July 12, 1976

Bradley T. Mettee, Jr., Esquire 38 Bloomsbury Avenue Baltimore, Maryland 21228

> RE: Extension of Original Petition for Special Exception M/S of Woodlawn Drive (Clark Avenue). 545' NE of Windsor Mill Road -2nd Election District liarold Tschudi, et al - Petitioners NO. 72-84-R (Item No. 39)

Dear Mr. Mettee:

I have this date passed my Extension Order in the above captioned matter in accordance with the attached.

Very truly Yours.

S. ERIC DI NENNA Zoning Commissioner

SED/mc

Attachments

cc: John W. Hessian, III, Esquire People's Counsel

BEFORE THE RE: EXTENSION OF ORIGINAL PETITION FOR SPECIAL EXCEPTION ZONING COMMISSIONER N/S of Woodlawn Drive (Clark Avenue), : 545' NE of Windsor Mill Road 2nd Election District Harold Tschudi, et al - Petitioners BALTIMORE COUNTY NO. 72-84-R (Item No. 39)

212 221 55

EXTENSION ORDER

IT IS ORDERED by the Zoning Commissioner of Baltimore County, this day of July, 1976, that the Special Exception for an Office Building is hereby extended, in accordance with Section 502.3 of the Baltimore County Zoning Regulations, to a date 12 months after certification is obtained from the Department of Public Works, indicating that the sewer moratorium has been lifted and that such facilities are now available and adequate to the public.

ing Commissioner o

111 111 111

Baltimore County

72-84-RX

HAROLD TECHUAL, et al BRADLEY T. J. METTEE. JR ATTORNEY AT LAW ST BLOOMSBURY AVENUE

301/700-0762 July 1, 1976

BALTIMORE MARYLAND 21225



Honorable S. Eric DiNenna Zoning Commissioner Britimore County Office of Planning and Zoning County Office Building Towson, Maryland 21204

Dear Mr. DiNenna

RE: 2120 Woodlawn Drive adjoining Woodlawn Cemetery Special Exception for office building

An extension is requested for the use of the special exception granted to the above referred to property, the tract of 1.4 acres in the name of Tschudi/Mettee and as to which, the property was Luned DR 16 with a Special Exception to permit the construction of an office building by decision of Judge H. Kemp MacDaniel on February 22, 1974.

This extension is requested for a period of 12 months beyond the time of the lifting of the sewer moratorium which is presently in effect in the area including this property.

The above property is a small part of Item 2-79 of the Revised Zoning Map for Baltimore County and as to which property, there is a recommendation that it be rezoned from DR 16 with the office building exception to DR 5.5.

It is believed that that proposed rezoning would be completely inconsistent with the statements found at the bottom of page 6 and top of page 7 of the Final Report of the Baltimore County Planning Board which was sent to Chairman O'Rourke by Mr. Fromm with a letter dated April 1, 1976, and which states that the Planning Board has in many locations, recommended that property owners on heavily traveled arterials be granted the DR 16 zoning with a special exception for office use.

As you are aware, Woodlawn Drive bisects the Social Security operations at Security Eaglevard and Woodlawn Drive, and is a heavily traveled arterial, and accordingly, would meet Page 2

To: Honorable 5. Eric DiNenna

July 1, 1976

the test which the Planning Spard prescribes for CP lo zoning with office building exception.

Very truly yours

/bjh

3 FOR RECE ORGER

PETITION FOR ZONING RE-CLASSIFICATION 72-84-R AND/OR SPECIAL EXCEPTION

NW 3-1

ONER OF BALTIMORE COUNTY:

Bradley T. J. Mettee, Jr.

Les wa Harold Techniciand/ Jessi owner, of the preperty situate in

to the Saning Low of Baltimere County, from an R-5. or DR-5.5...
R-A with Special Exception or
DR-16 with Special Exception, for the following reasons:

From in original zoning

cention, under the said Zopins Law and Zopins Bestations of Baltic County, to use the herein described property, for ... offices and office building ..

agree to pay exponent of above re-classification and/or Special Exception advertising upon Siling of this politics, and further agree to and are to be bound by the scaling

a flassison

06 W. Joppa Road Owson, Maryland 21204 (823-1200)

197 that the exhibit matter of this pe miy, that property be posted, and that the public 27th . 197 1. at _11:00/clock

Haroni Tachud Walla

6660 Security BLVD

MCA DOD CONSULTING

9/17/7

4219.

DESCRIPTION

1.4 ACRE PARCEL, NORTH SIDE OF CLARKE AVENUE, NORTHEAST OF WINDSOR MILL ROAD, SECOND ELECTION DISTRICT, BALTIMORE COUNTY, MARYLAND,

This Description is for DR 16 Zoning (R-A)
With Special Exception for Office Use

Beginning for the same at a point on the north side of Clarke Avenue, as laid out 70 feet wide, located 545 feet, more or less, northeasterly from the northeast side of Windsor Mill Road, said roint being on the southwest outline of the sixth parcel conveyed by the Woodlawn Cemetery Company of Baltimore County to Harold Tschudi and others by deed dated December 31, 1956 and recorded among the Land Records of Baltimore County in Liber G. L. B. 3425, page 549, running thence and binding on the southwest, northwest and northeast outlines of said sixth parcel (1) N 48° 36' 50" W 300 feet, more or less, (2) N 40' 53' 10" E 184 feet, more or less, and (3) S 44° 39' 58" E 430 feet, more or less, to the north side of Clarke Avenue, thence binding thereon westerly by a curve to the left with a redius of 735.00 feet for a distance of 200.00 feet, more or less, to the place of beginning

