RE: PETITIONS FOR SPECIAL EXCEPTION,

SPECIAL HEARING, AND VARIANCES SW/corner of Stansbury and

Chesterwood Roads - 12th Election

District

Lynch Cove Associates - Petitioner

NO. 82-179-XSPHA (Item No. 55)

::: ::: ::: ::: ::: :::

BEFORE THE

ZONING COMMISSIONER

OF

BALTIMORE COUNTY

The petitioner herein, Lynch Cove Associates, seeks a special exception for a Class II trucking facility; a special hearing to approve the location of restroom facilities for both sexes, drivers' room, and telephone service for truck drivers and other personnel in the office building 620 feet from the subject property in lieu of the "on-site" requirement and a determination of the authority of the Zoning Commissioner concerning the paving requirements for trucking facilities; and variances to allow a net area of 1.5 acres in lieu of the required five acres, to allow provisions for restrooms for both sexes, drivers' room, and telephone service for truck drivers and other personnel to be located off site in lieu of being located on the subject property, and to waive the requirement that paving, base, and soil be certified as sufficient for trucking use. The subject property is located on the southwest corner of Stansbury and Chesterwood Roads and contains 1.5867 acres of land, more or less.

The first issue that must be determined is whether a variance to allow a net area of 1.5 acres in lieu of the required five acres for the operation of a Class II trucking facility can be granted because all of the other issues are irrelevant if it cannot. In this respect, the petitioner argued that Section 307 of the Baltimore County Zoning Regulations vests the Zoning Commissioner with the power to grant a variance to area regulations, as well as other regulations specified therein, where strict compliance with the regulations would result in practical difficulty or unreasonable hardship, but only if in strict harmony with the spirit and intent of the regulations and only in such manner as to grant relief without substantial injury to public health, safety, and general welfare.

Section 410A.3.B.1. states:

"Unless the lot on which the facility is situated lies within a planned industrial park, the net area of the lot must be at least 5 acres and its diametral dimension must be at least 150 feet. (This subparagraph does not limit the number of trucking facilities that may be situated on a lot of the minimum size.)"

The petitioner acknowledged that the subject property does not lie within a planned industrial park and that its net area is substantially below the required five acre minimum, but relied upon Section 307 in requesting the variance to the net area requirement on the assumption that it is a "non-use" variance.

Rathkopf, The Law of Zoning and Planning, 3rd Edition, Volume 2, Chapter 45, Section 1, distinguishes between a "use" variance and a "non-use" variance as follows:

"The two types of variances with which the cases customarily concern themselves are 'use' variances and 'non-use variances'. The latter consist mostly of variances of bulk restrictions, of area, height, density, set back, side line restrictions and restrictions covering miscellaneous subjects including the right to enlarge non-conforming uses or to alter non-conforming structures.

As the name indicates, a 'use' variance is one which permits a use other than that prescribed by the zoning ordinance in the particular district; it permits a use which the ordinance prohibits.

An area variance, on the other hand, has no relation to a change of use; it is primarily a grant to erect, alter or use a structure for a permitted use in a manner other than that prescribed by the restrictions of the zoning ordinance."

Since the area requirement set forth for Class II trucking facilities is a limitation imposed upon its use for that purpose, it constitutes a use variance to which the provisions of Section 307 are not applicable for the granting of a variance therefrom.

Additionally, the standard for granting an area variance is set forth in McLean v. Soley, 270 Md. 208, 310 Atlantic 2d 783 (1973), as follows:

- "1. Whether compliance with the strict letter of the restrictions governing area, set backs, frontage, height, bulk or density would unreasonable prevent the owner from using the property for a permitted purpose or would render conformity with such restrictions unnecessarily burdensome.
- 2. Whether a grant of the variance applied for would do substantial justice to the applicant as well as to other property owners in the district, or whether a lesser relaxation than that applied for would give substantial relief to the owner of the property involved and be more consistent with justice to other property owners.

3. Whether relief can be granted in such fashion that the spirit of the ordinance will be observed and public safety and welfare secured."

The evidence submitted in support of the requested variance from the net area of the lot fails to meet the standards set forth above.

Therefore, IT IS ORDERED by the Zoning Commissioner of Baltimore County, this $\frac{2^{3+h}}{h}$ day of July, 1983, that the variance to allow a net area of 1.5 acres in lieu of the required five acres is hereby DENIED.

It is FURTHER ORDERED that, based upon the above denial, the Petition for Special Exception for a Class II trucking facility is hereby DENIED. It is FURTHER ORDERED that the Petition for Special Hearing to approve the location of restroom facilities for both sexes, drivers' room, and telephone service for truck drivers and other personnel in the office building 620 feet from the subject property in lieu of the "on-site" requirement and to determine the authority of the Zoning Commissioner concerning the paving requirements for trucking facilities, together with variances to allow provisions for restrooms for both sexes, drivers' room, and telephone service for truck drivers and other personnel to be located off site in lieu of being located on the subject property and to waive the requirement that paving, base, and soil be certified as sufficient for trucking use, are moot and, as such, are hereby DISMISSED.

Zoning Commissioner of Baltimore County

82-179-X5PMA PETITION FOR SPECIAL EXCEPTION

TO THE ZONING COMMISSIONER OF BALTIMORE COUNTY:

The undersigned, legal owner(s) of the property situate in Baltimore County and what is described in the description and plat attached hereto and made a part hereof, hereby person for a Special Exception under the Zoning Law and Zoning Regulations of Baltimore County, to use the herein described property for _A Class II Trucking facility_____

Property is to be posted and advertised as prescribed by Zoning Regulations.

I, or we, agree to pay expenses of above Special Exception advertising, posting, etc., upon filing of this petition, and further agree to and are to be bound by the zoning regulations and restrictions of Baltimore County adopted pursuant to the Zoning Law for Baltimore County.

I/We do solemnly declare and affirm, under the penalties of perjury, that I/we are the legal owner(s) of the property which is the subject of this Petition

Zoning Commissioner of Baltimore County.

Evans, Hagan & Holdefer, Inc.

WILLIAM E. HAMMOND

Chairman, Zoning Plans

Advisory Committee

Zoning Commissioner

2013 Belair Road

Baitimore, Md. 21236

Contract Purchaser:	Legal Owner(s):	
(Type or Print Name)	Lynch Cove Associates (Type or Print Name) By: PJW Realty, Inc., General Partner	 ^r . •
Signature	By: Continue Signature	*
Address	William J. Spiegel, President (Type or Print Name)	MAR. 1/2
City and State	Signature	-+ : \$1 624
Attorney for Petitioner:		1/19
John P. Machen	92 North Avenue (914) 636-84	01
Mignature 1100 Charles Center South	Address Phone . o. New Rochelle, N. Y. 10801 City and State	EY
36 South Charles Street	Name, address and phone number of legal owner, of tract purchaser or representative to be contacted	con-
altigere, Maryland 21201	John P. Machen, Attorney for Petitic Name 1100 Charles Center South	ner
Atornay's Telephone No.: (301) 539-2530	36 South Charles Street Address Phone No. Political Manual 21201 (201) 536	

Baltimore, Maryland 21201 (301) 539-2530 THERED By The Zoning Commissioner of Baltimore County, this 21st day ____, 19_82, that the subject matter of this petition be advertised, as by the Zoning Law of Baltimore County, in two newspapers of general circulation throughbut Baltimore County, that property be posted, and that the public hearing be had before the Zoning sioner of Baltimore County in Room 106, County Office Building in Towson, Baltimore

 A_{*} _M.

Z.C.O.-No. 1

John P. Machen, Esquire

1100 Charles Center South

36 South Charles Street

of January 1982

etitioner Lynch Cove Associates

Petitioner's Attorney John P. Machen,

Baltimore, Md. 21201

BALTIMORE COUNTY OFFICE OF PLANNING & ZONING

County Office Building 111 W. Chesapeake Avenue

Your Petition has been received and accepted for filing this

Towson, Maryland 21204

82-179-XSP4-9 PETITION FOR SPECIAL HEARING

TO THE ZONING COMMISSIONER OF BALTIMORE COUNTY:

The undersigned, legal owner(s) of the property situate in Baltimore County and which is described in the description and plat attached hereto and made a part hereof, hereby petition for a Special Hearing under Section 500.7 of the Baltimore County Zoning Regulations, to determine whether or not the Zoning Commissioner and/or Deputy Zoning Commissioner should approve

(a) the location of restroom facilities for both sexes, drivers' room and telephone service for truck drivers and other personnel in the office building within 620 feet of the subject property in lieu of the "on-site" requirement of Section 410A.3.b.8 and (b) a determination of the authority of the zoning commissioner concerning the paving -requirements-for-trucking-facilities-----

Property is to be posted and advertised as prescribed by Zoning Regulations.

I ir we, agree to pay expenses of the above Special Hearing advertising, posting, etc., upon filing of this Petition, and further agree to and are to be bound by the zoning regulations and restrictions of Baltimore County adopted pursuant to the Zoning Law for Baltimore County.

> I/We do solemnly declare and affirm, under the penalties of perjury, that I/we are the legal owner(s) of the property which is the subject of this Petition.

Zoning Commissioner of Baltimore County.

	Contract Purchaser:	Legal Owner(s):		
	N/A	Lynch Cove Associates		
	(Type or Print Name)	(Type or Print Name) By: PJW Realty, Inc. General Partner		
	Signature	By: Carthurf Signature		
	Address	William J. Spiegel, President (Type or Print Name)		
	City and State	Signature		
	Attorney for Petitioner:			
	Ichn H. Machen	92 North Ave. (914) 636-8401 Address Phone No.		
6 /2	1100 Parles Center South	New Rochelle, New York 10801 City and State		
8	So South Charles Street	Name, address and phone number of legal owner, con- tract purchaser or representative to be contacted		
2,	Baltingre, Maryland 21201	John P. Machen, Attorney for Petitioner		
B	**	1100 Charles Center South		
1.64	Attories Telephone No.: (301) 539-2530	Address Phone No. Baitimore, Maryland 21201 (301) 539-253		
7	CDERED By The Zoning Commissioner of	Baltimore County, this 21st day		
اس	,	subject matter of this petition be advertised, as		
DAT	recoved by the Zoning Law of Baltimore County,			
out Baltimore County, that property be posted, and that the public hearing be had before the				
	Commissioner of Baltimore County in Room 100			
	County, on the 2nd day of	March 19 82, at 10:15 o'clock		
	А. м			

Item No. 55 Lynch Cove Associates Special Exception, Special Hearing and Variance Petitions

Enclosed are all comments submitted from the members of the Committee at this time that offer or request information on your petition. If similar comments from the remaining members are received, I will forward them to you. Otherwise, any comment that is not informative will be placed in the hearing file. This petition was accepted for filing on the date of the enclosed filing certificate and a hearing scheduled accordingly.

> Very truly yours, nichalar & Connedari, Zoning Plans Advisory Committee

Z.C.O.-No. 1

Enclosures

cc: Evans, Hagan & Holdefer, Inc. 8013 Belair Road Baltimore, Maryland 21236

TO THE ZONING COMMISSIONER OF BALTIMORE COUNTY

The undersigned, legal owner(s) of the property situate in Baltimore County and which is described in the description and plat attached hereto and made a part hereof, hereby petition for a Variance from Section 410A.3.B.1 to allow a net 12t area of 1,5 icres in lieu of the

required 5 acres and (b) Section 4104.3.8.8 to allow provisions for restraons for for truck drivers & other personnel both sexes, drivers' room and telephone service to be located off-site in lieu of

locating on the subject property, and (c) Section 410A.3.B.6 (IX.A.2 CFOP) to waive of the Zoning Regulations of Baltimore County, to the Zoning Law of Baltimore County; for the following reasons: (indicate hardship or practical difficulty)

the requirement that paving, base, and soil be certified as sufficient for trucking use.

(see attached sheet)

Property is to be posted and advertised as prescribed by Zoning Regulations.

I, or we, agree to pay expenses of above Variance advertising, posting, etc., upon filing of this petition, and further agree to and are to be bound by the zoning regulations and restrictions of Baltimore County adopted pursuant to the Zoning Law For Baltimore County.

I/We do solemnly declare and affirm,

Name, address and phone number of legal owner, con-

under the penalties of perjury, that I/we are the legal owner(s) of the property which is the subject of this Petition. Contract Purchaser: Legal Owner(s): Lynch Cove Associates (Type or Print Name)
By: PJW Realty, Inc., General Partner By: Contestion William J. Spi gel, Presiden Lify and State Signature Pl Machen (914) 638-8401 92 North Ave. New Rochelle, New York 10801 Carles Center South

tract purchaser or representative to be contacted John P. Machen, Attorney for Petitioner Name 1100 Charles Center South mey's Telephone No.: (301) 539-2530 36 South Charles Street (301) 539-2530 ORDERED By The Zoning Commissioner of Baltimore County, this 21st

January 19 82, that the subject matter of this petition be advertised, as required by the Zoning Law of Baltimore County, in two newspapers of general circulation throughout Baltimore County, that property be posted, and that the public hearing be had before the Zoning Commissioner of Baltimore County in Room 106, County Office Building in Towson, Bultimore

County, on the 2nd day of March, 19 82, at 10:15 o'clock __A_M.

BALTIMORE COUNTY
DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS TOWSON, MARYLAND 21204

don Charles Street

HARRY J. PISTEL, P. E. DIRECTOR

October 23, 1981

Mr. William E. Hammond Zoning Commissioner County Office Building Towson, Maryland 21204

> Re: Item #55 (1981-1982) Property Owner: Lynch Cove Associates S/W corner Stansbury Rd. and Chesterwood Rd. Acres: 1.5867 District: 12th

Dear Mr. Hammond:

The following comments are furnished in regard to the plat submitted to this office for review by the Zoning Advisory Committee in connection with the subject

<u> Highways:</u>

Chesterwood and Stansbury Roads, existing public roads, are proposed to be improved in the future as 40-foot closed section roadways on 60-foot rights-of-way.

The entrance locations are subject to approval by the Department of Traffic Engineering, and are to be constructed in accordance with Baltimore County Standards and Specifications.

