PETITION FOR ZONING RE-CLASSIFICATION AND/OR SPECIAL EXCEPTION

I, or we, Chesapeake Homes Inc., legal owner of the property situate in Baltin County and which is described in the description and plat attached hereto and made a part hereof, etition (1) that the zoning status of the herein described property be re-cia...ified, pursuant to the Zoning Law of Baltimore County, from an ... DR 10.5 and DR 5.5 ... zone to an

Error in the original Zoning Mans.

See attached description

and (2) for a Special Exception, under the said Zoning Law and Zoning Regul-County, to use the herein described property, for Property is to be posted and advertised as prescribed by Zoning Regulation ion and/or Special Procession a posting, etc., upon filing of this petition, and further agree to and are to be bound by the zoning ww. Bldg 303 East Fayette Street Baltimore, Maryland 21202

ORDERED By The Zoning C 197 I that the exhibit matter of this petition he advertised as required by the Zoning Law of Balti out Baltimore County more County, that property be posted, and that the public heartr' be had before the Zonin loane of Baltimore County in Room 106, County Office Building in Towson, Baltimore 30th

P. M.

BEFORE THE

ZONING COMMISSIONER

BALTIMORE COUNTY

111 111

The Petiticner requests a Reclassification from D.R.10.5

Evidence presented on behalf of the Petitioner by vari-

On December 16, 1970, the then Zoning Commissioner of

The Petitioner indicated that he wishes to develop the

It was further indicated that there are adequate sewer

The Petitioner indicated that the property's topography

An expert witness for the Petitioner indicated that there

and D.R.5.5 Zones to a D.R.16 Zone for a parcel of property locat-

ed on the northeast corner of Interstate 95 and Metropolitan Bou-

ous witnesses and experts indicated that the property is the resi-

Baltimore County, Edward D. Hardesty, granted R.A. zoning for the

subject tract but a timely appeal was filed therefrom. The Balti-

more County Council classified the property D.R.10.5 on March 24,

property into five hundred and twenty-five (525) townhouse units,

cannot be done in the present classification. The setback require-

ments are more stringent in a D.R.10.5 Zone then in a D.R.16 Zone.

and water facilities available, as a new water main was installed

in the bed of Washington Boulevard during the process of the hear-

ranges from ninety feet (90') to two hundred and one feet (201'),

is a demand for townhouse and apartment development in this area

having a one hundred and eleven foot (111') deviation.

of Baltimore County, supporting same with the following:

and, because of the shape and topography of the property, this

due of a larger tract which was taken for the construction of In-

levard, in the Thirteenth District of Baltimore County, contain-

RE: PETITION FOR RECLASSIFICATION: NE/corner of Interstate 95 and Metropolitan Boulevard - 13th: District

ed - Petitioner NO. 72-93-R (Item No. 52)

ing 52.71 acres of land, more or less

terstate 95, which lies to the north.

1971.

ing on this Petition.

111 111 111

ake Homes, Incorporat-

No. 72-93-R

IN THE P. T. LENGION CIRCUIT COURT HIGH HILL REALTY. INC.

FOR BALTIMORE COUNTY

CHESAPEAKE HOMES, INC.

Misc. Docket No. 9 Folio No. 340 File No. 5126

At Law

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

MR. CLERK:

Please dismiss the appeal of the Appellants in the above entitled case.

MARVIN I. SINGER

CULLIVAN, WIESAND & SINGER Attorneys for Appellants

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on this 25 day of January, 1974, a copy of the foregoing Order of Dismissal was mailed to Vincent L. Glorioso, Esq., 8th Floor, INA Building, 303 E. Fayotte Street, Baltimore, Maryland 21202, attorney for Chesapeake Homes, Inc. and Anne Kay Kramer, Esq., Wiltonwood Road, Stevenson, Maryland 21153, attorney for Richard N. Foltz, Betty R. Foltz, "seph P. Madden, Regina A. Madden, Leonard C. Stromberg and Vivian A. Stromberg.

MARVIN I. SINGER

(lec'd 129/X

1. The growth of the University of Maryland Baltimore County and the Catonsville Community College.

2. The industrial couplexes along the

The impetus of the development of new in-dustrial complexes along Interstate 95 and those complexes now being developed in Columbia, and the employment opportunities accruing therefrom.

Its appropriate location with relation to the already developed and proposed road net-works in this area.

Mr. James S. Spamer, a qualified engineer, testified that the Capital Improvement Program had been initiated for the construction of Metropolitan Boulevard which, at the present time is under construction immediately to the southwest of the subject property. This has been planned for many years and was under construction at the time of the adoption of the present map. He further testified that it was his feeling that the Baltimore County Council, in adopting the Comprehensive Zoning Map, was not cognizant of the restrictive setbacks in a D.R. zone other than a D.R.16 Zone. He further testified that the property could not be economically developed in its present classification.

The property is bounded on the north by the newly opened Interstate 95 and to the southwest by the under construction Metropolitan Boulevard. The property to the south and southeast is of a residential nature, consisting of single family cottages.

The Petitioner indicated that if the Petition was granted. D.R.16 zoning would provide a more flexible development in the planning of the proposed five hundred and twenty-five (525) townhouses. Under D.R. 10.0 zoning, with stringent setback regulations therein, a well planned and designed development could not be constructed.

It was also indicated that the Baltimore County Planning Staff had recommended to the Baltimore County Planning Board that the property be reclassified to a D.R.16 Zone, in conformity with the decision of Mr. Hardesty of December 16, 1970. The Baltimore

January 25, 1974

Clerk, Circuit Court Court House Towson, Maryland 21204

Atten: Law Deak

Re: P. T. Lemmon and High Hill Realty, Inc. vs. Ease No. 5127

Please file the enclosed Order of Dismissal in the above entitled case.

Very truly yours,

Marvin I. Singer

MIS/ecg

Enclosure

cc: Vincent L. Glorioso, Esq.

Rec'd 1/29/24

mended the same to the Baltimore County Council, but on March 24, 1971, the Baltimore County Council classified the property in a D.R.10.5 classification.

Residents of the area, in protest of the subject Petition, indicated that the Relay area is a triangular shaped area bordered on the north by Interscate 95, on the west by the new Metropolitan Boulevard, on the south by Washington Boulevard, and on the east by Southwestern Boulevard. They indicated that there is no apartment type development within this area and that all development is of an individual residential cottage type. Furthermore, it was indicated that the granting of this Petition, along with several other Petitions concerning this area which are before the Zoning Commissioner, would cause undue traffic concentration in the area, overburden the school system, and be a detriment to their health, safety, and welfare.

Without reviewing the evidence further in detail but based on all the evidence presented at the hearing, in the coinion of the Zoning Commissioner, the Comprehensive Zoning Map as adopted on March 24, 2971, was in error in classifying this property in a D.P.10.5 Zone. The burden of proving error is borne by the Petitioner, and this burden has been met.

