PETITION FOR ZONING VARIANCE FROM AREA AND HEIGHT REGULATIONS

TO THE ZONING COMMISSIONER OF BALTIMORE COUNTY:

CROSS STREET

1, or we___FOULTRY COMPANY_INC. ___legal owner_of the property situate in Baltimore
County and which is described in the description and plat attached hereto and made a part hereof.

hereby petition for a Variance from Section 1800.28.20, to permit the construction

of a rigid-structure antenna to a height of 310⁺ feet, in lieu of the height

limitation of 100 feet.

of the Zoning Regulations of Baltimore County, to the Zoning Law of Baltimore County; for the college reasons: (indicate hardship or persical difficulty), bata Transatisation Company; for case, "Department of the County of the

Description of the control of the co

By Contract purchaser 8130 Boone Boulevard

CROSS STREET POULTRY COMPANY, INC. By Edward Sleyman per Address 24 E. Cross Street

Baltimore, Maryland 21230

Protestant's Attorney

Vienna, Virginia 22180
William R. Hensen
Attorney for Data Transmission Company

Petitioner's Attorney 2000 First Maryland Building Baltimore Maryland 21201

ORDERED By The Zoning Commissioner of Baltimore County, this 18th

. 197 14., that the subject matter of this petition be advertised, as required by the Zoning Law of Baltimore County, in two newspapers of general circulation throughout Baltimore County, that property be posted, and that the public hearing be had before the Zoning Commissioner of Baltimore County in Room 166, County Offico Building in Townson, Baltimore day of January 1975 at 10:15 o'clock

County, on the 20th 4. M.

Zoning Commissioner of Baltimore County.

PIPER & MARBURY

August 27, 1975

Mr. Walter A. Reiter, Jr., Chairman County Board of Appeals 111 W. Chesapeake Avenue Towson, Maryland 21204

Re: Cross Street Poultry Co., Inc. File Nos. 75-154XA and 75-168-A

The cases captioned above (the "Appeals") are schoduled for a concurrent public hearing on Tuesday, October, Coroler, and Data Transmission Company, have elected to withdraw the Appeals. Please remove the Appeals from

Very truly yours,

William R. Hansen Attorney for the Petitioners

Recil 8/29/15

Daniel O'Connell Tracy, Jr., Esq. Thomas F. McDonough, Esq. John W. Hessian, III, Esq.

BEFORE

RE: PETITION FOR SPECIAL **EXCEPTION** for a Wireless Transmitting and Receiving Structure, and VARIANCE from Section 1A00.2b.20 of the Baltimore County Zoning Regulations Beg. 463, 18' E. Ridge Road and 2600' NE Green Spring Ave. :

\$757

106

8th District Cross Street Poultry Co., Inc. Petitioner Data Transmission Co., Contract Purchaser

Eighth Election District of Baltimore County.

COUNTY BOARD OF APPEALS

OF BALTIMORE COUNTY

No. 75-154-XA

and

No. 75-168-4

ORDER OF DISMISSAL Petitions of Cross Street Poultry Co., Inc. (Data Transmission

Co. Contract Purchaser) for special exception for a Wireless Transmitting and Receiving Structure, and for a variance from Section 1A00.2b.20 of the Baltimore County Zoning Regulations, on property located beginning 463, 18 feet east of Ridge Road and 2600 feet northeast of Green Spring Avenue, in the

WHEREAS, the Board of Appeals is in receipt of a letter of dismissal of appeals filed August 29, 1975 (a copy of which is attached hereto and rade a part hereof), from the attorney representing the Petitioners-Appellants in the above entitled matters.

WHEREAS, the said attorney for the said Peutioners-Appellants requests that the appeals filed on behalf of said Petitioners be dismissed and withdrawn as of August 29, 1975.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, this 9th day of September, 1975, that said appeals be and the same are DISMISSED.

COUNTY BOARD OF APPEALS

OF BALTIMORE COUNTY

DESCRIPTION

DESCRIPTION TO ACCOMPANY



BEGINNING at a point on the South side of a 25 feet wide right-of-way for ingress and egress to and from Ridge Road said point of beginning being distant Northeasterly 2600 feet more or less, S 58° 31' 09" E 463.18 feet, 80.96 feet in a southeasterly direction by the arc of a curve to the left having a radius of 275.00 feet and a long chord bearing S 66° 57' 12" E 80.67 feet, 91.94 feet in a southeasterly direction by the arc of a curve to the right having a radius of 110.00 feet and a long chord bearing S 51° 26' 38" E 89.29 feet, S 27° 30' 00" E 88.61 feet, 98.67 feet by the arc of a curve to the left having a radius of 92.00 feet and a long chord bearing S 58° 13' 34" E 94.01 feet, S 88° 57' 08 E 247.80 feet, and S 01° 02' 52" W 12.50 feet from the intersection of the centerline of Ridge Road with the centerline of Green Spring Avenue and running thence S 88" 57' 08" E 360.31 feet to a point on and distant 303.31 feet from the beginning of the Seventh or South 00° 49' 30" West 468.07 feet line of the Firstly described parcel of that land which by deed dated January 4, 1973 and recorded among the Land Records of Baltimore County Maryland in liber 8 W V Jr. 5330 at Folio 510 was granted and conveyed by The United States of America to The Cross Street Poultry Company, Inc., and running thence binding along part of said Seventh line, all of the Eighth line and part of the Ninth line of sa'd parcel of land the three (3) following courses and distances, viz:

- (1) South 00° 48' 32" West 164.76 feet to a concrete monument found,
- (2) South 38° 24' 02" West 105,74 feet to a concrete monument found and

PURDUM AND JESCHKE

Page 1 of 2

1023 North Calvert Street, Baltimore, Maryland 21202

Petitions for Special Exception and Variance Description of Property

.

Plat of Subject Property, dated January 6, 1975

Zoning Plans Advisory Committee Comments, dated January 6, 1975

Case No. 75-154-XA (Item No. 106) - Cross Street Poultry Company, Inc.

.

April 28, 1975

Beginning 463.18' E of Ridge Road and 2600' NE of Green Spring Avenue 8th Election District

Comments from William D. Fromm, Director of Planning, dated January 6. 1975

Four (4) Letters from William R. Hansen, Esquire

Section 428.0 of the Baltimore County Building Code

Letter of Protest from Freda Scher, Landmark Construction, Inc., dated

Letter of Protest from A. D. McComas, Chairman, Zoning Committee munity Association, dated February 10, 1975

Five (5) Pages of Photographs

Certificates of Publication

Certificates of Posting (One (1) Sign), with Three (3) Photographs

Order of the Deputy Zoning Commissioner, dated March 27, 1975 -DENIED, and as the Variance request was withdrawn, the matter is hereby DISMISSED.