Sediment Control:

Development of this property through stripping, grading and stabilization could result in a sediment pollution problem, damaging private and public holdings downstream of the property. A grading permit is, therefore, necessary for all grading, including the stripping of top soil.

Storm Drains:

Provisions for accommodating storm water or drainage have not been indicated

The Petitioner must provide necessary drainage facilities (temporary or permanent) to prevent creating any nuisances or damages to adjacent properties, especially by the concentration of surface waters. Correction of any problem which may result, due to improper grading or improper installation of drainage facilities, would be the full responsibility of the Petitioner.

BALTIMORE COUNTY ZONING PLANS ADVISORY COMMITTEE

February 17, 1982

Nicholas B. Commodar:

MEMBERS Bureau of

Fire Prevention

Industrial

Department of State Roads Commissio Bureau of

Health Department Froject Planning Building Department Board of Education Zoning Administration

John P. Machen, Esquire 1100 Charles Center South 36 South Charles Street Baltimore, Maryland 21201

> RE: Item No. 55 Petitioner - Lynch Cove Associates Special Exception, Special Hearing and Variance Petitions

Dear Mr. Machen:

The Zoning Plans Advisory Committee has reviewed the plans submitted with the above referenced petition. The following comments are not intended to indicate the appropriateness of the zoning action requested, but to assure that all parties are made aware of plans or problems with regard to the development plans that may have a bearing on this case. The Director of Planning may file a written report with the Zoning Commissioner with recommendations as to the suitability of the requested zoning.

Located on the southwest corner of Stansbury and Chesterwood Roads in the 12th Election District, the subject property is presently being utilized for the parking of trucks. Surrounding properties are zoned M.L., as is this site and are improved with industrial uses.

In view of your client's proposal to legalize the existing use, the special exception is required. In addition, the variances are included to allow a smaller lot area than required, to allow facilities for truckers to be located off-site and to grant relief from the paving requirements all as set forth in Bill No. 18-76, which pertains to trucking facilities. The special hearing has been included at the suggestion of the zoning supervisor, Mr. Tyer.

Revised site plans have been submitted which appear to satisfy the comments of the Office of Current Planning and the Department of Traffic Engineering. In order to obtain additional information on the comment from the Department of Permits and Licenses. I suggest you contact Mr. Charles Burnham at 494-3987. In addition, if your requests are granted. the final site plan will have to be approved by the County Trucking Facilities Committee.

Item #55 (1981-1982) Property Owner: Lynch Cove Associates October 23, 1981

Water and Sanitary Sewer:

There is an 8-inch public water main in Stansbury Road. Public 8-inch sanitary sewerage exists within a 10-foot utility resement with a service connection into Manhole 47602-A, (northeasterly of the "existing 1 story brick building" indicated on the submitted plan), see Drawing #76-0422, File 1.

RAM: EAM: FWR: SS

cc: Jack Wimbley Pob Powell

E-SW Key Sheet 16 SE 22 & 23 Pos. Sheets

SE 4 F Topo 103 Tax Map

PETITION FOR SPECIAL EXCEPTION; SPECIAL HEARING & VA. IANCE

12th DISTRICT ZONING:

Petition for Special Exception. Special Hearing & Variance

Southwest corner of Stansbury Rd. & Chesterwood Rd.

LOCATION:

DATE & TIME: Tuesday, March 2, 1982, at 10:15 A.M.

PUBLIC HEARING:

Room 106, County Office Building, 111 W. Chesapeake Ave., Towson, Maryland

The Zoning Commissioner of Baltimore County by authority of the Zoning Act and Regulations of Baltimore County will hold a public hearing:

> Petition for Special Exception for a Class II Trucking facility; Special Hearing under Section 500.7 of the Zoning Regulations of Baltimore County to determine whether or not the Zoning Commissioner and/or Deputy Zoning Commissioner should approve (a) the location of restroom facilities for both sexes, drivers' room and telephone service for truck drivers and other personnel in the office building within 620 feet of the subject property in lieu of the "on-site" requirement of Section 410A. 3.b. and (b) a determination of the authority of the zoning commissione: concerning the paving requirements for trucking facilities; and Variances to allow a net lot area of 1.5 acres in lieu of the required 5 acres and to allow provisions for restrooms for both sexes, drivers' room and telephone service for truck drivers and other personnel to be located off-site in lieu of locating on the subject property, and to waive the req rement that paving, base, and soil be certified as sufficient for trucking use.

The Zoning Regulations to be excepted as follows: Section 410A. 3. B. 1 - minimum required lot size for Class II trucking facility Section 410A. 3. B. 8 - restroom facilities, drivers room and telephone services in Class II trucking facility

Section 410A. 3. B. 6 (IX. A. 2 CMDP) - paving requirements for Class II trucking facility

All that parcel of land in the Twelfth District of Baltimore County

Being the property of Lynch Cove Associates, as shown on plat plan filed with the Zoning Department

Hearing Date: Tuesday, March 2, 1982, at 10:15 A.M. Public Hearing: Room 106, County Office Building, 111 W. Chesapeake Avenue, Towson, Maryland

> BY ORDER OF WILLIAM E. HAMMOND ZONING COMMISSIONER OF BALTIMORE COUNTY

3. Whether relief can be granted in such fushion that the spirit of the ordinance will be observed and public safety and welfare secured."

The evidence submitted in support of the requested variance from the net area of the lot fails to meet the standards set forth above.

Therefore, IT IS ORDERED by the Zoning Commissioner of Baltimore County, day of July, 1983, that the variance to allow a net area of 1.5 acres in lieu of the required five acres is hereby DENIED.

It is FURTHER ORDERED that, based upon the above denial, the Petition for Special Exception for a Class II trucking facility is hereby DENIED. It is FURTHER ORDERED that the Petition for Special Hearing to approve the location of restroom facilities for both sexes, drivers' room, and telephone service for truck drivers and other personnel in the office building 620 feet from the subject property in lieu of the "on-site" requirement and to determine the authority of the Zoning Commissioner concerning the paving requirements for trucking facilities, together with variances to allow provisions for restrooms for both sexes, drivers' room, and telephone service for truck drivers and other personnel to be located off site in lieu of being located on the subject property and to waive the requirement that paving, base, and soil be certified as sufficient for trucking use, are moot and, as such, are hereby DISMISSED.

Baltimore County

EVANS, HAGAN & HOLDEFER, INC.

July 16, 1981

SURVEYORS AND CIVIL ENGINEERS

8013 BELAIR ROAD / BALTIMORE, MD. 21236 (301) 668-1501

539 POPLAR STREET / CAMERIDGE, MD. 21613 (301) 228:3350

111 JOHN STREET / WESTMINSTER, MD. 21157 (301) 848-1790

11 S. WASHINGTON STREET / EASTON, MD. 21601 (301) 822-5433

DESCRIPTION TO ACCUMPANY PETITION FOR SPECIAL EXCEPTION AND VARIANCES LYNCH COVE ASSOCIATES 12TH ELECTION DISTRICT, BALTIMORE COUNTY, MARYLAND

BEGINNING FOR THE SAME at the point formed by the intersection of the southwest side of Stansbury Road, 30 feet wide, with the northwest side of Chesterwood Road, thence leaving said place of beginning and running and binding on said northwest side of Chesterwood Road the two following courses and distances viz: (1) South 11 degrees 41 minutes 33 seconds East 46.78 feet and thence (2) South 36 degrees 12 minutes 13 seconds West 179.68 feet line to intersect the northeast right-of-wayhof the Patapaco Neck Branch of the Baltimore and Ohio Railroad, thence running and binding thereon (3) North 64 degress 38 minutes West 353.16 feet, thence leaving said northeast rightof-way line and running the two following courses and distances viz: (4) North 77 degrees 48 minutes East 82.27 feet and thence (5) North 30 degrees 20 minutes East 188.45 feet to inte sect the aforesaid southwest side of Stansbury Road, thence running and binding thereon (6) South 59 degrees 40 minutes East 278.27 feet to the place of beginning.

Containing 1.5867 acres of fand, more or less.

The above description has been prepared for zoning purposes only and is not intended to be used for conveyance.



L. ALAN EVANS, P.E., L.S. J CARROLL HAGAN, L.S. GEORGE W. HOLDEFER P.E.

JURI MAISTE, L.S.

CAMBRIDGE and EASTON

RICHARD L. HULL, P.L.S.

RE: PETITIONS FOR SPECIAL EXCEPTION, SPECIAL HEARING, AND VARIANCES SW/corner of Stansbury and Chesterwood Roads - 12th Election District

*** **: :::

Lymch Cove Associates - Petitioner NO. 32-179-XSPHA (Item No. 55) :

::: ::: :::

BEFORE THE

ZONING COMMISSIONER

BALTIMORE COUNTY

The petitioner herein, Lynch Cove Associates, seeks a special excepti. 1 for a Class II trucking facility; a special hearing to approve the location of restroom facilities for both sexes, drivers' room, and telephone service for truck drivers and other personnel in the office building 620 feet from the subject property in lieu of the "on-site" requirement and a determination of the authority of the Zoning Commissioner concerning the paving requirements for trucking facilities; and variances to allow a net area of 1.5 acres in lieu of the required five acres, to allow provisions for restrooms for both sexes, drivers' room, and telephone service for truck drivers and other personnel to be located off site in lieu of being located on the subject property, and to waive the requirement that paving, base, and soil be certified as sufficient for trucking use. The subject property is located on the southwest corner of Stansbury and Chesterwood Roads and contains 1.5867 acres of land, more or less.

The first issue that must be determined is whether a variance to allow a net area or 1.5 acres in lieu of the required five acres for the operation of a Class II trucking facility can be granted because all of the other issues are irrelevant if it cannot. In this respect, the petitioner argued that Section 307 of the Baltimore County Zoning Regulations wests the Zoning Commissioner with the power to grant a variance to area regulations, as well as other regulations specified therein, where strict compliance with the regulations would result in practical difficulty or unreasonable hardship, but only if in strict harmony with the spirit and intent of the regulations and only in such manner as to grant relief without substantial injury to public health, safety, and general welfare.

Section 410A.3.B.1. states:

"Unless the lot on which the facility is situated lies within a planned industrial park, the net area of the lot must be at least 5 acres and its diametral dimension must

be at least 150 feet. (This subparagraph does not limit the number of trucking facilities that may be situated on a lot of the minimum size.)"

The petitioner acknowledged that the subject property does not lie within a planned industrial park and that its net area is substantially below the required five acre minimum, but relied upon Section 307 in requesting the variance to the net area requirement on the assumption that it is a "non-use"

Rathkopf, The Law of Zoning and Planning, 3rd Edition, Volume 2, Chapter 45, Section 1, distinguishes between a "use" variance and a "non-use" variance as follows:

> "The two types of variances with which the cases customarily concern themselves are 'use' variances and 'non-use variances'. The latter consist mostly of variances of bulk restrictions, of area, height, density, set back, side line restrictions and restrictions covering miscellaneous subjects including the right to enlarge nonconforming uses or to alter non-conforming structures.

> As the name indicates, a 'use' variance is one which permits a use other than that prescribed by the zoning ordinance in the particular district; it permits a use which the ordinance prohibits.

> An area variance, on the other hand, has no relation to a change of use; it is primarily a grant to erect, alter or use a structure for a permitted use in a manner other than that prescribed by the restrictions of the zoning ordinance."

Since the area requirement set forth for Class II trucking facilities is a limitation imposed upon its use for that purpose, it constitutes a use variance to which the provisions of Section 307 are not applicable for the granting of a variance therefrom.

Additionally, the standard for granting an area variance is set forth in McLean v. Soley, 270 Md. 208, 310 Atlantic 2d 783 (1973), as follows:

- "1. Whether compliance with the strict letter of the restrictions governing area, set backs, frontage, height, bulk or density would unreasonable prevent the owner from using the property for a permitted purpose or would render conformity with such restrictions unnecessarily burdensome.
- 2. Whether a grant of the variance applied for would do substantial justice to the applicant as well as to other property owners in the district, or whether a lesser relaxation than that applied for would give substantial relief to the owner of the property involved and be more consistent with justice to other property owners.

John P. Machen, Esquire 1100 Charles Center South 36 South Charles Street Baltimore, Maryland 21201

> REs: Petitions for Special Exception, Special Hearing, and Variances 5W/corner of Stansbury and Chasterwood. Roads - 12th Election District Lynch Cove Associates - Petitioner NO. 82-179-XSPHA (Item No. 55)

Dear Mr. Machent

WILLIAM E. HAMMOND Zoning Commissioner

People's Counsel

John F. Machen, Magelite 1100 Charles Carter South 35 South Charles Direct Baltimore, Mt. 21201

BALTIMORE COUNTY OFFICE OF PLANNING & ZONING County Office Building 111 W. Chesapeaks Avenue

Your Petition has been received and accepted for filing this

Towson, Maryland 21204

WILLIAM E. HAMMOND

Zoning Commissioner

Petitioner Lynch Cove lessessiates

Reviewed by Julola B. Commoder

Chairman, Zoning Plans

department of traffic engineering TOWSON, MARYLAND 21204

中央主义 "是这个人,这个人

STEPHEN E. COLLINS

Mr. John P. Machen Law Offices of Piper & Marbury 1100 Charles Center South 36 South Charles Street Baltimore, Maryland 21701

Dear Mr. Machen:

subject: Lynch Cove Associates

This office has reviewed the plat dated July 17, 1981 and find that it is served by Industrial Service Roads. These roads however were inadvertently left out of the legislation that was written April 10, 1981 but were shown on the map that was prepared to accompany that legislation. We have therefore prepared an additional regulation dated September 1, 1981 which corresponds to the map mentioned above.

We hope this information will be of benefit to you and if we can provide you further information, please don't hesitate to contact us.

C. Richard Moore Assistant Traffic Engineer

Playning & Design

CRM/bza

TEO ZALESKI, JR.