The development of Interstate 95 which was present and in use during the deliberation by the Baltimore County Council in the adoption of the map, the planned and under construction Metropolitan Boulevard at the same time, the extension of the water main from Baltimore City in the bed of Washington Boulevard, which lies closely to the southwest, the shape of the property, and the topography, necessitates this property being classified in a D.R.16 Zone. It is wore feasible that a well planned development could be constructed if the property were developed in a D.R.16 Zone.

It was noted during the hearing that the enrollment in the local public school, Relay Elementary School, has decreased

RE: PETITION FOR RECLASSIFICATION BEFORE rom D.R. 10.5 and D.R. 5.5 to COUNTY BOARD OF A PEAIS OF BALTIMORE COUNTY No. 72-93-R OPINION

This case comes before the Board on an appeal by the Protestants from an Order of the Zoning Commissioner dated April 18, 1972 granting the requested reclassifica-

At the beginning of the case, in open hearing, counsel for the Petitioner stated that he wished to withdraw his petition and would not give any testimony in this de ove hearing before the Board.

The burden of proof for the petition is upon the Petitioner, and since he has failed to meet this burden on appeal, the Order of the Zoning Commissioner must be iversed. Therefore the petition for reclassification from D.R. 10.5, D.R. 5.5 and D.R 3.5, as amended, to D.R. 16 is hereby denied.

ORDER

For the reasons set forth in the aforegoing Opinion, it is this 27th day of August, 1973, by the County Board of Appeals ORDERED, that the reclassification from 10.5, D.R. 5.5 and D.R. 3.5, as amended, to D.R. 16, be and the same is hereby DENIED

Any appeal from this decision must be in accordance with Chapter 1100, btitle 8 of the Maryland Rules of Procedure, 1961 edition.

John A. Slowik, Chairme

in the lower grades. Furthermore, there is to be constructed an elementary school in Halethorpe, and there is room at the Relay Elementary School for expansion when necessary.

There seems to be a growing housing need in this area due to the potentially high employment opportunities to be afforded by the University of Maryland Baltimore County, the Catonsville Community College, and the industrial corridor to the south along the Baltimore-Washington Expressway; furthermore, its close proximity to Columbia in Howard County, and its continuous development and expansion, affords very high employment opportunities and a need for housing.

The new road systems in the area have been planned for many years, and as previously stated, Metropolitan Boulevard is under construction and will be completed to Interstate 95 within a short period of time.

It must be further noted that the Baltimore County Planning Board in its recommendation to the Zoning Commissioner, under ftem No. 52, indicated the need for the density zoning requested on this subject tract but states that it should be granted provid-

> a. The Petitioner obrain covenants agree-able to the surrounding improvement associations. b. Said covenants limit development of this property to 10.5 dwelling units per acre.

Though the Zoning Commissioner is unable to qualify or restrict the number of units to be constructed on the subject property, it is strongly encouraged that said covenants be entered into between the residents of the Area and the Petitioner, and that the property be developed not in excess of 10.5 units per acre.

For the aforegoing reasons, IT IS ORDERED by the Zoning Commissione: of Baltimore County, this _______ day of April, 1972, that the herein described property or area should be and the same is hereby reclassified from D.R.10.5 and D.R.5.5 Zones to a D.R.16 Zone, from and after the date of this Order, subject to the

- 4 -

- 2 -

MAR 27 1974

approval of a site plan by the State Highway Administration, the Bureau of Public Services and the Office of Planning and Zoning.

IN THE MATTER OF

DEMOR TUR

CHESAPEAKE HOMES INC.

ZONING COMMISSIONER

FOR ZONING RECLASSIFICATION

OF BALTIMORE COUNTY

. MPMODANDA TH CIMBORN OR ZONING RECLASSIFICATION PETITION

STATEMENT OF PACTS

The Petitioners are seeking to have property located in the 13th election district, southwest side of Ingate Road, 137.86 feet northwest of Francis Avenue reclassified from its present zoning as adopted by the Baltimore County Council as 1 DR 10.5 Zone to a DR 16 Zone. The property had heretofore been classified as an RG Zone under the previous Coming Ordinances of Baltimore County. On July 7, 1970, The Sanford Land Company filed a Petition to Reclassify the property from a RG Zone to a RA Zone. The Zoning Petition was heard before the Honorable Edward D. Hardesty, Zoning Commissioner, Case No. 71-48-R (item No. 284) and by his decision dated December 16. 1970. a copy of which is attached hereto and made a part hereof, the land was, in fact, reclassified from an RG Zone to an RA Zone

That subsequent to this hearing, the Planning and Development Commission of Baltimore County had recommended the land be placed on the new Zoning Maps as a DR 16 Zone from its original classification as an RG Zone apparently not having been aware of the order of December 16, 1970, as previously cited.

The Baltimore County Council, after some deliberation, decided to reject the recommendation of the Planning Board and to reclassify the property as a DR 10.5,

thus keeping it as close as possible to the original zoning before the maps became effective. This Petition for Reclassification is, therefore, brought on the ground that there was error made in the reclassification of the said tract of

(6)

It is the contention of the Petitioners that the Council's action in reclassifying the said tract of land was definite error in that

- A. The Council erroneously believed that the Zoning Classification in effect immediately prior to the adoption of the Zoning Maps was an RG Zone; whereas, in fact, the land was classified as an RA Zone, as per the decision of the Zoning Commissioner of Baltimore County dated December 16, 1970, previously cited.
- B. The Council erred in failure to follow the recommendations of the Planning Commission of Bultimore County who, after exhausted studies of the area in question, recommended that the land in question be designated on the new Zoning Maps as a DR 16 Zone
- C. That the Council erred in not fully taking into consideration the increased need for housing of the type proposed by the Petitioners by virtue of the anticipated population increase due to the erection of the University of Maryland Baltimore County Campus, Catonsville Community College The Research Institute for Advanced Studies, the contemplated western branch of the YMCA, and other large developments either already existing or in the process of being built in the local.
- D. That the Council erred in failure to view the topography of the subject property which would make the development of the said tract of land economically unfeasible under any zoning classification other than 1 DR 16 Zone.

-2-

E. That the Council erred in failure to consider the geography and topography of the property in direct relationship to the new high-speed expressways built or being built immediately adjacent to the property that would render the land ideally situated for a DR 16 Zoning.

- F. That the Council erred in failure to consider the present zoning in effect of an RA Zone immediately prior to the adoption of the Zoning Maps as stated in the Order of the Zoning Commissioner of December 16, 1970.
- G. That the Council erred in failure to provide adequate apartment zoning in the 13th district local to properly take care of the increased needs for population expansions.

