# PETITION FOR ZONING RE-CLASIFICATION AND/OR SPECIAL EXCEPTION

TO THE TOWING COMMISSIONER OF BALTIMORE COURTS

XXXXXXXX ... GALUAY ... INC... legal owner... of the property situate in Balt mty and which is described in the description and plat attached hereto and made a part hereof,



md-(E)-for a Special Exception, under the said Zoning Law and Zoning Regulations of Baltimore County, to use the berein described property, for .Construction..operation and maintenance of indoor and outdoor sedeming prole, and social, recreational and educational facilities and uses, as [colloses, sauge and whirlport), expensive, locker, clubs and office coxes, with accomplations for snack par and like, and cutting tegrals curves, volley ball courts Property is to be posted and swetted as presented by Zeoling Regulations.

I, or we, agree to pay expenses of above re-classification and/or Special Exception advertising. posting, etc., upon filing of this petition, and further agree to and are to be bound by the zoning regulations and restrictions of Baltimore County adopted pursuant to the Zoning Law for Balt



GALWAY, INC. By Vice President Legal Owner Baltimore, Maryland 21202 (301) 332-8713

ORDERED By The Zoning Commissioner of Baltimore County, this..... of December ......, 197 \_8, that the subject matter of this petition be advertised, as equired by the Zoning Law of Baltimore County, in two newspapers of general circulation throughout Baltimore County, that property be posted, and that the public hearing be had before the Zoning Commissioner of Paltimore County in Room 106, County Office Building in Towson, Baltimore 197 9 at 1100 miclock

County on the 5th day of February P. M

494-3180

County Board of Appeals Room 219, Court House Townon, Maryland 21204 March 12, 1980

Eugene P. Smith, Esquire 1900 Maryland National Bank Bldg. Baltimore, Maryland 21202

Re: Case No. 79-167-Y. Galway, Inc.

Dear Mr. Smith:

Enclosed herewith is a copy of the Order of Dismissal passed by the County Board of Appeals in the above entitled acre.

Very truly yours,

cc: Mr. William L. Grimm Fran Forder Mr. Michael J. Dougherty, Jr. Mc. Sheila Wharam Ms. Sher v Strovel Mr. Sher y Strovel
John W. Hessian, III, Esquire
Dr. Karl J. Zeren
Mr. J. E. Dyer
Mr. W. E. Hammond

10CA NO. 28-64\_x 

## IDCA APPLICATION FOR SPECIAL EXCEPTION AND OR SPECIAL PERMIT

COLUMN DIVIL - LIBEL CHARM OF THE PROPERTY STUDIED IN BASTROOM REPROPERTY COLUMNS OF THE PROPERTY COLUMNS OF THE PROPERTY COLUMNS AND DETENCES ON THE SAME APPLICATION TO PLE FOR A SPECIAL CHARGE WAS A WAY OF THE PROPERTY WAS APPLICATION TO PLE FOR A SPECIAL

\_\_\_\_\_95\_\_\_\_% OF OVERALL STE WILL REQUIRE ORACING.

100 200 ama 24.950 square fe 55 x 90 total weent 25' modimen \_\_\_\_\_ 24.950 square feet PLOOR AREA RATIO . TOTAL PLOOR AREA DIVIDED BY SITE AREA - Q. 159\_\_\_\_

MULDING USE - indoor swimming Book and social regreation land educational field

\_\_\_\_\_ HER MINNER OF PARKING SPACES GROUND FLOOR \_\_\_\_ 83 \_\_\_\_ OTHEN FLOORS \_15 \_\_\_\_\_ TOTAL \_\_ 98

WATER MEMORIE CHERNATE, TYPE OF SYSTEM \_\_\_\_\_\_ source: Planta or Tremount type of system

legal owner
National Bank Building

reserve Gl East Padonia Road Pimonium, Maryland 21093

DOES / DOES NOT CONFORM TO THE REQUIREMENTS OF SUBSECTION 22-8.1(F) OF THE BALTIMORE COUNTY CODE,

IDCA FORM NO. 2 REVISED S-IB-22 OFFICE OF PLANNING & JOHNS

THE RESERVE THE PARTY.

PE. PETITION FOR SPECIAL EXCEPTION . for Swimming Pool, etc. NE/5 Greenpoint Road 45' 8th District

DECOR COUNTY SOARD OF APPEAUS OF

BALTIMORE COUNTY No. 79-167-X

#### ORDER OF DISMISSAL

Perition of Galway, Inc. for a special exception for a swimming peol, etc. on property located on the northeast side of Greenpoint Road 45 feet southeast of Chatterton Road, in the Eighth Election District of Baltimore County.

WHEREAS, the Board of Appeals is in receipt of a letter of withdrawal of petition filed Narch 10, 1980 (a copy of which letter is attached hereto and made a part hereof) from the attorney representing the Petitioner in the above extitled matter

WHEREAS, the said attorney for the aid Petitioner requests that the petition filed on behalf of saig Petitioner be withdrawn as at March 10, 1980

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED this 12th day of March, 1980, that said petition be and the same is DISMISSED.

> COUNTY SOARD OF APPEALS OF BALTIMORE COUNTY

- 154440 Sent 1464, 7 SCHEET, 8-CHEED I B BOY

301-332-8713 March 7, 1980

@

---

WEINBERG AND GREEN

BALTIMORE MD 21202 TOWSON MD 21204

File (()(P-045) Na, hepet 10: 10: 4000 A10-165704 AREA 47: 455

MATCHES PRECT CIAL N. MAER

Set for Tiste Re: Galway, Inc., Our File: 162-2(14)

Gentlemen.

This will serve to advise that Galvay, In-., hereby withdraws its petition for special exception for construction, operation and maintenance of the swimming pool and accessory construction. The service of the construction of t

Respectfully submitted. Eugene Pi Smith

in the second se

6

Ilb:hf
cc: John W. Hessian, III, Esq.
People's Counsel for Saltimore County
County Office Suilding
Towson, Naryland 21204

Michael G. Rinn, Esq. 90 Blondell Court Timonium, Maryland 21093

100 P

Ġ

S. ERIC DINENNA

April 26, 1979

Eugene P. Smith, Esquire 1900 Maryland National Bank Building Baltimore, Maryland 21202

RE: Petition for Special Exception NE/S of Greenpoint Road, 45' SE of Chatterton Road - 8th Election District Galway, Inc. - Petitioner NO. 79-167-X (Item No. 85)

Dear Mr. Smith:

I have this date passed my Order in the above referenced matter in

Very truly yours S PRIC DI NENNA

Ma. Sherry Strong

11702 Rutledge Road

Attachments

cc: Michael G. Rinn, Esquire 9) Blondell Court Fimonium, Marcland 21093

Mr. Michael J. Dougherty, Jr. 7t B ondell Court Ludervice, Maryland 21093

Lutherville, hiarvland 21093 John W. Hessian, III, Esquire People's Counsel

Ms. Shiels Wharam 4 Valley . L. e Court Timonium , Maryland 21093



Pine Valley / Valleywood Association, Incorporated

February 2 1979

Haltimore County Planning Board Townon, Maryland 21204

It has come to the attention of members of our reighbortood anso-ciat on that James Keelty & Company has made a proposal to the County Planning Commission that they be permitted to erect a Recreational Center contiguous to the Mays Chapel Village area.

The purpose of this letter is to inform this board of the Pine Valley-Valleywood Association's opportion to such a project.

We feel that this center would become not a neighborhood facility but rather a commercial enterprise. Such development could possibly signal. He construction of additional commercial development in the areas west of I = 83.

We would hope that you agree with our opposition and at least, limit the size of the center to the needs of the neighborhood to which it would serve.