September 25, 1981

Mr. William E. Hammond, Zoning Commissioner Office of Planning and Zoning County Office Building Towson, Marylani 21201

Comments on Item # 55Zoning Advisory Committee Meeting, September 15, 1981

Property Owner:

Lynch Cove Associates SW/Corner Stansbury Road and Chesterwood Road Existing Zoning: ML

Proposed Zoning: Special Exception for a Class II Trucking Facility and Variances to allow a net lot area of 1.5 acres in lieu of the required 5 acres, to allow provisions for restrooms for both sexes, drivers room and telephone services to be located off site in lieu of the location on the subject property and to waive the requirement that paving be certified as sufficient for District:

The items checked below are applicable:

- X A. All structures shall conform to the Baltimore County Building Code 1978, the State of Maryland Code for the Handicapped and Aged; and other a plicable Codes. and other miscellaneous
- and other miscellaneous any
 X B. A building/_____permit shall be required before beginning/construction, fences, parking lot and etc.
 Residential: Three sets of construction drawings are required to file a permit application. Architect/Engineer seal is/is not required.
- Commercial: Three sets of construction drawings with a Maryland Registered Architect or Engineer shall be required to file a permit application.
- E. In wood frame construction an exterior wall erected within 6' 0 of an a went lot line shall be of one hour fire resistive construction, no openings permitted within 3'-0 of lot line. A minimum 8" masonry firewall is required if construction
- F. Requested variance conflicts with the Baltimore County Building Code.
- G. A change of occupancy shall be applied for, along with an alteration permit application, and three required set s of drawings indicating how the structure will meet the Code requirements for the proposed change. Drawings may require
- H. Before this office can comment on the above structure, please have the owner, thru the services of a Registered in Maryland Architect or Engineer certify to this office, that, the structure for which a proposed change in use is proposed can comply with the height/area requirements of Table 305 and the required construction
- XI. comments The barbed wire may only be used atop fences when 6'-9" or more in height - See Section 318.4 (9) Bill 199-79.

NOTE: These comments reflect only on the information provided by the drawing submitted to the office of Planning and Zoning and are not intended to be construed as the full extent of any permit. If desired additional information may be obtained by visiting Room #122 (Plans Review) at 111 West Chesapeake Ave., Towson.

BEFORE THE

ZONING COMMISSIONER

RE: PETITIONS FOR SPECIAL EXCEPTION. : SPECIAL HEARING, AND VARIANCES SW/corner of Stansbury and Chesterwood Roads - 12th Election

District

::: ::: :::

Lynch Cove Associates - Petitioner NC. 82-179-XSPHA (Item No. 55) :

BALTIMORE COUNTY

*** *** ***

The petitioner herein, Lynch Cove Associates, seeks a special exception for a Class II trucking facility; a special hearing to approve the location of restroom facilities for both sexes, drivers' room, and telephone service for truck drivers and other personnel in the office building 620 feet from the subject property in lieu of the "on-site" requirement and a determination of the authority of the Zoning Commissioner concerning the paving requirements for trucking facilities; and variances to allow a net area of 1.5 acres in lieu of the required five acres, to allow provisions for restrooms for both sexes, drivers' room, and telephone service for truck drivers and other personnel to be located off site in lieu of being located on the subject property, and to waive the requirement that paving, base, and soil be certified as sufficient for trucking use. The subject property is located on the southwest corner of Stansbury and Chesterwood Roads and contains 1.5867

The first issue that must be determined is whether a variance to allow a net area of 1.5 acres in lieu of the required five acres for the operation of a Class II trucking facility can be granted because all of the other issues are irrelevant if it cannot. In this respect, the petitioner argued that Section 207 of the Baltimore County Zoning Regulations vests the Zoning Commissioner with the power to grant a variance to area regulations, as well as other regulations specified therein, where strict compliance with the regulations would result in practical difficulty or unreasonable hardship, but only if in strict harmony with the spirit and intent of the regulations and only in such manner as to grant relief without substantial injury to public health, safety, and general welfare.

Section 410A.3.B.1. states:

acres of land, more or less.

"Unless the lot on which the facility is situated lies within a planned industrial park, the net area of the lot must be at least 5 acres and its diametral dimension must

BALTIMORE COUNTY, MARYLAND

INTER-OFFICE CORRESPONDENCE

Nicholas B. Commodari ning Advisory Committee Date October 1, 1981 Sharon M. Caplan

FROM Economic Development Commission

SUBJECT_Item_#55 — Property Owner: Lynch Cove Associates Location: SW/Corner Stansbury Road & Chesterwood Road Existing Zoning: ML

Proposed Zoning: Special Exception for a Class II Trucking Facility and Variances to allow a net lot area of 1.5 acres in lieu of the required 5 acres, to allow provisions for restrooms for both sexes. drivers room and telephone services to be located off-site in lieu of the location on the subject property and to waive the requirement that paving be certified as sufficient for trucking use.

In recognition of Baltimore County's desire to foster a healthy economic growth. we request the zoning officer to evaluate the above request in the best interest of industrial expansion.

SMC:jet

be at least 150 feet. (This subparagraph does not limit the number of trucking facilities that may be situated on a lot of the minimum size.)"

The petitioner acknowledged that the subject property does not lie within a

planned industrial park and that its net area is substantially below the

required five acre minimum, but relied upon Section 307 in requesting the variance to the net area requirement on the assumption that it is a "non-use" variance.

Rathkopf, The Law of "ming and Planning, 3rd Edition, Volume 2, Chapter 45, Section 1, distinguishes between a "use" variance and a "nor-use" variance as follows:

> "The two types of variances with which the cases customarily concern themselves are 'uca' variances and 'non-use variances'. The latter consist mostly of variances of bulk restrictions. of area, height, density, set back, side line restrictions and restrictions covering miscellaneous subjects including the right to enlarge nonconforming uses or to alter non-conforming structures.

As the name indicates, a 'use' variance is one which permits a use other than that prescribed by the zoning ordinance in the particular district; it rermits a use which the ordinance prohibits.

An area variance, on the other hand, has no relation to a change of use; it is primarily a grant to erect, alter or use a structure for a permitted use in a manner other than that prescribed by the restrictions of the zoning ordinance."

Since the area requirement set forth for Class II trucking facilities is a limitation imposed upon its use for that purpose, it constitutes a use variance to which the provisions of Section 307 are not applicable for the granting of a variance therefrom.

Additionally, the standard for granting an area variance is set forth in McLean v. Soley, 270 Md. 208, 310 Atlantic 2d 783 (1973), as follows:

- "1. Whether compliance with the strict letter of the restrictions governing area, set backs, frontage, height, bulk or density would unreasonable prevent the owner from using the property for a permitted purpose or would render conformity with such restrictions unnecessarily burdensome.
- 2. Whether a grant of the variance applied for would do substantial justice to the applicant as well as to other property owners in the district. or whetler a lesser relaxation than that applied for would give substantial relief to the owner of the property involved and be more consistent with justice to other property owners.

BALTIMORE COUNTY PUBLIC SCHOOLS

Robert Y. Dubel, Superintendent

Towson, Maryland - 21204

Date: - September 10, 1981

Mr. William E. Hammond Zoning Commissioner Baltimore County Office Building 1111 West Chesapeake Avenue Towson, Maryland 21204

Z.A.C. Meeting of: September 15, 1981

RE: Item No: 52, 53, 54, 55, 56, 57 "roperty Owner: Lucation: Present Zoning: Proposed Zoning:

> District: No. Acres:

Dear Mr. Hammond:

All of the above have no bearing on student ropulation.

Very truly yours Win wich Letwert Wm. Nick Petrovich, Assistant Department of Planning

3. Whether relief can be granted in such fashion that the spirit of the ordinance will be observed and public safety and welfare secured."

The evidence submitted in support of the requested variance from the net area of the lot fails to meet the standards set forth above.

Therefore, IT IS ORDERED by the Zoning Commissioner of Baltimore County, this \mathcal{R}^{fb} day of July, 1983, that the variance to allow a net area of 1.5 acres in lieu of the required five acres is hereby DENIED.

It is FURTHER ORDERED that, based upon the above denial, the Petition for Special Exception for a Class II trucking facility is hereby DENIED. It is FURTHER ORDERED that the Petition for Special Hearing to approve the location of restroom facilities for both sexes, drivers' room, and telephone service for truck drivers and other personnel in the office building 620 feet from the subject property in lieu of the "on-site" requirement and to determine the authority of the Zoning Commissioner concerning the paving requirements for trucking facilities, together with variances to allow provisions for restrooms for both sexes, drivers' room, and telephone service for truck drivers and other personnel to be located off site in lieu of being located on the subject property and to waive the requirement that paving, base, and soil be certified as sufficient for trucking use, are moot and, as such, are hereby DISMISSED.

Baltimore County

FILING RECEIVED ORDER

County Charter, I hereby enter my appearance in this proceeding. You are requested to notify me of any hearing date or dates which may be now or hereafter designated

Peter Max Zimmerman Deputy People's Counsel

RE: PETITION FOR SPECIAL EXCEPTION

PETITION FOR VARIANCES

SW corner of Stansbury Rd. and

Chesterwood Rd., 12th District

LYNCH COVE ASSOCIATES,

Petitioner

Mr. Commissioner:

PETITION FOR SPECIAL HEARING

John W. Hessian, III People's Counsel for Baltimore County Rm. 223, Court House Towson, Maryland 21204 494-2188

: BEFORE THE ZONING COMMISSIONER

OF BALTIMORE COUNTY

: Case No. 82-179-XSPHA

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on this 24th day of February, 1982, a copy of the foregoing Order was mailed to John P. Machen, Esquire, 1100 Charles Center South, 36 S. Charles Street, Baltimore, Maryland 21201, Attorney for Petitioner,

::::::

ORDER TO ENTER APPEARANCE

Pursuant to the authority contained in Section 524.1 of the Baltimore

therefor, and of the passage of any preliminary or final Order in connection therewith.

PETITION FOR SPECIAL EXCEPTION; SPECIAL HEARING & VARIANCE

12th DISTRICT

ZONING: Petition for Special Exception, Special Hearing & Variance LOCATION: Southwest corner of Stansbury Rd. & Chesterwood Rd.

DATE & TIME:

PUBLIC HEARING:

Tuesday, March 2, 1982, at 10:15 A.M. Room 106, County Office Building, 111 W. Chesapeake Ave.,

Towson, Maryland

The Zoning Commissioner of Baltimore County by authority of the Zoning Act and Regulations of Baltimore County will hold a public hearing:

> Petition for Special Exception for a Class II Trucking facility; Special Hearing under Section 500.7 of the Zoning Regulations of Baltimore County to determine whether or not the Zoning Commissioner and/or Deputy Zoning Commissioner should approve (a) the location of restroom facilities for both sexes, drivers' room and telephone service for truck drivers and other personnel in the office building within 620 feet of the subject property in lieu of the "on-site" requirement of Section 410A. 3.b. and (b) a determination of the authority of the zoning commissioner concerning the paving requirements for trucking facilities; and Variances to allow a net lot area of 1.5 acres in lieu of the required 5 acres and to allow provisions for restrooms for both sexes, drivers' room and telephone service for truck drivers and other personnel to be located off-site in lieu of locating on the subject property, and to waive the requirement that paving, base, and soil be certified as sufficient for trucking use.

The Zoning Regulations to be excepted as follows: Section 410A. 3. B. 1 - minimum required lot size for Class II trucking facility Section 410A. 3. B. 8 - restroom facilities, driver s room and telephone services in Class II trucking facility

Section 410A. 3. B. 6 (IX. A. 2 CMDP) - paving requirements for Class II trucking facility All that parcel of land in the Twelfth District of Baltimore County

Being the property of Lynch Cove Associates, as shown on plat plan filed with the Zoning Department

Hearing Date: Tuesday, March 2, 1982, at 10:15 A. M. Public Hearing: Room 106, County Office Building, 111 W. Chesapeake Avenue. Towson, Maryland

> BY ORDER OF WILLIAM E. HAMMOND ZONING COMMISSIONER OF BALTIMORE COUNTY

- 2 -

Pursuant to the advertisement, posting of property, and public hearing on f + Pullition and it appearing that by reason of the requirements of Section 502.1 of the Baltimore County Zoning Regulations

IT IS ORDERED by the Zoning Commissioner of Baltimore County, this day of _____, 19____, that the herein Petition for Special Exception

Pursuant to the advertisement, posting of property, and public hearing on the Petition and it appearing that by reason of the following finding of facts that:

BALTIMORE COUNTY
OFFICE OF PLANNING AND ZONING
TOWSON, MARYLAND 21204 NORMAN E. GERBER

December 1, 1981

Mr. William Hammond, Zoning Commissioner Zoning Advisory Committee Office of Planning and Zoning Baltimore County Office Building Towson, Maryland 21204

Dear Mr. Hammond:

Comments on Item #55, Zoning Advisory Committee Meeting, September 15, 1981, are as follows:

Property Owner: Lynch Cove Associates Location: SW/corner Stansbury Road and Chesterwood Road Acres: 1.5867 District: 12th

This office has reviewed the subject petition and offers the following comments. These comments are not intended to indicate the appropriateness of the zoning in question, but are to assure that all parties are made aware of plans or problems with regard to development plans that may have a bearing on this petition.

The existing driveway at the intersection of Stansbury Road and Chesterwood Road must be closed.

The driveway on Stansbury Road must be 35 feet in width and the gate must be setback a minimum of 60 feet from the proposed paving.

John L. Wimbley

Planner III Current Planning & Development

JLW:rh



STEPHEN E. COLLINS

September 25, 1981

Mr. William Hammond Zoning Commissioner County Office Building Towson, Maryland 21204

> Property Owner: Lynch Cove Associates Location: SW/Corner Stansbury Road and Chesterwood Road Existing Zoning: ML Proposed Zoning: Special Exception for a Class II Trucking Facility and Variances to allow a net lot area of 1.5 acres in lieu of the required 5 acres, to allow provisions for restrooms for both sexes, drivers room and telephone services to be located off-site in lieu of the location on the subject property and to waive the requirement that paving be certified as sufficient for trucking use. Acres: 1.5867

55 - ZAC - September 15, 1981

Dear Mr. Hammond:

District: 12th

The Department of Traffic Engineering has the following comments for this item:

1) The submitted plan does not reflect to actual use of the site.

2) The entrance at the intersection of Chesterwood Road and Stansbury Road should be closed.