The Petitioners are also seeking to reclassify the land along the Selford Road adjacent to the Huntsmoor development from its present map classification of a DR 5.5 Zone to a DR 16 Zone. The land in question under the Zoning Ordinances before the adoption of the Zoning Maps was classified as an RG Zone which was bounded on its east side by the Huntsmoor whouse Development and on its west side by the new metropolitan boulevard. The Planning and Development Commission of Baltimore County had recommended that this land be classified as a DR 16 Zone and the Baltimore County Council, by its Resolution in adopting the maps, reclassified the property as a DR 5.5 Zone. It is the contention of the Petitioners that the Council's action in reclassifying the said tract of land was definite error

A. The Council erred in failure to follow the recommendations of the Planning Commission of Baltimore County who, after exhausted studies of the area in question, recommended that the land in question be designated on the new Zoning Maps as a DR 16 Zone

B. That the Council erred in not fully taking into consideration the increased need for housing of the type proposed by the Petitioners by virtue of the anticipated population increase due to the erection of the University of Maryland Baltimore County Campus, Catonsville Community College. The Research Institute for Advanced Studies, the contemplated

6

0

0

already existing or in the process of being built in the local. C. That the council erred in failure to view the topography of the subject property which would make the development of the said tract of land economically unfeasible under any zoning classification other than a DR 16 Zone.

western branch of the YMCA, and other large developments either

- D. That the Council erred in failure to consider the geography and topography of the property in direct relationship to the new high-speed expressways built or being built immediately adjacent to the property that would render the land ideally situated for a Dk 16 Zoning.
- E. That the Council erred in failure to consider the present zoning in effect of an RA Zone immediately prior to the adoption of the Zoning Maps as stated in the Order of the Zoning Commissioner of December 16, 1970.
- F. That the Council erred in failure to provide adequate apartment zoning in the 13th district local to properly take care of the increased needs for population expansions.

The Petitioners refer to the cases of Miller vs. Abrahams, 211 A2d, 309 (Md. 1965) Jobar Corporation vs. Rogers Forge Community Association, 202 A2d, 612 (Md. 1964)

and other applicable Maryland zoning cases.

It is therefore respectfully requested that the Zoning Commission of Baltimore County find that there was error in the adoption of the Zoning Maps and grant the Petitioners' request for reclassification of the subject property to a DR 16 Zone.

Pospectfully submitted.

Alvin E. Friedman Attorney for the Petitioners INA Building - 8th Ploor 303 East Payette Street Baltimore, Maryland 21202 685-1763

DESSIONAL ENGINEERS & LAND SURVEYOR 8017 YORK ROAD - TOWSON, MD. 21204

J.C.W.

Zoning Description

SHEET 1 0. 2

Section 1. Section 1.

AMES S. SPAMER & ASSECTATES ROFFESIONAL ENGINEERS & LAND SURVEYO BOLT YORK ROAD - TOWSON, MD. 21204

Plet 1 "Nuntsmoor Village South" Zoning Description

to the center line of Friendship Road as withmed to 50 feet, themos binding on the center line of Friendship Road as withmed to 50 feet, themos binding on the center line of Friendship Road, (36) North 520 OT 500 West 685.08 feet, themes leaving the center line of Friendship Road, (37) South 100 West 130.00 feet, themes (37) South 520 OT 500 Eart 31.10 feet and running themes and spacing over a concrete neument heretofers better to time, (3) South 160 30 100 Mest 131.00 feet, (12) South 520 OT 500 Eart 131.00 feet 100 Mest 520 OT 500 West 131.10 feet feet feet 131.00 feet 100 Mest 620 Eart 131.00 Eart 131.00 Feet 100 mest center line feet 311.00 feet 100 mest 630 Eart 131.00 Feet 100 mest 630 Eart 131.00 Eart 131

Containing 52.71 sames of land more or less.

Being a part of the first parcel of land which by a deed dated December 27, 1962 and recorded arong the Land Records of Baltimore County in Liber R.R.A. No. 4991, 1981e 23 was conveyed by National Realty Corpor-ation to Maiden Choice, Fra

-4-

P. T. LEMMON IN THE and HIGH HILL REALTY, INC. CIRCUIT COURT Appellants FOR VE. BALTIMORE COUNTY CHESA PEAKE HOMES INC. Appelled Misc. Docket No. 9 Folio No. File No. 340 5126

MOTION TO EXTEND TIME FOR FILING RECORD

Now come the Appellants, P. T. Lemmon and High Hill Realty, Inc., by their attorney, Marvin I. Singer, and move for an extension of time to file the record in the above entitled case, and in connection therewith respectfully state

- 1. That a timely appeal was noted in the above entitled case by the Appellants from the Order of the County Board of Appeals dated August 27, 1973.
- 2. That an Order was entered by this Honorable Court of October 29, 1973 dismissing said appeal, from which a timely appeal was noted on November 27, 1973.
- 3. That the record on appeal is due on December 27. 1973 but that an additional period of time is necessary for the completion of the transcript of restimony

WHEREFORE, Appellants move that this Honorable Court grant an additional thirty days in which to file the record in the within proceedings.



Rock 12/27/73

Board of Appeals was incorrect, improper, invalid (nd illegal,

- 6. That the amendment of the petition for reclassification as allowed by the Board, and the subsequent refusal by Petitioner to present evidence in support thereof was illegal, improper and invalid: and such a procedure in effect was a rezoning of the property in question, without evidence, and was arbitrary, capricious and discriminatory.
- 7. That the Board erred in denying the Joint Petition for Remand, as amended, and returning the proceedings to the Zoning Commissioner.
- 8. That the procedure adopted by the Board in these proceedings was not in accordance with the applicable law and regulations.
- 9. And for such other and further reasons as may be assigned at the hearing in .his case.

10 E st Eager Street
Baltimore, Maryland 21202
752-1122
Attorney for Appellants ttorney for Appellants

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on this day of October, 1973, a copy of the aforegoing Petition for Appeal was mailed to the County Board of Appeals, County Office Building, 111 W. Chesapeake Towson, Maryland 21204, Vincent Glorioso, Esq., IMA Building, Baltimore, Maryland 21202, attorney for Petitioner, Chesapsake Homes, Inc., and to Anne Kay Kramer, Esq., Wiltonwood Road, Stevenson, Maryland 21153.



I HEREBY CERTIFY that on this Act day of December, 1973, a copy of the within Motion and Order was mailed to the County Board of Appeals, County Office Building, 111 W. Chesapeal Avenue, Towson, Maryland 21204, Vincent Glorioso, Esq., IN1 Building, Beltimore, Maryland 21202, attorney for Petitioner Chesapeake Homes, Inc., and to Anne Kay Krawer, Esq., Wiltomecod Road, Stevenson, Maryland 21153.



