# PETITION FOR ZONING RE-CLASSIFICATION AND/OR SPECIAL EXCEPTION

5.0

10/6/71 300

1/1/21

TO THE ZONING COMMISSIONER OF BALTIMORE COUNTY:

et al 1, or we, William G. Schmelyum / legal owners. of the property situate in Bal County and which is described in the description and plat attached hereto and made a part hereof, 7 hereby petition (1) that the soning status of the herein described property be re-classified, purposet /

to the Zoning Law of Baltimore County, from an...... D.R. 5.5. 

> (see attached brief) So a attached description

County, to use the herein described property, for ...

Property is to be posted and advertised as prescribed by Zoning Regulations. I, or we, agree to pay expenses of above re-classification and/or Special Exception advertising, posting, etc., upon filing of this petition, and further agree to and are to be bound by the soming

- Ernm Blek Schoulyn Bertha & Schmily Buth & Found Harry & Locale Address /5 3 y Sulphorps 2212721 Ries cul D. Pregne 26 West Plune On 21204

, 197 ..., that the subject matter of this petition be advertised, as puired by the Zoning Law of Baltimore County, in two newspapers of general circulation through: Baltimore County, that property be posted, and that the public hearing be had before the Zoning of Baltimore County in Room 106, County Ofice Building in Towson, Baltimore day of October ..... 197 1 at 2:00 o'clock

Zoning Commissioner of Baltimore County.

AM & HOLDEFER, INC. SURVEYORS AND CIVIL ENGINEERS

April 15 1971

Description of Schmelyun Property to be Reclassified Pros DR-5.5 Zone to N.L. Zone.

ESSIMING for the same on the southeast side of Waelchili Avenue at a point 260 feet, more or less, southerly from the intersection of the south side of Sulphur Spring Road and the east side of Waslehili Avenue, thence leaving said place of beginning and running and binding on the south side of Waslahili Avenue the 2 following courses and distances, viz: (1) South 56 degrees 23 minutes West 345,22 feet to a point of curve, thence (2) by a curve to the left with a chord bearing South 29 degrees 01 minutes West 115.09 feet to the end of said curve, thence binding along the east side of said Waelchili Avenue the 3 following courses and distances, vis: (3) South 01 degrees 57 minutes West 30.61 feat, thence (4) North 88 dogrees 03 minutes West 3,77 feet and thence (5) South 00 degrees 50 minutes West 182,03 feet, thence binding along the northeast side of said Wasichili Avenue (6) South 48 degrees 18 minutes East 598,22 feet. thence binding in part along the north side of said Waslchili Avenue and continuing the same course, in all, (7) North 39 degrees 30 minutes East 392.60 feet, thence (8) South 49 degrees 52 minuter. 30 seconds East 273 feet, more or less, to the northwesternmost side of Proposed Interstate 95 Right of Way, thence running and binding along said most right of way of Interstate 95, the 4 fc lowing courses and distances, wis: (9) Northeasterly 255 feet, more or less, thence (10) Northeasterly 284 feet, more or less, thence (11) Northeasterly 107 feet, more or less, and thence (12) Northeasterly 125 feet, more or less, thence binding along the southwesternmost sile of Interstate 95 Right of Way the 8 following courses and distances, wis: (13) Northwesterly 315 feet, more or less, thence (14) Northwesterly 48 feet, more or less, thence (15) Northwesterly



RE: PETITION FOR RECLASSIFICATION : fres. D.R. 5.5 to M.L. SE/S of Waelchill Ave. 260' 5. of Sulphur Spring Road

RE: PETITION FOR RECLASSIFI-

S of Sulphur Spring Road

CATION SE/S of Waelchili Avenue, 260'

William G. Schmelwn, et al -

NO. 72-96-R (Item No. 51)

COUNTY BOARD OF APPEALS

OF

William G. Schmelyun, et al, BALTIMORE COUNTY

No. 72-96-R

REFORE

#### ORDER OF DISMISSAL

Petition of William G. Schmelyun, et al , for reclassification from D.R. 5.5 to M.L., on property I ocated on the southeast side of Waelchili Avenue, 260 feet south of Sulphur Spring Road, in the Thirteenth Election District of Baltimore

WHEREAS, in open hearing before the Buard of Appeals on April 24, 1974, prior to the taking of any testimony and by agreement of counsel, the attorney for the Protestants-Appellants dismissed the appeal taken on behalf of the Protestants-Appellants in the above entitled matter.