RLS:mpl

Containing 1.4 acres of land, more or I. O. #70027

TE OF WARPY pril 12, 1971

PETITION FOR RECLASSIFICATION trom D.R. 5.5 to D.R. 16 and Special Exception for Offices and Office Building N/S Woodlava Dr. (Clark Avenue) 545' HE Windsor Mill Road 545' RE Windsor Mill Road 2nd District - NW Sector Harold Tschudi and Bradley T.J. Mettee, Jr. Petitioners - No. 72-84 R

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR BATATMORE COUNTY

orth

FOLIO: 5125 CASE :

Zoning File No. 72-84-8X

MEMORANDUM OPINION

This is an appeal by the owners of a 1.4 acres parcel of land located on the north side of Woodlawn Drive (Clark Avenue) approximately 545 feet northeast of Windson Mill Road in the Second Election District of Baltimore County, from an Order of the County Board of Appeals of Baltimore County, dated Pugust 27, 1973, which denied the requested reclassification of the property from a D.R. 5.5 to a D.R. 16 zone and the issuance of a special exception thereon to permit the construction of an office building.

In its opinion, the Board stated "The Board believes that the subject petition has merit but that any rezoning of the subject must be accomp ished in a comprehensive manner along with adjacent properties. To single out this one small parcel and rezone it to D.R. 16 with a special exception, without any semblance of similar type of use with continuous properties would be, in our opinion, illegal spot zoning." Solely for these reasons the Board denied the Petition

In turning to the record in this case to determine whether or not the evidence supports the Board's finding and whether or not it properly interpreted the law pertaining

to apply for a correction of the error. There is no necessity or requirement for them to await some future comprehensive regarded nor to join with other owners to obtain relief. Indeed, it has repeatedly been held that an ordinance which does no provide a procedure for piecemeal correction of errors or mistakes is unconstitutional Secondly, with the adoption of the Comprehensive Maps on March 24, 1971, Bill 100 became effective (having previously been enacted by the Baltimore County Council on September 6, 1970 to be affective when new Zoning Mans were adopted), whereby entire new residential concepts were established. The new zones which were created by Bill 100 and which became effective March 24 1971, included D.R. 1, D.R. 2, D.R. 3.5, D.R. 5.5, D.R. 10.5 and D.R. 16. The D.R. simply stands for Density-Residential. Any type of residence, including apartments, may legally be constructed in any of the D.R. zones so long as the allowable density is not exceeded. Consequently. the land on the north side of Woodlawn Drive including the subject property is already zoned for apartment construction, the only exceptions being of course, the Woodlawn Cometery and the Gwynn Oak Amusement Park. Therefore, the character of this area is an amusement park, a cometery and a mixture of densities ranging from five and one-half residental units to the acre to sixteen units to the acre, all of which may include apartments, townhouses, etc. It is indeed difficult to con ceive as to how a simple change in density could, under such circumstances, constitute "illegal spot zoning" as determined by the Board and testified to by the sole protestant.

Moreover, it is also difficult to see how an increase in residence density could lead to the commercialization of

thereto, it is sufficient to say that the record clearly demonstrates that the side of Woodlawn Drive on which the subject property is located is goned for a number of must poses and uses which negates the Board's conclusion that the reclassification to a D.R. 16 zone would constitute illegal or invidious spot zoning. There was testimony that the Planning Board had recommended the subject property be placed in a D.R. 16 classification prior to the adoption of the Comprehensive Zoning Maps by the Baltimore County Council on March 24, 1971. There was testimony that because of its size, shape and copography, it was impractical and, in fact. not a physical possibility to develop the parcel either in cottages or somi-detached homes. There was testimony that the property legally could be developed into apartments under the existing D.R. 5.5 z ming, although, it was impractical even for that purpose because of the small size. (T. 18, 19)

Going northeasterly from the intersection of Woodlawn Drive and Windsor Mill Road is a large lot of ground occupied by an old house which was the subject matter of a prior zoning petition requesting that the property be reclassified to a Business-Local zone. This property (now zoned D.R. 5.5) is known as the Pierpont property and the case was reported in 25? Md. 554 as Wells v. Pierpont. The rear yard of this property is immediately contiquous to one of the side property lines of the subject parcel. The next 260 feet, more or less, is the subject property which has a running depth on the east property line of approximately 300 feet, and about 430 feet on the west side line. The west property line is immediately contiquous to a large mausoleum

- 2 -

that side of Woodlawn Drive. As a result, the Court is of the opinion the Board erred in interpreting the law and must he reversed as to its denial of the remorted reclassification

Turning to the special exception, the record is uncontradicted that the proposal of the Petitioners would not violate any of the requirements of Section 502.1 of the Baltimore County Zoning Regulations, (7. 23-25) Since a special exception use is prima facia correct in a zone which authorizes its use, the case at bar is controlled by Montgomery County v. Merlands Club, Inc., 202 Md. 279, and Oursler v. Cempn, 204 Md. 397. See also Turner, et al v. Hammond, et al, Daily Record, February 2, 1974.