RE: PETITION FOR RECLASSIFICATION Prom D.R. 10.5 and D.R. 5.5 to D.R. 16 sone NE come: of I-85 and Metropolit 13th District - S.W. Sector CIRCUIT COURT FOR BALTIMORE COUNTY AT LAW clest No. 340 5126

CERTIFICATE OF NOTICE

Mr. Clarks

(8)

Pursuant to the provisions of Bule 1101-8(4) of the Maryland Bules of Procedure, John A. Slowik, W. Giles Parker and Waiter A. Reiter, Jr., constituting the County Board of Appeals of Baltimore County, have given notice by mail of the filling of the appeal to the representative of every party to the proceeding before it; namely, Vincent L. Glarioso, Esq., 8th floor INA Building, 303 E. Fayette Street, Baltimore, Maryland, 21202, and Alvin E. Friedman, Esq., 8th floor INA Building, 303 E. Foyutte Street, Beltimore, Maryland, 21202, attorbeys for the Petitioner: Maryin I. Singer, Esq., Ten E. Eager Street, Baltimore, Maryland, 21202, attorney for the Protestants-Appellants; Mrs. Anne Key Kremer, Wiltonwood Road, Stevenson, Maryland, 21153, attornsy for the enter and Mr. Richard G. Carter, 8403 Lock Boven Budavard, Towton, Maryland, 21204, a copy of which Notice is attached hereto and proyed that It may be made a part

County Hours of Arpeals of Bultimore County County Office Building, Towson, Md. 21204 Telephone: 494-3180

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a copy of the aforegoing Certificate of Notice has been mailed to Vincent L. Glorices, Esq., 8th floor INA Building, 503 E. Fayette Street,

P. T. LEMMON HIGH HILL REALTY, INC. CIRCUIT COURT FOR BALTIMORE COUNTY CHESAPEAKE HOMES, INC. At Lau Appellee Misc. Docket No. 9 340 5126

ORDER

Upon the aforegoing Motion, it is this December, 1973, ORDERED by the Circuit Court for Baltimore County that the time for filing the record in the within case be and the same is hereby extended up to and including January 26, 1974.

JUDGE

Baltimore, Maryland, 21202, attempy for the Potitioner, and Alvin E. Friedman, Esq., 8th floor INA Building, 303 E. Forette Street, Baltimore, Maryland, 21202, attorneys for the Patitioner; Marvin I. Singer, Eq., Ten E. Eager Street, Ball more, Maryland, 21202, attamey for the Protestants-Appellants Mrs. Arms Kay Kramer, Wilterwood Read, Maryland, 21153, attorney for the Protestants; and M. Richard G. Carter, 8403 Lock Reven Bullevard, Towen, Maryland, 21204, on this 27th day of September,

> Muriel F. Buddemain County Board of Appeals of Baltimore County

Mr. R.G.Carte

PETITION FOR RECLASSIFICATION from D.R. 10.5 and D.R. 5.5 to D.R. 16 zone NE corner of I-95 and Metropolitan IN THE ME corner of I-95 and Hetro; Blvd. 13th District - S.W. Sector CIRCUIT COURT FOR Chesapeave Homes, Inc. Petitioner BALTIMORE COUNTY At Law P. T. Lemmon and High Hill Realty, Inc. Protestants-Appellants Zoning File No. 72-93-R

PETITION FOR APPEAL

Now come the Appellants, P. T. Lemmon and High Hill Realty, Inc., by their attorney, Marvin I. Singer, parties before the Board of Appeals in the above entitled case, and aggrieved by its Order dated August 27, 1973 filed therein, respectfully renresent .

- 1. That the action of the Board of Appeals in reversing the Order of the Zoning Commissioner, in the absence of evidence. was illegal, improper, invalid, arbitrary, capricious and discriminatory.
- 2. That the refusal of the Board of Appeals to allow presentation of evidence by Appellants was illegal, improper. invalid, arbitrary, capricious and discriminatory.
- 3. That the granting of Petitioner's motion to amend its petition for reclassification was illegal, improper, invalid, arbitrary, capricious and discriminatory.
- 4. That the petition for reclassification, together with the supporting exhibits, was invalid and erroneous.
- 5. That the posting, advertising and public notice of the petition for reclassification, hearings and appeal to the

RE: PETITION FOR RECLASSIFICATION from D.R. 10.5 and D.R. 5.5 to D.R. 16 zone NE corner of Interstate 95 and CIRCUIT COURT Metropolitan Bo 13th District, SW Sector

Chesapeake Homes, Inc.

BALTIMORE COUNTY At Law

IN THE

ORDER FOR APPEAL

Please enter an appeal in the above entitled case on behalf of P. T. Lemmon and High Hill Realty, Inc., from the Order of the County Board of Appeals of Baltimore County, dated August 27, 1973.

> MARTIN I. SINGER Ten East Eager Street Baltimore, Maryland 21202 752-1122 Attorney for Appellants, P. T. Lemmon and High Hill Realty, inc.

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on this 26th day of September 1973, a copy of the foregoing Order For Appeal was served upor the County Board of Appeals, and copies were also mailed to Vincent Glorioso, Esquire, INA Building, Baltimore, Maryland 21202, attorney for Petitioner, Chesapeake House, Inc., and to Anne Kay Kramer, Esquire, Wiltonwood Road, Stevenson, Maryland 21153.

MARVIN I. SINGER

CERTIFICATE OF RECEIPT OF SERVICE

A copy of the foregoing Order For Appeal was received by the County Board of Appeals or this 26th day of September.

County Board of Appeals of Baltimore County

Rec: (1/20/13 9:15 am

Dr. 8 Bulle

-2-

WHEREAS, the said party of the first part is the owner of the tract of land lying within the confines of Francis Avenue on the South, Interstate 795 on the North, Metropolitan Boulevard on the West, and Ingate Road and Huntsmoor Road on the East in the Thirteenth Election District of Baltimor County, State of Maryland, more particularly described and designated on the plat attached hereto and made : part hereof; and

WHEREAS, the party of the first part has agreed with the party of th second part that they will enter into an Agreement with them concerning the planning of the said tract of land and the type of dwellings to be erected

WHEREAS, the said parties hereto after conference held between representatives of the said party of the first part and co.nmittees designa by the said perty of the second part have reached an Agreement and by these present do hereby intend to reduce the same to writing as evidenced by this Agreement, cancelling and rescinding all prior Agreements of any nature, kind or discription previously entered into by the party of the second part concerning any of the land which is the subject matter of this Agreement.