Respectfully, Long Doges Pory Sorio

Timonium, Maryland 2!093

Board of Governors

Dory Sozio President Pat Stanton - Vice President Judith Carroll - Secreta: / Inde Extednan - Transmon

Directors Darby Boyle Mary Dudley George Hoffmanner Helen McFadden Les Miller Jeanne Mircherling Hike Moriarity Margot Senula Mike Vaughan Sheila Wharan

RE: PETITION FOR SPECIAL EXCEPTION : BEFORE THE ZONING COMMISSIONER NE/S of Greenpoint Rd., 45' SE of Chatterton Rd., 8th District OF BALTIMORE COUNTY

: Case No. 79-167-X GALWAY, INC., Petitioner

## ..... ORDER TO ENTER APPEARANCE

Mr. Commissioner

Pursuant to the authority contained in Section 524,1 of the Bultimore County Charter, I hereby enter my appearance in this proceeding. You are requested to notify me of any hearing date or dates which may be now or hereafter designated therefore, and of the passage of any preliminary or final Order in connection

John W. Hessian, III County Office Building Towson, Maryland 21204

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on this 19th day of January, 1979, a cupy of the aforegoing Order was mailed to Eugene P. Smith, ciquire, 1900 Maryland National Bank Building, Baltimore, Maryland 21202, Attorney for Petitioner.

MAYS CHAPEL VILLACE

BY MEANS OF SPECIAL EXCEPTION

Road 60 feet wide, said point of beginning being distant S uth 74 degrees 40

entitle 1 "Jenifer Road - Chatterton Road - Greenpoint Road, Mays Chapel Village",

and re-orded among the Land Records of Baltimore County in Plat Book E.H.K.,Jr. No. 41 Folio 77, said point of beginning being also distant South 74 degrees 40

minutes 00 seconds East 44.99 feet, as measured along the northeast side of the

centerline of Chattriton Road and the north side of Greenpoint Road as said

abovementioned Gr verpoint Road, from the intersection of the prolongation of the

roads are shown on the abovementioned plat of Maya Chapel Village, thence leaving the northeast side of said Greenpoint Road and running for lines of division

the two following courses and distances viz: first, North 15 degrees 20 minutes

East 40.00 feet and second, North 76 degrees 50 minutes 00 seconds East 197.00 feet, thence leaving the southeast side of said Rutledge Road and running for lines of division the two following courses and distances viz: first, South 13 degrees 10

minutes 00 seconds East 272.11 feet and second, South 15 degrees 20 minutes 00 seconds West 362.67 feet, to intersect the northeast side of the abovementioned Greenpoint Road, as said road is shown on the hereinmentioned road plat of Mays

Chapel Village, running thence binding on the southeast side of said Greenpoint Road, as shown on said plat, North 74 degrees 40 minutes 00 seconds West 295.00 feet, to the place of beginning, containing 3.5922 acres of land, more or less.

00 seconds East 424.68 feet and second, North 18 degrees 41 minutes 42 seconds West 75.80 feet to intersect the southeast side of Rutledge Rrad, as proposed to be laid out 60 feet wide, running thence binding on the southeast side of Rutledge Road the two following courses and distances viz: first, by a line curving to the right with a radius of 570,00 feet for a distance of 40,00 feet said curve being subtended by a chord bearing North 74 degrees 49 minutes 23 seconds

minutes 00 seconds East 183.82 feet from a point designated as 2 on a plat

DESCRIPTION OF LAND TO BE RECLASSIFIED

Beginning for the same at a point on the northeast side of Greenpoint

John W. Hessian, III

9-26-78

RE: PETITION FOR SPECIAL EXCEPTION · REPORT THE NE/S of Gruenpoint Load, 45'SE of Chatterton Road - 8th Election District Galway, Inc. - Petitioner

: SOUTH CONFUSSIONER

: BALITIMORE COUNTY : Pet. No. 79-167-X (Item No. 85)

#### ORDER FOR APPEAU

MP. O'MISSIONER

Please note our appeal from your decision in the above-entitled matter, under date of April 26, 1979, to the County Board of Appeals and forward all papers in connection therewith to the said Board for

I HERES! CERTIFY that on this of day of May, 1979, a copy of the aforecoing Order was mailed to Duce to P. Spith, Esquire, Attorne for the Petitioner, 1900 Maryland National Bank Building, Baltimore, Maryland 21202 and to John M. Messian III. Femnine. People's Coursell for Baltimore County, County Office Building, Towson, Maryland 21204.



BALTIMORE COUNTY, MARYLAND

INTER-OFFICE CORRESPONDENCE

S. Eric DiNenna TO. Zoning Commissioner

Date February 1, 1979

Leslie H. Groef, Director PROM Office of Planning and Zoning SUBJECT Petition #79-167X. Item #85.

Petition for Special Exception for community building Northeast side of Greenpoint Road, 45 feet Southeast of Contested Road Petitioner - Galway, Inc.

8th District

HEARING: Monday, February 5, 1979 (1:00 P.M.)

The proposed use would be appropriate here. However, this office believes that County Council Bill No. 62-78 is applicable to the subject proposal.

LHG:JGH:N

RE: PETITION FOR SPECIAL EXCEPTION : BEFORE THE ZONING COMMISSIONER NE/S of Greenpoint Rd., 45' SE of Clatterton Rd., 8th District

OF BALTIMORE COUNTY

GALWAY, INC., Petitione : Case No. 79-167-X (Item 85)

......

ORDER FOR APPEAL

Mr. Commissions

Please note an appeal from your decision in the above-intitled matter, under date of April 26, 1979, to the County Board of Appeals and forward all papers in connection therewith to said Board for hearing.

Letter May Peter Max Zimmerman Deputy People : Counsel

John'W. Hessian, III Peoples Counsel for Baltimore Count County Office Building Towson, Maryland 21204 494-2183

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on this 24th day of May, 1979, a copy of the aforegoing Order was mailed to Eugene P. Smith, Esquire, 1900 Maryland National Bank Building, Baltimore, Maryland 21202, Attorney for Petitioner; Michael G., Rinn, Esquire, 90 Blandell Court, Timonium, Maryland 21093, Attorney for Protestants; Mr. Michael J. Dougherty, Jr., 79 Blondell Court, Lutherville, Maryland 21093; Ms. Shiela Whoram, 4 Valley Ridge Court, Timonium, Maryland 21093; and Ms. Sherry Strovel, 11702 Rutledge Road, Lutherville, Maryland 21093.

FILING

FOR

RECEIVED

ORDER DATE



RE: PETITION FOR SPECIAL EXCEPTION NE/S of Greenpoint Road, 45' SE of Chatterton Road - 8th. Election District Galway, Inc. - Petitioner NO. 79-167-X (Item No. 85)

\*\*\* \*\*\* \*\*\*

ZONING COMMISSIONER

BALTIMORE COUNTY

101 111 101

This matter comes before the Zuning Commissioner as a result of a Petition for Special Exception for the construction, operation, and maintenance of: (1) an indoor and outdoor swimming pool, (2) social, recreational, and educational facilities and uses, including sauna and whirlood, exercise, locker, club, and office rooms, with accommodations for a snack bar and the like, (3) outside tennis and volleyball courts, and (4) parking, for property at the above location

Testimony indicated that the Petitioner proposes to develop the subject property as aforesaid for use by members and families of the Mays Chapel development as well as residents in the general vicinity Sufficient parking will be provided in accordance with the Baltimore County Zoning Regulations

Without reviewing the evidence further in detail but basid on all of the evidence presented at the hearing, in the judgment of the Zoning Commissioner, the prerequisites of Section 502. 1 of the Baltimore County Zoning Regulations have been met and the Special Exception should be granted.