WHEREAS, the Board of Appeals is in receipt of an Order of Dismissal of Appeal, filed April 24, 1974 (a copy of which is attached hereto and made a part hereo!) from the attorney representing the Protestants-Appellants.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, this 24th day of April, 1974, that said appeal he and the same is DISMISSED.

COUNTY BOARD OF APPEALS OF BALTIMORE COUNTY

BEFORE THE

ZUNING COMMISSIONER

OF

BALTIMORE COUNTY

The Petitioners request a Reclassification from a D. R. 5. 5

Evidence presented at the hearing indicated that the subject

Mr. Bernard Willemain, a qualified planner testifying for the

Zone to a M. L. Zone for a parcel of property located on the southeast side of

Waelchili Avenue, two hundred and sixty (260) feet soutl Sulphur Spring

Road, in the Thirteenth District of Baltimore County, containing 14.45 acres

property is the residual of a larger tract of land, part of which was used for the

considered rough and the new Sulphur Spring Road has been constructed and

is in operation. It was claimed that the property could not be developed resi-

entially because of a high water table, therefore, M. L. Zoning for a small

titioners, indicated that he had submitted plans to the Petitioners for an

industrial park on the subject property in 1962, which is why he is so familiar

ustrial park would be the best use of the subject property.

uction of the Baltimore County Beltway and Interstate 95. The topography

...

PETITION FOR RECLASSIFICATION PETITION FOR RECLASSIFICATION SE/S of Waelchili Avenue, 260' S of Sulphur Spring Road lith District William G. Schmolyun et al. Potitioners

OF BALTIMORE COUNTY

Case No. 72-96-R

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* ORDER OF DISMISSAL

Please dismiss the above entitled appeal, upon payment of all costs by the Petitioners, William G. Schmelyun etal.

/ JAMES R. COOK
Mercantile-Towson Building
409 Washington Avenue
Towson, Maryland 21204
823-4111
Attorney for the Petitioners

RICHARD D. PAYNE Loyola Pederal Building 22 W. Pennsylvania Avenue Towson, Maryland 21204 828-8990 Attorney for the Protestants

FRANK E. CICONE
Attorney At Law FIRST NATIONAL BANK BLDG

April 15, 1971

Mr. Edward D. Hardesty Zoning Commissioner County Office Building Towson, Meryland 21204

RE: Property of William G. Schmelyun, et al

Dear Mr. Hardesty:

I am filing a petition in the above-subject case and wish to cite as a basis the following reasons which constitute error in the zoning maps signed March 24, 1971.

The Council failed to recognize the soil con-disions of the subject site and the history of the property.

The Council failed to take into consideration the location, shape and size of the property.

The new road pattern and the newly constructed roads which clobbered the subject property.

The zoning placed on the subject property by the new maps is confiscatory.

The zoning placed on the subject property is inlogical and disregarded planning techniques.

Source of Appeal and the recommendation of the Source of Appeal and the recommendation of the Planning Board. The period of the recommendation of the Planning Board. The period of the second of the

And for other and further valid reasons to be shown at the hearing hereof which will show the glaring error in the adoption of the maps.

Very truly yours,

Baltimore County Beltway, the rough topography and the high water table do

not make this property suitable for residential levelopment. The entire area

had been surface-mined for many years under the direction and controls on the

that the Comprehensive Zoning Map, as adopted, was in error in classifying

this as a D. R. 5. 5 Zone. He also lelt that the development of a D. R. 16 Zone

would be a better land use than a D, R, 5, 5 Zone. The aforementioned topo-

year prior to the adoption of the present zoning map. There is a strong ques

adoption of the Comprehensive Zoning Map. The Baltimore County Planning

also recommended a D. R. 16 Zone, recognizing the possibility of an error in

tion as to whether the Baltimore County Council gave this fact recognition in the

Board, in their recommendations to the Zoning Commissioner under Item No. 5,

ning be granted. In the opinion of the Zoning Commissioner, D. R. 16 zoning

ould have a detrimental effect upon the area and the residents than the grant-

Baltimore County, this 31 day of August, 1972, that the herein

scribed property or area should be reclassified from a D. R. 5. 5 Zone to a

i. L. Zone, from and after the date of this Order, subject to the approval of a

gite plan by the State Highway Administration, the Bureau of Public Services

graphy and high water table would prohibit this type of development.