For the above reasons, it is ORDERED this 27 2hay of Tebruary, 1974, by the Circuit Court for Baltimore County that the Order of the County Board of Appeals of Baltimore County, dated angust 27, 1973, which denied the requested reclassification of the subject property from a D.R. 5.5 to a D.R. 16 zone, and the issuance of a special exception thereon to permit the committeetion of an office building, is hereby REVERSED

Copy to: W. Lee Harrison, Esq. W. Lee Harrison, Ed. Larry L. lockhart, Esq. Jamos F. Miller, Esq. County Board of Appeals William H. Adkins, Director, Admr. Office of the Courts Eugene Creed, Administrator

- 5 -

in the Woodland Complant Revent the complete property and immediately continuous to it is a large apartment development in the D.R. 16 zone, this development being about 600 feet northeasterly from the subject property Beyond the D.R. 16 apartment development is the Gwynn Oal Amusement Park in a commercial classification. (T. 28)

There was ample evidence to clearly demonstrate error on the part of the Council in placing the subject property in a D.R. 5.5 zone, although the Court recognizes that the Board is not compelled to rezone even when confronted with uncenty dictail testimony (f it does not ministernant or misconstruct the law applicalize to such pituations. Only one witness testified in opposition to be reclassification and enough exception. Mr. Edward Jonkins, whose home backs up to the subject parcel of land at an elevation considerably higher than subject property, testified he felt his property would be deteriorated by the reclassification of subject parcel because "this would be the beginning of a foot in the door as you might say, to commercialization." He stated he objected to apartments per se on the property because "I thin) the area is saturated with apartments" but did not object as much to an office building. He further added "I think it is goot zoning." He other ovidence appears to remake the clear evidence of error proported by the Petitioners

It meems clear that the Board misinterpreted and misconstrued the applicable law in this case rejecting the Petition for the reasons which it gave. Firstly, if it found the Patition had merit in the contention that the D.R. 5.5 was erroneous, the owners have an ungualified right

- 3 -

February 8, 1972

W. Lee Harrison, Esquire 306 West Joppa Road Towson, Maryland 21204

RE: Petition for Reclassification and Special Exception N/S of Woodlawn Drive, (Clark Avenue; 545' NE of Windsor Milli Road - 2nd District Harold Tachudi, et al - Petitioners NO. 72-84-R /Item No. 39

I have this date passed my Order in the above captioned ordance with the "ttached.

lance2 JAMES E DVER

Ve. v truly yours.

cc: Larry L. Lockhart, Esquise 6660 Security Bouleverd Baltimore, Maryland 21207

James F. Miller, Esquire Room 503 106 St. Paul Street Baltimore, Maryland 21202 PETITION FOR RECLASSIFICATION : from D.R. 5.5 to D.P. 16, and SPECIAL EXCEPTION for Offices and Office Building N/S Woodlown Dr. (Clark Ave.) : 345' NE Window Mill Road Harold Technica un. Bradley T. J. Mettee, Jr.

REFORE COUNTY BOARD OF APPEALS OF

BALTIMORE COUNTY

No. 72-84-8X

OPINION

This case comes before the Board on an appeal by the Petitioners from an Order of the Deputy Zoning Commissioner, dated February 8, 1972, denying the requested The Petitioners seek a reclassification from D.R. 5.5 zone (Density Resintial. 5.5 dwelling units per acre) to D.R. 16 (Density Residential, 16 dwelling units pe cre), and a special exception for Offices and Office Building.
The property is located on the north side of Woodlawn Drive (Clark Avenue) 545 feet northeast of Windsor Mill Road, In the community of Woodlawn, Second Election District, Baltimore County, Maryland The property enjoys approximately 200 feet, more or less, on Woodlawn Drive, a running lepth on the east property line of approximately 300 feet, more or less, and 430 feet, more or less, on the western property line, (see Plot, Petitioners' Exhibit #1). The subject property is improved by a two and one-half story frame dwelling, approximately fifty years in age, (see Petitionars' Exhibit #3-8, photo of dwelling).

If successful in their petition, the Petitioners plan to raze the dwelling and modern two-story office building, approximately 18,400 square feet of floor area and provide 72 on-site paved parking spaces instead of the required 50 parking spaces.

It is the Petitioners' contention that the County Council erred in placing the subject property in a D.R. 5.5 zone when it adopted the Official Comprehensive Zoning Map 2-8 on March 24, 1971, (see zoning map, Petitioners' Exhibit *2). The Petitioners further contend that the requested special exception for an office building should be granted, as such use will satisfy the prerequisite requirements of Section 502.1 of the Baltimore County Zoning Regulations.

He also felt that the Council erred in not considering the fact that the adjoin ing cemetery would be a detraction for a development of D. R. 5. 5 cottages, and that a buffer zone of D. R. 16 should have been provided between the cemetery and other residential zones and uses in the area.

Mrs. Margaret Trail, Management Officer for the Woodlawn Cemetery, testified in behalf of the Woodlawn Cemetery Board. She objected to the proposed zoning because it was felt that an office building and an accompanying parking area would not be in keeping with the surroundings and would have a detrimental effect on the cemetery.

Se eral long time residents of the area were present and testified in protest of the reclassification. Their objections were based on the fact that they felt that a reclassification of the property would constitute a spot zone, and as such, would devaluate their homes and lead to changes in traffic and other conditions that could create major problems in the area. Those residents living in the immediate vicinity of the proposed structure felt that the values of their homes, in particular, would be depreciated.

After reviewing the above testimony, it is the opinion of the Deputy Zoning Commissioner that the Petitioners failed to show that the legislative action taken by the Baltimore County Council was in error

The claim that the Council erred by not following the Planning Board's recommendation is not valid. The Planning Board's recomme are just that, recommendations. The Council, after reviewing all facets, must make its own independent decision. The Planning Board's final reporto the Zoning Commissioner under Item No. 39 recommended that D. R. 5. 5 zoning be retained. The fact that this property borders on a cemetery does not necessarily dictate that it must act as a buffer or transitional use for other residential properties. Cemeteries are permitted in residential zones Tschudi and Mettee - \$72-84-RX

Section 502,1 of the Zoning Regulations states:

"Before any Special Exception shall be granted, it must appear that the use for which the Special Exception is requested will not:

2.