Theretore, IT IS ORDERED by the Zoning Commissioner of Baltimore County, this 26 day of April, 1979, that the Petition for the atorenentioned Special Exception should be and the same is hereby GRANTED, om and after the date of this Order, subject to the approval of a site plan by the Department of Public Works and the Office of Planning and Zoning. Said ial Exception must be utilized within five years or become null and void

BALTIMORE COUNTY

ZONING PLANS

ADVISORY COMMITTEE



PETITION AND SITE FLAN

EVALUATION COMMENTS

Eugene P. Smith, Esquire 1 )00 Maryland National Bank Bldg. Baltimore, Maryland 21202

cc: James Keelty & Co., Inc. 61 East Padonia Road imonium, Maryland 21093

BALTIMORE COUNTY OFFICE OF PLANNING & ZONING

Ccunty Office Building 111 W. Chesapeake Ave. Towson, Maryland 21204

Your Patition has been received and accepted for filing

Petitioner Galway, Inc.
Petitioner's Attorney Sugene P. Smith Reviewed by Nichola B

For. #4157

J. Frederick C. Offett, Jr.

79-167-X

# SALTIMONE OFFICE

BALTOMONE OFFICE

MINISTER I SCHINGER I MINISTER I MINISTER I SCHINGER I IN CONTROL IN C

TORENT A CAMPINA SAMURIA A MINATE AN MINATE A MINATE AN MINATE A MINATE AN THE COMMENT A MANAGEM THE COMMENT A MANAGEM ENGRICH B. THE CAMPINA ENGRICHMENT B. THE CAMPINA ENGRE B. THE CAMPINA ENGRE B. THE CAMPINA ENGRE B. THE CAMPINA ENGRE B. THE CAMPINA E

towson orders

LAW OFFICES WEINBERG AND GREEN

> NINETEENTH FLOOR IO LIGHT STREET

BALTIMORE, MD 21202

Suite son 401 WASHINGTON AVENUE TOWSON, MD. 21204

FIRM TEL 13011 332 8600 \*F:F:COPIER (301: 332 Re36

WHITE I'S CIDECT DIAL NUMBER

(301) 332-6713

January E. 1979

Mr. Michalas S. Commodari Baltimore County Office of Planning and Zoning County Office Building 111 West Chesipeake Avenue Towson, Maryland 21204

> Re: Petition of Galway, Inc. for Special Exception for Social, recreational and educational facilities on 3.5922 acres of land located on the north side of Greenpoint Read, east of Chattertor Road Our File: 16222(14)

Dear Mr. Commodari:

The Planning Board approved our Application for Special Exception to the extent required under the Interim Development Control Act, and I understand same will now be scheduled for hearing before the Zoning Commissioner. In the meantime, I point out to you that Section 406A.1 of the Zening Regulations relates only to establishment of a tennis facility in an R.C. or D.R. Zone as a "principal use". In our case, the swimming pools, with the related facilities, are the principal uses, and the tennis courts only accessory thereto. Accordingly, Section 406A.1 of the Regulations does not apply so that the homes or residents' association need not be the owner.

119:hs

MA 1 0 79 AM ZU ILIG DEPARTMENT Yours very truly.

Euggno

1. 1 ... L. 105

## BALTIMORE COUNTY ZONING PLANS ADVISORY COMMITTEE

COUNTY OFFICE BLDG. 111 W. Chesapeake Ave. Towers, Maryland 21204

MINATES

Department of Traffic Engineering

State Spate Comissio

Bureau of Fire Prevention

Health Department

Project Planning

Duilding Departmen

Zoning Administrat

Industrial Development

Bureau of

. . .

Eugene P. Smith, Esquire Nicholas B. Commodes 1900 Maryland National Bank Bldg. Baltimore, Maryland 21202

> RI': Item No. 85 Petitioner - Gaiway, Inc. Special Exception Petrion

January 25, 1979

Dear Mr. Smith:

The Zoning Plans Advisory Committee has reviewed the plans submitted with the above referenced petition and has made an on-s.te field inspection of the property. The following comments are not intended to indicate the appropriateness of the zoning action requested, but to assure that all parties are made aware of plans or problems with regard to the development plans that may have a bearing on this case. The Director of Planning may file a written report with the Zoning Commissioner with recommendations as to the suitability of

Located between Rutledge and Greenpoint Roads, opposite Chatterton Road in the 8th Election District, the subject of this petition is a vacant parcel of ground that is part of the overall developing community of Mays Chapel Village. Contiguous properties are also vacant and are zoned D. R. 16, as is the subject property. The vacant land immediately to the west of this site, also owned by your client, is proposed to be rezoned to B. L. through a hearing before the Board of Appeals sometime this spring. As indicated in comments forwarded with that petition, if this Special Exception is granted, the area of this tract, as well as that to the west, must be subtracted from the allowable density for the overall development,

Because of your client's proposal to util ze this property as a recreational center, including indoor and outdoor swimming pools, tennis courts, and an outdoor recreation area, for the general public, temas courts, and an outloor revreation area, for the general points, this Special Exception is required. As indicated in previous conversation, it was the feeling of this office that the proposed tennis courts would be governed by the applicable requirements of Section 406A of the Baltimore County Zoning Regulations. However, based

November 1, 1976

Mr. S. Brie DiNenna Zoning Commissioner Crenty Office Building Towson, Maryland 71204

Item #11 Cycle IV (Oct. 1978-April 1979)
Property Cunar: Calway, Inc.
N/Z cor. Greanpoint Ri. & Rutledge Rd.
Emisting Poning: D.R. 16 Proposed Zoning: B.L. Acros: 2.5319 District: 8th.

Dear Mr. Dillenna

The following comments are furnished in regard to the plat submitted to this office for review by the Zoning Advisory Oxemittes in connection with the subject item.

Generals

The proposed development of the overall Mays Chapel Village property, of which this site is a part, was the subject of review and comment by the Joint Subdivisi a Planning Committee Hard 1, 1773.

The Baltimore "outy Euroms of Public Services provided comments June 20, 117. in connection with the Proliminary Plan for Maye Chapel Village (Astel April 9, 1977), and also Supplemental Comments on Aug. 30, 1971 and October 2, 1973.

Subsequently, Public Codes Agreement (875)3 was executed in connection with Mays

Baltimore County highway and utility improvements exist or are secured by Public Works Agreement 277603; such additional longer-wasness as are required will also be secured by Public Works Agreements in connection with further development of Pays (d. spc. V.llege.

Groompoint and Butledge Roads are proposed to be constructed in the future as 40-foot closed section roadways on 60-foot rights-of-way.

The entrance locations are subject to myrroval by the Department of Traffic Engineering, and shall be constructed in accordance with Baltimore County Standards.

Jem No. 85 - Galway, Inc. Page 2 January 25, 1979

on your letter of January 8, 1979, which is part of this file, you feel that this is not the case. Because of this, I proceeded to schedule the petition for a hearing with the understanding that this matter be clearly addressed at the hearing and a decision clearly indicated in the final Order.

This putition is accepted for filing on the date of the coclosed filing certificate. Notice of the hearing date and time, which will he held not less than 30 nor more than 90 days after the date on the filing certificate, will be forwarded to you in the near future.

> Very truly yours. NICHOLAS B. COMMODARI

Chairman Zoning "lans Advisory Committee

NBC/ef

com 611 Cycle IB (Oct. 1972-April 1979) Property Owner, Galway, Inc. Pago 2 November 1, 1978

hevelopment of this property through stripping, grading and stabilisation could result in a coliment pollution problem, damoutag private and public holdings downstream of the property. A grading permit is, therefore, necessary for all grading, including the surjection of top colim

Provisions for accommodating storm water or drainage have not been a dica so

Storm drains, which will be required in connection with any further development of this property, are to be designed and constructed in accordance with saltim to County Standards and Specifications.

The retitioner must provide necessary drainage facilities (temporary or me unner) to prevent creating any emissace, or damages to adjacent properties, easy of self-by the concentration of euriface whorm. Currection of any problem Value by resure, but to improper graining or improper installation of drainings facilities, would be the full requestibility of the Publication.

mable water apply as not resistive as yet to serve this property which is within the militations county interpolition flucture and the probabilist county interpolition flucture and the probabilist county in the property is above elevation 400 Chresfors, it is within the property in the

Public scattery everage can be have available by extension of existing scattery, shown on Drawing 677-1006, File 1 to serve this property, which is within the Ballouron County Mattepolitan District and the Orban-Brail Desarration Liv. 3 a literare County Severage Plan 6-17, as assended, indicates planned serv or in this

Very truly yours,

SILEWORTH I. DIVER, P.S. Chief, Durews of Engineering

Sediment Control:

Storm Drains:

area as "Immediate Priority".

PMD PAM PAR AS

on. B. Morton

J. Wimbles

S-NE Key Sheet 56 & 57 NW 7 Pos. Sheets NW 14 B Tops 51 Tax Hap

cc. James Feelty & Co. . Inc. 61 East Padonia Road Timonium, Maryland 21093 TOWSON, MARYLAND 21204

ORNTON M. MOURING, P.E.

Lecumber 19, 1978

Mr. S. Ecic DiNenna Zoning Commissioner Grunty Office Building Powson, Maryland 21204

> Ro: Itos #85 (1978-1979) Pom. 85 (1976-1979)
> Fromethy Company C

The following comments are furnished in regard to the plat submitted to this office for review by the Zoning Advisory Committee in connection with the subject item.