Mr. Gavrelis' testimony was very controlling in that he felt

The property enjoyed a M. L. Zone for approximately one (1)

The Baltimore County Planning Board recommended that D. R. 16

Therefore, IT IS ORDERED by the Zoning Commissioner of

FEC:kr

in the adoption of the Comprehensive Zoning Map, did not take recognition of the zoning at the time of it's adoption.

4. That the close proximity to Interstate 95 and the Baltimore County Beltway necessitates an industrial zone.

That a large M. L. Zone exists directly across Interstate 95 which is the same type of proper-ty as the subject property.

Planning for Bultimore County, testified that residential zoning on the property is correct because it protects the adjoining residential properties. A strong question arose as to whether or not D. R. 16 zoning, as was recommended by the Baltimore County Planning staff to the Baltimore County Planning Board to the Baltimore County Council, would be a feasible zone for the subject property. Mr. Gavrelis felt that the property, as it is presently zoned, was in error and that the property should be zoned D. R. if

Without reviewing the evidence further in detail but based on ll the evidence presented at the hearing, in the opinion of the Zoning Commis of Baltimore County, the Comprehensive Zoning Map, as adopted on

The close proximity of the property to interstate 95 and the

Mr. George E. Gavrelis, the then Director of the Office of

Residents of the area, in protest of the subject Petition, indicated that they were concerned with the amount of traveling through a residential neighborhood and that they were opposed to any change from a D. R. 5. 5 Zone. They felt that it would be detrimental to their health, safety, and welfaand that the value of their homes would decrease. They were also concerned with the domino effect of development in the area.

Mr. Vernon E. Murphy, a fifteen (15) year resident of the area. ppeared at the hearing in favor of the Petitioners. His property is bordered on two (2) sides by the subject property. He felt that M. L. zoning would be the est land use and that he would be opposed ... apartments being developed on

arch 24, 1972, was in error in classifying the subject property D. R. 5. 5.

IVED. REC

5

SATE

d the Office of Planning and Zoning.

the present classification

ing of the M. L. zoning.

 That the zoning which now exists on the property is confiscatory in nature. 2. That a D. R. 5. 5 Zone was never recommended to the Baltimore County Council but that a D. R. 16 Zone was.

DROFR RECLIVED FOR FILING

ith the property. He alleged that the Comprehensive Zoning Map, as adopted n March 24, 1971, is in error in placing a D. R. 5. 5 Zone on the property. His

sons are as follows:

Y

RECEIVED FOR FILING

That in April, 1970, the Baltimore County Board of Appeals granted M. L. zoning to the subject property and that the Baltimore County Council,

95 feet, more or less, thence (16) Northmesterly 100 feet, more or less, thence (17) Northmesterly 107 foet, more or less, thence (18) Northmesterly 28 feet, more or less, thence (19) Northmesterly 107 feet, more or less, at thence (20) Southmesterly 30 feet, more or less, thence (20) South 54 degrees 00 minutes East 200 feet, more or less, thence (22) South 54 degrees 00 minutes East 200 feet, more or less, thence (22) South 54 degrees 23 minutes Nest 407.46 feet and thence (23) North 33 degrees 37 minutes Nest 100.00 feet to the place of beginning.

Containing 14.45 acres of land, more or less.

Saving and excepting therefrom the Right of Way for proposed re-alignment of

Note: This description has been prepared for soning purposes only and is not intended to be used for convayance.



Item 751 Page 2 Hay 18, 1971

This property is bound along the northeast by Sulphur Spring Road Relocated, built by the Maryland State Roads Commission in connection with Interstate 95 which bounds the southeast properly line. Sulphur Spring Road is to be accepted as a County Road by Saltimore County and is to be ultimately improved as a 50-foot closed road section.

Welchill Avenue is an existing County road, which shall ultimately be improved to minor communical standards between Sulphur Spring Boad and Proposed Potomac Avenue and to minor residential standards from Petomas Avenue to its icemination. Highway improvements between Sulphur Spring Road and Potomac Avenue will be a 36-foot closed road section on a 60-foot right-of-way. Highway improvements from Potomac Avenue through the remaining frontage of this site will be a 30-foot closed road section on a 50-foot right-of-way.