Letter of Appeal from William R. Hansen, Esquire, on Behalf of the Petitioner, received April 15, 1975

DESCRIPTION

(3) North 89° 10' 30" West 295.87 feet, thence leaving said Ninth

line and running North 00° 49' 30" East 249.97 feet to the moint of heginning.

William R. Hansen, Esquire 2000 First Maryland Building South Charles Street altimore, Maryland 21201

Daniel O'Connell Tracy, Jr., Esquire Mercantile-Towson Building 409 Washington Avenue Towson, Maryland 21204

DESCRIPTION TO ACCOMPANY

EIGHTH ELECTION DISTRIC

PETITION FOR VARIANCE AND SPECIAL USE EXCEPTION PROPERTY TO BE ACQUIRED BY

containing 2,000 acres of land more or less.

Counsel for Protestants

Counsel for Petitioner

. I

RE: CROSS STREET POULTRY COMPANY, INC. Petition for Special Exception for a wireless transmitting and receiving structure, beginning 463.18 feet East of Ridge Road and 2600 feet North, st of Greenspring Avenue, 8th District

Case No. 75-154-XA (Item No. 106) Page 2 April 28, 1975

Thomas F. McDonough, Esquire Suite 600, Alex Brown & Sons

Chairman, Zoning Committee
Falls Road Community Association

102 West Pennsylvania Avenue

Towson, Maryland 21204

Towson, Maryland 21204

12529 Greenspring Avenue Owings Mills, Maryland 21117

John W. Hessian, III, Esquire People's Counsel

Mr. John J. McCarthy

Mr. A.D. McComas

P. O. Box 6796

FOR BALTIMORE COUNTY

Counsel for Mercantile

Safe Deposit and Trust

(Falls Road Community

Association)

Protestant

People's Counsel

Company - Protestani

Case No. 75-154-XA

ORDER TO ENTER APPEARANCE

Pursuant to the authority contained in Section 524.1 of the Baltimore County Charter. I hereby enter my appearance in this proceeding. You are requested to notify me of any hearing date or dates which may be now or hereafter designated therefore, and of

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing Order was mailed this 24 day of January, 1975, to William R. Hansen, Esquire, Piper & Marbury, 2000 First Maryland Building, 25 South Charles Street, Baltimore, Maryland 21201.

PURDUM AND JESCHKE.

NOV 2 0 1975

November 8, 1974

Page 2 of 2

November 8, 1974

PETITION FOR ZONING RE-CLASSIFICATION 154-XA AND/OR SPECIAL EXCEPTION

TO THE ZONING COMMISSIONER OF BALTIMORE COUNTY:

CROSS STREET
POULTRY COMPANY, INC. legal owner. of the property situate in Balti County and which is described in the description and plat attached hereto and made a part hereof,

NW-15-E SPEC EXC EY 600,

and (3) for a Special Exception, under the said Zoning Law and Zoning Regulations of Baltimore County, to use the herein described property, for a wireless transmitting and receiving structure, which use is a recognized special exception in an K.D.P. Zone pursuan to Section J.A.OO.28.70 of the Baltimore Courty Zoning Regulations.

Property is to be posted and advertised as prescribed by Zoning Regulations.

I, or we, agree to pay expenses of above re-classification and/or Special Exception advertising osting, etc., upon filing of this petition, and further agree to and are to be bound by the zoning egulations and restrictions of Baltimore County adopted pursuant to the Zoning Law for Baltimore

TRANSHISSIC COMPANY Contract purchaser

DATE

Boone Boulevard

Vienna, Virginia 22180 Attorney for Data Transmission Company Petitioner's Attorney 2000 First Maryland Building

CROSS STREET POHITRY COMPANY INC.

Legal Owner Address 24 E. Cross Street

ORDERED By The Zoning Commissioner of Baltimore County, this 18th

..., 19\$..., that the subject matter of this petition be advertised, as out Baltimore County, that property be posted, and that the public hearing be had before the Zoning Commissioner of Baltimore County in Room 106, County Office Building in Towson, Baltimore day of Jamary 1975 at 10:15 octock County, on the 20th Vin la Menna

Zoning Commissioner of Baltimore County.

PIPER & MARBURY 26 SOUTH CHARLES STREET BALTIMORE 2:201 TELEPHONE 30: 839 2530

April 15, 1975

Mr. S. Eric DiNenna Zoning Commissioner County Office Building 111 W. Chesapeake Avenue Towson, Maryland 21204

Re: Case Nos. 75-154XA and 75-168-A (Item 106)

Dear Mr. DiNenna:

As counsel for Cross Street Poultry Company, Inc. and Data As counsel for tross Street Poultry Company, Inc.
and Data Associated the Company, I hockey notice an amount for the control of the Company, I hockey notice and the case captioned above. I have enclosed a check in the amount of \$140.00, in payment of the fee for ampealing this matter to the County Board of Appeals. Please request the Board of Appeals to schedule cases 73-1540, and 73-1580 for a concurrent Appeals to schedule cases 73-1540, and 73-1580 for a concurrent

> William & Harrow William R. Hansen



RE: PETITION FOR SPECIAL EXCEPTION AND VARIANCE Beginning 463.18' E of Ridge Road and 2600' NE of G sen Spring Avenue -Cross Street Poultry Company NO. 75-154-XA (Item No. 106)

111 111 111

DEPUTY ZONING COMMISSIONER . BALTIMORE COUNTY

111 111 111

This Petition represents a request for a Special Exception for a wireless transmitting and receiving structure in a R.D.P. Zone pursuant to Section 1A001b, 20 of the Baltimore County Zoning Regulations, together with a request for a Variance to construct an antenna to a height of 310 feet, more or less. Said Variance request has been withdrawn due to a miscalulation with regard to the required height and has been refiled separately, Case No. 75-168-A, which requests a height of 330 feet, more or less,

The two Petitions were heard collectively with experts testifying to both the subject hearing and the Variance hearing. (A strict interpretation of the Zoning Regulation from which the Variance of 330 feet was requested, has resulted in said Variance request being dismissed as unaccessary. The Zoning Regulations, as written, do not limit the height of transmitting and receiving structures in the R.D.P. Zone.