3) The entrance on the south side of Stansbury Road does not meet County standards and should be changed.

4) The gate to the site must be at least 60 feet from the curb line.

Traffic Engineering Associate 1

MSF/rlj

Pursuant to the advertisement, posting of property, and public hearing on the Petition and it appearing that strict compliance with the Baltimore County Zoning Regulations would/would not result in practical difficulty and unreasonable hardship upon the Pautioner(s) and the granting of the variance(s) requested will/will not adversely affect the health, safety, and general welfare of the community, the variance(s) should /should not be granted.

Therefore, I' IS ORDERED by the Zoning Commissioner of Baltimore County, this ---day of ______, 19____, that the herein Petition for Variance(s) to permit

BALTIMORE COUNTY, MARYLAND

INTER-OFFICE CORRESPONDENCE

William E. Hammond, Zoning Commissioner Date October 15, 1981 TO Office of Planning and Zoning Ian J. Forrest

The Baltimore County Department of Health has reviewed the following zoning variance items, and has no specific comments regarding same:

✓ Item #55 - Lynch Cove Association

SUBJECT Zoning Variance Items

Item #57 - Michael L. and Michael M. Sacilotto

Item #58 - Pleasant Hill Chapel, Inc.

Item #67 - Tyree L. and Dolores M. Back, Sr.

Item #68 - Saleewun and Weerasak Limamararut

Ian J. Forrest, Director BUREAU OF ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES

IJF/fth

BALTIMORE COUNTY FIRE DEPARTMENT FIRE DEPARTMENT
TOWSON, MARYLAND 21204
825-7310

PAUL H. REINCKE

Mr. William Hammond _Coning Commissioner Office of Planning and Zoning Baltimore County Office Building Towson, Maryland 21204

Attention: Nick Commodari, Chairman Zoning Plans Advisory Committee

RE: Property Owner: Lynch Cove Association

Location: SW/Corner Stansbury Road and Chesterwood Road

Item No.: 55

Gentlemen:

Zoning Agenda: Heeting of September 15, 1981

Pursuant to your request, the referenced property has been surveyed by this Bureau and the comments below marked with an "X" are applicable and required to be corrected or incorporated into the final plans for the property.

(a) As to Section 410A.3.B.1, there is no space available to expand the lot on the subject property to 5 acres; the

existing 1.5 acres is sufficient to meet the needs of the

truck business being operated by D.M.T. Trucking, Inc. on the subject property and in the office building as shown on

the plat entitled "Plat to Accompany Petition for Special Exception and Variances, Lynch Cove Associates, submitted

(b) As to Section 410A.3.B.8, the subject property is to be used to park trucks and truck trailers in connection with

the trucking business of D.M.T. Trucking, Inc. operated in the office building located within 620 feet of the subject ,

(c) As to Section 410A.3.B.6, the existing parking lot was repaved in 1977 and has been adequate for the parking of trucks and truck trailers. It would be an unnecessary hardshi to cut a hole in the pavement in order to extract

a core sample for evaluation and certification.

property. Under these circumstances, it would be an unnecessary hardship to require the restroom facilities with other amenities to be constructed on the site of the parking lot when the only persons using such facilities will be the employees of the trucking business who already have such amenities available in the aforementioned office building. See plat entitled "Plat to Accompany Petition for Special Exception and Variances, Lynch Cove Associates"

with this petition.

submitted with this petition.

() 1. Fire hydrants for the referenced property are required and shall be located at intervals or ______ feet along an approved road in accordance with Baltimore County Standards as published by the Department of Public Works.

() 2. A second means of vehicle access is required for the site.

() 3. The vehicle dead end condition shown at

EXCEEDS the maximum allowed by the Fire Department.

? () 4. The site shall be made to comply with all applicable parts of the Fire Prevention Code prior to occupancy or beginning of operation.

: () 5. The buildings and structures existing or proposed on the site shall comply with all applicable requirements of the National Fire Protection Association Standard No. 101 *Life Safety Code*, 1976 Edition prior to occupancy.

() 6. Site plans are approved: as drawn.

(X; 7. The Fire Prevention Bureau has no comments, /at this time.

Planains/Group Fi
Special Inspection Division Fire Prevention Bureau

Towson, Maryland 21204

Pursuant to the power and authority vested in the Director of Traffic Engineering by the Baltimore County Zoning Regulation Section 101. Definitions - Industrial Service Road, Public: Paragraph 2 (Bill #218-80), the following regulation is hereby promulgated:

That, on Map #4A in the Department of Traffic Engineering, the following streets are classified as Industrial Service Roads, and where shown on the map of April 10, 1981 but not listed in the regulation of that date.

Chesterwood Road Peninsula Expressway Stansbury Road Stansbury Road Hydrange Road K ilroad tracks Rethman Lane Stansbury Road Flood Road

ADOPTED this 1st day of September, 1981.

Director of Traffic Engineering

LAW OFFICES OF

PIPER & MARBURY 1100 CHARLES CENTER SOUTH ALBERT B. BARR. III
E. STEPHEN DERBY
PAUL V. NIEMEYER
PONALD P. McPHERSON, II
FRANK R. GOLDSTEIN
LAWRENCE M. KATZ
ROBERT E. YOUNG
JAMES P. GILLECE, Jm.
WALTER G. LOHR, Jm.
JAMES J. WINN, Jm.
JOHN E. KRATZ, Ja.
W. GAR PICHLIN 36 SOUTH CHARLES STREET BALTIMORE, MARYLAND 21201 TELEPHONE JOI-509-8950 CABLE MPERMAR GAR TELLX 908054

PIUL K. CASEY
JOHN P. MACHEN
DAVID M. FUNK
REBECE M. STALFORT
STEWART K. DIANA
KATHLEEN S. DOWNS
JOEL J. FEINTENS
THEODORE S. KING, Ja.
LEE A. SHELLER
LAURA E. SCOTT
JOHN E. GRIFFITH, Jm.
C. LANAR GARREN
DEFORAN T. GARREN
MICHAEL C. POWELL
HOMAS J. GISRIEL
ELIZABETH M. MCKENNON
S. ELIZABETH WEAVER
WILLIAM L. HENN
ROBERT M. STIER, Jm.
JEAN K. SHAFFER
JUNATHAN D. SMITH
MICHAEL J. SILVER
ANTOINETTE C. EMERY
DAVID R. NAKA

CERTIFIED MAIL

Mr. Nicholas Commodari Zoning lechnician Baltimore County Department of Planning and Zoring 111 West Chesapeake Avenue Towson, Maryland 21204

PARTNERS EMERITUS

Re: Stansbury and Chesterwood Roads Class II Trucking Facility

September 1, 1981

Dear Mr. Commodari:

PHANTLIN G. ALLEN
MICHAEL P. CROCKER
FRANK T. GRAY
ANDRE W. BREWSTER
JOHN MARTIN JONES,
CHARLES T. ALBERT
EDWARD O. CLARKE. JB.
DECATUR H. MILLER
ROGER D. R. IDDEN
RICHARD D. FERBER
JOHN C. COOPER, III
L. P. SCRIGGINS
ROBERT B. BARNHOUSE

OF COUNSEL WILLIAM L. MARBURY G. VAN VELSOR WOLF

Please accept for filing the Petition for Special Exception and Petition for Zoning Variance submitted on behalf of Lynch Cove Associates in connection with the abovereferenced property. Enclo_ad herewith are the following:

- 1. Three (3) original executed copies of the Petition for Special Exception;
- 2. Three (3) original executed copies of the Petition for Zoning Variance;
- 3. Ten (10) copies of a plr entitled "Plat to Accompany Petition for Special Exception and Variances, Lynch Cove Associates, " dated July 17, 1981, revised August 24, 1981, prepared by Evans, Hagan & Holdefer, Inc.;
- 4. Seven (7) copies of a legal description of the subject property;
- 5. Letter dated September 1, 1981, from the County's Department of Traffic Engineering regarding industrial service road access, and
- 6. Filing fee in the amount of \$50.

Petition of Lynch Cove Associates

* BEFORE THE ZONING COMMISSIONER

* BALTIMORE COUNTY

Special Exception, Special Hearing and Variance

* 82-179-XSPHA (Item No. 55)

PETITIONER'S PRE-HEARING MEMORANDUM

Lynch Cove Associates, a New York limited partnership (the "Petitioner"), has filed with the Zoning Commissioner of Baltimore County (the "Zoning Commissioner") Petitions for Special Exception, Special Hearing and Variance with respect to the property located at the southeast corner of Stansbury Road and Chesterwood Road (the "Property") which is currently leased to D.M.T. Trucking, Inc. ("DMT") and used for truck parking and storage. The Petitioner is seeking the appropriate zoning approvals in order to continue use of the Property as a Class II Trucking Facility as defined in the Baltimore County Zoning Regulations ("BCZR").

The Petitioner, by its attorney John P. Machen, submits this Memorandum in order to summarize the relevant evidence that the Petitioner will present at the hearing for this case and to set forth the Petitioner's argument in support of the granting of the petitions it has filed requesting the following four

- a) Special Exception to permit use of the Property as a Class II Trucking Facility (See BCZR 410A.3.B).
- b) Variance to permit use of the Property as a Class II Trucking Facility on 1.5 acres instead of 5 acres (See BCZR §410A.3.B.1).
- c) Variance and/or Special Hearing to permit use of DMT's office located some 620 feet from the Property as the truckers' rest-room and other amenities (See BCZR §410A.3.B.8).

Mr. Nicholas Communities September 1, 1981 Page TWO

PIPER & MARBURY

Carroll Hagan of Evans, Hagan & Holdefer, Inc., has reviewed a preliminary draft of the plat with Mr. Dyer. In addition, the enclosed plat contains the revisions that you and I discussed on July 31, 1981.

If you will recall, there was some confusion over whether this property is located on an industrial service road. Mr. Dyer had discussed both with me and Carroll Hagan how best to proceed. However, after some further checking with C. Richard Moore of the Department of Traffic Engineering, it appears that there was an oversight in the drafting of the regulations concerning industrial service roads and that the subject property does indeed have access through an industrial service road. Mr. Moore has taken steps to have the regulations corrected. The enclosed copy of the letter from Mr. Moore confirms these facts.

Both I and Carroll Hagan will be available to discuse any additions or corrections with respect to the prititions or the plat.

Special Hearing to permit the use of the

Property as a Class II Trucking Facility without the requirement that the Pro-

perty's paving be certified according to

special exception is required in order to operate a Class II

Exception. Except for those items for which a variance or

special hearing are requested, the plat entitled "Plat to

Accompany Petition for Special Exception and Variances, Lynch

(the "Plat") shows the general zoning requirements for Class II

evidence that use of the Property as a Class II Trucking Facility

will meet the requirements for the granting of a special exce)-

involved, (b) tend to create congestion of nearby roads, streets

or alleys, (c) create potential hazards, (d) tend to overcrowd

land, (e) interfere with adequate provision of public services

Under BCZR \$410A.3.B.1, a Class II Trucking Facility

must be located within a planned industrial park or have a

net lot area of at least 5 acres. As shown on the Plat, the

lot area of the Property is 1.5867 acres. Under BCZR \$307,

tions would result in practical difficulty or unreasonable

the Zoning Commissioner has the power to grant variances from

area regulations where strict compliance with the zoning regula-

tion set forth in BCZR \$502.1 and will not (a) be detrimental

to the health, safety or general welfare of the locality

or (f) interfere with adequate light and air.

hardship.

B. Variance to allow net lot area of 1.5 acres

Trucking Facilities. In addition, the Petitioner will submit

Cove Associates, prepared by Evans, Hagan & Holdefer, Inc.

Trucking Facility in such a zone. Evidence and testimony will

be submitted at the hearing to support the Petition for Special

A. Special Exception for Class II Trucking Facility

County specifications (See BCZR §410A.3.B.6).

The Property is zoned "ML-IM". Under BCZR §253.2A, a

Enclosures

cc: Mr. Alian Weber Mr. 3 Carroll Hagan Mr. Paul Petryszak Mr. James H. Thompson

JPM:brm

February 27, 1982 At - Anchorage Fall kiver, Mass.

Jules Faber M.E. Turbo - Marine Electric

Mr. William E. Hammond Mrs. Walther Jung Zoning Commissioner - Deputy

Eubject: Class 2 Trucking Facility, ML - MI Zone.

LYNCH COVE ASSECTATES -82-179-XSPNA

Dear Eir - Madam:

Please note: -- Special exception = Special Privelage. According to the zoning regulations no trucking facility should be permitted within an MJ. - MI zone which seems to be reserved for light manufacturing or warehouse types of operation.

And whereas, Chesterwood as also Stansbury roads are considered to be one access roads to our isolated community of Lynch Cove through Graves Court, It can truthfully be said that such an operation if permitted would only aggravate a problem of parking on county roads, (public property) that has been a sore and bone of contention to the residents of this area. This unfortunate situation has after a period of years of frustration been finally resolved by the residents of this area and Mr. Collins of Traffic Engineering to a dgree. And although it is not completely resolved the situation as a whole has brought a measure of tranquility and peace of mind.

I wish that it were possible that I could appear in person to air my view-points but unfortunately my profession of Marine engineer does not make this possible at the moment.

I also am quite positive that with your veto of this operation it will go on appeal to the board and it's regular channals. I thank yo for your decisions of yesterday to help us in our endeaver to maintain a quite, orderly and peaceful neighborhood.

Sincerely, Respectully, Jules Faber Seafarer 🕾

The industrial park in which the Property is located has been in existence for at least 20 years. It would be impossible to increase the area of the Property to 5 acres, since the Property is bounded by public roads on three sides and by property owned by The Real Estate and Improvement Company of Baltimore City on the fourth side. Thus, because of the unique circumstances peculiar to this Property and because, as will be shown at the hearing, the current size of 1.5 acres is adequate for the current use of the Property, the granting of a variance is proper; would be in harmony with the spirit and interest of the regulations governing Class II Trucking Facilities, and will not result in any substantial injury to public health, safety, and

C. Variance and/or Special Hearing to allow truckers' facilities to be located off-site

BCZR \$410A.3.B.8 requires that adequate rest-rooms for drivers of both sexes, a drivers' room, and telephone service for truck drivers and other personnel be provided on the site of a Class II Trucking Facility. As shown on the Plat, both the Property and an office building located some 620 feet from the Property are leased to D.M.T. Trucking, Inc. The office building contains all the amenities required under BCZR \$410A.3.B.8.