Potomac Avenum is a proposed County Road, which shall ultimately be improved to local collector standards. Highway improvements will be a 40-foot closed road section on a 60-foot right-of-way.

Repossibilities for these improvements will be determined by the Director of Public Works upon application for a building permit.

. Interstate 95 is a non-access highway under the jurisdiction of the Maryland State Roads Commission.

#### Storm Drains:

The Patitioner must provide necessary draining facilities (temporary or permanent) to prevent creating any muisances or damages to adjacent properties, especially by the concentration of surface waters. Correction of any proclem which may result, due to improper grading or improper installation of draining facilities, would be the full responsibility of the Patitions.

Provisions for accommodating storm drainage have not been indicated on the submitted plan,

Public storm drains will be required to this tite in conjunction with the required highway improvements, and must be in accordance with the standards of the 3altimore County Jepszement of Public Works.

On site draininge facilities serving only areas within the site conot require construction under a County contract. Such facilities are considered private and therefore must conform to the County Plumbing and Building Codes. April 24, 1974

Bishard D. Payne, Esquire 26 West Passaylvania Avenue Tousse, Maryland 21204

> Rec Zenting File No. 72-96-R William G. Schmelyun, et al Patitioners

6

Door Mr. Poves

Enclosed herewith is a capy of the Order of Dismissel passed teday by the County Sound of Appeals in the chore Settled case.

Very truly yours,

Edith T. Elsesbert, Adm. Secretory

last.

cc: James H. Cook, Esqui Mr. S. E. DiNenna Mr. W. D. Framm M's. R. Warneth Board of Education

I tem #51 Page 3 Hay 18, 1971

Off site rights-of-ways appear to be necessary for the provision of public drainage facilities to this site. If so, a record pist cannot be approved prior to acquisition of such rights-of-ways.

### Sediment Controls

Development of this property through stripping, grading and stabilization could result in a sediment pollution problem damaging private and public huldings below this property. Sediment control is required by State law. A grading permit is, therefore, necessary for all grading, including the stripping of top sail.

Grading studies and sediment control drawings will be necessary to be reviewed and approved prior to the recording of any record plat.

Public water can be made available to serve this property by constructing a public water main extension of public facilities in Potense Avenue from the existing 16-inch main in Sulphur Spring Road Relocated.

Supplementary fire hydrants and improvements to the public system may be required for adequate protection.

The proposed private improvement must be reviewed by the Baltimore City, Water Division for adequacy of water supply.

Service within the site from the gualic system must be in accordance with the Baltimore County Eufliding, Plumbing and Fire Prevention Codes. The service connection to the meter shall be in accordance with the standards of the Baltimore County Department of Fublic Works.

#### Sanitary Sewer:

Public senitary sewarage can be made available to serve this property, by constructing; whice sentary sower extensions, from the existing B-inch public senitary sower en law Wilburt Spring Read at Walchill Avenue, some or Deading 66-0302 - 1, through the frontage of this site on Sulphu Spring Read Relocated and within Journe Avenue as necessary.

The Petitioner is entirely responsible for the construction of his on site private sanitary severage, which must conform with the Baltimore County Plumoing Code.

LAW OFFICES
RICHARD D. PAYNE
LOYOLA FEDERAL BUILDING
22 WEST PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE
10WSON, MARYLAND 21204

Surre BO4

VALLEY B. ROBO

September 22, 1972

ZONING COMMISSIONER County Office Building Towson, Maryland 21204

Re: Petition for Reclammification SE/S of Maelchill Avenue, 260' S of Sulphur Spring Road, 13th District William G. Schmelyun, et al. - Petitioners No. 72-96-R (Item No. 51)

Dear Sir:

Please be advised that I reprusent Elsie E. Hicks, Mr. 6 Mrs. Karlo Hoyt, Mr. 6 Mrs. Emory McGarrigle, Marie Deibel, George J. Benton, Maurice P. Cavey, Henry Rut-kowski, Donald C. Stevens, Claudia Esano, Mr. 6 Mrs. Robert J. Reinholds, and the Arbutus Community Association, Inc., all property owners and residents adjacent to ano adversely affected by the decision of the Zoning Commissioner.