The site is part and parcel of an abandoned nike site, located east of Ridge Road and northeast of Green Spring Avenue, in the Eighth Election District of Baltimore County

During the course of the hearing, several highly qualified experts in the fields of radio engineering, land planning and structural engineering; and a representative of the Data Transmission Company (Datran), contract purchases of the subject property, testified with regard to the request. Their testimony was thorough and included a great deal of technical data as to how the subject

Others, like a cemetery, do not fit into any of the zone categories, that is, residential, business, and industrial, and therefore must be located with discrimination in re-lation to their surroundings. All the items listed (as Special Exceptions in various zones) are proper uses of land, but have certain aspects which call for special con-sideration of each proposal. Because under certain condi tions they could be detrimental to the health, safety, or general welfare of the public

It is the opinion of the Deputy Zoning Commissioner, that the herein requested use falls within the second category and most be located with discrimination in relation to its surroundings. The site in question lies adjacent to a planned residential development. The use would tower over that develop ment, would be out of character and would must certainly be detrimental to the general welfare of the community

which is relatively undeveloped, it appears highly unlikely that the Data Transmission Company could not find a site that would not be in such close proximity to a budding residential development.

sites at added cost cannot be given prime consideration in deciding whether or not to grant a request for this or any other Special Exception. For these reasons, the Petitioner's request must be denied.

Baltimore County, this 27 7 day of March, 1975, that the herein requested Special Exception should be and the same is hereby DENIED.

It is further ORDERED, that as the Variance request was withdrawn, he matter is hereby DISMISSED.

Baltimore County

site was chosen and the need for clear lines of sight between each antenna in the system. The requested height of 330, more or less, was considered an absolute necessity in order to provide unobstructed sight lines between connecting antennas in Datran's system. Additional antennas at a lesser height but at closer intervals was considered too costly. Additional testimony included the type of construction which would exceed County Building Code specifications by thirty per cent and a preventive maintenance program which would require that the structure be inspected twice a year and, otherwise, maintained in top condition. The structure was not expected to interfere with television reception and was described as being capable of serving as a lightn ing protection for any home within 900 feet of the antenna

Several area residents, many of whom had recently moved into newly constructed dwellings in the first section of the adjoining residential develop ment, appeared and testified in protest to the Petitioner's request. Their primary concern was the effect that a structure, of the gagnitude proposed, would have on their homes and community. They felt that a structure of 330 feet in beight, painted various colors and adored with several large disks, would in so way be in keeping with their homes. Such a structure would, in their owinion, lessen their enjoyment of and devaluate their investment in

the testimony and evidence presented, one must conclude that the proposed to nearby existing or proposed dwellings. Said structure and use, with one would also meet all other requirements of Section 502.1. The one prerequisite that the antenna fails to meet is that of proving that the antenna would not be detrimental to the general welfare of the community

The nike site, of which a small portion is proposed to be utilized for the Petitioner's autenna structure, was established many years are by the United States government. The site and improvements, were, no doubt, at that time considered necessary to our national defence and were constructed without the necessity of compling with local zoning ordinances. The use and necessity ha since been abandoned, buildings and other structures razed or removed and the site sold or auctioned off to private owners

The flourishing residential development, one of the few enjoying any measure of success due to the present state of the economy, is presently unde way on land adjoining the site in question. A subdivision plat has been recorded, roads built, dwellings completed, sold and occupied, and others have been sold and are under construction. The developer and the recent purchase of homes did not consider the abandoned site as a threat to be developed in anyway other than that permitted by the Zoning Regulations for the zone in which it is located.

As a result, the request for such a large antenna comes as a shock to those individuals who just recently and most carefully chose a site in the country, as well as the developer who would be expected to sell home sites in

On the other hand, the Petitioner contends that transmitting and receiving antennas are permitted by Special Exception, under the properties present ng classification of R.D.P., and should be expected and permitted, pro rided certain requirements are met.

In describing Special Exceptions under Section 5'2, the Zoning Regulations

"A few uses, such as dumps and junk yards, are inherently so objectionable as to make extra regulations and controls advisable even in the M.H. Zone, to which they are restricted.

- 3 -

BALTIMO COUNTY OFFICE OF PLANNING ZONING

- 2 -

FFICE OF ZONING COMMISSIONE

S. ERIC DINENNA JAMES E. DYER



March 27, 1975

William R. Hansen, Esquire 2000 First Maryland Building 25 South Charles Street Baltimore, Maryland 21201

> RE: Petition for Special Exception and Variance
> Beginning 463, 18 E of Ridge Road
> and 2600 NE of Green Spring Avenue - 8th District Cross Street Poultry Company NO. 75-154-XA (Item No. 106)

Dear Mr. Hansen:

I have this date passed my Order in the above captioned matter in accordance with the attached.

> Very truly yours. James E Kg JAMES E. DYEN Deputy Zoning Commissioner

JED/m

cc: Daniel O'Connell Tracy, Jr., Esquire 409 Washington Ave Towson, Maryland 21204

> Thomas F. McDonough, Esquire Suite 600 102 West Pennsylvania Avenue

William R. Hansen, Esquire Page 2 March 27, 1975

Cockeysville, Maryland 21030 Mr. John J. McCarthy

Towson, Maryland 21204 111 WEST CHESAPEAKE AVENUE TOWSON, MARYLAND 21204

Considering the vastness of the northern part of Baltimore County,

The fact that the Datran Company may be required to acquire additional

Therefore, IT IS ORDERED by the Deputy Zoning Commissioner of

Mr. A. D. McComas

12529 Greenspring Avenue Owings Mills, Maryland 21117

NOV 2 0 1975

Re: Cross Street Poultry Company, Inc. - Petitions for Special Exception and Zoning Variance

Dear Sir:

The Cross Street Poultry Company, Inc. ("Cross Street"), a Maryland Corporation, and Data Transmission Company ("Datran"), a Delaware corporation, (collectively, the "Applicant") have on this date filed the following Petitions (collectively, the "Petitions")

- (1) Petition for Special Exception (3 original copies) to permit the construction of a ridid-type wireless transmitting and receiving structure, (the "Tower") together with
 an accessory equipment shelter and a chain link fence 7 feet
 in height, on a two (2) a cree site located in an R.D.P. Sone;
- (2) Petition for Zoning Variance from Height Regulations (3 original copies) to permit construction of the aforementioned Tower to a height of 310+ teet.

This letter is intended to accompany and supplement the Petitions, in order to facilitate your review thereof.

The Availability of Zoning Relief

Baltimore County Office of Planning and Zoni November 7, 1974

Page Five

Section 1A00.2B.20 of Article 1A of the Baltimore County Zoning Regulations (as amended by County Council Bill No. 100, effective September 19, 1970) (the "Zoning Regulations") establishes wireless transmitting and receiving structures as a conditional

Baltimore County Office of Planning and Zoning November 7, 1974

use within an R.D.P. Zone. Section 1A00.28.20 also provides that a rigid-structure antenna may not exceed a height of 100 feet or the horizontal distance to the nearest property line, whichever grant a variance from the height restrictions, pursuant to Section 307 of the Zoning Regulations. The Zoning Commissioner is vested with the authority to grant both Petitions under Section 500.5 of the Zoning Regulations.