The Petitioner maintains that a variance is the appropriate remedy with respect to this matter since the necessity to locate the conveniences off-site is directly related to the area restrictions unique to the Property, and under BCZR \$307 the Zoning Commissioner has the authority to grant variances from area regulations where strict compliance would result in practical difficulty or unreasonable hardship.

If it were resible that the Property have an area of 5 acres as required under BCZR \$410A.3.B.1, instead of the existing 1.5 acres, then the office building with the required conveniences could be located "on-site". To require the

PIPER & MARBURY NOO CHARLES CENTER SOUTH 36 SOUTH CHARLES STREET BALTIMORE, MARYLAND 21201 TELEPHONE 301-539-2530 CABLE PIPERMAR BAL

TELEX 908054

February 25, 1982

WASHINGTON, D. C. 20006 TELEPHONE 202-785-8157

888 SIXTEENTH STREET, N.W.

ACME MESSENGER

Mr. William Hammond Zoning Commissioner of Baltimore County

111 West Chesapeake Avenue Towson, Maryland 21204

Re: Lynch Cove Associates Case #82-179-XSPHA Item No. 55)

Enclosed for filing in connection with the hearing for the above-referenced case are three copies of the Petitioner's Pre-Hearing Memorandum.

In Machen

Enclosure

cc: Nicholas Commodari Allen Weber J. Carroll Hagan Paul Petryszak

construction of a permanent structure with all utilities on the 1.5 lot to provide for only 25 truck drivers all of '. . amenities already available in the office building located less than a three-minute walk from the Property would certainly constitute a practical difficulty and unreasonable hardship.

BCZR §410A.4.B.5 sets forth the policy reasons for requiring truckers' conveniences as follows:

> "To promote on-site provision of important conveniences so that employees or other[s] associated with existing and future Class II Trucking Facilities need not seek such conveniences in or about the homes or business establishments of others or in other inappropriate places."

Thus the policy for requiring these conveniences is clearly to avoid having truckers impose on adjacent property owners. In this case, that problem is resolved by the availability of the nearby office building that is leased to the same company that leases the Property. Under these circumstances, granting a variance will certainly be in strict harmony with the spirit and intent of the area regulations and will not result in a substantial injury to public health, safety and general welfare.

If the Zoning Commissioner determines that the issue presented by this request to allow off-site truckers' conveniences is not appropriate for a variance, then the Zoning Commissioner has the discretionary authority under BCZR \$500.7 to pass an order after a public hearing approving the request. For the reasons set forth above, all of the policy considerations underlying the requirement that truckers' conveniences be provided "on-site" are satisfied by the availability of the conveniences in the office building located a short distance from the Property. It is also significant to note that there is no requirement that the truckers' conveniences be centrally located on the site, and that one could design a Class II Trucking Facility having an area equal to or greater than the minimum of 5 acres so that the truckers' conveniences would be

located more than 620 feet from any particular 1.5 acre portion of the facility. It is quite possible therefore that a Class II Trucking Facility can comply in all respects to the BCZR and yet its truckers' amenities be less conveniently located to a substantial portion of the facility than the office building in question affords the Property. Under these circumstances it is appropriate for the Zoning Commissioner to approve the use of the office building shown on the Plat as satisfying the requirement that truckers' conveniences be located "on-site."

D. Special Hearing to waive the parking certification

BCZR §410A.3.B.6 requires that paving for Class II Trucking Facilities be in accordance with adopted design criteria Under his order dated November 13, 1981 in Case No. 81-172-A, the Zoning Commissioner ruled that a variance is the inappropriate remedy in seeking approval to pave trucking facilities with a durable dust-free surface instead of the "bituminous or Portlandcement concrete" as set forth in County's Comprehensive Manual of Development Policies, Section IX - Miscellaneous Adopted Design Provisions. Consistent with that order, the Petitioner seeks a Special Hearing to establish the Zoning Commissioner's discretionary authority under BCZR 500.7 to permit the current use of the Property to continue without having the Property certified as being able to withstand loads imposed by fully loaded trucks of a maximum gross weight of 73,280 pounds as required under the above-referenced design criteria. The Petitioner has been advised that it would cost approximately \$40,000 to repave the Property to conform with County specifications.

The Property is paved with a bituminous surface and, as testimony at the hearing will confirm, was repayed in 1977 and is in good condition. For the most part, (aside from employee parking), the Property is used to store trucks and trailers for carrying new automobiles, and occasionally these trucks are left on the site fully loaded. The maximum gross weight of

such fully loaded trucks is 55,000 pounds.

Section 410A.4.B sets forth the following policy considerations in connection with zoning matters relating to Class II Trucking Facilities.

- 2. To assure that the improvements on the sites of existing and future Class II Trucking Facilities are of such design, quality, or character that they will not be likely to deteriorate in such a way that a public nuisance would be created or that the public interest would otherwise be adversely affected.
- 4. To minimize the off-premises parking or storage of vehicles associated with existing and future Class II Trucking Facilities.

. . . .

. . . .

In general, to accommodate trucking activities, in recognition of their importance to the economy of the County and the nation, while minimizing the impact of existing and future Class II Trucking Facilities on the environment and achieving an optimum level of compatibility between such facilities and nearby uses, especially dwellings and insti-

To allow current use to continue without having the paving certified will not be inconsistent with the foregoing policy guidelines. The paving is in good condition and is properly maintained. There would be no "public nuisance" or the "public i. erest . . . adversely affected" (BCZR §410A.4.B.2); there would be no "off-premises parking or storage of vehicles" (BCZR §410A.4.B.4); and the trucking activities would be accommodated "while minimizing the impact" of the Property on the environment and "achieving an optimum level of compatibility" between the Property and nearby uses (BCZR §410A.4.B.7). On the other hand, to deny approval of continued use without the paving certification will impose a substantial burden on the Petitioner effectively prohibiting use of the Property as trucking facility, and the County will fail to achieve its policy "to accommodate trucking activities in recognition of their importance to the economy of the County and the nation.

Under BCZR \$500.7, the Zoning Commissioner has the power to pass such orders "as shall, in his discretion, be necessary for the proper enforcement of all zoning regulations" (emphasis added). Webster's New International Dictionary defines "proper" in terms of what is "appropriate [or] suitable." Thus the Zoning Commissioner is not bound to apply the zoning regulations in a strict, mechanical fashion, but has the latitude and the obligation to examine each situation and apply the zoning regulations in a way that will achieve an appropriate or suitable result under the circumstances.

Under BCZR §502.2, the Zoning Commissioner has the authority in granting special exceptions to impose "such conditions, restrictions, or regulations as may be deemed necess ry or advisable for the protection of surrounding and neighboring properties," to be evidenced by an appropriate agreement recorded among the Land Records of Baltimore County. Even if the paving on the Property is not certified to County specifications, there is no harm or injury to neighboring properties so long as the paving is properly maintained. Because the paving is a bituminous concrete surface, there is minimal loose material and dust that can be offensive to neighboring properties. The Petitioner would be willing to enter into an appropriate recordable agreement with the Zoning Commissioner to ensure the continued proper maintenance of the paving on the Property so as to comply in all respects with the spirit and intent of the Baltimore County Zoning Regulations.

To deny the ability of the Petitioner to continue its present use of the Property will engender a most inappropriate result. A portion of the County's trucking industry, which is

experiencing the same pressures as the nation's economy as a whole, will be faced with a choice between relocation, termination, or undertaking an enormous expense that will bring with it little or no benefit to the public's health, safety or welfare.

The Petitioner respectfully requests that the Zoning Commissioner grant the petitions submitted on this case.

> Piper & Marbury 1100 Charles Center South 36 South Charles Street Baltimore, Maryland 21201 (301) 539-2530

Attorney for Petitioner

OFFICE OF PLANNING & ZONING TOWSON, MARYLAND 21204

February 26, 1982

WILLIAM E. HAMMOND ZONING COMMISSIONER

John P. Machen, Esquire 1100 Charles Center South 36 South Charles Street Baltimore, Maryland 21201

Petitions for Special Exception, Special Hearing and Variance SW/cor. of Stansbury Rd. & Chesterwood Rd. Lynch Cove Associates - Petitioner Case #82-179-XSPHA

Dear Mr. Macheni

is due for advertising and posting of the above property.

Please make check payable to Baltimore County, Maryland, and remit to Karen Riegel, Room 113, County Office Building, Towson, Maryland 21204 before the hearing.

Zoning Commissioner

BALTIMORE COUNTY, M DEFICE OF FINANCE-REVEN MISCELLANEOUS CASH F	IUE DIVISION	No. 105743
3/12/82	ACCOUNT	01-662
	AMOUNT	\$107.05
ROM:	nch Cove Ass dvertising of	sociates (Case #82-179-XSPHA
.83	71623 12	107.05

PETITION FOR SPECIAL EXCEPTION; SPECIAL HEARING A VARIANCE CONING: Petition for Special Ex ception, Special Hearing & Var ance LOCATION: Southwest corner of Stansbury Road & Charterwood Road DATF & TIME: Tuesday, March 2, 1982 at 10:15 A.M. PUBLIC HEARING: Room 106, County Office Building, 111 W. Chesapeake Avenue, Towson, The Zoning Commissioner of Bal-timore County, by authority of the Zoning Act and Regulations of Bal-Petition for Special Exception for Petition for special acceptants
a Class II Trucking facility; Special
Hearing under Section 500.7 of the
Zoning Regulations of Baltimore
County to determine whether or not
the Zoning Commissioner and/or
Deputy Zoning Commissioner should
a prove (a) the location of restream facilities for both sexes, drivers' room and telephone service for iruck drivers and other personnel in the office building within 679 feet of the subject property in lieu of the "on-site" requirement of Section 410A.3.b.8 and (b) a determination of the authority of the mination of the authority of t mination of the authority of the soning commissioner concerning the paving requirements for trucking facilities; and Variances to allow a net lot area of 1.5 acres in iteu of the required 5 acres and to allow provisions for restrooms for both sexes, drivers' room and televisions are to think drivers and

phone service for truck drivers and other personnel to be located off-site in list, of locating on the sub-ject property, and to waive the requirement that paving, base, and section 410A.S.B.1 — minimum ve-quired lot size for Class II trucking ection 410A.8.B.8—restroom facili-Section 410A.S.B.6 (IX.A.S CMDP)

CERTIFICATE OF PUBLICATION

TOWSON, MD., February Jl., 19-82-THIS IS TO CERTIFY, that the annexed advertisement was published in THE JEFFERSONIAN, a weekly newspaper printed

and rublished in Towson, Baltimore County, Md., once in each of one time --- successive weeks before the ----day of _____, 19.82__, the first publication appearing on the __11th----- day of _____Pebruary---

Cost of Advertisement, \$_____

THE JEFFERSONIANA do come souther 4700

CERTIFICATE OF POSTING ZONING DEPARTMENT OF BALT: 'ORE COUNTY Towson, Maryland

	\mathcal{L}_{i}
District 12	Date of Posting 2/14/92
Posted for Political for Incial less	upling Agreed Vearing Variance
Petitioner: Lypuh love as	Date of Posting 2/14/92. Caption, Agreed Vicariory, Variance Landy M. & Charterwood Ret
Location of property: Sul Lower By	Tenring M. F Cherlenwood Ret
Location of Signs: falling	elion of Glanduz & chertewood

BARIMORE COUNTY, MARY ND

INTER-OFFICE CORRESPONDENCE

William E. Hammond Zoning Commissioner
Normun E. Gerber, Director FROM Office of Planning and Zoning

Date February 24, 1982

SUBJECT Zoning Petition No. 82-179-XSpHA

EXCEPTION: SPECIAL HEARING

& VARIANCE

12TH DISTRICT

ZONING Petrion for Special Exception

Special Hearing & Yariance. LOCATION: Southwest corner of Stansbur

Rd. & Chesterword Rd., DATE & TIME: Tuesday, March 2, 1982, at

PUBLIC HEARING, Room 10G, County Office

Maryland.

The Zoning Commissioner of Baltimore
County by authority of the Zening Act and
Regulations of Baltimore County will hold a

public hearing to special Exception for a Class

11 Trucking facility; Special Hearing under Section 500.7 of the Zoning Regulations of

Baltimore County to determine whether or not the Zoning Commissioner and/or Deputy Zoning Commissioner should approve

(a) the location of restreom facilities for

acts sexes, drivers' room and telephon

feet of the surrect property in lieu of the on ster requirement of Section 410A.3.b and do a determination of the authority of

the annual commissions concerning the paving requirements for trucking facilities, and Variances to allow a set let area of 1.5

acres in lieu of the required 5 acres and to

allow provisions for restrooms for both-sense, drivers room and telephone service

for truck drivers and other personnel to be located off-size in lieu of locating on the

subject properly, and to waive the require-

ment that paving, base, and sait he custified as sufficient for trucking use 354400

The Joning Regulations to be excepted

s follows:
Section 410A.3.B1 - minimum required

let size for Class II tracking facility.

Section 410A.3.B.B. - restroom facilities.

drivers' seem and telephone services is Class it trucking facility. Section: 410A.3.8.6 (XA.2 CMDP)

puring assurements for Class II trucking

All that percei of lend in the Tweltth

District of Baltimore County

BEGINNING FOR THE SAME at the point

formed by the intersection of the southwest side of Stansbury Road, 30 feet wide, with the northwest side of Cher swood Road,

Destroyed Read the tree following terms and distances viz. (1) South 17

yeas 41 minutes 53 seconds East 46.78 at and thence (2) South 36 degrees 12 inutes 13 seconds West 179.68 feet to

This office cannot support the granting of the subject request. It should be noted that site size and details of paving were very important factors in the initial considerations that resulted in the enactment of the regulations currently governing truck terminals in Baltimore County.