They are aggrieved by your decision of August 31, 1972, and on their hehalf I respectfully request that the matter be appealed to the Baltimore County Board of Appeals.

Very truly yours,

Richard D. Payne
Attorney for Appellents

/b enc.

Item #51

Page 4 Nay 18, 1971

FIRE PREVENTION BUREAUS



May 18, 1971

Mr. George E. Savrelis, Director Office of Planning & Zoning 301 Jeffersun Building Towson, Maryland 21204

COUNTY OFFICE BIDGE 111 V. Chesapeur Vic Toutes, Maryland 21201

OLIVER L MYALS

MEMBERS

BUREAU OF ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT OF TRAFFIC ENGINEERING STATE ROADS COMMISSION

BUREAU OF FIRE PREVENTION HEALTH DEPARTMENT PROJECT PLANNING SCILLDING DEPARTMENT

BOARD OF EDITIATION
ZONING ADMINISTRATION
INDUSTRIAL
DEVELOPMENT

uear sirs

The following coments are furnished in regard to the plat submitted to this office for review by the Zoning Advisory Committee in connection with the subject Item.

ME: Item #51 (April - October Cycle 1971)

Proposed Laning: Reclass, to M.L. District: 13Cl Sector: Southwestern No. Acres: 14.45

Present Zonings D.R. 5.5

Property Owner: William G. Schmelyun, et al Location: SE/S Sulphur Spring Rd. at W/S PR & W PP

BALTIMORE COUNTY ZONING ADVISORY COMMITTEE

The subject property is presently a wheat tract of land which at one time was used for a sand and gravel pit. The State Boads Comission has constructed the new intercolone for interclare 55 'brough the site. The property to the north stoning. It is the pass office lubritorium and a vector 09 16 coning. It is the pass office lubritorium and a vector of 16 feet for south and southerst by the Pensylvania Bailfread, the south and southerst by the pass of the development, the east by residences, Into 30 years of spin downloament, the wast by the sidences of the southerst by the property of the southerst by the property of the southerst by the sidence of the southerst by the southers

### BUREAU OF ENGINEERINGS

The following community are furnished in regard to the plat submitted to this office for review by the Zoning Advisory Committee in connection with the subject item.

#### HI ghway!

This site has frontage on Waelchill Avenue and Sulphur Spring Road Relocated.

Item #51 Page 5 Kay 18, 1971

Here L. Myer

OLIVER L. MYERS, Chairman

OLM:JO

cc: Edward D. Hardesty

Frack E. Cicone, Esq., First Nationa: Bank Towson, Maryland 21204

Page 5 May 18, 19

is

Fire hydrants for the proposed site are required and shall be in accordance with Baltimore County Standards. The hydrants shall be located at intervals of 300 feet along an approved road.

The owner shall be required to comply with all applicable requirements of the IDI Life Safety Code, 1967 Edition, and the Fire Protention Code when construction plans are submitted for approval.

Revised plans must be submitted showing how property is to be served by public water and sewer.

BOARD OF EDUCATIONS

HEALTH DEPARTMENT:

Mould result in a loss of approx, 29 pupils.

INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT:

The Industrial Development Commission has reviewed the subject patition.

This Inned is located between an interstate highway and a retiroad ith excellent access to both. Prime industrial land in this area is livited and the pettioners' request for Alzoning as granted by the Board of Appeals April 2), 1970 appears reasonable. Therefore, we recommend favorable consideration.

DEPARTMENT OF TRAFFIC ENGINEERING:

The subject patition is requesting ML instead of the present OR 5.5. This should not increase the trip dentity of this subject property and should not create any traffic problems, provided all access to Subject Spring Mand is via Potomoc Avenue instead of Maelchill Avenue, which presently creates proplems.

ZONING ADMINISTRATION DIVISION:

The proposed divelopment would not create a great amount of traffic that would affect the existing roods on the property. The patitioners' proposal does not ment the present Baltimore County Joing Regulations to cerbacks between buildings. This can be rectified with the subsetsion of enpoweeponent buildings applications.