Background Information

Datran is a public utility licensed as a Specialized Common Carrier by the Federal Communications Commission (the "FCC"). Its principal offices are located at 8130 Bone Boulevard, Vienna, Virginia 22100. Datran is in the process of assembling work for the transmission of computer and other data messages. It is presently extending its network into the northeastern section of the United States to provide service to a number of major cities, including Washington, Baltimore, Thindelphia and New York. The Datran system is subject to and must comply with the applicable regulations of the FCC, and as such is required to coordinate frequencies through the FCC.

The Subject Property

(the "Agreement", wish from street into an agreement, (the "Agreement") with Cross Street, as seller, to purchase a two (2)+ acrs parcel (the "Subject Property") of a larger tract consisting of 16.67+ acrs (the "Master Parcel"), and located in the Eighth Election District of Baltimore County, Maryland. Cross Street has agreed to assist Datran in the prosecution of the Peti-

Datran desires to construct, on the Subject Property Datran desires to construct, on the Subject Property, a Tower with microwave antennas, which Tower would be approximately 311+ feet in height. Datran would also construct a one-story accessory utility building (approximate dimensics - 35' × 45' with an approximate height of 10'), which building would be used to house the equipment ancessary for the operation of the antennas. The Tower and the utility building would be enclosed within a chain link fence approximately seven (7) feet in height. Under the Agreement, Datran is to have access to the Subject Property means of a Tight-of-way through the Naster Parcel, running from means or a right-or-way through the Naster Parcel, running from Ridge Road, and more particularly described in the metes and bounds description of the right-of-way, discussed below. The Agreement specifically provides that the right-of-way granted shall be in conformance with the applicable Baltimore County laws and regu-lations.

The Applicant has submitted the following documents.

Baltimore County Office of Planning and Zon November 7, 1974 Page Three

PIPER & MARRURY

prepared by the firm of Purdum & Jeschke, Registered Land Surveyors and Civil Engineers:

1. Seven (7) copies of the metes and bounds description of the Subject Property, dated November 7, 1974;

Property, Laced November 7, 1974, and depicting, with respect to the Subject Toperty, a meta and bounds description of the Subject Property a metas and bounds description of the Subject Property and Editor Property and Propert

Within the Northeastern section of the United States, the Datran system will consist of a series of towers extending from Washington, D.C. to Mew York, N.Y. Each tower will recated approximately 25 miles from the next tower in the line. Becated approximately 25 miles from the next tower in the line. Becated approximately 25 miles from the next tower in the line. Becated the signals are of high frequency, they follow a direct line (or line) and the second of the section of the continuous section of the located on the highest available ground. Such continuous section in the located on the highest available ground. The second of the second o

- 1. That transmissions from the tower to be constructed will not interfere with radio, television or other electrical or communications facilities in the area;
 2. That transmissions from other facilities (as mentioned south of the construction of the facilities (as mentioned south of the facilities as the subject tower.)
 2. That the site is acceptable to the facilities and the facilities acceptable to the facilities of a construction permit.

The Subject Property, for which the Petitions are being submitted, neets the four criteria set forth above, and Datran has been unable to find any acceptable elternate location in the Baltimore area. It should also be noted that the area itself is largely rural, and that the Subject Property was formy the site of a Nike missile installation of the United States Government. These factors, taken together, indicate that the impact of the Tower on the surrounding area would be minimal.

Compliance with applicable Baltimore County laws and regulations

1. Zoning Regulations - R.D.P. Zone Generally Section 1A00.3B.1 of the Zoning Regulations provides Baltimore County Office of Planning and Zoniv November 7, 1974

that any lot created in an R.D.P. Zone shell not be less than one (1) acre in size; the Subject Property as two (2) + acres in size. The minimum linear dimension of the Subject Property will be greater than 150 feet, in accordance with Section 1800, 38.2 of the Zoning Portion of the Tower will be within 50 feet of the property line of the Subject Property line the Subject Property.

PIPER E. MADRIES

2. The Petition for a Special Exception

Section 502.1 of the Zoning Regulations provides that, "before any special exception is granted, it must appear that the use for which the Special Exception is granted will not:

- Be detrimental to the health, safety, or general welfare of the locality involved;
 Tend to create congestion in roads, streets or alleys

- therein; Create a potential hazard from fire, panic or other dangers; Tend to overcrowd land and cause undue concentration of
- panit to overcrow tens and counce undue concentration or population; population; population; population; water, consider, transportation or other public require-ments, cornectes, or improvements; Interfere with accounts light and air.

f. Interfere with adequate light and air.

The Subject Property is in a rural, sparsely developed area, and is in excess of loogiest fron the closest public road-way. Thus situated, the base of the Tower and the accessory equipment shelter will be effectively out of view fron the general public of the state of the company will visit the head of the state of the state

3. The Petiton for a Zoning Variance

Section 307 of the Zoning Regulations establishes

PIPER & MARBURY

several criteria that must be considered when reviewing an application for a variance from height or area regulations, notably:

- that "strict compliance with the Zoning Regula-tions . . . would result in practical difficulty or unreasonable hardship";
 - that "nc increase in residental density beyond that otherwise allowable by the Zoning Regulations shall be permitted as a result of any such grant of a variance from height or area regulations";
 - (3) that "any such variance shall be granted only if in strict harmony with the spirit and intent of said height . . regulations, and only in such a manner as to grant relief without substantial injury to public health, safety and general welfare."

The discussion above, pertaining to the positive relationship between the requested Special Exception and the public health, safety and general velfare, is also applicable to the Petition for a Zoning Variance. Thus, in the absence of any demonstrable injury to the public health, safety and general velfare it is clear that the requested variance stands in the clear that the requested variance stands in addition, since the facility will health of the concern evidenced in item (2) above (increase th residential density) is inapplicable in this case.

(increase in residential density) is inapplicable in this case.