NOW, THEREFORE, THIS AGREEMENT WITNESSETIL That in atics of the mutual advantages passing from one to the other and further the sum of One Dollar (\$1, 00) and other good and valuable considerations, the said parties hereto do hereby covenant and agree with each to the

1. All of the recitals hereinabove contained are hereby made a part

2. That the said tract of land will be developed and substantial



CER5134 PAGE124

ORVILLE T. GOSNELL. Clerk

CORIES AND CERTIFICATIONS

Charge To: Cash

nocessary re-zoning classifications that will be necessary to carry out the terms of this agreement.

eilified capy of Cogrement

OTG 5134/12/ 1 0275

m h Coale

5. That the aforementioned townhouses to be erected within the development shall be aesthetically arranged in accordance with the topographic of the land to be placed as courts providing for off-street parking for the vehicles of the prospective residents and that no alleys of any nature or description shall be placed within the development unless specifically required to be placed therein by appropriate County regulations.

6. That it is further agreed by and between the parties mereto that this Agreement, together with all of its terms and conditions shall be forever binding on the said party of the first part, its successors and assigns and that in the event that the said party of the first part shall sell said tract of land before developed as aforesaid or shall sell any underveloped land after any partial development, it will sell the same, subject to the terms and conditions of this Agreement and will make known the provisions thereof to any such purchases or purchasers.

7. It is hereby agreed and understood by and between the parties hereto that upon any violation of the terms and conditions of this Agreement, the said parties of the second part or either of them shall have the right to prosecute the same at law or in equity and the failure of the said parties of the second part or either of them to enforce the preach of any one covenant shall in no event be deemed as a waiver of the right to prosecute any subsequent breach of any covenant herein.

AS WITNESS the corporate seals of the said parties hereto and the signatures of their respective Presidents and/or

-E'A5134 MEE 125

Vice Presidents

STATE OF MARYLAND CITY OF BALTIMORE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on this _____ day of ____ Q /4 1970, before me, the subscriber, a Notary Public of the State of Maryland, in and for the City of Baltimore, aforesaid, personally appeared crown 5 Condia, who acknowledged himself to be the Sanford Land Company, and as such _______ being authorized so to do, executed the foregoing Agreement for the purposes therein contained, by signing the name of the Company by himself as Sere-7.- 7 , and at the same time, also appeared before me who acknowledged himself to be the Secretary of The Senford Land Company, and that he as such Secretary made oath in due form of law that the matters and facts set forth in the foregoing Agreement are true and correct to the best of his knowledge, information and belief.

AS WITNESS my hand and Official Seal.

__LISER5134 PAGE122

compliance with the plat filed with this figreement except as required by the Planning Commission of Baltimore County or by reason of engineering difficulties. In the event any substantial change is made in the said plans solely as outlined in this paragraph, the said party of the first part will notify the said party of the second part of said change or changes and the reasons therefor, and will not proceed with the same until opportunity is given the said party of the second part to examine and discuss said change or changes with the party of the first part, provided that the said party of the second, within seven days after said notification express their estre to examine and discuss said changes. This provision applies only o the exact locations and roads as contained on the plat and is not applicable to the remainder of the contract.

3. The said party of the first part hereby covenants and agrees that the land will be developed for residential purposes only in accordance with the following terms, conditions and limitations

a) That the said land shall be developed as a Townhouse Commu aid townhouses to be of a quality of construction and workmanship of the resent development located in Arbutus and known as "Huntsmoor", similar to construction of 903-913 Elm Road.

b) That there shall be not more than fourteen townhouses to the cre nor more than a total of 688 units to be developed on the total land.

c) That the ground floor area of any townhouse exclusive of onestory open porches and garages shall not be less than five hundred twelve (512) square feet.

d) That no building will be constructed closer than fifty (50) feet from Francis Avenue and Friendship Road, and that the existing woods, treand foliage will be maintained around the perimeter of the property where racticable but in all events along Francis Avenue and Friendship Road. In hose areas along Francis Avenue and Friendship Road where no natural screening

LIEE 34 PAGE | 26

CITY OF BALTIMORE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on this __ ____, 1970, before me, the subscriber, a Notary Public of the State of Maryland, in and for the City of Baltimore, aforesaid, personally appeared . Lound Taking .. who acknowledged himself to be the Andit CF of Arbutus Community Association, Inc., and as such Activity being authorized so to do, executed the foregoing Agreement for the purposes therein contained, by signing the name of the Corporation by himself as _ Parking! __ and at the same time, also appeared before me. the harmer is who acknowledged himself to be the Secretary of Arbutus Community Association, Inc., and that he as such Secretary made oath in due form of law that the matters and facts ret forth in the foregoing Agreement are true and correct to the best of his knowledge, information and belief.

AS WITNESS my hand and Official Seul.

Per Ortilari, Guesti, Clerk
Squit to Auctoria Clerk
Receips No. 52456.2

DIEER5 134 PAGE 123

exists, screening in the form of trees and shrubbery, staggered for landscaping asthetics, shall be planted for a depth of twenty (20) feet from said Francis Avenue and Friendship Road.

- e) That no dwelling of the flat roof type shall be allowed to be built on said land.
- f) That the roads within the confines of the developmen are currently laid out as shown on the plat to encourage the utilization of Selford Road as the main vehicular way for 'agress and egress, and that any change in said read layout shall be made so as to maintain Selford Road as the main vehicular way for ingress and egress.
- g) That the eveloper will enter into such agrees ents and make such deposits relative to the construction of Selford Roa where said road abutts the subject land prior o the commencemen of any construction on said land.
- h) That the construction of Friendship Road from Ingate Road to Prancis Avenue as shown on the attached plat will not be constructed or opened by the developer unless same is made a requirement by the proper authorities of Baltimore County.
- i) That the townhouses to be erected along Priendship Road shall be so erected to face Friendship Road.
- j) That all land retained by the developers and nonpublic roads shall be maintained by the developer or by a condominium, association or other entity estab'ished for such purpos
- k) That all exterior lighting will be of an ornamental nature of such design as would blend with the general architectura scheme of the development, and that the said lights shall not be placed or slanted in such a manner as to produce an abnormal glare to homes within the development or about the adjacent properties bordering the said development.
- 1) That the developer will not under its ultimate financing arrangements incorporate any financing which will employ rent supplements.
- 4. That the party of the second part will not oppose any petitions to the Zoning Authorites of Baltimore County for the

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR BALTIMORE COURTY

STATE OF HARYLAND : COUNTY OF BALTIMOTE :

I, ORVILLE T. GOS.ELL, Cler of the Circuit Court for Baltimore County, do hereby certify that the aforegoing is a true photostatic copy of the original AGREEMENT

ta'en from the Records of the said Circuit Court for Baltimore County as recorded in Liberta, 'c. 51 W Folio 121 , one of the LAND Records of Baltimore County



IN TESTIMONY WHEREOF, I hereto set my hand and affix the seal of said Court this 30th day of September A.D.,

MAR 27 1974

Petition for Reclass- : ification NE/corner of Interstate 95 and Metropolitan Boulevard 13th District Chesapeake Homes, Inc. Petitioner Casc No. 72-93-R

MY 17 72 -W -

Before the County Board of Appeals Baltimore County, Maryland

APPEAL.