August 31, 1972

Frank E. Cicone, Esquire 411 Jefferson Building

> RE: Petition for Reclassification SE/S of Waelchill Avenue, 260 S of Sulphur Spring Road 13th District William G. Schmelyun, et al-NO. 72-96-R (Item No. 51)

> > May 14. 1971

Dear Mr. Clcone:

I have this date passed my Order in the above captioned

BALTIMORE COUNTY, MARYLAND

INTER-OFFICE CORRESPONDENCE

The subject petition is requesting ML instead of the present DR 5.5. This should not increase the trip density of the subject property and should not create any traffic problems, provided all access to Sulphur Spring Road is via Potonac Avenue instead of Waelchill Avenue, which presently creates problems.

Very truly yours.

N. ERIC DI NENNA

SED: vtc

Edward D. Hardesty

CRM: nr

FROM:

SUBJECT.

Attn: Oliver L. Hyers C. Richard Moore

Item 51 - Cycle Zoning Property Owner: William G. Schmelyun, et al Sulphur Spring Road at W/S P8 & W RR Reclassification to ML

ce: Richard D. Payne, Esquire 26 West Pennsylvania Avenue Towson, Maryland 21204

BALTIMORE COUNTY, MARYLAND

INTER-OFFICE CORRESPONDENCE

Date\_\_\_\_May\_13, 1971 TO Edward Hardesty

FROM Ellsworth N. Diver, P.E.

SUBJECT. ... Item #51 (April - October Cycle 1971) .44em\_FSL\_Aurtl. - Ontober.Cycle 1971)
Property Owner: Williss G. Schmelyun, et al.
Location: 9/25 Sulptur Spring, Rd. at W/S PB 4 M RR
Proposed Zoning: Reclass. to H.L.
District: 1361 Sector: Southwestern
No. Acres. 18.45

The following comments are furnished in regard to the plat submitted to this office for review by the Zoning Advisory Committee in connection with the subject item.

This site has frontage on Waelchili Avenue and Sulphur Spring Road

This property is bound along the northeast by Suiphur Spring Road Relocated, built by the Moryland State Hoads Coemission in connection with Interstate 95 which bounds the southeast property line. Sulphur Spring Road is to be accepted as a County Road by Baltimore County and is to be ultimately improved as a 50-foot closed road section.

Was Ichill Avenue is an existing County Road, which shall ultimately be improved to minor conservial standards between Dulphur Spring Road and Proposed Potonac Avenue not on minor residential standards from Potonac Avenue to its termination. Highway improvements between Dulphur Spring Road and Potonac Avenue will be a 35-foot closed road section on a 60-foot right-of-way. Highway improvements from Potonac Avenue through the remaining frontage of this site will be a 30-foot closed road section on a 50-foot right-of-way.

Potonac Avenue is a proposed County Road, which shall ultimately be improved to local collector standards. Highway improvements will be a ho-foot closed roal section on a 60-foot right-of-way.

Responsibilities for these improvements will be determined by the Director of Public Works upon application for a building permit.

TO: Mr. Edward D. Hardesty, Zoning Cosmissioner PATE: 5/12/71

William G. Schmelyun, et al

Location: S/E/S Sulphur Spring Rd. at W/S PB & W kR

Zoning Agenda

Fire hydrants for the proposed site are required and shall be in accordance with Baltimore County Standards. The hydrants shall be located at intervals of 300 feet along an approved road.

The owner shall be require to comply with all applicable requirements of the 101 Life Safety Code, 1967 Edition, and the Fire Prevention Code when construction plans are submitted for

FROM: Placening Division

SUBJECT: Property Camer:

Item # 51

Item #51 (April - Octobur Cycle 1971) Property Owner: William G. Schmelyun, et al Page 2 May 13, 1971

Highways: (Cont'd)

Interstate 95 is a non-access highway under the jurisdiction of the Maryland State Pozds Commission.

The Petitioner must provide m.cessary drainings facilities (temporary or permanent) to prevent creating any missances or demanes to adjacent properties, especially by the concentration of surface waters. Correction of my problem which may result, due to improper grading or improper installation of drainage facilities, would be the full responsibility of

Provintions for accommodating Storm drainage have not been indicated on the submitted plan.

Public storm dreins will be required to this site in conjunction with the required highway improvements, and must be in accordance with the standards of the Baltimore County Department of Public Workr.

Onsite drainage facilities serving only areas within the site do not require construction under a County contract. Such facilities are considered private and therefore must conform to the County Plumbing and Building Codes.