The comments which we emupplied for this site for IDCA Project 78-61X, and in connection with the Zoning Advasor, Committee review of the Potitioner's adjacent site for Item #11, Cycle 1V (Cotober 1798-April 1979) are referred to or your consideration.

This office has no further comment in regard to the plan submitted for Zoning mittee region in connection with this Item #85 (1978-1979).

ELISHONTH N. DIVER, P.E. Chief, Bureau of Engineering

END: EAM: FWR: 98

cc: R. Mortor

a-NE Key Sheet 5: a 57 NW 7 Mos. Cheets NW 14 a 15 H Topo 51 Tax Map

Astachment



December 11, 1978

Mr. S. Eric DiNenia, Zoning Commissioner Zoning Advisory Committee Office of Planning and Zoning Baltimore County Office Building Towson, Maryland 21204

Dear Mr. DiNenna

Commants on Item \*35, Zoning Advisory Committee Meeting, October 17, 1978, are as follows:

Property Owner: Galway, Inc. Property Owner: Galway, Inc.
Location: NEX Greenpoint 8::...45; SE Cinaterton Road
Existing Zoning: U.R.16
Proposed Zoning: Special Exception for a community building with social, recreational
and educ-tional facilities (IDCA 78-61-X)

Acres: 3.5922

This office has reviewed the subject petition and offers the following comments. These comments are not intended to indicate the appropriateness of the zoning in question, but are to assure that all put its are made aware, of plans or problems with regard to development plans that may have a

Landscaping must be provided.

Very truly yours,

It Iwally. John L. Wimbley Pluner III
Current Planning and Develope

TOWSON MARYLAND 2120

November 16, 1978

Mr. S. Eric DiNenna, Zoning Commissioner Office of Planning And Zoning County Office Building Towson, Maryland 21204

Comments on 1/em #85, Zoning Advisory Committee Meeting of October 17, 1978, are as follows:

Property Owner: Galway. Inc. NE/S Greenpoint Rd. 45 SE Chatterton Pd. Existing Zoning: Proposed Zoning: Special Exception for a community building with social, recreational & educational facilities (IDCA 78-61-X) 3,5922

Metropolita: sever and vater is available. Therefore, to health hazards

If a food service facility is proposed, complete plans and specifications must be submitted to the Division of Food Protection, Baltimore County Department of

Prior to approving a building permit on the community center, complete plans and specifications must be submitted to Mark McManus, Division of Community Rygiene, for review and approval.

Prior to new inst.llation(s) of fuel burning equipment the owner should contact the Division of Air Pollution Control, 494-3775, to obtain requirements for ruch installation(s) before work begins.

A Permit to Construct from the Division of Air Pollution Control is required for any charbroller operation which has a total cooking surface area of five (5) square feet or more.

Thomas H. Devlin, Director
BUREAU OF ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES

THD/JRP:ph4

co: J. J. Dieter
W. L. Phillips

Mr. S. Ecic DiNerma, Zoning Commissioner Offit: of Planning and Zoning County Office Pailling Downon, Maryland 21706

Sear Mr. DiNema

Comments on Item # 85 Zoning Advisory Committee Meeting, October 17, 1978 are &s follows:

Property Owner: Galway, Inc. N/E/S Greenpoint Road - 55' SE Chatterton Road

Deating MEES Greenpoint acce.

NEES Greenpoint acce.

Proposed Maintain D. R. 16

Proposed Maintain D. R. 16

Special Exception for a community building with social, recreational and educational facilities. (IRCA 78-61-X)

November 2, 1978

The items checked below are applicable:

X A. structure shall conform to Baltimore County Building Come (b.O.C.A.) 1970 Edition and the 1971 Bup; memot, State of Karyland Code for the Bandloappes and aged and other applicable codes.

y B. a building permit shall be required before construction can begin

C. Additional \_\_\_\_\_Permits shall be required. D. Building shall be upgraded to new use - requires atteration permit.

f Three sets of monetruction drawings will be required to file an application for a building permit.

Three sets of construction drawings with a registered Maryland Architect or Engineer's original seal will be required to file an application for a building permit.

Yood frame walls are not permitted within 3'0" of a property line. Contact. Jiding Department If distance is between 3'0" and 6 0" of property, line.

E. Requested setbeck variance conflicts with the Baltimore County Building Code. Sew Section

1. No Comment.

X J. Comment: Please be aware of State Handicapped and Aged Code requirements for proposed structures - parking, etc.

CEDITE

JUN 26 1980



November 22, 1978

Mr. S. Eric DiMenna Zoning Commissioner County Office Building Towson, Maryland 21204

3.5922 8th

- 2AC - Meeting of October 17, 1978
Galway, Inc.
NE/G Greenpoint Rd. L5' SE Chatterton Rd.
D.R. 16
Special Exception for a community building with
social, recreational & education facilities.
(IDCA 78-6.1X)

Acres: District:

The requested special exception for a community building with social, recreational, and educational facilities can be expected to generate approximately 500 trips per day.

Very truly yours, hereliseld House an Michael 3. Flanigan Engineer Associate II

We, the undersigned record concern of real property and perceivents located within the maldivirion of Baltimore County known as "went Clapsel Villages, do, after, being duly informal of the nature, octeat and contents, occurrence of the county family relition 79-1678. The receiver a county family relition 79-1678 one of receiver a content of the family shows:

A. The stated scope and use of the proposed project is beyond the permissible and reasonable use required to be above to justify a special exception based upon civic, social, recreational or educational use.

B. The application constitutes a violation of the planning principles and land use recommendations contained in the National County area smacer plan and vill to discussive two the future orderly development of the neighborhood as contemplated in that planning spain.

c. Need upon our observations of peak hour traffic flows, our familiarity with the characteristics of the adjoining road, the number of the project with the project would provide a qualitant's project would produce an unsceptible loan of contribute to congention and a noticeable increase in the number of conflicting turnion movements.

D. The bulk and activity level of the provised project is incompatible with the character of development and nature of land use both existing and planned for the immediate neighborhood.

E. Baned upon information received from representatives of The Petitioner, the propress project is not a facility of the type and nature entitled to special exception based upon the safety and general velfare of the residents of Mays "Appel Village.

Further we do hereby authorize and direct lesself 1, M's (AR DELL), to appear on our behalf at any and all public hearings concerning said petition and to state our premition.

NAME:	ADDRESS
1. mod line G. Anglas	63 ar ene Cot Luthervelle M
2. Would ry the	67 Arverse C. Lithenthe no
3. Denyey & Mently	" " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " "
that the	69 aven et tuthrill mi
Mittell from	77 annew Ct, Fatherally M.
. Low Com	
7. Cit turn	SI Church Ct. Coulm A
8. Betty Dawn	21 avene t Sutini
. Klary & Spangle Spangle	85 Arvens Cf , Timmin 18
o. Many & Spangles	
1. JE Denlaha	73 ayerne Cl Timorium
Denhard	73 General of.
10000	79 Amore Ct.
· may Dill	19 acutine count
()	



November 16, 1978

fice of Flanning and Zoning litimore County Office Auilding Attention: N. Commoderi, Chairman

Re: Property Owner: Galway, Inc. Location: NE/S Greenpoint Rd. 45' SE Chatterton Rd.

Item No. 85

Zoning Agenda Meeting of 10/17/78

Gentlemen:

Pursuant to your request, the referenced property has been surveyed by this Buresu and the comments below marked with an "x" are applicable and required to be corrected or incorporated into the final plans for the property.

- (a) 1. Fire hydrants for the referenced property are required and shall be located at intervals or 500 feet along an approved road in accordance with Saltimore County Standards as published by the Department of Public boths.
- ( ) 2. A second means of vehicle access is required for the site.
- ( ) 3. The vehicle dead end condition shown at \_\_\_\_ excesses the maximum allowed by the Fire Department.
- ( ) 4. The site shall be made to comply with all applicable parts of the Fire Prevention Code prior to occupancy or beginning of operations.
- The buildings and structures existing or proposed on the atte shall comply with all applicable requirements of the National Fire Protection Association Standard Bo. 101 "Life Safety Code", 1976 Exition prior to occupancy
- ( ) 6. Site plans are approved as drawn.
- ( ) 7. The Pire Preventic Bureau was no comments, at this time.