- a. Be detrimental to the health, safety, or general welfare of the locality involved; Tend to create congestion in roads, streets or alleys therein Create a potential hazard from fire, panic or other dangers; Tend to overcrowd land and cruse undue conceptration of

- interfere with adequate provisions for schools, parks, water, ion or other public re
- es, or improvements; ith adequate light and air."

To support the claim of "error," the Petitioners put on testimony that the County Council sid orr in zoning the subject property D.R. 5.5 because of the small size of the subject property (1.4 acres), the topography of the subject tract has a severe differential of 35 feet in elevation, the property is contiguous to the Woodlawn Cemetery and and is not suitable for residential use. The Petitioners further testified that the recommendation of the Planning Board to the County Council, preceding the adoption of the zoning map, was to grant D.R. 16.

Testimony in apposition to granting the petition came from a Mr. Edward Jenkins of 2215 Maple Hill Court, whose property is adjacent on the rear property line on the eastern side of the subject tract. The thrust of his opposition was that it would be that granting the petition would lead to further commercialization along Noodlawn Drive and Windsor Mill Road, and that his property value would be adversely

ning, of showing that the County Council erred in such rezoning, and that in special exception the prerequisite requirements of Section 502.1 would be

The . and believes that the subject petition has merit, but that any rezoning of the subject must be accomplished in a comprehensive manner along with adjacent prop-To single out this one small parcel and rezone it to D.R. 16 with a special without any semblance of similar type of use with contiguous properties, would

"schudi and Mettee - #72-84-RX

he, in our opinion, litegal spot zoning. Therefore, the requested petition is hereb

ORDER

For the reasons set forth in the aforegoing Opinion, it is this 27th day of August, 1973, by the County Board of Appeals, ORDERED that the reclassification and special exception petitioned for, be and the same are hereby DENIED.

Any appeal from this decision must be in accordance with Chapter 1100, subtitle 8 of Maryland Rules of Procedure, 1961 edition.

COUNTY BOARD OF APPEALS OF BALTIMORE COUNTY

FILING

0.8

ORD

34

RE: PETITION FOR RECLASSIFI-CATION AND SPECIAL EX-N/S of Woodlawn Drive, (Clark Avenue) 545' NE of Windsor Mill Avenue 345' NE of Windsor Mill :
Road - Znd District
Harold Tschudi, et al - Petitioners :
NO. 72-84-R (Item No. 39)

COMMISSIONER OF

REFORE THE

DEPUTY ZONING

BALTIMORE COUNTY 111 111 111

This is a Petition for Reclassification from a D. R. 5. 5 Zone to a D. R. 16 Zone and a Special Exception for an Office Building.

The site contains 1.4 acres at is bounded on the northeast by Woodlawn Ce., etery, in the rear by existing residents, \ cottenes, and on the uthwest by a residentially zoned unimproved tract. The property on the opposite side of Woodlawn Drive is publicly owned school property and is improved with a recreational area

An engineering expert, testifing on behalf of the Petitioner, indicated that water and sewer was available to the site. He described the opography as rising in grade from road level in front to a height of twentyfive (25') feet in the rear. He also described the proposed improvements as a two (2) story office building with an adjoining parking area.

Mr. Bradley C. J. Mettee, who testified as a co-owner. established the fact that the subject site was, at one time, part of the origina cemetery tract and was improved with a caretaker's house and a storage shed. He also stated that the main body of the cemetery, containing two hundred (200) acres, was sold in 1965, and the 'ubject parcel was withheld because it had always been in a separate parcel.

A real estate expert, testifing on behalf of the Petitioners, stated that in his opinion, the Baltimore County Council had erred in placing D. R. 5. 5 zoning on this property. He based the error on the fact that the Council had not followed the Planning Board's or ginal recommendation of D. R. 16 when they adopted the Comprehensive Zoning Map on March 24, 1971

zones under certain conditions and restrictions, and as such, can be a very compatible reighbor for cottage type development. The well kept dwellings located to the rear of the subject parcel are typical of the many substantial developments that border cemeteries.

The Petitioners have not met their burden of proving that the Comprehensive Zoning Map, as adopted by the Baltimore County Council, is in error.

IT IS ORDERED by the Deputy Zoning Commissioner of Baltimore County, this Styling day of February, 1972, that the above reclassification be and the same is hereby DENIED and that the above described property or area be and the same is hereby continued as and to remain a D. R. 25. 5 Zone and/or the Special Exception for an Office Building be and the same is hereby DENIED.

5

Re: Petition for Reclassification R-6 or DR-5, 5 to R-A with Special eption or DR-16 with Special Exception arold Tschudi and Bradley T. J. Mettee, Jr., Petitioners

ZONING COMMISSIONER

OF BALTIMORE COUNTY

MEMORANDUM

Now come Harold Tschudi and Bradley T. J. Mettee, Jr., legal owners of the above captioned property, by W. Lee Harrison, their attorney and in accordance with Bill 72. Section 22, 22(b) state that the reclassificati and special exception requested should be grant ed and for reasons say

This request is the subject of a clear cut error committed by the County Council in adopting the new Zoning Map. The normal planning concept was not followed on the subject property. The property is bounded on the northeast b" a cemetery, on the west by a residence, on the southwest b racant residential land, and on the south by Woodlawn Drive, a major arteria street. The only use that this property could be used for is to provide a buffe zone and use between the cemetery, Woodlawn Drive, and the commercial cen mmediately to the south. Therefore, we request that this error be correcte and the property be classified to its property zone DR-16,

> W. Lee Harrison W. Lee Harrison 306 W. Joppa Road Towson, Maryland 21204 823-1200 Attorney for Petitioner

W. LEE HARRISON DOG WEST JOPPA ROAD TOWSON, NARYLAND 21204 G. MITCHREL ACRE

February 29, 1972

S. Eric DiNenna, Esq. Zoning Commissioner County Office Building Towson, Maryland 21204

Re. Petition for Reclassification and Special Exception, N/S of Woodlawn Drive, (Clark Avenue) 545' NE of Windsor Mill Road 2nd District, Harold Tschudi, et al, Petitioners, No. 72-84-R

Please note an appeal to the Board of Appeals from the Decision and Order dated February 8, 1972, denying the above req sit on behalf of the

I enclose herewith check in the amount of \$75.00 to cover the cost of said appeal.