As to the presence of practical difficulty or unreasonable hardship in the event strict compilance with the Zonina Requilations is required to the force could not exceed 100 feet, and the second of the Court could not exceed 100 feet, excessity of constructing the Datran network on a direct line (i.e., line of sight) basis, effectively limits the areas that acceptable, from an engineering standpoint, as a difficulty of the construction of the construction of the construction of the constructed of the factor of avoiding interference with other circs of radio, television or other electrical communication facilities also serves to limit the areas within which the Tower can be constructed. The close interrelationship between the constructed of the close interrelationship between the construction of the construction of the close interrelationship between the court of the construction of the Subject Property, it will be necessary to construct the Tower to the requested height in order to permit the relay antennas to achieve a direct line with the adjoining towers within the aystem. re within the system.

I have previously indicated that the Subject Property effectively meets the criteria for the construction of a microBaltimore County Office of Planning and Zoni November 7, 1974

PIPER & MARBURY

wave relay tower. I have also alluded to the present unavailability of an acceptable alternate site. If the Petition for a Height Variance were denied, considerable delay and expense would be involved in what might prove to be a futile attempt to locate an alternate site. If Datron were first the strength of the strength of the strength of the consequence of the completion of the entire interstate network. Obviously, this would cause a great hardship to Datran, as well as to the public, which would benefit by the communication services the Datran network will provide. Of equal importance, it would also negate the determination of the PCC that the "public Interest, convenience and necessity" will be served by the prompt completion of the network.

4. Waiver of percolation test requested

Section 1A00,38.1 of the Zoning Regulations provides that the creation of any lot in an R.D.P. Zone is subject to the attainment of "porcolation tests satisfactory to the Baltisore property will be unmanned, and no one will occupy the accessory utility building. It is anticipated that, after construction is completed, there will only be occasional (i.e., perhaps monthly) inspection of the Subject Property to assure that the enulment is functioning properly. Since the building will be unoccupied, it will not be not seen to be a second to be a subject to be served by either water or severaged hooks use.

In view of the fact that no waste water or sewage will be generated from the Subject Property, and in the interest of expediting the processing of the Petitions, the Applicant requests that the County waive the requirement of a percolation test relative

Very truly yours,

Company

William To William R. Hansen Attorney for Data Transmission

Enclosures

BALTIMORE COUNTY, MARCLAND

INTER-OFFICE CORRESPONDENCE

TO S. Eric DiNenna, Zoning Commissioner Date January 17, 1975

FROM William D. Fromm, Director of Planning

SUBJECT Petition (75-154-X. Beginning 463.18 feet East of Ridge Road and 2600 feet more or less Northeast of Green Spring Avenue. Petition for Special Exception for a Wireless Transmitting and Receiving Structure. Petition for Variance for Antenna Height

8th District

HEARING: Monday, January 20, 1975 (10:45 A.M.)

The Office of Planning and Zoning has reviewed the subject petition and has no comment to offer at this time

> Willianthon William D. Fronm

WDF:NEG:rw

PIPER & MARBURY TRANSING ALLES MICHAEL P. CROCKES MICHAEL P. CRASEL BALTIMORE ZIZOI Telephone 301 839 2830

December 20, 1974

DEC 24 '74 AM T ...

8

Baltimore County Office of Planning and Zoning County Office Building 111 W. Chesapeake Avenue Towson, Maryland 21204 ZONING Attention: Mr. Frank T. Hogans

Re: Cross Street Poultry Company Inc., -Petitions for Special Exception and Zoning Variance (Item #106)

Dear Mr. Hogans:

In the Petition for a Zoning Variance submitted in the ratter captioned above, the Applicant has stated that the proposed tower would be constructed to a height of 210; fact It has come to my attention that engineering studies conducted subsequent to the date on which the Petitions were submitted have confirmed that the proposed tower will have to be constructed to a height of 330; feet. In addition, a three foot light mast will be placed on the top of the tower.

Please amend the Petition for a Zoning Variance to reflect 'hat the Applicant is requesting a variance to a height of 330± feet.

Very truly yours. William R Hausen

Will am R. Hansen cc: A. N. Benson Donald E. Johnson Data Transmission Company

PIPER & MARBURY

January 7, 1975

Mr. Eric DiNenna Zoning Commissioner Baltimore County Office of Planning and Zoning County Office Building 111 West Chesapeake Avenue Towson, Maryland 21204

> Re: Data Transmission Company --Petitions for a Special Exception and a Zoning Variance (Item #106)

On November 7, 1974 I filed, on behalf of Data Transmission Company ("Datram"), a Petition for a Special Exception and a Petition for a Zoning Variance (collectively, the "Petitions"). The Petition for a Special Exception would permit the construction of a rigid-type wireless transmitting and receiving structure (the "Tower"), and the Petition for a Zoning Variance would permit construction of the Tower to a height of 310° feet. A public hearing on the Petitions has been set for January 20, 1973, at 10:45 a.m.

Subsequent to the date on which I filed the Petitions, Datran decided that the Tower would have to be constructed to a height of 3Def feet. Therefore, to your office requesting that the Petition for a Zoning Variance be amended to reflect this change. However, both the posting of the subject property and the newspaper advertisement stated that the Tower would be 310s feet.

In view of the confusion that has arisen as result of the error in posting and advertising the zoning Mr. Eric DiNenna Page Two January 7, 1975

hearings, and in accordance with our telephone conversation of January 6, 1975, I am herewith submitting a new Petition for a Zoning Variance. This Petition reflects the request that the Tower be constructed to a height of 33% feet. I am also submitting ten certified copies of the plot plan, as amended, and seven certified copies of the legal descrip-tion of the subject property.

I am heruby requesting that you withdraw the Petition for a Zoning Variance presently pending in this matter. I am also requesting that you postpone the hearing on the Petition for a Special Exception, and that you set the Petition for a Special Exception and that you set the Petition for a Special Exception and the substituted Petition for a Zoning Variance for a concurrent hearing as soon as possible. In addition, I request that the relevant application entry and the period of the peri

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Very truly vours, William R. Hansen Attorney for Data Transmission Company

Enclosure

Hand Delivered

William R. Hansen Attorney for Data Transmission 2000 First Maryland Building Taltimore, Maryland 21201

Petitioner Cross Street Poultry Company, Inc.

Purdum and Jeschke 1023 North Calvert Street

Baltimore, Md. 21202



BALTIMORE COUNTY OFFICE OF PLANNING & ZONING County Office Building 111 W. Chesapeake Avenu Towson, Maryland 21204

Petitioner's Attorney William R. Hensen keviewed by Franklin T. Hogens,

Your Petition has been received and accepted for

Item 106

Chairman, Zoning Advisory

LAW OFFICES OF PIPER & MARBURY

December 12, 1974

Re: Cross Street Poultry Co. Inc. - Petitions for a Special Exception and a Zoning Variance (Item # 106)

It has come to my attention that, in connection with its review of the Petitions captioned above (collectively, the "Petitions"), the Plans Review Division of the Baltimore County Buildings Engineer Department has submitted to the Zoning Advisory Committee a written comment wherein it is stated that the construction of the proposed tower and accessory facilities will be subject to the provisions of Sections 427.0 and/or 428.0 of the Building Officials Conference of America Basic Building Code (the "BOCA Code"), as now in effect in Baltimore County.