REVISION CATALOGUE APPROVED Special Expection Division

We, the unlersigned record concrete of a sit property and improvements located within the mubilization of Billimore County known as Kay, Chapel Vil. e. do, after being duty informed of the nature, extent and contents, respectfully oppose baltimore County Zoning bettlen DP-16, seeking a special exception \*c. existing D.B. 16 zoning upon one or more of the following lasses:

A. The stated scope and use of the proposed project is beyond the perminsable and reasonable use required to be shown to justify a special exception based upon civic, social, recreational or educational use.

B. The application constitutes a viriation of the minning principles of under recommendations constitute in the Maitin or County area major plan and will be disrupt use the distinct orderly development of the morphisms of an contemplated in that planning guide. The application constitutes a virlation of the ol-

c. Bead upon our observations of peak hour traffic flows, cur familiantly with the characteristics of the adjoining read, the peak training and the peak of the peak of the peak of the project would be peak of the peak of the peak of the peak of the conjection and a noticeable increase in the norder of

D. The bulk and activity level of the proposed project is incompatible with the chiracter of development and nature of land use both existing and planned for the immediat neighborhood.

E. Based upon information received from representatives of the Petitioner, the proposed project is not a facility of the type and nature entitled to a special exception based upon the rafety and general welfare of the residents of Maya Chapel Village.

Purther we do hereby authorize and direct Alexand Fit & New to appear on our behalf at any and all public hearings con craims said petition and no state our operation.

NAME:	ADDRESS
1. Robert of miles	46 Cm 161 CM
2. Aprile Steven at	40
3 /_ (/ - / _	38 In 1844 CT
4. 2. 1 1 TEN	2 1 WARRY CT
s. Mento	36
6. fortera & kly	36 Bloodel C. t.
7.	39
8	
2. lilberiner. u	32 Beneall Com
0	
1	30
2,	
3	<b>5</b>
4	#4

22

No sto -

## BOARD OF EDUCATION OF BALTIMORE COUNTY

TOWSON, MARYLAND - 21204

Mr. S. Eric DiNenna Zoning Commissioner Baltimore County Off ce Building Idwson, Maryland 21204

Z.A.C. Meeting of: October 17, 1978

RE: lien No. 85
Property Oner. Galway, Inc.
Location: NL/S Greenpoint Rd. 55' SE Chatterton Rd.
Present Zoning: D.R. In
Proposed Zoning: Social, Recreption for a community building with
Proposed Zoning: Social, Recreational & education facilities.

District: 8th No. Acres: 3.59°?

Dear Mr. DiNerna:

No bearing on student population

Very truly yours. 1 astitud W. Nick Patrovich. Field Representative

WNP/bp

----

0

.....

We, the undersigned second owners of real property and improvement located within the sublivision of Baltiment Consenses
Baltimore County known as Mars Chapel Village, do, after
being duly informed of the nature, extent and contents,
respectfully espace baltimore County Zoning Petition 79-1672
one or more of the following bases:

A. The stated scope and use of the proposed project in beyond the parmissable and teamonable is required to be shown to justify a special exception based uses civic, social, recreational or educational use.

b. The application constitutes a violation of the planning principles and land are recommendations contained in the National County from enter plan and visit be discoprise to the fact more orderly development of the neighborhood as contemplated in that planning paids.

C. Eased upon our observations of peak hour traffic flows, our failiarity with the characteristics of the adjoining road, the number of trips activated to be generated from the application of the characteristic of the characteristic flower of service, contribute to concention and our management of the number of the conflicting turnion proveders because in the number of

D. The bulk and activity level of the proposed project is incompatible with the character of development and nature of land use both existing and planned for the immediate neighborhood.

E. Based upon information received from representatives of the Petitiener, the proposed instead is not a facility of the type and nature entitled to a given all energy on based upon the safety and general welfare of the radiatots of Tays Chapel Allage.

Purther we do hereby aethorize and direct Inverto appear on our behalf at any and all public hearings concerning

professional and but water our of	evanation.
1174/12	ADDITECS
1	- 2 2 2 1 C - t-
2. Hally some	1 Sortell love
3. They warmen H Leal's	39 Wordell Ct
4. are Willer H. Tack	35 Blandell Court
5. Willach & Part	35 Blondell Court
6. Cirdy Ecky?	33 sindell Ct.
1. TV 180. 3	3.3 Bluelle Ct
e. The store	SH SVANION 11.
o. With When	74 Audan CT
10. Tardere F. Anda	24 Sement of
11. A bound Holys	59 B. CANGLE 7.
12. an fr thingell	16 m. sex 01.
13. Munitary Sugar	IL BUSINELL CT
11. Clamer H Leves to	- 37 Blondoll CT.
15 John Mc Case stee	-37 Cloudai Court

We, the undersigned record owners of real property and deprovement located within the subdivision of Baltimore County known an May Chupel Village, do, after being duly infermed of the nature, extent and centents, expectfully opens latinorer County Toning Petition 79-1675 seaking a special exception to existing D.M. '6 zoning upen one or sere of the following hasen:

A. The stated scope and use of the proposed project is beyond the perminsable and reasonable use required to be shown to justify a special exception based upon civic, social, recreational or educational use.

B. The application constitutes a windation of the coming principles and land use recommendations contained in the maitimore county area master plan and will be disruptive to the future orderly development of the neighborhood as contemplated in that planning guide.

or. Based upon our observations of peak hour traffic fine, our familiarity with the characteristics of the adjoining roat, the characteristic to be generated from the applicant's project would produce maintained to be generated from the applicant's to congestion and a noticeable increase in the number of

D. The bulk and activity level of the proposed project is incompatible with the character of development and nature of land use both existing and planned for the immediate neighborhood.

b. Based upon information received from representatives of the Peritioner: the processed project is not a facility of the type and nature entitled to a special exception based upon the safety and general welfare of the recibetts of Roys Chapel Village.

Further we do hereby authorize and direct Teserr L. M. CERPEL to appear on our behalf at any and all public Marings concerning and perfectly and to thate our creeks.

1. Stat D Will	TI ARYERIE J.
2. Debrah K. Welsh	71 ARVENC S.
1. Janis Stille	57 A ruene C.
s. And d. Hely	57 armerae O.
6	
9.	
11.	
12.	
14.	
The second of th	

We, the unlegatored record owners of real property and improvements located within the subliviation of Abilimore County Enom as Pays Chapel Village, do, after being dayl informed of the nature, extent and contents, expected by oppore ballimore County Soning Perition 79-167X respectably experient to existing P.R. If zoning upon one of more of the Ciloring bases:

A. The stated scope and use of the propos d project is beyond the permissable and reasonable use required to be shown to justify a special exception based upon civic, social, recreational o, educational use.

B. The application constitutes a viciation of the planning principles and land use recommendations contained in the nationer 'unity area master plan and will be disruptive to the future orderly development of the neighborhood as contemplated in that

c. have upon our observations of peak hour traffic flows, our familiarity with the characteristics of the adjoining road, the number of the control of the control of the project would produce control of the contro

D. The bulk and activity level of the proposed project is compatible with the character of development and nature of land e both existing and planned for the immediate neighborhood.

E. Based upon information received from representatives of the Pettioner, the proposed project is not a facility of the type and nature entitled to a special section bared upon the safety and general verface of the reribbetts of many chapel Village.

Further we do hereby aut orize and direct Toyan f. Cont. to appear on our behalf at any and all public hearings concerning

NAME	ADOREES
Bees Hotton	31 planted of
Missi Buck	- 1 Blancie Ct
Timothy F. Buck	1 Blandell Ct
	Service Contract of the Contra

- We, the undersigned recor' owners of real property and a property in the provided within the sublivision of Baltimore County homes as New Chapel Village, do, after being duly informed of the nature, extent and centents, respectfully epoce Baltimore County Zoning Petition 79-167x seeking a special exception to existing D.R. 16 zoning upon one or more of the following bases:
- A. The stated scope and use of the proposed project is beyond the permissable and reasonable use required to be shown to justify a special exception based upon civic, social, recreational or educational use.
- B. The application constitutes a violation of the planning principles and land use recommendations contained in the Baltimore County area master plan and will be disruptive to the future orderly development of the neighborhood as centemplated in that planning squide.
- c. Band upon our observations of peak hour traffic flows, our familiarity with the characteristics n. the adjoining road, the project woul. produce an uncertainty of nerview, contribute to conjection and a noticeable increase in the number of conflicting turnism newments.
- D. The bulk and activity level of the propose, project is incompatible with the character of development and nature of land use both existing and planned for the immediate rasphborhood.
- E. Based upon informatica receives from represent tives of The Petitioner, the proposed project is not a facility of the type and nature cat led to a special exception based upon the safety and general welfare of the reridents of Mays Chapel Village.