Please rote an appeal to the County Board of Appeals in the above entitled case, from an order of the Zoning Commissioner for Baltimore County, dated April 18, 1972, on behalf of :

> Richard N. & Botty R. Foltz, his wife 5627 Huntsmoor Road Baltimore, Maryland 21227

Joseph P. & Regina A. Madden, his wife 1216 Francis Avenue Baltimore, Maryland 21227

onard C. & Vivian A. Stromberg. his wife 5616 Friendship Road Baltimore, Maryland 21227

Protestants.

une Kayk none Anne Kay Kramer Counsel for Protestants 305 Tower Building Baltimore, Maryland 21202

I hereby certify that a copy to the foregoing Appeal notice mailed to: Alvin E. Friedman, Esq. Eighth Ploor, IMA Building 303 East Fayette Street Baltimore, Maryland 21202

une Kay Kramen

BALTIMORE COUNTY ZONING ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Kay 18, 1971

eCo

Mr. George E. Gavralis, Director Office of Planning & Zening 301 Jefferson Building Towson, Maryland 21204

6

OLIVER L. NTERS MEMBERS

BUREAU OF DEPARTMENT OF TRAFFIC ENGINEERING STATE ROADS COME

BUREAU OF REALTH DEDISTRANT

PROJECT PLANNING DUILDING DEPARTMENT BOARD OF EDUCATION ZONING ADMINISTRATION DEVELOPMENT

RE: Item #52 (April - October Cycle 1971)
Proporty Owner: Chesaposke Komas, Inc.
Location: N/E Cor. 1-05 and Petropolitan Slvd,
Present Zoning: 0.8, 10.5 and 5.5
Proposed Coninn: 0.R, 10.5 and 5.5
Proposed Coninn: 0.R, 10.5
Olstrict: 130: Sector:Southwestern
No. Across: \$2.71

The Zoning Advisory Committee has reviewed the plans submitted with the above referenced petition and has made an on site field inspection of the property. The following comments are a result of this review and inspection.

The subject property is presently a weart, wooded area, with the property being bounded on the uset, morth and a portion of the property being bounded on the uset, morth and a portion of the property on the east the property of the property on the east and southers is improved with dealings. The property to the gard and southers is improved with the dealings in to 30 years of age, in excellent repair. The only road that is presently improved with curb and gutter is Ingets and Munitarone Reads, Selford Avenue is presently under construction with a new road with curb and gutter. Francia Avenue, Friendship Read, and gutter are concerned,

BUREAU OF ENGINEERING:

The following comments are furnished in regard to the plat submitted to this office for review by the Zoning Advisory Committee in connection with the subject item.

This site has frontage on Incate Road, Huntsmoor Road, Friendship Road and future Selford Road.

Ingate Road is improved to local collector standards.

Huntsmoor Road is improved to minor residential standards.

Item #52 Page 2 May 18, 1971

Friendship Road is an existing County Road, which shall ultimately be improved to minor residential standards.

Selford Road is a proposed County Road, which shall ultimately be including our old to rajor collector standards. Highey improvements to this site, including our and gutter, sidencies and entrance in accordance with the standards of the Baltimore County Constructor of Public Works for a 44-foot closed red section on 3 Puffort right-of-way will be required for any grading or building permit application.

Streets required within this property must be improved in accordance with the standards of the Department of Public Morks.

Storm Crains:

The Petitioner must provide necessary drainage facilities (temporary or personent) to prevent creating any nuisances or danages to adjacent proporties, expecially by the concentration surface waters. Correction of many provided by the control of the proper grading or improper installation of drainage facilities, would be the full responsibility of the Petitioner.

This property is adjacent to and is transcribed by stream which constitute waters of the Steen. No change on he authorized for the steen or though a presist from the State Department of Water Resources. This includes a culvant crossing, public or private. The enter it is a state of the state Department of Water Resources. This includes a culvant crossing, public or private. The enter it is a state of the state of the

Public drainage facilities are required for any off site drainage facilities and any on site facilities serving off site areas, in accordance with the standards of the Boltimore County Department of Public Morks.

On site draining facilities serving only areas within the site do not require construction under a County contract. Such facilities are considered private and therefore must conform to the County Plumbing and Suilding Codes.

Development of this property through stripping, grading and stabilization could result in a sediment pollution problem, damaging private and sublic holdings below this property. Sediment control is required by State low. A grading point it; therefore, necessary for all grading, including the stripping of top soil.

Item #52 Page 3 Hay 18, 1971

Grading studies and sediment control drawings will be necessary to as reviewed and approved prior to the recording of any record plat or the issumce of any grading and building permits.

Water:

Public water can be made available to serve this property.

The proposed private improvement must be reviewed by the Baltimore City, Mater Division for adoquety of water supply.

Supplementary fire hydrants and improvements to the public system may be required for adequate protection.

Service within the site from the public system must be in accordance with the Baltimore County Building, Plumbing and Fire Prevention Codes. The service connection to the motor shall be in accordance with the standards of the Baltimore County Department of Public Works.

Sanitary Severs

Public sanitary sewer facilities can be made available to serve

The Petitioner is entirely responsible for the construction of his on site private soultery sewerage, which must conform with the Bellimore County Plumbing Code.

Improvements to the public system may be required for adequate service.

The plan for development of this property is subject to approvel of the State Department of Health prior to acceptance of a preliminary or final plan for recordation.

BOARD OF EDUCATION:

From the emisting armings we could expect approx. 214 elem, pupils. Learned that the developer intends to conversation with the confineers, Jenes Spacer & Associator, it was learned that the developer intends to construct all one bedreen statements of the statement of the state

Item 52 Page 4 May 18, 1971

Schools servicing this area: (From Sept. 22, 1970 : ollment)

	Capacity	Enroll.	<u>•/</u>
Relay Elem.	395	360	-35
Arbutus Jr.	1260	1575	• 375
Lancdowne Sr.	1530	1742	-212
Future Construction	Status	Capacity	Est. to Open
Lansdowne Jr.	Underway	1,120	9/71 .
Lansdowne Sr. Add'n-Alt.	Budgeted	400	71-72
Programmed Const.	Capacity	Year Programmed	Est. Lo Cren
Relay Elem. Add'n or New Halethorpe Elem.	Add'n. or 400	1972-73	1973-7

There are three petitions for rezoning in this general areas

1. P.T. Leggen 5.92 Ac. 52.71 Ac. 22.18 Ac. 2. Chesapeake Homes, Inc. 3. High Hill Realty, Inc. 80.81 Ac.

Under the existing zoning we see a possible yield of approx. 283 elementary pupils. A change to apartment zoning could yield from 131 to 90 elem. pupils, from 27 to 109 Jr. Hi pupils, 6 from 22 to 174 Sr. Hi pupils. The high range senses that all 3 bedroom townhouses will be built by the Cherapeake powers firm.