V. Lee Harrison

Very truly yours.

CATION one, and files ark Ave.) and Sector	•	CIRCU	THE IT COURT OR COUNTY
one , and ffices ark Ave.)	•	F	OR .
ad a			
ad a		BALTIMORE	COUNTY
			7.7.700000
T.J. Mattee	.Jr. 1	AT I	AW
		Misc. Docket N	0. 9
		Folio No.	340
		File No.	5125

ANSWER TO ORDER OF APPEAL TO CIRCUIT COURT FOR BALTIMORE COUNTY AND CERTIFIED COPIES OF PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE ZONING COMMISSIONER AND BOARD OF APPEALS OF BALTIMORE COUNTY

Mr. Clerks

Please file, &c.

Muriel E. Buddemeier
County Board of Appeals of Baltimore County

W. Lee Harrison, Esq. Larry L. Lockhart, Esq. Sning, B.Anderson - Hanning - Mrs. R. Werneth

PETITION



We the understand, reside as in the immediate proximity of the property on the north size of the lawn being, northeast of Mindeor Bill Hood owned by Handel Per 1, or 1 (i.) acres), protest any change from the DM 5.5 classificant and this property.

Regarding on this property as how lenied twice since 1970. We believe recoming this area constitutes "snot soning" for vested interests.

HAFE	ADDRESC	APPROXIMATE DISTAL PHON SUBJECT PROPERTY	CE DATE
Janus Z. Zuban	95 2201 MARCE H.	1cc 60 405	9/21/2
Janus Z. Zubar	2201 maple Here	et 65 yps	9/2/1
to audel	The same of the same		5/11/
Mus Joseph Leder	2202 Maple Nill		9/211
Mw & Ine Roy	er 6600 Kione on	7 0 yds	9/21
Eline L. Payer			7/1/2
come & Roye.	& 6600 Kinok	2 70 year	9.2
Goon m maffee		h 75 mg	9-21-
Joseph Wickham		85 yes	9/21/7
Alice & Benner		et adjuning	4/2/
hail Bennet		et.	9/22/1
William a Dais	22.7 Maple Hill	T 10 40s.	9-22
		ct. 10 yes	9/22/
Mary Jan Dar	500		9/22
klen m. Spicks	16 2205 maple H		1./22/
Edwin T Specking	- 10		9/221
Mary E. Amp	2 209 Maglell	w. M. 10 yds.	
A. E. Cover	2106 maple He	cer 30 yds	9/24
Marlyn a Cover	2206 In aple Hel	1 cz 30 yds	9/24
Bridge Compen	Va 2208 Traya tu	ct 30 yes	9/20/2
Faul H. Boy			9/22
Bligabeth a Boy	er 2210 maple He	ucd soyds	9/22/7

RE: PETITION FOR RECLASS FICATION from D.R. 5.5 to D.R. 12 zons, and SPECIAL EXCEPTION for Offices and Office Building N/S of Weedlan's Drive (Clark Ave.) 545' NE of Windoor Mill Road 2nd District, Northwestern Sector IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR BALTIMORE COUNTY AT LAW Harold Tschudi and Bradley T.J. Metter, Jr. : Misc. Docket No. Zoning File No. 72-84-8X : Folio No. 340 5125 : File No.

TO THE HONORABLE, THE JUDGE OF SAID COURTS

And now come John A. Slawik, John A. Miller and Robert L. Gilland. constituting the County Board of Appeals of Baltimore County, and in answer to the Order all directed against there in this case, herewith return the record of proceedings had in the above entitled matter, consisting of the following certified copies or original papers on file in the office of the Zessing Department of Ballimore County: ZONING ENTRIES FROM DOCKET OF ZONING COMMISSIONER OF BALTIMORE COUNTY

.

No. 72-84-8X

May 18, 1971 Zoning Advisory Committee comments - filed

Petition of Harold Tschudi and Bradley T. J. Mettee for reclassification Aug. 17 Fortion or Nation Section and Browney 1. 3. A review for reconstruction of Roman 2.8. 5.5 south to D. R. 1.6 zone, and Special Exception for offices and office building, on property located on the north side of Woodlewn Drive (Clark Avenue) 545° northwest of Windoor Allil Road, 2nd District, Northwestern Sector — filled

Certificate of Publication in newspaper - filed Sopt. 9

" 24 Certificate of Posting of property - filed

At 11:00 a.m. hearing held on petition by Deputy Zoning Commissioner

Order of Deputy Zening Commissioner denying reclassification and special exception

Order of appeal to County Board of Appeals from Order of Deputy Zon-

Hearing on appeal before County Board of Appeals - case held sub curia Jan. 11, 1973

Order of County Board of Appeals denying reclassification and special

PETITION

We the undersigned, randdents in the immediate proximity of the property on the morth side of Moddlawn Prive, northeast of Mindsor Hill Hood excel by Harold Eschadi, et al 10.4 acres), protest any charge from the DR 5.5 classification of this property.

Regarding on this property has been desided twice since 1970. We believe regenting this area constitutes "spot woning" for vested interests.