Further we do hereby authorize and direct Interna In Burning to appear on our behalf at any and all public Bearings concerning add petition and to estate our opposition.

NAME	ADDRESS
1. William I. Wholan	91 Blookell Ct Lutherel
2. (	a Country a temperal
3. Typown May	93 Blombell of J. Thu
a. West D luking	39 Blondell of Lulleinle
5. Lew E Lection	89 Blocker of Luther
6. J. Y Mare Strum	97 Blandell Court Timonin
7 Many Pring	93 Blowd d, Timorium TR
6. gay Jayon Chales	95 Blocker Of Transac Ind
2. He Kundy	43 avere Simonium
10. Ella Mer Buchenen	es Calles Ct.
n. se tree	24 Colles Cx.
12. War wet C' mo Count	1 9 445 Car Cl.
13. Solven Bernely	20 Holl Ct.
14 Millow & Strengt	16 Holly Gurt
Janley Freeman.	59 Arverne Cf.
Delivar & Edward Forts	51 Blondell Cf.
Bell I tem: Mobil	& Blondell Ct.

We, the undersigned record owners of real property and improvements located within the modifyision of Nationer County known as Pays Chapel Village, do, after being duly informed of the nature, extent and contents, respectfully oppose Nationer County Zoning Poetities '99-161, seeking a special exception to existing D.R. 16 zening upon doe or more of the following bases:

ØA.

0

A. The lated scope and use of the proposed project in beyond the permissable and reasonable use required to be shown to justify a special exception based upon civic, social, recreational or educational use.

B. The application constitutes a violation of the planning principles and land use recommendations contained in the Nationer County area master plan and will be disruptive to the future orderly development of the neighborhood as contemplated in that planning suite.

C. Based upon our observations of peak hour traffic flows, cour faciliarity with the characteristics of the adjoining road, the peak of trips anticipated to be energated from the applicant's project upon peak of the peak of the peak of the peak of the to conjection and a noticeable increase in the member of conflicting turnion poweents.

D. The bulk and activity level of the proposed project is incompatible with the character of development and nature of land use both existing and planned for the immediate neighborhood.

E. Based upon information received from representatives of The Petrifoner, the proposed project is not a facility of the type and nature entitled to a special exception based upon the safety and general welfare of the residents of Mays Chapel Village.

Purther we do hereby authorize and direct Heke Poughers, to appear on our behalf at any and all public hearings concerning said petition and to state our opposition.

NAME /	ADDRESS
1. Charle R. W. Charl	12 Bloodell
2. Bruce of Schmill	14 Blowle CA.
3. Ostrucia D Ochemolen	14 BIONO 11 CT
4. Young m Hayel	22 Blevill and
5. Lent O Name	23 Boule CI
« Zom al hora	& Shalell Cl.
Bell mohal	SBlondell Of
1. Kathi Comely	2 Bloodell Ot
9. July Roselle	le Bondell CF.
10.	
n	
12,	
1).	
14.	

We, the untersigned record eners of real property and improvement located within the sublivation of Ballimore County known as Keys Chapel Villoye, do, after being why informed of the nature, enterin and contends, and the sublivation of the sublivation of the country seeking a special exception to criticip D.R. 16 zoning upon one or more of the following bases:

A. The stated scope and use of the proposed project is beyond the perminsable and rensumable use remained to be shown to justify a special exception based upon civic, social, recreational or educational use.

B. The application constitutes a violation of the planning principles and land use recommendations contained in the haltimor County area meater plan and will be disruptive to the future orderly development of the neighborhood as cortemplated in that planning quide.

C. Based upon our observations of peak hour treffic flows, our familiarity with the characteristics of the adjoining road, the maker of trips anticipated to be open-too from the applicant's to conjection and a noticeable local of service, contribute to conjection and a noticeable increase in the number of

D. The bulk and activity level of the proposed project is incompatible with the character of development and nature of land use both existing and planued for the immediate neighborhood.

E. Based upon information received from representatives of The Petitioner, the proposed project is not a facility of the type and nature entitled to a special exception hased upon the mafety and general welfare of the residents of Mays Chipel Village

Purther we do hereby authorize and direct to the name of the to appear on our behalf at any and all public hearings conferning said petition and to state our opposition.

NAME	ADDRESS
1. Mary Farces Kruker	16 Critima Court
2. R. Ball	11 h
3. Laurender yeren	36 Crouna Court
4. Nec Molemone	24 Cectone Comt
s. Land Jem	26 catus Creet.
6. May (40)	- Lander Col
16 A. 91 / -	
8	
9.	
10.	
n	
12,	**
13.	***************************************
14.	

We, the understyped record ceners of real property and inprovements learned within the subdivision of Biltimore County Jamon and Styr, Chapel Village, 40, after being budy informed of the nature, extent and contents, excepted fully propose Baltimore board Scalary Petition 79-167. See The County County County County County County on the County County County County County County one or sore of the California Nature 1

(6)

A. The stated scope and use of the proposed project in beyond the permissable and reasonable use required to be shown to justify aspecial exception based upon civic, social, recreational or educational use.

B. The application constitutes a violation of the planning principles and land use recommendations contained in the Nutturer County area master pian and vill be discuptive to the future orderly development of the neighborhood as contemplated in that planning quide.

C. Based upon our observations of peak hour traffic flows, our familiarity with the characteristics of the adjoining road, the following of the characteristic or the adjoining road, the project would proque an unacted from the adjoining to project would proque an unacted to congestion and a noticeable increase in the number of conflicting turning movement.

D. The bulk and activity level of the proposed project is incompatible with the character of development and nature of land use both existing and planned for the immediate neighborhood.

E. Based upon information rec ived from representatives of The Pettitioner, the proposed project is not a famility of the type and nature catifiet to a special exception based upon the market and operation with a special exception based upon the safety and operation with a special exception based upon the Pur her we do havely subtracts and . eet. "It'll Despite to to upon on our bould at any and all public bear upon con printer and pettion and to state our second property."

1.	Michael Colot	ADDRESS:
2.	Taylor Marchael	,
3.	<u> </u>	Belowall C+
5.		
6.		
7.		
9.		
).		
i.		
3.		

We, the undersigned record owners of real property and improvements located within the subdivision of Bultimore County Imome an Hayer Chapel Village, do, after being obly Informed of the nature, extent and contents, respectfully openso Bultimore County Toming Petition 79-167X needing a special exception to existing 5.8, 16 zoning upon one or zone of the following bases:

(3)

A. The stated scope and use of the proposed project is beyond the permissiable and reasonable use required to be shown to justify a special exception based upon civic, social, recreational or educational use.

B. The application constitutes a violation of the planning principles and land use recommendations contained in the haltimore County area swater plan and will be disruptive to the future orderly development of the neighborhood as contemplated in that planning quide.

C. Based upon our observations of peak heur traffic flows, cour familiarity with the characteristics of the adjoining road, the peaking of the contracted to be senarated from the applicant's project would produce understand to the contract of contribute to convention and a noticeable increase in the number of conflicting turnion secrement.

D. The bulk and activity level of the proposed project is incompatible with the character of development and nature of land use both existing and planned for the immediate neighborhood.

L. based upon information received free representatives of The Petitioner, the proposed project is not a facility of the type and nature entitled to a special exception based upon the safety and peneral welfare of the residents of Mays Chapel Village.

to appear on our bobalf at any and all public hearings concerning said petition and to state our opposition.