HEALTH DEPARTMENT:

Public water and sewer are proposed for this site.

Aft Pollution Commont: The building or buildings on this site may be subject to registration and compliance with the Maryland State Health Aft Pollution Control Regulations. Additional information may be obtained from the Division of Aft Pollution, Baltimore County Department of Health,

STATE ROADS COMMISSION:

The subject plan and an inspection at the site indicates that no adverse effects to the State Highway are anticipated.

Item #52 Page 5 May 18, 1971

ZONING ADMINISTRATION DIVISION:

a two parts of this magnitude can be expected to generate a very large volume of traffic which will owercound the existing reads in the area. The ealy read that will be expected to handle the traffic anticipated woulk be Selford Road. The access in the general area is very marrow and traffic tie up can be expected if the property is developed as proposed. The school allustion in the immediate area is one that recurred and exception of this ample that in its proposed and exception of this ample that in its proposed and exception of this ample that in its proposed accordition that would be double of what it is now.

Very truly yours, OLIVER L. MYERS, CHOIRMAN

cc: Edward D. Hardesty

Alvin E. Freidman, Esq., 303 East Fayette Street Baltimore, Nd. 21202

BALTIMORE COUNTY, MARYLAND

INTER-OFFICE CORRESPONDENCE

Date May 14, 1971 TO Mr. Cliver Myers

FROM Ian J. Forrest

SUBJECT Item 52

52. Property Owner: Chesspake Homes, Inc.
Location: W.C. Sor. 1-95 & Metropolitan Bivd.
Present Zoning: D.R. 10.5 & 5.5
Proposed Zoning: D.R. 16
District: 13th Sector: Southwestern
No.Acres: 52.7

Public water and sewer are proposed for this site.

Air Pollution Comment: The building or buildings on this site may be subject to registration and compliance with the Maryland State Health Air Pollution Control Regulations. Additional information may be obtained from the Division of Air Pollution, Baltimore County Department of Health.

Water and Sever Section BURZAU OF ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH

STATE OF MARYLAND STATE ROADS COMMISSION 300 WEST PRESTON STATES BALTIMORE, MD. 2120 -----

May 3, 1971

Hr. Edward D. Hardesty Zoning Commissioner County Office Bldg. Towson, Maryland 21 204

Att: Mr. O. L. Myers

Re: ITEM 52
Zoning Advisory Comm.
Meating on April Zy, 19/1
Property Waner Chesapeake thomes, Inc.
Location: My Cor. 1-95 6 Metro. Blvd.
Present Zoning: 0.8, 10.5 5 5,5
Proposed Zoning: 0.8, 15
Slstrict: 13th Sector: 5/W
Mo. Acres: 52,7!

Dear Hr. Hardesty:

The subject plan and an inspection at the site indicates the. To adverse effects to the State Highway are enticipated.

Very truly yours,

Charles Lee, Chief Development Engineering Section

Marin Me by: John t. Meyers Asst. Development Engineer

IJF/sam

BALTIMORE COUNTY, MARYLAND

MTER-OFFICE CORRESPONDENCE

Date Max 13, 1971 ... TO Edward Hardesty FROM Ellsworth N. Divor, P.E.

SUBJECT Item #52 (April - October Cycle 1971)
Property Owner: Cassaperin Homes, Inc.
Location: N/E cor. I-95 and Metropolitan Blvd. Fresent Zoning: D.R. 10.5 and 5.5 Proposed Zonings D.R. 16 District 1301 Sector: Southwestern No. Acres: 52.71

The following comments are furnished in regard to the plat submitted to this office for review by the Zoming Advisory Committee in connection with the subject item.

Highways:

This site has frontage on Ingate Road, Huntsmoor Road, Friendship Road and future Selford Road.

Incate Road is improved to local collector standards.

Huntsmoor Road is improved to minor residential standards.

Friendship Road is an existing County Road, which shall ultimately be improved to minor residential standards.

Selford Road is a proposed County Road, which shall ultimately be improved to major collector standards. Highway improvements to this site, including curb and gutter, sidewalks and entrances in accordance with the standards of the Baltimore County Department of Public Works for a Mi-Foot closed road section on a TO-Foot right-of-way will be required for ingrading or building permit application.

Streets required within this property must be improved in accordance with the standards of the Department of Public Works.

Storm Drains:

The Petitioner must provide necessary drainage facilities (temporary or permanent) to prevent creating any misances or damages to adjacent properties, especially by the concentration of surface waters. Correction of any problem which may result, due to improper grading or improper installation of drainage facilities, would be the full responsibility of

THERE ARE THREE PETRICIA FOR REVOKING IN THIS GENERAL

I. P.T. Lemmere

5.92 40.

(52)

2. Chestreaux Homes, INC.

52.71 AC 22.19 AC

3 Hien Hack's att, her

TOTAL : 80.91 de

Under the Existing Thomas we see A Possible Yield of APPROX 283 ELEMENTARY PURIS. A CHARGE TO APARTMENT ZENING COULD YICLD FACE 131 TO ASS GLOW POSIES, FROM 27 11 427 Ja. Hr. Poores, 1 Facon 22 11 13 Sa. Hr. Poores. The HIGH RANGE ASSEMES THAT ALL 3 BOSINEM TOURINGESES LINE NO BOILT BY THE CHESIPPINE HOMES FIRM

Item #52 (April - October Cycle 1971) Property Owner: Champeake Homes, Inc. Page 2

Storm Drains: (Cont'd)

This property is adjacent to and is travered by streams which constitutes waters of the State. No change can be authorized for theourse or cross-section of the streams without a permit from the State Department of Nater Recourses. This includes a cultury creating, public or private. The owner is responsible for an engineering study to determine the area of this site which would be for an engineering study to determine one area of this site which would be inundated by a 50-year storm and to provide all justification of public benefit necessary to, and to obtain the required State permit for any thenge in course or cross-section proposed. Public rights-of-way will be required for the 50-year flood plain including 1 foot free board.

Public drainage facilities are required for any offsite drainage facilities and any onsite facilities serving offsite areas, in accordance with the standards of the Belkinson County Department of Public Works.

Onsite drainage facilities serving only areas within the site in not require construction under a County contract. Such facilities are considered private and therefore must conform to the County Plumbing and Ruilding Codes.

Sediment Control:

Development of this property through stripping, grading and stabilisation could result in a sediment pollution problem, damaging private and public boldings below this property. Sediment control is required by State law. A grading permit is, therefore, messagry for all grading, including the stripping of top soil.

Crading studies and sediment control drawings will be necessary to be reviewed and approved prior to the recording of any record plat or the issuance of any grading and building permits.

Public water can be made available to serve this property.

The proposed private implovement must be reviewed by the Baltimore City, Water Division for adequacy of water supply.

Supplementary fire hydrants and improvements to the public system may be required for adequate protection.