It would be premature to inject the precise requirements of a building code into this, the zoning stage of the proceedings. The Applicants intend to comply fully with the stage of the proceedings. The Applicants intend to comply fully with the stage of the proceedings the Applicants are merely requesting that they be permitted to subject the property to a use that the Raltimore County Zoning Ordinance (the "Zoning Ordinance") recognizes as proper within an R.D.P. zone.

Baltimore County Office of Planning and Zoning County Office Building 111 W. Chesapeake Avenue Towson, Maryland 21204

Attention: Frank T. Hogans

BUREAU OF FIRE PREVENTION

BUREAU OF ENGINEERING

OFFICE OF THE BUILDINGS ENGINEER

January 6, 1975

William R. Hansen Attorney for Data Transmission Company 2000 First Maryland Building Baltimore, Maryland 212'1

Item 106 Cross Street Poultry Company, Irc. -Petitioner

Dear Mr. Hansen:

The Zoning Plans Advisory Committee has

Baltimore County Office of Planning and Zoning December 12, 1974

The Applicants are willing to submit whatever schematics and specifications would be appropriate in order to permit the Zoning Commissioner to make a determination, under Sections 307 and 502.1 of the Zoning Ordinance, that the proposed use would not be detrimental to the health, safety and welfare of the neighborhood. In addition, the Applicants propose that the Petitions be granted subject to securing a valid building permit under the BOCA Code.

Section 302.2 of the Zoning Ordinance specifically pentics. the Zoning Commissioner or County Board of Appeals to impose such a condition upon the granting of a special exception.

This letter is submitted in order to avoid the delays and confusion that would result if the precise specifications of the BOCA Code are injected into the zoning stage of the proceedings. Recognizing that the Petitions may ultimately be granted subject to securing a valid building permit, the Applicants respectfully request that the Petitions be set for a public hearing as soon as the Zoning Commissioner's schedule will permit.

> William 2 Hanson William R. Hansen Attorney for Data Transmission

Very truly yours.

cc: A. N. Benson Donald E. Johnson Data Transmission Company

BALTIMORE COUNTY

ZONING PLANS

ADVISORY COMMITTEE



PETITION AND SITE PLAN

EVALUATION COMMENTS

BALTIMORE COUNTY ZONING PLANS ADVISORY COMMITTEE

***** Franklin T. Hogar

DEPARTMENT OF TRAFFIC ENGINEERIN STATE RIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION

PROJECT AND DEVELOPMENT PLANNISH

BOARD OF EDUCATION

RE: Special Exception Petition

reviewed the plans submitted with the above referenced petition and has made an on site field inspection of the property. The following comments are a result of this review and inspection.

These comments are not intended to indicate the appropriateness of the zoning action requested, but to assure that all parties are made aware of plans or problems with regard to the development plans that may have a bearing on this case. The Director of Planning may file a written report with the Zoning Commissioner with recommendations as to the appropriateness of the requested zoning.

The subject property is a parcel of land, two acres, more or less in size and comprises the southerness of t

The petitioner is requesting pecial Exception for a wireless transmitting and receiving structure with a Variance structure height in excess of 100 feet.

It has come to the attention of this Committee

William R. Hansen Attorney for Data Transmission Company Re: Item 106 January 6, 1975

Page 2

as per comment of Mr. Ted Burnham, Chief Plans Review Office of the Bulldings Engineers, she towers as proposed should comply with certain sections of BOCA Code as to property line setbacks. In essence, this Code affects a 'Tree fall' rule; this type structure should be set back from property lines a minimum distance equal to the total height of the structure.

In light of conversations with you and the Buildings Engineers' Office with the Chairman of this Committee, it is apparent that the applicability of the aforementioned Code restrictions may be subject to interpretation.

This Committee realizes that the proposed tower construction is subject to the issuance by Baltimore Country of the State of the State

It is the opinion of this Committee therefore that the petition be assigned a hearing date in order that the matter of the zoning Special Exception and Variance may be reviewed and acted upon.

This petition is accepted for filing on the date of the enclosed filing certificate. Notice of the hearing date and time, which will be held not less the 30, nor more than 90 days after the date on the filing certificate, will be forwarded to you in the near future.

Very truly yours,

Frankli T. Hogans. f. FRANKLIN T. HOGANS, JR. Chairman, Zoning Plans Advisory Committee

cc: Purdum and Jeschke 1023 North Calvert Street Baltimore, Maryland 21202

Baltimore County Fire Department

J. Austin Deitz



Towson, Maryland 2120-

Office of Planning and Zoning Baltimore County Office Building Towson, Haryland 21204

Attention: Mr. Jack Dillon, Chairman Zoning Advisory Committee

Re: Property Owner: Cross Street Poultry Co., Inc.

Location: Beginning 710 ft. more or less E of Ridge Rd. 2600 ft. E of Greenspring Ave.

Item No. 106

Zoning Agenda December 3, 1974

Gentlemen:

Pursuant to your request, the referenced property has been surveyed by this Bureau and the comments below marked with an 'x' are applicable and required to be corrected or incorporated into the final plans for the property.

Fire hydrants for the referenced property are required and shall be located at intervals of feet along an approved read in accordance with Baltimore County Standards as published by the Department of Public Norks.

() 2. A second means of vehicle access is required for the site.
() 3. The vehicle dead-end condition shown at

EXCERDS the maximum allowed by the Fire Department.

EXCESS the maximum allowed by the Fire Department. The site shall be nade to comply with all applicable parts of the Fire Ferentian Code prior to occupancy or beginning of operations. The buildings and structures cisting or proposed on the site shall comply with all applicable requirements of the Hational Fire Fredection Association Standard Mo. 100 "The Life Satety Codes", 1970 Edition prior

Comments on Item #106, Zoning Advisory Committee Meeting, December 3, 1974, are as follows:

in lieu of the height limitation of 100 ft.

This office has reviewed the subject petition and offers the following comments. These comments are not intended to indicate the appropriateness of the zoning in question, but are to assure that all parties are made aware of plans or problems with regard to development plans that may have a

This plan has been reviewed and there are no site-planning factors requiring comment

Property Owner: Cross Street Poultry Co., Inc.
Location: Beginning 710 ft. more or less E of Ridge Road 2600 ft. E of Greenspring Ave.