Offsite rights-of-ways appear to be necessary for the provision of public drainage facilities to this site. If so, a record plat cannot be approved prior to acquisition of such rights-of-ways.

Sediment Control:

Development of this property through stripping, grading and stabilization could result in a sediment pollution problem, damagning private and public holdings below this property. Sediment control is required by State law, A grading permit is, therefore, necessary for all grading, including the stripping of top soil.

Grading studies and sediment control drawings will be necessary to be reviewed and approved prior to the recording of any record plat.

Public water can be unde available to serve this property by constructing a public vater main extension of public facilities in Potonac Avenue from the existing 10-inch main in Sulphur Spring Road Relocated.

Supplementary fire hydrants and improvements to the public system may be required for adequate protection.

The proposed private improvement must be reviewed by the Baltimore City, Water Division for adequacy of water supply.

BALTIMORE COUNTY, MARYLAND

INTER-OFFICE CORRESPONDENCE

TO Mr. Oliver Myers Date May 14, 1971

FROM Ian J. Forrest

SUBJECT Item 51

51. Property Owner: William C. Schoclynn, et al Location: SYLS Subject Spring Rd. at W/S PB 6 W Rf. Fresent Zoning: D.R. 5.5 Proposed Zoning: Raclass to M.L. District: 13th Sector: Southwestern No. Acres: 14.45

Revised plans must be submitted showing how property is to be served by public water and sewer.

chia:

IJF/sam

ZONING ANVIRORY CONTINUES MEATING

OF 1000 27 425 (cause 2000)

Petitioner: Senmecten, et ac

Ideation: the is Surance Somme Ro @ wie poste 216

District: 13

Present Zening: Da 6 5

Proposed Zoning: Att

Corrents: Lore to Resort IN A Sens of Applies 29 Front's

(31)

BALTINGO COCTO BOOM 6. INCCAVION

Item #51 (April - Stober Cycle 1971) Property Owner: William G. Schmelyun, et al Page 3 May 13, 1971

Service within the site from the public system must be in accordance with the Baltimore County Building, Plusbire and Fire Prevention Codes. The service connection to the meter shall be in accordance with the standards of the Baltimore County Department of Public Works.

Public sanitary sewerage can be made available to serve this property by constructing public sanitary sewer extensions, from the existing S-took public sanitary sever in New Sulphus Spring Road at Machallil Arenue, shown on Drawing Adj-ONF - 1, through the fruntage of this site on Sulphur Spring Road Endoated and within Potonce Arenue Soncessory.

The Petitioner is entirely responsible for the construction of his ensite private samitary sewerage, which must conform with the Baltimore County Plumbirg

ELISMORTH M. DIVER, P.E. Chief, Bureau of Engineering

Water: (Cont'1)

Samitary Sewer:

END: EAM: OMF: ss

Key Sheet: 7-5W Position Sheets: 18 5W 14 and 15

ca: File (3)

Topo: SW 5 D

No. of Acres: 14.45

H Romes Killy

Fire Provention Tu

Respectfully saled times

### BALTIMORE COUNTY, MARYLAND

INTER-OFFICE CORRESPONDENCE

Mr. Edward D. Hardesty, Zoning Commissioner Office of Zoning Commissioner Date May 11, 1971 FROM H. B. Staab - Industrial Development Commission

SUBJECT Item No. 51 - Reclassification Cycle Agenda
Owner: William G. Schmielyun, et al
Location: S/E/S Sulphyr Spring Road at W/S PB&W RR
Present Zoning: DR 5.5 Proposed Zoning: Reclass to ML
District: 13th Sector: Southwestern
No Acres: 14.45

The Industrial Development Commission has reviewed the

This iand is located between an interstate highway and a railroad with excellent access to both. Prin.e industrial land in this area is limited and the petitioners' request for ML zoning as granted by the Board of Appeals April 23, 1970 appears reasonable. Therefore, we recommend favorable con-sideration.

I. B. STAAB

# CERTIFICATE OF PUBLICATION

BALTIMORE COUNTY, MD., Sept. 22, 1971 appearing on the 16th day of Sept.

THE TIMES.

n de martin John M. Martin

# CERTIFICATE OF PUBLICATION

72-96

TOWSON, CD. September 16. ......... 19.71 THE JEFFERSONIAN, a weekly newspaper printed appearing on the 16th day of September.

