security Blvd. and Ingleside Avenue 1st District, NW Sector	
85 CM	4 -	
1141	PORTANT: MAKE CHECKS PAYABLE TO BALTIMORE COUNTY, MARYLAND	
	MAIL TO OFFICE OF FINANCE, REVENUE DIVISION COURTHOUSE, TOWSON, MARYLAND 21204	

CERTIFICATE OF POSTING

Posted for PCC/ASSIFICATION 4 REDICTRICTURE & SWEAK EXECTION
Petitioner HAJOR REALTY

Location of property: SN/CeR. OF SECURITY Blad. & INGLESISE AUE

location of Signs 1-2-3". 515. SIEVELET With. 25 FEIT. F. OF INVESTILE AVE. 4-5-C Els Of Jacussull AVE. 35 FEIT. 5 OF SEGURITY Blod.

72-83-RX

CERTIFICATE OF POSTING

District 1 35	
	Date of Posting 188 19- 1972
Posted for:ATTI+	
Petitioner:	ī.ur.
Location of property: SIV CAR. SEE	BELTA BLES. & TAKLESISTE ME.
Location of Signat L. S./s. A.F. S.F.	CURITY Block BOFF. + - FOF WILL CO.
(2) ElsaF ING	CHANTA BLED. 50 FT. 9 - F. OT JANGEROS AND ESIDE RIC 25 FT. 1 - SOF SERVE IN PORT
Kemarks:	
Posted by Glarde M. Mal	Date of return: [ER 25 9/2

ELEPHON 494-2413	BALTI FORE COUNTY, MARY AND	84972
To:	Sinds Surgery Sandy State County State County State County State Sandy State Sandy State S	TOYAL AMOUN
MANTITY	DETACH ALONG PERFORATION AND KEEP THIS PORTION FOR YOUR RECORD	
and district	Appartising and posting of property for States States #72-83-8	197-75
1 3 7 7 5 82		
	MPORTANT: MAKE CHECKS PAYABLE TO BALTIMORE COUNTY, MARYLAN  MAIL TO OFFICE OF FINANCE, REVENUE DIVISION  COURTHOUSE, TOWSON, MARYLAND 21204	ID

The second second second second			
Hr. Jacob L. Friedman			
Hejor Realty 1800 H. Charles St., Baltimore, Md. 21201		•	Special Excepti Item 34
	IMORE COUNTY OFFICE OF	PLANNING AND ZONIN	•
	County Office Bu 111 W. Chesapeak Towson, Maryland	e Avenue	
Your	Patition has been race	ived and accepted	for filing
this 17th	day of August	1971	
	S. Zo	Henrich /	Verson .
Petitioners Mujer Realty		00	10 0
Petitioner's Attorney	Revie	and by Oliver	of Myself.
	Control of	Chalaman of	7

		OFFICE COUNTY, MAKY AND	.000
		OFFICE OF FINANCE DATE AP	11 20,
		COURT HOUSE TOWSON, MARYLAND 21204	
	de Company D. E. Charles Street Limero, Md. 71201	Zoning Bapt, of Baltimere County	
DEPOSIT T	ACCOUNT NO. 01-622	RETURN THIS PORTION WITH YOUR SEMITTANCE	TOTAL AM
QUANTITY	THE STATE OF THE S	DETACH ALONG PERFORATION AND KEEP THIS PORTION FOR YOUR RECORDS	\$50.00
	Patition for mac Rejer healty	Smaaffication, Relatricting and Special Exception for	50.90
50000	4		
		ECKS PAYABLE TO BALTIMORE COUNTY, MARYLAND FFICE OF FINANCE, REVENUE DIVISION COURTHOUSE, TOWSON, MARYLAND 21204	,

DATE 2/14/72	ACCOUNT 01-662	
	2000W1 01-662	_
	AMOUNT 5, 00	
WHITE - CASHIER	PINK AGENCY YELLOW - CUST	
No. 72-83-8X- # 1	addition Time State of the Stat	OMEN
Major Realty -	for appeal hearing	
. Victor MacFarl	a. Esq.	
	5.0	CHE
	CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF THE	William)
		2
BALT ORE COUNT	Y, MARYLAND No. 152	
OFFICE OF FINANCE - HE	VENUE DIVISION	-
OFFICE OF FINANCE - HE	VENUE DIVISION	-
OFFICE OF FINANCE - RE	VENUE DIVISION ASH RECEIPT	-
OFFICE OF FINANCE - RE	VENUE DIVISION ASH RECEIPT	1
BALT DRE COUNT OFFICE OF FINANCE - RE- MISCELLANEOUS CA DATE XXX Feb. 1, 10	VENUE DIVISION ASH RECEIPT  972 ACCOUNT 01-662	<u></u>
OFFICE OF FINANCE - RE MISCELLANEOUS CA	VENUE DIVISION ASH RECEIPT	
OFFICE OF FINANCE - REMISCELLANEOUS CA	972 ACCOUNT 01-662  AMOUNT 75,70	
PATE YEAR FOL. 1. 1	972 ACCOUNT 01-662	<u>.</u>