John P. Machen, Esquire 1100 Charles Center South Baltimore, Maryland 21201

EXCEPTION: SPECIAL HEARING & VARIANCE

12TH DISTRICT

ZONING Petition for Special Exception,
Special Hearing & Variance.
LOCATION: Southwest corner of Stansbury
Rd. & Chesterwood Rd.,
DATE & TIME: Tuesday, March 2, 1982, at 10-15 Aug.

PUBLIC HEARING: Room 10G, County Office

County by authority of the Zoning Act and Regulations of Baltimore County will hold a

public hearing
Petition for Special Exception for a Class
II Trucking facility, Special Hearing under
Section 570.7 of the Zoning Regulations of

Baltimore County to determine whether or not the Zoning Commissioner and/or Deputy Zoning Commissioner should approve (a) the location of restroom facilities for

both sexes, drivers' room and telephor

service for truck drivers and other per-

sonnel in the office building within 620 feet of the subject property in lieu of the on site" requirement of Section 410A.3.b.

and (b) a determination of the authority of the zoning commissioner concerning the paving requirements for tracking facilities;

and Variances to allow a net let area of 1.5

acres in lieu of the required 5 acres and to allow provisions for restrooms for both sexes, drivers' room and telephone service for truck drivers and other personnel to be located off-site in lieu of locating on the

subject property, and to wave the require-ment that paving, base, and soil be os-tified as sufficient for trucking use. The Zoning Regulations to be excepted

rs follows: Scaline 410A.3.81 - minimum required

let size for Cless II trucking facility.
Section 410A.3.B.8 - restroom facilities, drivers' room and telephone services in Class II trucking facility.
Section 410A.3.B.6 (IXA.2 CMDP) - resint antisymmetric for these III fractions.

paving requirements for class II trucking

facility.

All that parcel of land in the Twelth

District of Baltimore County
BEGINNING FOR THE SAME at the point

formed by the intersection of the southwest side of Stanshury Ruad, 30 feet wide, with the northwest side of Chesterwood Road.

thence tening said place of beginning and renning and binding on said northwest side of Chesterwood Road the two following

February 1, 1982

NOTICE OF HEARING

Petitions for Special Exception; Special Hearing and Variance SW/corner of Stansbury Rd. & Chesterwood Rd. Lynch Cover Associates - Petitioner Case #82-179-XSPHA

Tuesday, March 2, 1982

PLACE: ROOM 106 COUNTY OFFICE BUILDING, 111 W. CHESAPEAKE AVENUE

BALTIMORE COUNTY, MARYLAND

INTER-OFFICE CORRESPONDENCE

TO Zoning Commissioner
Norman E. Gerber, Director

FROM Office of Planning and Zoning

Date February 24, 1982

SUBJECT Zoning Petition No. 82-179-XSpHA

This office cannot support the granting of the subject request. It should be noted that site size and details of paving were very important factors in the initial considerations that resulted in the enactment of the regulations currently governing truck terminals in Baltimore County.

CERTIFICATE OF PUBLICATION

OFFICE OF Dundalk Eagle

38 N. Dundalk Ave. **Dundalk, Md. 21222**

Feb. 25. 19 82

THIS IS TO CERTIFY, that the annexed advertisement of William E. Hammond, Zoning Commissioner of Balto . County in mat ter of heating of Lynch Cove. Assoc. was inserted in **The Dundulk Eagle** a weekly newspaper published in Baltimore County, Maryland, once a week

12th day of FEbruary,

19 82; that is to say,

successive weeks before the

the same was inserted in the issues of

FEbruary 11, 1982

Kimbel Publication, Inc.

CERTIFICATE OF PUBLICATION

OFFICE OF Dundalk Eagle

38 N. Dundalk Ave. Dundalk, Md. 21222

Feb. 25, 19 82

THIS IS TO CERTIFY, that the annexed advertisement of William E. Hammond, Zoning Commissioner of Balto . County in mat ter of hearing of Lynch Cove. Assoc. The Dundalk Eagle a weekly newswas inserted in paper published in Baltimore County, Maryland, once a week

successive weeks before the

12th day of FEbruary,

1982; that is to say,

the same was inserted in the issues of FEbruary 11, 1982

Kimbel Publication, Inc.

BALTIMORE COUNTY OFFICE OF PLANNING & ZONING County Office Building 111 W. Chesapeake Avenue Towson, Maryland 21264

Your Petition has been received this

BALTIMOPE COUNTY, MARYLAND OFFICE OF FINANCE - REVENUE DIVISION

DEC 01-662

of the Petition for assignment of a


AMOUNT \$50.00

RECEIVED Lynch Cove Associates Filing Fee for Case #62-169-XSPHA

235 8 2 ME 2

MISCELL'ANEOUS CASH RECEIPT





N. P #__

Previous case:

CIN 5824 - PH NSCIPT1 Brand Apeniae & Camera Cards and CEIPLICARD1 Brann Conv Card1 — Printict of 1th Company St. Paul. Minn

Can't be located w/1 200' of wistland or w/ 300' of darlinger

Youd, major collector street or arterial street.

BI wiless w/ glansed industrial gark, Net area must be at least is all sast & acres with diamstrial demension of at least ise.

Be layout to groving for formard movement of trucks leaving.

Bs 75.90 & site devoted to Benting & tractors thatis

B4 trucking og Erations area to de Surrounded dy 6 high

B4 Barking, loading & MONEUVERING BIEZS to BE BANED


and telephones service to be provided on site,


Be adsquate rist room facilities for both seves, driver's room


Az curbtangent at least 100'

Eyeluding MENSUVENING 2152.


BT Groper Arawage


























































VIOLATION CASE FILE MEMORANDUM

CASE NO.: 77-147T
DATE: 10/5/8/
CONVERSATION: IN-PERSON TELEPHONE
INDIVIDUAL(S) INVOLVED: Nick Commoder: Karen Rizgal
SUBJECT DISCUSSED: Tolormed by Goth parties that this LASE
has yet to be assigned a date for
days for the established having date
INSPECTOR X

IKLIN G. ALLEN
IAEL P. CROCKER
IK T. GRAY
RE W. BREWSTER
N MARTIN JONES, JA.
RLES T. ALBERT
ATUR H. MILLER
ER D. REDDEN
IARD D. FERBER
N. C. COOPER, JIL
SCRIGGINS
ERT B. BARNHOUSE
IS A. NOONBERG
ALD E. SHARPE
EPH G. FINNERTY, JR.
RY R. LORD
PENCER EVERETT, JR.

OUNSEL IAM L. MARBURY AN VELSOR WOLF LAW OFFICES OF

PIPER & MARBURY

1100 CHARLES CENTER SOUTH 36 SOUTH CHARLES STREET BALTIMORE, MARYLAND 21201

TELEPHONE 301-539-2530 CABLE PIPERMAR BAL TELEX 908054

September 1, 1981

MARK POLLAK
E. FREMONT MAGEE
STANARD T. KLINEFELTER
CARL E. EASTWICK
JEFFREY D. HERSCHMAN
JOSEPH H. LANGHIRT
NEIL J. DILLOFF
EDWARD C. SLEDGE
VIRGINIA K. ADAMS
FRANCIS B. BURCH, Ja.
DEBORAH E. JENNINGS
EARL S. WELLSCHLAGER
RICHARD C. TILGHMAN, JR.
JOANNE E. POLLAK
DOROTHY A. BEAITY
RICHARD J. HAFETS
ROBERT DALE KLEIN
EDWARD J. LEVIN
F. THOMAS RAFFERTY
GEORGE P. STAMAS
ROBERT W. SMITH, JR.
SUSAN GILLETTE
ELIZABETH G. WEBB
ROBERT J. OWEN, JR.

77-147 TV

D.M. T Truelling Walter Realty Investors

PAUL K. CASEY
JOHN P. MACHEN
DAVID M. FUNK
REBECCA H. STALFORT
STEWART K. DIANA
KATHLEEN S. DOWNS
JOEL J. FEINBERG
THEODORE E. KING, JR.
LEE A. SHELLER
LAURA E. SCOTT
JOHN E. GRIFFITH, JR.
C. LAMAR GARREN
DEBORAH T. GARREN
MAREN L. MYERS ZAUNER
MICHAEL C. POWELL
THOMAS J. GISRIEL
ELIZABETH A. MCKENNON
S. ELIZABETH WEAVER
WILLIAM L. HENN
ROBERT H. STIER, JR.
JEAN K. SHAFFER
JONATHAN D. SMITH
MICHAEL J. SILVER
ANTOINETTE C. EMERY
DAVID R. NAKA

CERTIFIED MAIL

Mr. Nicholas Commodari
Zoning Technician
Baltimore County Department
of Planning and Zoning
lll West Chesapeake Avenue
Towson, Maryland 21204

ALBERT S. BARR, III
E. STEPHEN DERBY
PAUL V. NIEMEYER
DONALD P. MCPHERSON, III
FRANK R. GOLDSTEIN
LAWRENCE M. KATZ
ROBERT E. YOUNG
JAMES P. GILLECE, JR.
WALTER G. LOHR, JR.
EDWARD S. DIGGES, JR.
JAMES J. WINN, JR.
JOHN E. KRATZ, JR.
W. GAR RICHLIN
FRANCIS X. WRIGHT

FRANCIS X. WRIGHT STEVEN D. SHATTUCK

PARTNERS EMERITUS JOHN W. AVIRETT, 2b J. MARTIN McDONOUGH GEORGE S. NEWCOMER JESSE SLINGLUFF

> Re: Stansbury and Chesterwood Roads Class II Trucking Facility

Dear Mr. Commodari:

Oten 10.55

Please accept for filing the Petition for Special Exception and Petition for Zoning Variance submitted on behalf of Lynch Cove Associates in connection with the above-referenced property. Enclosed herewith are the following:

- 1. Three (3) original executed copies of the Petition for Special Exception;
- Three (3) original executed copies of the Petition for Zoning Variance;
- 3. Ten (10) copies of a plat entitled "Plat to Accompany Petition for Special Exception and Variances, Lynch Cove Associates," dated July 17, 1981, revised August 24, 1981, prepared by Evans, Hagan & Holdefer, Inc.;

 Seven (7) copies of a legal description of the subject property;

5. Letter dated September 1, 1981, from the County's Department of Traffic Engineering regarding industrial service road access,

6. Filing fee in the amount of \$50.

of SEP 2'81 M

Mr. Nicholas Com_lari September 1, 1981 Page TWO

Carroll Hagan of Evans, Hagan & Holdefer, Inc., has reviewed a preliminary draft of the plat with Mr. Dyer. In addition, the enclosed plat contains the revisions that you and I discussed on July 31, 1981.

If you will recall, there was some confusion over whether this property is located on an industrial service road. Mr. Dyer had discussed both with me and Carroll Hagan how best to proceed. However, after some further checking with C. Richard Moore of the Department of Traffic Engineering, it appears that there was an oversight in the drafting of the regulations concerning industrial service roads and that the subject property does indeed have access through an industrial service road. Mr. Moore has taken steps to have the regulations corrected. The enclosed copy of the letter from Mr. Moore confirms these facts.

Both I and Carroll Hagan will be available to discuss any additions or corrections with respect to the petitions or the plat.

Sincerely,

John P. Machen

Enclosures

cc: Mr. Allen Weber Mr. J. Carroll Hagan Mr. Paul Petryszak Mr. James H. Thompson

81-19-19

COUNSEL LIAM L. MARBURY AN VELSOR WOLF LAW OFFICES OF

ALBERT S. BARR, III

E. STEPHEN DERBY

PAUL V. NIEMEYER

ONALD P. MCPHERSON, III

FRANK R. GOLDSTEIN

LAWRENCE M. KATZ

ACTUR H. MILLER

JAMES P. GILLECE, JR.

WALTER G. LORR, JR.

SCRIGGIS

SCRIGGIS

SCRIGGIS

SERT B. BARNHOUSE

IS A. NOONBERG

SEPH G. FINNERTY, JR.

PENCER EVERETI, JR.

ALBERT S. BARR, III

E. STEPHEN DERBY

PAUL V. NIEMEYER

PAUL V. NIEMEYER

PAUL V. NIEMEYER

PAMEPER

PAUL V. NIEMEYER

PARTNERS EMERITUS JOHN W. AVIRETT, 2b J. MARTIN McDONOUGH GEORGE S. NEWCOMER JESSE SLINGLUFF

PIPER & MARBURY

1100 CHARLES CENTER SOUTH 36 SOUTH CHARLES STREET BALTIMORE, MARYLAND 21201

TELEPHONE 301-539-2530 CABLE PIPERMAR BAL TELEX 908054

August 24, 1981

77-147-TV Walter Keatty In VESTORS Paul Petpy 57-ak

MARK POLLAK
E. FREMONT MAGEE
STANARD T. KLINEFELTER
CARL E. EASTWICK
JEFFREY D. HERSCHMAN
JOSEPH H. LANGHIRT
NEIL J. DILLOFF
EDWARD C. SLEDGE
VIRGINIA K. ADAMS
FRANCIS B. BURCH, JR.
DEBORAH E. JENNINGS
EARL S. WELLSCHLAGER
RICHARD C. TILGHMAN, JR.
JOANNE E. POLLAK
DOROTHY A. BEATTY
RICHARD J. HAFETS
ROBERT DALE KLEIN
EDWARD J. LEVIN
F. THOMAS RAFFERTY
GEORGE P. STAMAS
ROBERT W. SMITH, JR.
SUSAN GILLETTE
ELIZABETH G. WEBB
ROBERT J. OWEN, JR.

PAUL K. CASEY
JOHN P. MACHEN
DAVID M. FUNK
REBECCA H. STALFORT
STEWART K. DIANA
KATHLEEN S. DOWNS
JOEL J. FEINBERG
THEODORE E. KING, JR.
LEE A. SHELLER
LAURA E. SCOTT
JOHN E. GRIFFITH, JA.
C. LAMAR GARREN
DEBORAH T. GARREN
MAREN L. MYERS ZAUNER
MICHAEL C. POWELL
THOMAS J. GISRIEL
ELIZABETH A. MCKENNON
S. ELIZABETH WEAVER
WILLIAM L. HENN
ROBERT H. STIER, JA.
JEAN K. SHAFFER
JONATHAN D. SMITH
MICHAEL J. SILVER
ANTOINETTE C. EMERY
DAVID R. NAKA


C. Richard Moore
Assistant Traffic Engineer
Baltimore County Department of
Traffic and Engineering
Room 405
County Courts Building
Towson, Maryland 21204

Dear Dick:

Enclosed as we discussed is a copy of Ayplatentitled "Plat to Accompany Petition for Special Exception and Variances, Lynch Cove Associates," dated July 17, 1981, and prepared by Evans, Hagan and Holdefer. The plat is currently being revised.