NAME	ADDRESS	APPROXIMATE DISTANCE FROM SUBJECT PROPERTY	DATE
alla v Dorda dina di dilari Edunga funtion Lever funtion Robert 12. tilson Outanguist & (Nece 1. lest P. Hogyan Buth I Keetch alan Olan	2303 Maph. H.M. Cart 2203 maple Hill Court 2215 maple Hill Court 2215 maple Hill Ct 2204 maple Hill Ct 6588 Mindre Mich 6588 Mindre Mich 6588 Mindre Mich 6580 Court maple Mill 6510 Windre Mill 6510 Windre Mill 6213 Maple Hill	50 years 50 years 80 years 85 years 6 75 years 6 75 years 6 75 years 75 yea	9-23-7 9/23/7 9/23/7 9/23/7 9/23/7 9/23/7 9/23/7 9/23/7

Herold Techaril and a.T. J. Mettee, Jr. -(*5125)

Order for Appeal filed in the Circuit Court for Beltimore County by W. Lee Harrison, Eq., attorney for Patitiones-Appellants

2.

Petition to accompany Order for Appeal filed in Circuit Caust for Bultimore County

. 25 Certificate of Notice sent to all interested parties

Potition to Extend Time for trummittal of record to Circuit Court Oct. 19

Additional Patition to Extend Time for transmitted of record to Circuit Court to December 21, 1973

Dec. 3 Transcript of testimony filed - 1 volume

Peritionen' Exhibit No. 1 - Plot of Subject property (4/12/71)

" " 2 - Official soning map 2-8

3A - Photo of subject properly looking east

38 - " - Improvements on subject property

· · 3C - · - View from Woodlawn Drive of ubject property

" " 3D - " - View Isoking east on Woodlewn Dy. " " 3E - " " In westerly direction on Woodlawn Dr.

" " 3F = " - View looking south from subject prop.

4 4 - Planning Board recommendations and plat • • 5 - Plat showing subject site

- List of protestants present

- Zoning file #72-84-R

Record of proceedings filed in the Circuit Court for Baltimore County

Record of proceedings pursuant to which sold Order was entered and said Board acted are permanent recessis of the Zoning Department of Baltimore County, as are also the use district mans, and your respondents respectively surgest that it would be inconpropriate to file the same in this proceeding, but your respondents will produce any and all such rules and regulations, together with the zoning use district mass at the ring on this petition, or whenever directed to do so by this Courts.

TO: Mr. Edward D. Haronchy, Zoning Corringinger DAYE: 5/11/71

20ning Agenda Fire hydrants for the proposed site are required and shall be in accordance with Baltimore County Standards. The hydrants shall be located at intervals of 500 feet along an approved road.

Minimum width to the roads through sits shall be 30 fest to assure passage of Pire Department equipment. A. When pull-in parking is designed for both sides of a roadway, the minimum distance from ourb to curb of the parking area shall be 64 feet. B. Pull-in parking on one side only, the distance from curb to curb shall

The owner shall be required to comply with all applicable requirements of the 101 Life Safety Code, 1967 edition, and the Fire Frevention Code when construction plans are submitted for approval.

Harold Tschudi & Bradley T. J. Mettee, Jr.

Location: M/S Clarke Avenue, NE of Windsor Mill Rd.

A second means of access is required for the site.

0

PROM: Planning Division Fire Provention Euresu

SUBJECT: Property Commer:

Respectfully submitted,

0

Muriel E. Buddemeier County Board of Appeals of Beltimore County

BALLIMORE COUNTY, MARYLAND DEPARTMENT OF TRAFFIC ENGINEERING
JEFFERSON BUILDING
TOWSON, MARYLAND 21204
INTER-OFFICE CORRESPONDENCE

Edward D. Hardesty Actn: Oliver L. Myers TO

Date May 13, 1971

FROM: C. Richard Moore

SURJECT: Item 39 - Cycle Zoning
Property Guner: Harold Tschudi & Bradley T.J. Mettee. Jr.
Clarke Avenue NE of Windsor Hill Road
DR 5.5 to DN 16 with 5.t.

The subject petition is requesting a change from DR 5.5 to DR 16 with special exception for offices of 1.4 acres. As DR 5.5 it will generate 70 trips per day. As DR 16, 170 trips per day. As an office building, 360 trips per day.

Due to the size of the property, there should be no major traffic problems. However should large areas of offices go up in this area, some problems can be anticipated.

CRM; nr

Public water and sewer are available to the site. Air Pollution Comment: The building or buildings on this site may be subject to registration and compliance with the Marylans State Health Air Pollution Control Regulations. Additional information may be obtained from the Division of Air Pollution, Builtisore Country Department of Health.

BALTIMORE COUNTY, MARYLAND

INTER-OFFICE CORRESPONDENCE

39. Property Owner: Harold Tschudi & Bradley T.J. Mettee, Jr.
Location: N/S Clarke Ave., NE of Windsor Mill Road
Present Zoning: D.R., 16 with S.E.
District: 2nd Sector: Northwestern
No.Acres: 1.4

Date_____May 14, 1971

Mt. Oliver Myers

FROM Ian J. Forrest

SUBJECT Item 39

IJF/san

69)

Petitioner: Tacacos of Merree, Ja.

District.

Present Zoning: De 5.5

Proposed Zording: De 16 with SE Fee 2 STY OFFICE TABLE

No. of Acres: 1.4

Comments: NO EFFECT ON STUDENT POPULATION

BALTROBE COUNTY, MARYLAND

INTER-OFFICE CORRESPONDENC

Edward Hardesty

Date May 10, 1971

FROM Ellsworth N. Piver, P.S.