() ( much	ADDRESS:
1.	
2.	
3	2/93
4/	
1. Jand DeBurgh	23 Osslana CT 21293
" Marid M. Nothings	23 Cetina Cent 2193
1. L. L. amb	& Crotine of 21083
o. Coul Godered	13 Crolling Ct 21093
9	
10.	
11.	
12,	-
D	
14.	***

We, the understand or and we as of r a propers and approximate laproximate in the studyings as of billions country knows as Mystochapt (Villiams, do, after belt, duly informed of the runs set of and contents, belt, duly informed of the runs set of and contents, and or set of the studying of the studying belt in the property of the studying belt in the second of the following belt in the studying belt in content of the following belt in the second one or more of the following belt in the second or more of the following belt in the second of th

0

A. The stated scope and use of the proposed project is beyond the predscable and resonable use required to be shown to justify a special exception based upon civic, social, recreational or 's stienal use.

b. The application sone tutes a violation of the planning principles and land use recommendations contained in the interest County area master plan and will be disruptive to the future orderly covelegement of the neighborhood as contemplated in that planning quality.

C. Raned spon our observation, of peak hour traffic fives, our familiarity with the characterist is a fit the adjoining roal, the familiarity with the characterist is a finite property of the property of the peak of the maker of the peak of th

D. The hall and activity level of the proposed project is inccepatible with the character of development and nature of land use loth existing and planned for the immediate neighborhood.

E. Based upon information received from representatives of The Petitioner, the proposed project is set a facility of the type and nature entitle to a special exception based upon the safety and general settare of the residents of Mayo Chapci Villege

Further we do hereby authorize and direct to a pear on our behalf at any and all public hearings concer-

media petition and to state our c	grostia.
NAME	ADDRESS
1. Joseph Bill	31 arren of Leteralle
2. Proband (Brown)	17 ARVENUE (T TIMOVIUM
3. Alisin X XIBE RIG	el 15 pour let timere
4. De 21 11 11	- horas C
5. <u> </u>	Line was of "
6. 13 mal A Some	75 Acres (1 , & Time
7	
B. Sol P Ending	29 Acres 4 717070
9. mind would	3, Grand TTom
10. Clay to Congression	37 house it mount
II.	37 horse y some
12. Mul	87 & weme at
3 otheral Curlos	29 Eurone Ct
In. Mockey Mr. James.	A G OT .

We, the undersigned recent owners of real property and improvement located within the sublivision of Baltimore County Tamon as Rays Chaple Villaps, do, after being duly informed of the nature, extent and content.; respectfully oppose Baltimore County Touning Petition 79-167X seeking a special exception to existing D.R. 16 zeeing upon one or rore of the following bases:

6

A. The stated scope and use of the proposed project is beyond the permissable and reasonable use required to be shown to justify a special exception based upon civic, social, recreational or advantanced use.

B. The application constitutes a violation of the planning principles and land use recommendations contained in the haltimore County area master plan and will be disruptive to the future orderly development of the neighborhood as contemplated in that planning guide.

C. Asset upon our observations of peak how traffic flows, one? familiarity with the characteristics of the adjoining road, the master of trips anticipated to be senerated from the applicant's trips anticipated to be senerated from the applicant's to competition along a unacceptable level of corvice, contribute to competition along the contribute to competition along acceptables.

D. The bulk and activity level of the proposed project is incompatible with the character of development and nature of land use both existing and planned for the immediate neighborhood.

1845.6

E. Eased upon information receive; from representatives of The Petitioner, the proposed project is not a Cacility of tretype and nature entitled to a special exception based upon the safety and general welfare of the recidents of Mays Chapel Village.

Further we do hereby authorize and direct Holle Dougher by to appear on our behalf at any and all public hearings cencefning and petition and to state our opposition.

Jag again Buffer	60 Blandel 4.
A State State	60 Bondell (f
1. Of april Dickers	52 Blundell OT
5. Kathening B. Asa	69 Rionsell Ct.
" Entland B. Booling	64 Blondell Ct.
1. C. Seuls	9 611:507
B. Alex Tappag	3 Collis Court
9	
10.	
11.	
12.	
13.	
14	

We, the owner type record owners of real property and inprovements located within the subdivision of Baitimore County known as Naya, Chapel Villows, do, after being duly informed of the nature, extent and contents, respectfully opense faltimore Southy Eming nettion 79-167-seeking a special exception to existing D.B. 16 zening upon or or more of the following bases:

0

6

A. The stated scope and use of the proposed project is beyond the permissal le end reasonable use required to be shown to juxify a special exception hased upon civic, social, recreational or educational use.

B. The application constitutes a violation of the planning principles and land use recommendations contained in the malciner County area mater plan and will be disruptive to the fractions orderly development of the neighborhood as contemplated in that planning quide.

c. Based upon our observations of peak hour traffic flows, our familiarity with the characteristics of the adjoining rows, the traffic antiquided to be generated from the applicant's Project would be project to the characteristic and a noticeable larged of service, contribute to consention and a noticeable increase in the number of

D. The bulk and activity level of the proposed project is incompetible with the character of development and nature of land use both existing and planned for the immediate neighborhoof.

E. Baned upon information received from representatives of The Petitioner, the proposed (injec. is not a facility of the type and nature entitled to a special epith hased upon the majority and general welfare of the resumnts of Kaya Chanel Village

Further we do herely authorize and direct water to appear on our behalf at any and all public hearings converge

NAME	ADDRESS
Syra 1 mi	- 1 Blockel !
Lancy brown teek	I would a t
that I who	_ 5 (March 1997)
I have the man	January C 1
AN BOOK	- splintellet
and selly	2 - 166
The Allendar Server	6 <u>1" 210, and</u> 5-
Continuesch	c

We, the undersigned record owners of real property and Improvements located within the sublivision of baltimore County known an Swy. Chapel Village, do, after being duly informed of the nature, octast and contents, respectfully oppose haltimore county Zoning Potition 79-167X seeking a special exception to existing D.R. 16 zoning upon "me or more of 1s of bollowing passes"

A. The stated scope and use of the proposed project is beyond the permissable and reasonable use required to be shown to justify a special exception based upon civic, social, recreational or educational uso.

B. The application constitutes a violation of the planning principles and land use recommendations contained in the Bultimore County area master plan and will be disruptive to the future orderly development of the neighborhood as contemplated in that

C. Based upon our observations of peak hour traffic flows.

our familiarity with the characteristics of the adjoining road.

the project would produce an unacceptable loved of service, contribute
to congestion and a noticeable increase in the number of
conflicting turning movement.

D. The bulk and activity level of the proposed project is incompatible with the character of development and nature of lan use both existing and planned for the immediate neighborhood.

E. Based upon information received from representatives of The Petitioner, the proposel project is not a facility of the type and nature entitled to a special exception based upon the safety and general welface of the residents of Bays Chapel Willage.

Purther we do hereby authorize and direct CIENTEAT CATHURS IT to appear on our behalf at any and all public hearings concerning and be taste our consistent.

min because we conserve and -55	0000000000
NAME	ADDRESS
1. BANK WASKIE	65 BLEWDELL CT
2. CYNTHA L. WAESCHE	65 BLONDELL CT.
· Dick -miles	55 Becales 14
A CALLE ALLED	537
s. Achent ince	21 Fl
6. SCONTOGO10	23 BLODDELL CT
7. Robin Calvert	23 Blondell Court
8. The & Heaborlin	25 Bloodell Ct.
Phyllis Heaberlin	25 Blondell Ct.
10.	27 1010011 7
11. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.	27666
12	in favoring out
in a Carried	11 bacers weart
1. La La Misseam	24 ARVERNE COURT
15. amural Ledinsky	30 averne Court

We, the undersigned record owners of real property and improvements located within the rublivition of baltimore County known as kwy, Chapel Village, do, offer being duly informed of the nature, extent and contents, respectfully opiono baltimore County Zoninp Pattition 79-167x seeking a special exception to existing D.R. 16 zoning upon one or more of the following hasen: A. The stated scope and use of the proposed project is beyond the permissable and reasonable use required to be shown to justify a special exception based upon civic. social, recreational or educational use.

B. The application constitutes a violation of the planning principles and land use recommendations contained in the haltimore County area master plan and will be d.armptive to the future orderly development of the neighborhood as contemplated in that

c. hered upon our conservations of yeak hour traffic flows, our familiarity with the chemosteriaties of the algorithm read, the number of trips anticipated to be interested to service, contribute to conjection and a noticeable increase in the number of conflicting turning newceness.