The plat shows the location of a truck parking facility at the southwest corner of Stansbury Road and Chesterwood Road. Access to the lot is obtained via Chesterwood Road to Peninsula Expressway. I understand that Chesterwood Road and part of Stansbury Road east of Hydrangea Road were intended by your department to be designated as industrial service roads but were inadvertently left out of the relevant regulations approved by the County Council.

As you know we are under considerable time pressure to file for a special exception and variances on this property. I would be grateful if, at your earliest convenience, you could send me a letter confirming that your department is taking steps to obtain approval of the appropriate corrective regulations that will officially designate Chesterwood Road as an industrial service road. With such a letter in hand, we will be able to file for the special exception and variances.



C. Richard Moor August 24, 1981 Page TWO

Thank you for your help. If you will call me when the letter is prepared, I will be happy to have a messenger pick it up.

Sincerely,

Jonn P. Machen

Enclosure

cc: J. Carroll Hagan
James H. Thompson
Allen Weber

le 1.13.87

ANKLIN G. ALLEN
CHAEL P. CROCKER
ANK T. GRAY
DIFE W. BREWSTER
HN MARTIN JONES, JR.
ARLES T. ALBERT
WARD O. CLARKE, JR.
CATUR H. MILLER
GATUR H. MILLER
GER D. FERBER
HN C. COOPER, IIII
P. SCRIGGINS
BERT B. BARNHOUSE
WIS A. NOONBERG
NALD E. SHARPE
SEPH G. FINNERTY, JR.
NRY R. LORD
SPENCER EVERETT, JR.
COUNSEL

COUNSEL LIAM L. MARBURY VAN VELSOR WOLF

LAW OFFICES OF

PIPER & MARBURY

1100 CHARLES CENTER SOUTH 36 SOUTH CHARLES STREET BALTIMORE, MARYLAND 21201

TELEPHONE 301-539-2530 CABLE PIPERMAR BAL TELEX 908054

August 6, 1981

MARK POLLAK
E. FREMONT MAGEE
STANARD T. KLINEFELTER
CARL E. EASTWICK
JEFFREY D. HERSCHMAN
JOSEPH H. LANGHIRT
NEIL J. DILLOFF
EDWARD C. SLEDGE
VIRGINIA K. ADAMS
FRANCIS B. BURCH, Jr.
DEBORAH E. JENNINGS
EARL S. WELLSCHLAGER
RICHARD C. TILGHMAN, Ja.
JOANNE E. POLLAK
DOROTHY A. BEATTY
RICHARD J. HAFETS
ROBERT DALE KLEIN
EDWARD J. LEVIN
F. THOMAS RAFFERTY
GEORGE P. STAMAS
ROBERT W. SMITH, Jr.
SUSAN GILLETTE
ELIZABETH G. WEBB
ROBERT J. OWEN, Jr.

PAUL K. CASEY
JOHN P. MACHEN
DAVID M. FUNK
REBECCA H. STALFORT
STEWART K. DIANA
KATHLEEN S. DOWNS
JOEL J. FEINBERG
THEODORE E. KING, JR.
LEE A. SHELLER
LAURA E. SCOTT
JOHN E. GRIFFITH, JR.
C. LAMAR GARREN
DEBORAH T. GARREN
DEBORAH T. GARREN
DEBORAH T. GARREN
MICHAEL C. POWELL
THOMAS J. GISRIEL
ELIZABETH A. MCKENNON
S. ELIZABETH WEAVER
WILLIAM L. HENN
ROBERT H. STIER, JR.
JEAN K. SHAFFER
JONATHAN D. SMITH
MICHAEL J. SILVER
ANTOINETTE C. EMERY
DAVID R. NAKA

James H. Thompson
Zoning Enforcement Office
Baltimore County Office Building
111 West Chesapeake Avenue
Towson, Maryland 21204

77-147-11

Re: Lynch Cove Associates; Trucking Facility At Stansbury and Chesterwood Roads

Dear Mr. Thompson:

ALBERT S. BARR, III.
E. STEPHEN DERBY
PAUL V. NIEMEYER
DONALD P. McPHERSON, III.
FRANK R. GOLDSTEIN
LAWRENCE M. KATZ
ROBERT E. YOUNG
JAMES P. GILLECE, JR.
WALTER G. LOHR, JR.
EDWARD S. DIGGES, JR.
JAMES J. WINN, JR.
JOHN E. KRATZ, JR.
W. GAR RICHLIN
FRANCIS X. WRIGHT
STEVEN D. SHATTUCK

PARTHERS EMERITUS JOHN W. AVIRETT, 20 J. MARTIN McDONOUGH GEORGE S. NEWCOMER JESSE SLINGLUFF

You should have received a copy of a letter dated July 30, 1981, to the Zoning Commissioner with which I submitted the Petition for Special Exception in connection with the above-referenced trucking facility.

Unfortunately there were some technical problems with the plat, and we are making the appropriate revisions for resubmission as soon as possible. In light of Mr. Byrnes' letter to me dated July 27, 1981, I wanted to keep you apprised of the status of our proceeding.

Please be assured that our engineer, J. Carroll Hagan of Evans, Hagan and Holdefer, and I are working on resolving the few open items that remain in order to complete the necessary filings. Mr. Hagan has conferred several times with Mr. Dyer, who has been extremely cooperative. You might wish to confirm this with Mr. Dyer.

I will keep you informed of our progress. If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to call me.

Singerely,

John P. Machen

cc: Allen Weber
J. Carroll Hagan
Paul P. Petryszak

81/160

N G. ALLEN
P. CROCKER
GRAY
V. BREWSTER
ARTIN JONES
T. ALBERT 5 T. ALBERT
O. CLARKE. JR.
R H. MILLER
D. REDDEN
O. D. FERBER
COOPER. III
NIGGINS
B. BARNHOUSE
W. NOONBERG
E. SHARPE
G. FINNERTY, JR.
R. LORD

R. LORD CER EVERETT, Ja. -NSEL I L. MARBURY VELSOR WOLF

ALBERT S. BARR, III
E. STEPHEN DERBY
PAUL V. NIEMEYER
DONALD P. MCPHERSON, III
FRANK R. GOLDSTEIN
LAWRENCE M. KATZ
ROBERT E. YOUNG
JAMES P. GILLECE, JR.
WALTER G. LOHR, JR.
EDWARD S. DIGGES, JR.
JAMES J. WINN, JR.
JOHN E. KRATZ, JR.
W. GAR RICHLIN
FRANCIS X. WRIGHT FRANCIS X. WRIGHT STEVEN D. SHATTUCK

PARTNERS EMERITUS JOHN W. AVIRETT, 25 J. MARTIN McDONOUGH GEORGE S. NEWCOMER JESSE SLINGLUFF

PIPER & MARBURY

1100 CHARLES CENTER SOUTH 36 SOUTH CHARLES STREET BALTIMORE, MARYLAND 21201

TELEPHONE 301-539-2530 CABLE PIPERMAR BAL TELEX 908054

July 30, 1981

MARK POLLAK
E. FREMONT MAGEE
STANARD T. KLINEFELTER
CARL E. EASTWICK
JEFFREY D. HERSCHMAN
JOSEPH H. LANGHIRT
NEIL J. DILLOFF
EDWARD C. SLEDGE
VIRGINIA K. ADAMS
FRANCIS B. BURCH, JR.
DEBORAH E. JENNINGS
EARL S. WELLSCHLAGER
RICHARD C. TILGHMAN, JR.
JOANNE E. POLLAK
DOROTHY A. BEATTY
RICHARD J. HAFETS
ROBERT DALE KLEIN
EDWARD J. LEVIN
F. THOMAS RAFFERTY
GEORGE P. STAMAS
ROBERT W. SMITH, JR.
SUSAN GILLETTE
ELIZABETH G. WEBB
ROBERT J. OWEN, JR.

PAUL K. CASEY
JOHN P. MACHEN
DAVID M. FUNK
REBECCA H. STALFORT
STEWART K. DIANA
KATHLEEN S. DOWNS
JOEL J. FEINBERG
THEODORE E. KING, JR.
LEE A. SHELLER
LAURA E. SCOTT
JOHN E. GRIFFITH, JR.
C. LAMAR GARREN
DEBORAH T. GARREN
DEBORAH T. GARREN
MICHAEL C. POWELL
THOMAS J. GISRIEL
ELIZABETH A. MCKENNON
S. ELIZABETH WEAVER
WILLIAM L. HENN
ROBERT H. STIER, JR.
JEAN K. SHAFFER
JONATHAN D. SMITH
MICHAEL J. SILVER
ANTOINETTE C. EMERY
DAVID R. NAKA

Zoning Commissioner Baltimore County Department of Planning and Zoning 111 West Chesapeake Avenue Towson, Maryland 21204

WALTER REALTY ENVESTOR and PAUL PETRYSZAK INVUSIONS, ZNC. 77-148-71

Stansbury and Chesterwood Roads Re: Class II Trucking Facility

Dear Mr. Commissioner:

Please accept for filing the enclosed Petition for Special Exception submitted on behalf of Lynch Cove Associates in connection with the above-referenced property. Enclosed herewith are the following:

- Three (3) original executed copies of the Petition for Special Exception;
- Ten (10) copies of a plat entitled "Plat to Accompany Petition for 2. Special Exception and Variances, Lynch Cove Associates," dated July 17, 1981, prepared by Evans, Hagan & Holdefer, Inc.;
- Seven (7) copies of a legal description tion of the subject property, and
- Filing fee in the amount of \$50.

W 18, IE M [] ^ ZONING DIPARTMENT By

Zoning Commissioner July 30, 1981 Page TWO

Both I and a representative of the surveyor will be available to discuss any additions or corrections to be made with respect to the Petition.

cc: Mr. Allen Weber
Mr. J. Carroll Hagan
Mr. Paul Petryszak Mr. James H. Thompson

Enclosures



WILLIAM E. HAMMOND ZONING COMMISSIONER

July 27, 1981

Mr. Paul Petryszak c/o D.M.T. Trucking, Inc. 2700 Broening Highway Baltimore, Maryland 21222

RE: Stansbury Road Case No. 77-147-V

Dear Mr. Petryszak:

A recent inspection of your property has revealed that full compliance with the Deputy Zoning Commissioner's Order, dated, April 17, 1981, has not been attained.

Our investigation has revealed that the operation of a Trucking Facility, Class II has not ceased nor has a petition for a special exception been filed. Therefore, this office has no other alternative but to schedule this matter for criminal prosecution in the District Court of Maryland.

Penalties for noncompliance with the Deputy Zoning Commissioner's final written order are as follows:

Baltimore County Code - Title 22- Section 22-35
"Any violation of regulations or of any final written order made or adopted pursuant to this title shall be a misdemeanor punishable by a fine not to exceed one hundred dollars or by imprisonment not to exceed thirty days, or both fine and imprisonment. Any person who shall violate such regulations, restrictions, or final order shall be deemed guilty of a separate offense for every day that such violation shall continue."

Very truly yours,

gamea B. Byrnstt

JAMES B. BYRNES, III Zoning Enforcement Section

JBB:eoh

cc: Walter Realty Investors, Inc.
 John P. Machen, Esquire
 The Honorable John W. O'Rourke
 File

81/1069 O THOMPSON Price

LAW OFFICES OF

PIPER & MARBURY

1100 CHARLES CENTER SOUTH 36 SOUTH CHARLES STREET BALTIMORE, MARYLAND 21201

TELEPHONE 301-539-2530 CABLE PIPERMAR BAL TELEX 908054

May 13, 1981

MARK POLLAK
E. FREMONT MAGEE
STANARD T. KLINEFELTER
CARL E. EASTWICK
JEFFREY D. HERSCHMAN
JOSEPH H. LANGHIRT
NEIL J. DILLOFF
EDWARD C. SLEDGE
VIRGINIA K. ADAMS
FRANCIS B. BURCH, JR.
DEBORAH E. JENNINGS
EARL S. WELLSCHLAGER
RICHARD C. TILGHMAN, JR.
JOANNE E. POLLAK
DOROTHY A. BEATTY
RICHARD J. HAFETS
ROBERT DALE KLEIN
EDWARD J. LEVIN
F. THOMAS RAFFERTY
GEORGE P. STAMAS
ROBERT W. SMITH, JR.
SUSAN GILLETTE
ELIZABETH G. WEBB
ROBERT J. OWEN, JR.

By

7/1/2

MAY 15'81 MM .

THEIR PARKET COLD

PAUL K. CASEY
JOHN P. MACHEN
DAVID M. FUNK
REBECCA H. STALFORT
STEWART K. DIANA
KATHLEEN S. DOWNS
JOEL J. FEINBERG
THEODORE E. KING, JR.
LEE A. SHELLER
LAURA E. SCOTT
JOHN E. GRIFFITH, JR.
C. LAMAR GARREN
DEBORAH T. GARREN
KAREN L. MYERS ZAUNER
MICHAEL C. POWELL
THOMAS J. GISRIEL
ELIZABETH A. MCKENNON
S. ELIZABETH WEAVER
WILLIAM L. HENN
ROBERT H. STIER, JR.
JEAN K. SHAFFER
JONATHAN D. SMITH
MICHAEL J. SILVER
ANTOINETTE C. EMERY
DAVID R. NAKA

FRANKLIN G. ALLEN
MICHAEL P. CROCKER
FRANK T. GRAY
ANDRE W. BREWSTER
JOHN MARTIN JONES, JR.
CHARLES T. ALBERT
EDWARD O. CLARKE, JR.
DECATUR H. MILLER
ROGER D. REDDEN
RICHARD D. FERBER
JOHN C. COOPER. TIT
L. P. SCRIGGINS
ROBERT B. BARNHOUSE
LEWIS A. NOONBERG
DONALD E. SHARPE
JOSEPH G. FINNERTY, JR.
HENRY R. LORD
H. SPENCER EVERETT, JR. ALBERT S. BARR, III
E. STEPHEN DERBY
PAUL V. NIEMEYER
DONALD P. McPHERSON, III
FRANK R. GOLDSTEIN
LAWRENCE M. KATZ
ROBERT E. YOUNG
JAMES P. GILLECE, JR.
WALTER G. LOHR, JR.
EDWARD S. DIGGES, JR.
JAMES J. WINN, JR.
JOHN E. KRATZ, JR.
W. GAR RICHLIN
FRANCIS X. WRIGHT H. SPENCER EVERETT, Ja.