SUBJECT Item #39 (April - October Cycle 1971) Property Owner: Harold Tschudi & Badley T. J. Mettoe, Jr. Location: N/S Clarke Avenue, NS of Minds.r Mill Road Present Zoning: D.R. 5.
Proposed Zoning: D.R. 16 with S.S.
District: 22C Sector: Northwestern No. Acres: 1.h

The subject property is essentially the same property previously reviewed by the Zoning Advisory Committee, and known as Item 80. 353 (1969-1970). The comments for unnished by this Office in connection with Item 80. 353 remain walld and in effect. We are enclosing herewith a Zerox copy of those comments which are applicable to the current zoning.

ELISWORTH N. DIVER, P.E. Chiof, Bureau of Engineering

END: KAM: RMD: ag

cc: File (3)

Attachment

BALTIMORE COUNTY ZONING ADVISORY COMMITTEE

non-OLIVER L MYERS

MEMBERS

BUREAU OF DEPARTMENT OF TRAFFIC ENGINEERS

STATE ROAL COMIG BUREAU OF

BEALTH DEPARTMENT PROPECT PLANNING BUILDING DEPARTME BOARD OF EDUCATION ZONING ADMINISTRATI INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT

July 8, 1970

W. Lee Harrison, Esq. 306 W. Joppa Road Towson, Maryland 21204

RE: Type of Hearing: Reclassification from an R-6 zone to an RA zone, and for Special Excession for Office Use Wicinity Guynn Oak Avenue Petitioner: Harold Tschud; et al Committee Meeting of June 2, 1970 2nd District

The Zoning Advisory Committee has reviewed the plans submitted with the above referenced patition and has made an on site field inspection of the property. The following comments are a result of .is review and inspection.

The subject property is presently an unimproved tract of a centery. The property to the east and northeast improved with a centery. The property to the too them on the set is considered to the property to the south and prince the property to the south and plying on the south side of Woodlam Drive is school property and an additional place of property, which is presently under consideration on enoting south property and an additional place of property.

BUREAU OF ENGINEERING:

The following comments are furnished in regard to the plat submitted to this office for review by the Zoning Advisory Committee in connection with the subject item.

Hi ghways !

Woodlawn Drive is an existing 48-foot road on a 70-foot right of way which has been completely improved.

The petitioner must provide necessary drainage facilities (temporary or permanent) to prevent creating any nuisances or

W. Lee Harrison, Esq. Item 353 Page 2

July 8, 1970

Storm Drains: (Continued)

damages to adjacent properties, especially by the concentration of surface waters. Correction of any problem which may result, due to improper grading or improper installation of drainage facilities, would be the full responsibility of the petitioner.

Sediment Controls

Development of this property through stripping, grading and stabilization could result in a sadiment pollution problem, desegging private and public holdings downstress of the property. A grading parmit is, therefore, necessary for all grading, including the stripping of top soil.

Grading studies and sediment control drawings will be necessary to be reviewed and approved prior to the recording of any record plat or the issuence of any grading or building permits.

Public sanitary sewerage is available to serve this property. There is an existing 10-inch senitary sewer in Woodlawn Drive, as shown on Drawing #61-1032, A-10.

Public water can be made available by the construction of a public water main extension in Woodiumm Drive from the existing 12-inch water main in Windsor Hill Road, as shown on Drawing #62-435, A-4-b.

BEPT. OF TRAFFIC ENGINEERING:

The subject patition is requesting a change from R6 to RA with special exception for offices of 1.4 acres. As R6, it will generate 70 trips per day, x 170 trips per day, x 4s no frice building, 360 trips per day are the size of the property, there should be no major traffic problems. However, should large areas of offices go up in this area, some problems can be anticipated.

BOARD OF EDUCATION:

Would not increase student population.

BALTIMORE COUNTY, MARYLAND

INTER-OFFICE CORRESPONDENCE

16 Edward Hardesty Date_____ June 12, 1970__

FROM Ellsworth M. Diver, P.B.

SUBJECT Tem 353 (1959-1970)
THOSEPT COMMENT HEROID TECHNICITY of all MyS and //S of Moodleam Avonus, Vicinity

Modern College Control of the College College

The following coments are furnished in regard to the plat sabuitted to this office for review by the Zening Advisory Consists in connection with the subject them.

Mondlann Drive is an existing 18-foot road on a 70-foot which has been

Storn Drains:

We published must provide accessary drainage facilities (temporary or positioner that proves accounty contrapt restriction temperature or positioner that proves a constraint of manager to allowing proposition, conceining by this concentration of contract where, Convention of any my-land which we would be the fall temperature of account to the contract which are remain, due to improve produce or improve instablishes of decimps facilities, which be full temperaturity of the publisher.

Sediment Control:

breakpoint of this property threach derivating, grading and slabilitation could route in a californ publish publish property. A grading private red public haldings demarked of the reporty. A grading pertit is, therefore, the converge of the problem, the state of they rell.

Graing at Year of a "hart cohest hedges will be measured to be authored and age, to the resulting of any record plat or the foreness of any gradest or to the gradest."

Soultary Source

W. Lee Harrison, Esq.

FIRE DEPARTMENT:

HEALTH BEPARTMENT:

ZONING ADMINISTRATION DIVISION:

BUILDING ENGINEER'S OFFICE:

Public buddeny namency is realished to sore this property. These is to existing 10-lash analtusy sever in Loodland Brive, so sever on Brackey 662-2029, 4-20.

Petitioner to meet all applicable requirements of Baltimore County Building Code and Regulations. (See multiple occupancies, Section 400.3 and business occupancies, Section 404.)

The owner shall be required to comply with all applicable requirements of the 10 Life Safety Code, 1967 edition, and the Fire Prevention Code when construction plans are submitted for approval.