Service within the site from the public system must be in accordance with the Baltimore County Bullding, Plumbing and Pire Prevention Codes. The service monnection to the meter shall 'in accordance with the standards of the Baltimore County Department of Public Works.

Public sanitary sewer facilities can be made available to serve this

Item #52 (April - Octuber Cycle 1971) Property Owner: Canpeake Homes, Inc. Page 3 May 13, 1971

Sanitary Sewer: (Cont'd)

The Petitioner is entirely responsible for the construction of his onsite private sanitary comerge, which must conform with the Baltimore County

Improvements to the public system may be required for adequate service.

The plan for development of this property is subject to approval of the State Department of Health prior to acceptance of a preliminary or final plat for mondation

> to Que ELLSWORTH N. DIVER, P.E. Chief, Bureau of Engineer

FND+R1H+OMK+R4

cc: File (3)

Key Sheet: C-NW Topo SW 6 E and D Tax Map: 108

BALTINORE COUNTY BO @ OF EDUCATION

ZONING ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING

OF Appen 27428 (exces zenine)

Potitioner: Chesiceane Homes, INC.

Location: Nie cen 1-95 y Mernepernan Bern

District: 13

Present Zoning: De 10.5 4 5.5

Proposed Zoning: Tota 15

No. of Acres . 54 .74

Comments: FROM THE EXISTING ZONINGS WE COLD EXPECT APPLICA 214 ELOM. PUPILS. FROM A CONCESSITION WITH THE EMPINEERS, James Spanier & Associates, it was swanned that the Develope INTENES TO CONSTRUCT ALL I BETTERED CONDENSIONS. No STORENTS CAN BE SELFED FROM TOLK A INCUELOPMENT. HOWEVER, A CHINGE TO A ME & HIGH DENSITY CONTREX COOLD TICED STREEKES RINGING IN NUMBERS OF TO THE GLOW, PURILS, THE JA III PURILS, THE Sa. Hi. Pooles. The HIGH RANGE AS LONGS THAT ALL 3 RED BOOM T.H. ONITS WILL BE BOILT.

SCHOOLS SERVICING THIS SEEN! (FROM SEPT. 22,1910 ENROLL MENT)

ç	APICITY	ENITELL		
Reiti Eie.	345	360	- 35	
Agactus Ja.	1260	15 75	+375	
LANSDOWNE Se.	530	1742	£57. 10	
FUTUAR CENSTRUCTION	STATUS	1,120	9171	
LANSDOWNE SO. ADDINGALE	BUOLFTED	900	71-72	
	CAPACITY	Paces immed	Cores	
Paccinimmed Cent	100'N 04 400	1974 - 73	1973-7	
RELAY ELE ADO'N OR	ABDM ICI			

NEW HALETHOSPE ELE

12-93-1

CERTIFICATE OF POSTING ING DEPARTMENT OF BALTIMORE COUNTY

District 13	Date of Posting Sept 11-1971
Posted for TEELASSIFICAT	TOR
Petitioner: CHESAPEAKE H	alles INC.
Location of property: NE/Con Ch	- 1-95 ANY METROPOLITAN BIND
Location of Signa Q. N/S of FRM	CHES AVE DEALENS OF SELFERS ON WARD FOR
(2) DEAD END OF INGITED BU	5) DEAD END OF FRENCHIN IV
(A) N/S OF TORONES AUE.	SOFT. 4- NW OF MINERAL - AVE.
Posted by Charles 11. Med	Date of return 5507 24- 1971

2516NS

72-93-R

CERTIFICATE OF POSTING ZONING DEPARTMENT OF BALTIMORE COUNTY Toward, Maryland

Posted for: APPEA L	Date of Posting 1147 29- 1972
Petitioner: CHESTEAKE HOME	S INC.
Location of property: N.S. Con. Of	1-95 AND METNOPOLITAN Blub.
(2) N/S OF FRANCISCS AUG	SEARS AVE DEADERS OF SELFOND RY. Dragd end of NIMERAL AND
Posted by Clarke II Ital	Date of return: 540 = 2,1972

PETITION	M	APPI	NG	PRO	OGRE	SS	SHE	ET		
FUNCTION	Wo	II Map	Orig	inal		icate	Tro	cing	200	Sheet
- ionerion	da	by	date	Ьу	date	by	date	by	date	by
Descriptions checked and outline plotted on map										
Pecition number added to outline							1			
Denied										
Granted by ZC, BA, CC, CA										
Reviewed by:		_			d Pla		or desc	riptic	n .	V 0 0
Previous case:								,		No

CERTIFICATE OF PUBLICATIO

BALTIMORE COUNTY, MD., Sept. 15, . 19 71 . 19 71 the first publication appearing on the 9th day of Supt. 1971.

THE TIMES.

John no martin

Cost of Advertisemen' S 60.00

Po JCES7 Req. No. A5690

CERTIFICATE OF PUBLICATION

TOWSON, MD., Sentember 9. 19.71

appearing on the 9th day of Sertember 19 71

THE JEFFERSONIAN,

Cost of Advertisement,

		CE OF FINANC GAURT HOUSE FOWSON, MARYLAND 21794	NA PARE	April
To:	ors, Printess and Storioss Pl. 389 E. Payatta St. Starra, No. 21382	Zoning Supe. of	Softlane County	TOTAL
DEPOSIT TO	ACCOUNT NO. 86-612	TACH ALONG PERFORATION AND KEEP THE	ORTION WITH YOUR REMITTANCE	350.
E 475 15	Patition for Ballonelfloot	ilas for Chaspeaks House, I	-	98.
			A 3	
302				
5 0.00 Mg	4		`,	

COURTHOUSE, TOWSON, MARYLAND 21204

BALTIMORE COUNTY OFFICE OF PLANNING AND ZONING County Office Building 111 W. Chesapaska Avenue Touson, Maryland 21204

BALTIF RE COUNTY, MARYLAND . 3638 OFFICE OF FINANCE - REVENUE DIVISION MISCELLANEOUS CASH RECEIPT DATE May 18, 1972 ACCOUNT No. 72-93-R - Appeal costs - property of Chesapeake Homes, Inc. N/E Cor. 1-95 & Metropolitan Blvd. 13th Dist Zoning Fund - Vivian A. Stromberg, Sec.

BALT" ORE COUNTY, MARYLAND OFFICE OF FINANCE - REVENUE DIVISION MISCELLANEOUS CASH RECEIPT

W. 212

Oct. 14, 1971

AMOUNT 1 \$197.50

white cables Prof. Asker vision with Courtower Hear's, Friedban, Giff too, Cohen 5 HacFadyen Bb Fr. 1901 C. Fayered St. Baltimore, Hd, 21020 HacFadyen Cohen 5 HacFadyen Hones (PZ-9)-R (PZ-9)-R