D. The bulk and activity level of the proposed project is incompatible with the character of development and nature of land use both existing and planned for the immediate neighborhood.

E. Based upon information received from representatives of The Petitioner, the proposed project is not a famility of the type and mature mutited to a special exception based upon the safety and general welfare of the residents of Mays Chapel Village.

Pur her we do hereby authorize and direct CLEMENT CONTHURNAL II t. ppear on our behalf at any and all , while hearings concerning said petition and to state our opposition.

NAME STATE	ADDRIAS
2. Robins & Sepilled	Fredtt int
BILLRAUH	19 DUNDELLE
4. Chenge Rank	11 Shall et.
5. Lika Hayarya	13 Danked C.
7.	
8	(Annual Control of the Control of th
9	
10.	
11.	(
13.	
14.	

We, the undersigned record owners of real property and improvement located within the subdivision of lattimore county known as knye Capel Village, do, after being duly informed of the nature, extent and contents, respectfully opene lattimore county Zoninp rottien 79-167x respectfully opene lattimore county Zoninp rottien 79-167x one of special exception to existing D.R. 16 zoning upon one of some of the following bases:

A. The stated scope and use of the proposed project is beyond the permissalle and reasonable use required to be shown to juntify a special exception based upon civic, social, recreational or educational use.

B. The application constitutes a violation of the planning principles and land use recommendations contained in the Baltimore County area master plan and will be disruptive to the future orderly development of the neighborhood as contemplated in that

c. hand upon our observations of peak hour traffic flews, cur familiarly with the chiracteristics of the adjoining read, the number of the control of the control of the control of the project would produce an unacceptable leave to constitute to constitute and a noticeable increase in the number of conflicting turning provements.

D. The bulk and activity level of the proposed project is compatible with the character of development and nature of land a both existing and planned for the immediate neighborhood.

E. Ramed upon information received from representatives of The Potitioner, the proposed project is not a facility of the type and nature entitled to a special exception hazed upon the safety and general velfare of the residents of Mays Chapel Village.

Further we do hereby authorize and direct Michael Rinn to appea, on our hehalf at any and all public hearings concur said petition and to state our ourselvision.

NAME	ADDRESS
1. Jaia Chuica	& Blonde It
2 Sugar Shelich	48 GLONDELL COURT
3. 1.00 C. 8 ////	24 Kanain mis
a. Inni Kee	74 Ketrely Coul
s. Law Englichet	42 blungell Cart
6. Yesen Buch	33 Dundell Jourt
7. Jacke Acx	9 BlendelC 31
". Junal B Jun	90 BLOWDELL CT.
n	
10.	
11.	
12.	
13.	-
14.	

CERTIFICATE OF POSTING DEPARTMENT OF BALTIMORE COUNTY

(6)

District	Date of Posting
Posted for: 1. The Ch. Col.	a. Exerciser
Petitioner: CAISSING, AM.	
Location of property: NELS CONTAINE	r bi H ' (t. st. Come er a
Location of Signs: NE 5 . 1 C. KANALING	<u>5463.451412000.00.00</u>
Remarks.	
Posted by Signature	Date of return.

BALTIMORE COUNTY OFFICE OF PLANNING AND ZONING

@

County Office Building

	Towson, Maryland 21204	
E 1.		_day of
ago	197/. Filing Fee \$_50 Received	Leheck
V	77. 385	Cash
	10 00%	Other

Petitioner GALWAY THE Submitted by & M.

Petitioner's Attorney E. SMITH Reviewed by MBZ

This is not to be interpreted as acceptance of the Petition for assignment of a hearing date.

	to Burger
ý -;	3'
TIMORE COUNTY, MARYLAND CE OF FINANCE REVENUE DIVISION CELLANEOU CASH RECEIPT	No. 76222

weekly newspapers published in Baltimore, County, Miryland, once a week for one successive weeks before the 19th day of January 19.79, that is to say, the same was inserted in the issues of January 18, 1979.

LMIES

THIS IS TO CERTIFY, that the annexed advertisement of

PETITION FOR SPECIAL EXCEPTION - Galway, Inc.

19 79

Arbutus Times

☐ Community Times

☐ Suburban Thes West

TOWSON, MD. 21204 January 18

was inserted in the following

Dundalk Times

Essex rimes

☐ Suburban Times East

BALTIMORE COUNTY, MARYLAND OFFICE OF FINANCE REVENUE DIVISION MISCELLANEOU CASH RECEIPT	No. 76222
DATE January 8, 1979 ACCOUNT 01-	562
AMOUNT \$50.	.00
AMOUNT \$50.	.00

*79-147-X	Bel. District
HE/S Grompules	M. 45' SE of Chatterton Rd.
Golany, Inc., R	dilator
	- SIGN

	9-0	AMOUNT \$81.1		Posting	10	ATURE OF C
NO L	2020	200	g	P	ry m	N SIGN
BALTIMORE COUNTY, MARYLAND OFFICE OF FINANCE: REVENUE DIVISION MISCELLANEOUS CASH RECEIPT	DATE POSTURE: 23, 1,79 ACCOUNT 01-6		RECEIVED Voluberg and Green	Cont of Adventising and Posting	Jog 3 6 12 TER 25	VALIDATION OR SIGNATURE OF C
S M	4		ä	4		
E OF FI	Pebru		VED V	Coct		
OFFIC	DATE		FROM	404		

OFFICE OF FRANCE OF ANGELIANCE OF ANGELIANCE CASE FRANCE OF ANGELIANCE CASE FRANCE OF ANGELIANCE OF	and the second	ŧ
" May 11, 1979ccom. 31 3.62. " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " "	ACCOUNT	Say.
ewonw\$ 15,0		~
" Loan W. Heasian, III, Esquire, Pan " Cost of Filing of an Appeal and Posting NE/S of Greenpoint Road, 45: 5E of Cin Road - 8th Election District Galway, Inc Petitions	1	ä
a. Cost of Filing of an Appeal and Posting NE/S of Greenpoint Road, 45; SE of Cia Road - 8th Election District Galway, Inc Petitioner	ov John W. Hessien, III, Esquire, P.	- 8
Calway, inc Petitioner	NE/S of Greenpoint Road, 45' SE of C	4.4
Case No. 29 4167-Ni (Item No. 85) 7	No. 85)	13.7
VALIDATION OF SIGNATURE OF CASHIEN	VALIDATION OR SIGNATURE OF CASHIEN	4

Grimm and Ma. Franching Stranger of Manach and Poster of District 45: SE of Chair Honer. (Hence) 7 (Hence) 85: 7	AND THE ACT OF THE ACT	00.3	Fran Vowle	1 of Proper	7 5.0 Cmg	1
Grimm. Appeal. Road, 4 District	Milliam Le Grimm Hing of an Apparla Teempoint Road, 4 Teempoint Road, 5 Teempoint Road, 6 Teempoint Road, 6 Teempoint Road, 6 Teempoint Road, 7 Teempoint Ro	\$ 7.5	and Ma.	s' SE of C	. 85)	IL OF CASHIER
	Hing of an reempoint is Election in Election is Election in Electron in Electr	AMDITAL	Grimm	Appeal A	(Hemino	OR SIGNATUS

CERTIFICATE OF PUBLICATION TOWGOY MD 19 79

THIS IS TO CERTIFY, that the annexed advertisement was published in THE JEFFERSONIAN, a weekly newspaper printed and published in Towson, Baltimore County, Md., once in usech day of February 19.79, the RMK publication appearing on the 18th day of January

THE JEFFERSONIAN.

Cost of Advertisement. 2

PETITION MAPPING PROGRESS SHEET FUNCTION outline plotted on - ap Petition number orded to atlane Deni d Granted by ZC, BA, CC, CA Ravised Plans Change is outline or description\_\_\_\_Yes Map 1 4014/3

CERTIFICATE OF POSTING DEPARTMENT OF BALTIMORE COLINT Date of Posting TURE 8,1977 Posted for AFPENL Petitioner GALWAY TUC: Location of property NE/S GREEFEINT Rd. 45 SE OF CHATTERTON BL Location of Signs NE/S GREEN POINT Rd. 50 to - SE OF CHATTERITE PO

79-167-X

Posted by Cocas & Holand Date of return TONE 15, 1978

1-SIGN

District. 8th.

