Fire hydrants for the proposed site are required and shall be in accordance with Balt:more County Standards.

Air Pollution Comments: The building or buildings on this site may be subject to registration and compliance with the Maryland State Health Air Pollution Control Regulations. Additional information by be obtained from the Division of Air Pollution, Baltimore County Department of Health.

This petition is accepted for filing on the date of the enclosed filing certificate. Notice of the hearing date and time, which will be held not less than 30, nor more than 90 days after the date on the filing certificate, will be formerded to you in the near future.

Very truly yours,

O. L. Moras as Share

Public water and severs are available.

July 8, 1970

Tion 353 (1959-197. Proporty Owner: Harold Taolmdi, et al. Page 2 Juno 12, 1970

Water:

Public mater can be made available by the con traction of a public water main extended in Woodham Drive from the exterior of a passi-main in Windoor Mill Road, so shown on Drawing fig-hip, A-t-b.

Bean Stor Diver

ELICABETH M. DIVER, P.E. Chief. Burnes of Engineering

END-ESM-Dittens

L-SE Fey Shoot 10 MM 21 Position Shoot HW 37 4000

15160

72-84- PX

CERTIFICATE OF POSTING ZONING DEPARTMENT OF BALTIMORE COUNTY Townen, Maryland

District. a 24

Date of Posting MARCH 25- 1474

Petitioner: HAROLD TSCHUD! AND BRADIEY V. PLTES Location of property: N/S WOOD diama DR. CELARKAUE.) 545 FT. NE

OF WINDSOR HILL ROAD Location of Signs: N/S WOOLLAWN DR. GOOFT +- NE OF WINDSOR MILL ROAD

Remarks:
Posted by Charles M. Maal Date of return MRAN 30 1972

72-84	
CERTIFICATE OF PUBLICATION	
Pikesville, Md. September 9 , 1971	-
THIS IS TO CERTIFY, that the annexed advertise-	
ment was published in THE NORTHWEST STAR, a weekly newspaper printed and published in Pikes-	
ville, Beltimore County, Maryland, once in each	
of one time before the 27th day of September , 1971	
the first publication appearing on the 9th day of September , 19 71.	
THE NORTHWEST STAR	
amolt landan	
Cost of Advertisement, \$ 26.18	

BALTIMORE COUNTY OFFICE OF PLANNING AND ZONING

Your Patition has been received and accepted for filling

CERTIFICATE OF PUBLICATION

THIS IS TO CERTIFY, that the annexed advertisement was published in THE JEFFERSONIAN, a weekly newspaper printed and published in Towson, Baltimore County, Md., and constants day of _September _____, 19.71_, the first publication appearing on the ___9th ___day of ___September_____ 19.74.

Cost of Advertisement, \$.

72-84-12

CERTIFICATE OF POSTING

District 2 Nd	Date of Posting SEPT. 11-1971
Posted for RECLASSIFICATION &	SPEEML EXCEPTION
Petitioner: HAROLA TSE HULL	
Location of property: N/S OF Noodl	AWN DR. CELARK AUE) SUSFE NE OF
Wirdson MILL Ad.	
HILL Rd. 7-4 L/s of Wood/A	OCHANN DRIVE GOOFT. T- E OF WINDSOR. WWD RIVE SCOTT. + E OF WINDSOR MILL Rdo.
Remarks:	
Posted by Charles M. Mea	Date of return SPFT. 24-1971

goo Sheet	
T	
lescriptio	

antion	TELEPHONE	OFFICE OF FINANCE DATE 12/	12/73
	√то: 302 70	COUNT ROUTE TOWNSHIP MARYLAND 21304 County Board of County VI. All States	TOTAL AMOUNT
	eualimy - 9	Copies of certified decuments in Cess No. 27-64-20 N/S of Woodman Or. (Clash Ave.) 555° NS of Window 18	\$15.00 —
De.		2nd Dairietycoffmentern Sector H. Richadf and Bradley T. J. Mattee, Jr. Palitiones	
	15,000		

MAIL TO OFFICE OF FINANCE, REVENUE DIVISION COURTHOUSE, TOWSON, MARYLAND 21204

ELEPHONI 4945AE3		OFFICE OF FINANCE	D 200 786
		Revenue Dickies	س ې
To:	ine Harrison, the S V. Japan Stad Lann, Md. 27200	juding Sapt. of Sali	law County
DERDOIT TO	ACCOUNT NO. 91-622	RETURN THIS PORTION WIT	TH YOUR REMITTANCE \$50.0
C Artico	Patition for Bas arks and Madas	classification and Special Exception for Ted	and latter 50,0

BALTIMORE CO N OFFICE OF FINANCE - R MISCELLANEOUS	EVENUL DIVISION	Ma. 1 <u>5</u> 78
DATE 3/13/72	ACCOUNT	01-662
	AMOUNT_75	.00
	DISTRIBUTION	
WHITE - CASHIER	PINK - AGENCY	YELLOW - CUSTOMES
No. 72-84 - Apr	eal costs in matte	r of property of
	, et al -N/S Wood	llawn Drive N/E
Windsor Mid R. W. Lee Harriso	8 3 2 2 2 1 1 4	75.00

MORE COUNT FICE OF FINANCE - REV SCELLANEOUS CA	ENUE DIVISION	⊕ No. 202
TE Oct. 8, 1971	ACCOUNT_	01-662
	1	NO. PL. OC.
	AMOUNT	\$124,68
IITE - CASHIER	DISTRIBUTION PINK - AGENCY	VELLOW : EUSTAME
sarold Tachudi S 108 Balto-Annapo ilen Burnie, Hd.	Is Sivd. NE	