Existing Zoning: R.D.P.
Proposed Zoning: Special Exception for a wireless transmitting and receiving structure, which

is a recognized special exception in an R.D.P. zone pursuant to Sec. 1.A00.28 to permit the construction of a rigid structure antenna to a height of 310 ft.

() 6. Site plans are approved as drawn.

(XX) 7. The Fire Prevention Bureau has no comments at this time.

Reviewer: At J Filly Planning Group

Mr. S. Eric DiNenna, Zoning Commissioner

No. of Acres: 2.000

Zoning Advisory Committee Office of Planning and Zoning Baltimore County Office Building Towson, Maryland 21204

Dear Mr. DiNegga

S FRIC DINENNA

Haul H Revacile

Special Inspection Division

Deputy Chief

Fire Prevention Bureau

December 16, 1974

John Lew unbles

John L. Wimbley

Planning Specialist II

Bepartment Of Jublic Work: COUNTY OFFICE BUILDING

Bureau of Expinerrius

December 11, 1974

Bultimore County, Maruland

Mr. S. Eric DiNenna Zoning Commissioner County Office Building

> Re: Item #106 (1974-1975) Item \$106 (197h-1975)
> Property Owner: Gross Street Foultry Co., Inc.
> Beginning 7100 more or less, E. of Ridge Rd., 26001 E.
> of Greenspring Ave.
> Existing Zoning: R.D.P.
> Proposed Zoning: Special Exception for a wireless iransmitting and receiving structure, which is a recognised
> special exception in an R.D.P. zone pursuant to Sec.
> 1,400.28, Variance from Sec. LACO.28.23 to permit the
> construction of a rigid-extructure autema to a height
> of 310°, in lieu of the height limitation of 100°.
> No. of Acres 2.000 Districts 3th

Dear Mr. DiNennar

The following comments are furnished in regard to the plat submitted to this office for review by the Zoning Advisory Committee in connection with the subject item.

Ridge Road, an existing public road, is proposed to be improved in the future as a bo-foot closed section roadway on a 60-foot right-of-way, with vertical and/or borisontal realignment in the vicinity of this property; however, highway improvements and highway right-of-way and videning are not required at this time.

The entrance locations are subjust to approval by the Department of Traffic Engineering, and shall be constructed in accordance with Baltimore County Design Standards.

OWSON, MARYLAND 21204

Dear Mr. DiNenna:

Development of this property through stripping, grading and stabilization could result in a sediment pollution problem, damaging private and public boldings downstream of the property. A grading permit is, therefore, necessary for all grading, including the stripping of top soil.

The Petitioner must provide necessary drainage facilities (temporary or permanent) to prevent creating any mutanoss or damages to adjacent properties, especially by the concentration of nurface waters. Correction of any problem which may result, due to improper grading or improper installation of drainage facilities, would be the full respectability of the Petitioner.

BALTIMORE COUNTY, MARYLAND

December 3, 1974

Mr. S. Eric DiNenna, Zoning Commissioner Office of Planning and Zoning County Office Building Towson, Maryland 21204

No. of Acres: 2.000

December 3, 1974, are as follows:

Item #106 (1974-1975) Property Owner: Cross Street Bultry Co., Inc. December 11, 197h

Water and Canitary Sewer:

Public water and sanitary sewerage are not available to serve this property, which is beyond the Baltimore County Metropolitan District and the Urban Eural Desarcation line. The Baltimore County Comprehensive Meter and Sewerage Flan, seended July 1973, indicates Flanned Service in the area in 11 to 30 years.

Soliton S. Dusco BLISWORTH N. DIVER, P.S. Chief, Bireau of Engineering

END: EAM: FWR: no

cc: J. Trenner

S-NW Key Sheet 59 NW 19 & 20 Pos. Sheet NW 15 E Topo 50 Tax Map

BOARD OF EDUCATION OF BALTIMORE COUNTY

TOWSON, MARYLAND - 21204

Date: November 20, 1974

Mr. S. Eric DiNenna Zoning Commissioner Baltimore County Office Building Towson, Maryland 21204

Z.A.C. Meeting of: December 3, 1974

Re . Ttem

Property Owner: Cross Street Poultry Co., Inc.

Locations

Beginning 710 ft. more or less E. of Ridge Road., 2600 ft. E. of Greenspring Avenue.

Present Zoning: R.D.P.

Special Exception for a wireless troomsitting & receiving structure, which is a recognized special exception in an ECP zone pursuant special exception in an ECP zone pursuant special exception with the special exception in an ECP zone pursuant special exception of a rigid-estructure antenna to a height of 310 ft., in lieu of the height limitation of 100 ft.

District:

Dear Mr. Di Nenna

2,000

No adverse effect on student population.

Very truly yours, to Vick tetrond W. Nick Petrovich., Field Representative.

MRS. NICHARD & WILLIAM

BALTIMORE COUNTY, MARYLAND

TO Mr. Frank Hopen

SUBJECT. Antennan & Powore.



DEPARTMENT OF TPAFFIC ENGINEERING

EVERNE J. CLIPTOND. P.E.

December 13, 1974

Mr. S. Eric DiNeona County Office Buildin

Re: Item 104 - 2AC - December 3, 1974 Property Cemer: Cross Street Poultry Co., Inc. Location: Besimning 710 ft. more or less E of Ridge Ed. 2600 ft.

BALTIMORE COUNTY, MARYLAND

INTER-OFFICE CORRESPONDENCE

Radio and T.V. intempas & Towers should comply with Sections 427 and 428 of

the BOCA Code in relation to property line set backs.

Date December 6, 1974

Locations Boolaming 710 ft. more or less E of Ridge Rd. 2600 ft.
Existing Zoulnay R. D. P.
Proposed Zoning: R. D. P.
Proposed Zoning: Special Exception for a wireless transmitting &
seconic in an HUP zone pursuant to Sec. 1.00.28
Warkace from Sec. 1.00 25.28 to permit the
construction of a tight-structure antenna to a
height of 110 ft., in lieu of the height limitation
of 100 ft.

No. of Acres: 2.000

M. traffic problems are anticipated by the request special

Very truly yours michael & Han

MSP/lib

Michael S. Flanigan,

BALTIMORE COUNTY OFFICE OF PLANNING AND ZONING 105 WEST CHESAPEAKE AVENUE

AHEA CODE 301 PLANNING 494-2212 ZONING 494-3351

@HVB/nce

Comments: Since this is for a transmitting and receiving structure, no health hazard is anticipated.