Cost of Advertisement, \$.

3 SIGNS

■ 72-96-R

CERTIFICATE OF POSTING DEPARTMENT OF BALTIMORE COUNTY

District 13TH	Date of Posting SFPT 24 1971
Posted for: RECLASSICIRATION.	
Petitioner: N.H. C. SEHHELYUN.	
	ELRHILL AVE. 260 FF. S. O.F. SUPPHUR
SPRING Rd	
Location of Signs O F/S OF WAF	CHILL AVE 280 FF. T - S OF SUIPHER SPORGE PL
	FT.T-SOF CHAMAR STRINGRA
N/S OF POTOMAS	AVE. 50FT.+ F. OF WARRAUL AVE.
Posted by Chaile M. Mul	Date of return: OET. 1- 1971

SALTIMORE CONTY, MARYLAND PFICE OF FINANCE REVENUE DIVISION HISCELLANEOUS CASH RECEIPT			th. 37		
ATE	June 22, 1972 ACCOUNT 0	01-662			

DISTRIBUTION



7296-R

CERTIFICATE OF POSTING ARTMENT OF BALTIMORE COUNTY

District /37#	Date of Posting OCT14-73
Posted for: APPEAL	
Petitioner: WILLIAM G. SEHA	YEL YSIN
Location of property: SE/S WALLER	ILL AVE. 260 FT. S. O.F. SYTHAUR SPRING R
Location of Signa D. N.S. WAFIEHIII.	ANT 3 SEST. SEET SWITTEN SPEAK RY.
(3) US WALLEHIN AVE 500 FT	S OF SULPHUR SPRING RA.
3 NS WALLEGIN AVE 750	FT S OF SULPAUR SPRING Rd.
	Date of return: 007 23-1971

BALTIMORE COUNTY, MARYLAND OFFICE OF FINANCE - REVENUE DIVISION MISCELLANEOUS CASH RECEIPT

DATE October 5,1972 ACCOUNT 01-662

PHK - AGENCY white cashien mak assect veltow costomes Richard D. Payne, Esquire Cost of Appeal on Case No. 72-96-R SE/S of Waelchili Avenue, 260' S of Sulphur Spring Road - 13th District William G. Schmelyun, et al Petitioners 0.00 cmc

COURT HOUSE TOWSON, MARYLAND 21 \$ 3.00 \$ 3.00 IMPORTANTI MARE CHECKS PAYABLE TO BALTIMORE COUNTY, MARYLAND MAIL TO OFFICE OF FINANCE, REVENUE DIVISION COURTHOUSE, TOWSON, MARYLAND 21204

BALTI-ORE COUNTY, MARY AND

OFFICE OF FINANCE

No. 74077

DATE 12/17/73

TELEPHON BALT MORE COUNTY, MAR WAND Nº 78661 OFFICE OF FINANCE Revenue Division COURT HOUSE IMPORTANT: MAKE CHECKS PAYABLE TO BALTIMORE COUNTY, MARYLAND

MAIL TO OFFICE OF FINANCE, REVENUE DIVISION
COURTHOUSE, TOWSON, MARYLAND 21264

BALTIMORE COUNTY OFFICE OF PLANNING AND ZONING

County Office Building 111 W. Chesapeeke Avenue Touson, Maryland 21204

Your Petition has been received and accepted for filling

Patitioners William &. Salasiyun, et al Petitioner's Attorney Frenk E. Classe

OFFICE OF FINANCE NEVENUE DIVISION DATE October 18, 1972ACCOUNT 01-662 DISTRIBUTION white casming man-accord velice contains the contains property of William G. Schmelyun, et al, for appeal hearing SE/S of Waslchill A. snue, 560' S of Sulphur Spring Road - 11th District - Casa No. 72-96-x

PETITION MAPPING PROGRESS SHEET

Wall Map | Original | Duplicate | Tracing

Revised Plans:

Map #

Change in outline or description\_\_\_\_Yes

Me. 5087

Richard D. Payne, Esquire

BALTIMORE COUNTY, MARYLAND

SCHMELYUN

Granted by ZC, BA, CC, CA

Previous case:

Reviewed by: 08811

FUNCTION

Descriptions checked and outline plotted on map Petition number added to Denied