Comments on Izen 106, Zoning Advisory Committee Heeting,

Property Owner: Cross Street Poultry Co., Inc.

Location: Beginning 710 ft. more or less E of Ridge Rd. 2600 ft. E of Greenspring Ave. Existing Zoning: R.D.P. Proposed Zoning: Special Exception for a wireless

Very truly yours,

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH-

DONALD J. ROOP, M.D., M.P.H

Thomas H. Devlin, Director BUREAU OF ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES

Special Exception for a vireless transmitting & receiving structure, which is a recognized special exception. In the second special exception of the second special exception of the second second special exception of a rigident structure antenna to a height of 310 ft. in 16u of the height limitation of 100 ft.

WNP/-1 H EMBLIE PARKS, ---EUGENE C. HESS ...

JOSEPH N. MIGDWAN

TOWSON I IM ES January 2 1975 TOWSON, MD. 21204 THIS IS TO CERTIFY, that the annexed advertisement of PETITION - BEGINNING 463.18 FEET EAST OF RIDGE ROAD.

was inserted in THE TOWSON TIMES, a weekly newspaper published in Baltimore County, Maryland, once a week for one

weeks before the 20 day of January 1975 that is to say, the same was inserted in the issues of January 2, 1975

2-5,6NS

CERTIFICATE OF POSTING

Towson, Maryland

Location of property: Bes. 463.18' E of RIBGE RS AND 2600'NE OF

Location of Signs: ONE COR, RIDGE Pd. 2600 101-NE CF GREEN STRING AVE.

@ Bec. 475 to - E oF RIDGE REST 2600 to - NE OF GREEN STRING AVE.

Posted by Llevinias X. Baland Date of return: MAY 9, 1975

Remarks Q SIGN MARHED "PROPERTY ON APPEAL 463.18 EAST"

Petitioner: CROSS ST. POULTRY Co. INC.

GREEN SPRING Ave.

G DEPARTMENT OF BALTIMORE COUNTY

STROMBERG PUBLICATIONS, Inc.

75-154-XA

Date of Posting MAY 3, 1975

By C. Carran

CERTIFICATE OF PUBLICATION

TOWSON, MD. January 2 19.75 THIS IS TO CERTIFY, that the annexed advertisement was published in THE JEFFERSONIAN, a weekly newspaper printed January 19 75 the first-publication appearing on the _____ 2nd ___day of _____ January _____

2-5,605

Posted for: S. STREET POULTRY Co.,
Petitioner: CROSS STREET POULTRY Co.,
Location of property: Gec. 463.18" E of RIBGE Rd. AND ZGCO
NE of Green STRING Ave.

Location of Signa (475' to - E OF RIDGE Rd. AND 2600' tog - NE OF

GREENSPRING AVE. (1) NE CORNER RIDGE Rd 2600' to - NE OF

CERTIFICATE OF POSTING ARTMENT OF BALTIMORE COUNT 75-154-XA

Date of Posting JAN 24, 1975

Date of return: TAN 25, 1975

RePosted +3 of Time

Posted for: SPECIAL EXCEPTION

Romarks: GREENSPKING AVE

Posted by Floring R. Roland

2-5.605 CERTIFICATE OF POSTING

TMENT OF BALTIMORE COUNTY

75-154-XA

District. Std.
District. 3 Date of Poeting JAN. 18, 1973
Posted for: Petition For Special Exception
Petitioner: CROSS STREET POULTRY CO. INC.
Location of property: BeG. 463.18' E OF RIDGE Rd AND 2600 ME
OF GIVEN STRING AVE.
Location of Signar Q NE/COR. OF RIDGE Rd. 2600 + as - NE OF
GIGENSTRING AVE. (2) 475 tay - E OF RIDGE Rd AND
Remarks: 2600 tas - NE OF GREENSPRING AVE
Posted by Thomas F. Proland Data of return JAN. 24 1975

3-SiGNS

75-154-XA

CERTIFICATE OF POSTING DEPARTMENT OF BALTIMORE COUNTY

District	Date of Posting AN: 4 197
	COME EXCEPTION (T) VARIANCE.
Petitioner: CROSS STREET P	Colray Co.
Location of property: BeG. 463.18	E OF RIDGE Rd. AND 2600' NE OF
GREEN SPRING	
Location of Signs ONE COR. RI	see Rd 2600' tar- NE of GREE
SPRING Rd (2-3) 475 +a	A-E OF RIDGE Rd. AND Z600'to
NE OF GREEN SPRIN	6 Ave.

BALTIMORE COUNTY, MARYLAND OFFICE OF FINANCE - REVENUE DIVISION MISCELLANEOUS CASH RECEIPT

William R. Hansen, Esquire

DATE April 17, 1975 ACCOUNT 01-662

Cost of Filing of an Appeal and Posting of Property on Case No. 75-154-XA (Hen No. 106)
Beginning 463,18° E of Ridge Road and 2600° NE of Green Beginning 465.15' E of Ridge Road and 2600' NE of Green Spring Avenue - 8th Election District Cross Street Poultry Company, Inc. - Petitioner 5.00 %

PETITION FUNCTION	Wall Map				Duplicate		Tracing		200 Sheet	
	date	by	date	by	date	by	date	by	date	
Descriptions checked and outline plotted on map							-	бу	date	Ь
Petition number added to outline										
Denied										
Granted by ZC, BA, CC, CA										
Reviewed by: 77. H.		-	С	hange	d Plar	line c	or desc	riptio	n	

BALTIMORE COUNTY OFFICE OF PLANNING AND ZONING

County Office Building 111 W. Chesapeake Avenue Towson, Maryland 21204

Your Petition has been received * this

Petition Petition

er Cross Street Poulty Submitted by Hansa	
er Cross Street Paultry Submitted by Haves	14

* This is not to be interpreted as acceptance of the Petition for assignment of a hearing data.

BALTIMORE COUNTY, MARYLAND No. 17405 OFFICE OF FINANC REVENUE DIVISION MISCELLANEOUS CASH RECEIPT DATE DOG. 30, 1974 ACCOUNT 01-662 AMOUNT \$50.00 PINK - AGENCY WHITE - CASHIER Mesars, Piper & Marbury Minate Charles Street 25 S. Charles Street Baltimore, Md. 21201 BALTIMORE COUNTY, MARYLAND No. 17485 OFFICE OF FINANCE - REVENUE DIVISION MISCELLANEOUS CASH RECEIPT DATE Peb. 3, 1975 ACCOUNT 01-662 AMOUNT \$98.76 Messrs. Piper & Marbury 2000 First Maryland Building Baltimore, Md. 21001 Advertising and posting of property for Cross Street