OF COUNSEL WILLIAM L. MARBURY G. VAN VELSOR WOLF

PARTNERS EMERITUS JOHN W. AVIRETT, 25
J. MARTIN McDONOUGH
GEORGE S. NEWCOMER
JESSE SLINGLUFF

Mr. James H. Thompson Zoning Enforcement Baltimore County Office Building lll West Chesapeake Avenue Towson, Maryland 21204

Walter Realty Investors, Inc.

77-147-TV Classica of Law hori

Dear Mr. Thompson:

Tracks Judust pies As we discussed this morning, Walter Realty Investors has decided to apply for the special exception in connection with the use as a Class II Trucking Facility of the property located at Stansbury and Chesterwood Roads. Under the order of Deputy Zoning Commissioner Jung dated April 17, 1981, the application for the special exception must be filed by May 18, 1981. I will meet with the appropriate officials in the zoning compliance division to initiate the application process during the week of May 18, 1981. This will confirm our discussion in which you indicated that Walter Realty Investors proceeding as I have described will be satisfactory.

Sincerely,

John P. Machen

WILLIAM E. HAMMOND ZONING COMMISSIONER

April 17, 1981

Walter Realty Investors, Inc. c/o Corporation Trust, Inc. 25 South Charles Street Baltimore, Maryland 21201 Attn: Henrietta Blumberg

RE: Stansbury Road Case No. 77-147-TV

Dear Ms. Blumberg:

I have this date passed my Order in the above captioned matter. Copy of said Order is enclosed.

Failure to comply with the attached Order will result in District Court action.

Very truly yours,

AN M. H. JÚNG//

Deputy Zoning Commissioner

JMHJ:eoh

Enclosure

cc: Mr. Paul Petryszak c/o D.M.T. Trucking, Inc. 2700 Broening Highway Baltimore, Maryland 21222

> John P. Machen, Esquire 1100 Charles Center South 36 South Charles Street Baltimore, Maryland 21201

The Honorable John W. O'Rourke Baltimore County Council

File

burdensome requirements from which Walter Realty Investors, Inc. must seek exemption. Some of the more important items are as follows:

- 1. Area (410A.3.B.1). The lot is not within a planned industrial park, it contains less than 5 acres, and its diametrical dimension is less than 150 feet.
- 2. <u>Curbing</u> (410A.3.A.2). Mr. Tustin informs me that there is no curbing at all in the immediate neighborhood.
- 3. Wheel Stops (410A.3.B.5.) There are no wheel stops installed.
- 4. Rest Room Facilities (410A.3.B.8). Since this lot is used solely by employees of D. M. T. Trucking, Inc., whose offices are located approximately 650 feet away, we feel that providing such facilities on site is unnecessary.
- 5. Generally. Mr. Tustin notes that some of the design and layout requirements may be difficult to comply with. We also may wish to seek confirmation that the use of maintenance shop located at the nearby offices of D. M. T. Trucking, Inc. complies with the applicable requirements.

I would like to consult with Mr. Thompson at his convenience to review in greater detail the compliance procedures and the problem areas noted above in order to establish a mutually acceptable program of compliance.

In a related matter, at the January 26, 1981 hearing, questions were raised as whether necessary paving and fencing permits were obtained. Enclosed is a copy of Fence Permit No. 07041 dated July 31, 1978. As to the paving permit, enclosed is a copy of a letter dated September 15, 1977, from J. Rayman & Sons Corporation, the paving contractor, to Jeffrey Bennett of Walter Realty Investors, Inc., in which Mr. Rayman indicates that a Mr. Schneider of the Baltimore County Permit Department advised him that a paving permit for this lot was not necessary. In reliance upon this information, Walter Realty Investors, Inc. did not obtain such a permit.

I trust that the foregoing will meet with your approval. If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to call me.

Sincerely,

John P. Machen

Enclosure

cc: Mr. James H. Thompson
Mr. Robert D. Orme
Charles E. Robinson, Esq.

Mr. Paul Petryszak

		Constitution of the Consti			
			er det bestallende		
		abelia glavnos	The state of the s		
		A Company of the Comp			
	Total Control of the			(070) (A) 9)	
		BALTINORE COUNTY OFFICE OF THE SUITOWSON, AMEN	LDING ENGINERN 🚶	1 1 2 2 6	
and State Francisco specification in the surgest and the state of the	and the second s		11 [월리] 중요생기를	CAND MEANING	
entre energia.	168.27.0. 1861.7Y 1866. 4 200-2132	ingaine l	A. 2622		
A STATE OF THE STA	The state of the s	And the second property of the second propert	SITY MATE		
	generally comments and the second sec	Ng.	and the state of t		and the state of t
Suye Neutents GAE35	A The Manager of the state of t	8°	an this state of the Charles and controlled in the state of a state of the State State State of the state of the state of the State		- 1985 (1985) 1886 (1985)
	Security of the security of th		THE PARTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF TH		
	IMPROVENENT.	NSON ENVIOLED	C. TYPE OF U	<u>d'e</u> N-residential Amusembit, recreation, rack	
ija (1. julija šiausana 1. or 1. julija sietuos	istrumon	OT O THE FAMILY TO BE		CHURCH, OTHER RELIGIOUS (IN)	(46)
SPATON TARPAN		OF LANG OF PARE LAND ENGEL		INDUSTRIAL, STOMAGE BUILDING	
	MO LINITS DEDUCTED	OT CHER	947A / 10 B	SERVICE STATION, REPAIR GARNES	V Commission
A CONTRACTOR OF THE V	PONE				
1.000.00	Jan 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	ON CHAIN	CONCRETE 18.		rona.
Agencia. The		AROUND EXISTING	SASSMENT 19.	SPECIFY TYPE:	C restaurant
		AND		SWIMMING POOL (MD. MEALTH DISP TANK, TOWER	yt. Apon. Nega
	11 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 1	CHECK APPRICED SINCE TOWN AND HE SEE TO SEE TOWN AND HE SEE TO	RENT CALE 22.L.	TRANSIENT HOTEL MOTEL INC. UM	namanas parasitais iris piranta situatus sitelikis ilis kalikasita e
White Cost of	2 PUBLICLY CHINED	24. PROPOSED USERS AND THE EXISTING USERS AND THE PROPOSED USERS AND	AREA.		
		EXSTRG USES. INDUSTRICANDO			

THIS PERMIT MUST BE POSTED

SEE OTHER BIDE FOR INSPECTIONS

Mr. Joseph G. Rayman, Jr.

September 8, 1977

5. All work is to be completed within 30 days from the execution of this contract.

- 6. Walter Realty Investors will stake and identify the area of land to be covered by this contract.
- 7. All materials, methods of preparation and construction shall conform to the latest Maryland Department of Highways Standard Specifications for Road and Bridge Construction.

For reference, attached hereto is your letter proposal dated July 22, 1977 labeled Exhibit "B" and your letter proposal dated August 26, 1977 labeled Exhibit "C".

If the terms of this letter are acceptable, please execute the enclosed copy of this letter and return it to the undersigned. Once executed, please consider this your authorization to proceed with the required construction as stated hereinabove.

Very truly yours

Jeffrey C. Bennett

JCB/pat Enclosures

cc: Reese Tasker

September 15, 1977

Dear Jeff:

In reviewing the contract with you during our telephone conversation of 9/15/77, it has been agreed that we are amending your contract in the following manner:

Description of Work-A--Supplying necessary chemicals to hinder further growth of weeds was not included. This will be an additional \$1,645.00.

We had discussed, but did not quote the dumping charge as discussed at the site and we will acknowledge that we plan to haul the vegetation to the dum but do not include the actual cost of dumping which will be billed directly to you.

Exhibit A shows parking lot painting which we have not quoted. We also wish to advise you that we do not include any underdrain problems such as springs or soils that will not stabilize.

with reference to your General Requirements and Conditions #2-"Payment to be made upon completion of work with 10% retainage until all county and other required approvals and occupancy permits have been obtained by Contractor, if any." Bill will be submitted upon completion of work and will be due within 10 days after completion.

. .

(continued)

Permit is not necessary; occupancy permit will not be issued by the County nor any other approvals except the degree of compaction and thickness of material. Completion within 30 day period is subject to weather conditions.

For the record, I have, on the morning of September 15, contacted Baltimore County Permit Department. Mr. Ted Burnham was not there but I did talk to Mr. Schneider who is his assistant. He acknowledges Mr. Burnhams' previous statement that permit is not required to shape this parking lot and pave it. If a major change in the terrain would occur only then would a permit be required.

As above described conditions have already been accepted by you on the telephone, we are executing your agreement and expect to proceed on the work during the week of September 19, 1977.

Joseph G. Rayman Jr.

President, J. RAYMAN & SONS CORPORATION

uph D. Rayman J

JGRJR/cb

81,430

(LIN G. ALLEN
LEL P. CROCKER
(T. GRAY
E W. BREWSTER
MARTIN JONES, JALES T. ALBERT
RD O. CLARKE, JRTIR H. MILLER

RD O. CLARKE. JR.
"UR H. MILLER
R D. REDDEN
RD D. FERBER
C. COOPER. III
CRIGGINS
RI B. BARNHOUSE
I A. NOONBERG
LD E. SHARPE
PH G. FINNERTY, JR.
Y R. LORD
ENCER EVERETT, JR.

LAW OFFICES OF

PIPER & MARBURY

1100 CHARLES CENTER SCUTH 36 SOUTH CHARLES STREET BALTIMORE, MARYLAND 21201

TELEPHONE 301-539-2530 CABLE PIPERMAR BAL TELEX 908054

February 24, 1981

MARX POLLAK
E. FREMONT MAGEE
STANARD T. KLINEFELTER
CARL E. EASTWICK
JEFFREY D. HERSCHMAN
JOSEPH H. LANGHIRT
NEIL J. DILLOFF
EDWARD C. SLEDGE
VIRGINIA K. ADAMS
FRANCIS B. BURCH. Ja.
DEBORAH E. JENNINGS
EARL S. WELLSCHLAGER
RICHARD C. TILGHMAN, Ja.
JOANNE E. POLLAK
DOROTHY A. BEATTY
RICHARD J. HAFETS
ROBERT DALE KLEIN
EDWARD J. LEVIN
F. THOMAS RAFFERTY
GEORGE P. STAMAS
ROBERT W. SMITH. Ja.
SUSAN GILLETTE
ELIZABETH G. WEBB
ROBERT J. OWEN, Ja.

PAUL K. CASEY
JOHN P. MACHEN
DAVID M. FUNK
REBECCA H. STALFORT
STEWART K. DIANA
KATHLEEN S. DOWNS
JOEL J. FEINBERG
THEODORE E. KING, JR.
LEE A. SHELLER
LAURA E. SCOTT
JOHN E. GRIFFITH, JR.
C. LAMAR GARREN
KAREN L. MYERS ZAUNER
MICHAEL C. POWELL
THOMAS J. GISRIEL
ELIZABETH A. MCKENNON
S. ELIZABETH WEAVER
WILLIAM L. HENN
ROBERT H. STIER, JR.
JEAN K. SHAFFER
JONATHAN D. SMITH
MICHAEL J. SILVER
ANTOINETTE C. EMERY
DAVID R. NAKA

DUNSEL J. MARTIN McDONOUG
AM L. MARBURY GEORGE S. NEWCOME
N VELSOR WOLF JESSE SLINGLUFF

Mrs. Gene M. H. Jung
Deputy Zoning Commissioner
Baltimore County Office of Planning
and Zoning
111 East Chesapeake Avenue
Towson, Maryland 21204


ALBERT S. BARR. III
E. STEPHEN DERBY
PAUL V. NIEMEYER
DONALD P. McPHERSON, III
FRANK R. GOLDSTEIN
LAWRENCE M. KATZ
ROBERT E. YOUNG
JAMES P. GILLECE, JR.
WALTER G. LOHR, JR.
EDWARD S. DIGGES, JR.
JAMES J. WINN, JR.
JOHN E. KRATZ, JR.
W. GAR RICHLIN
FRANCIS X. WRIGHT
STEVEN D. SHATTUCK

Re: Walter Realty Investors, Inc. 77-147-TV

Dear Mrs. Jung:

At the hearing for the above-captioned case held on January 26, 1981, attended by Joel Feinberg of our office, Zoning Enforcement Officer James H. Thompson presented evidence that the property in question constituted a "Class II Trucking Facility." You allowed for a continuation of the case for presentation of additional evidence. I have since reviewed the matter both with Mr. Thomspon and my client. Without admitting or conceding whether the property should properly be characterized as a Class II Trucking Facility, Walter Realty Investors, Inc., proposes, as more fully set forth below, to file whatever development plans and petitions that the County deems necessary or appropriate for the current use to continue. Based on such proposal, we respectfully request that the Office of the Zoning Commission indefinitely continue the case pending such compliance measures.

We have contacted an engineer familiar with the proper Mr. Richard Tustin (Reg. #3460) of S. J. Martenet & Co., who have reviewed the requirements of a Class II Trucking Facility in light of the existing improvements in and around the property Mr. Tustin has noted a number of requirements of Baltimore (Bill No. 18-76 that may render it impractical, if not tech impossible, to qualify this property as a Class II Trucki I raise these issues at the outset to make clear that a is the intent of Walter Realty Investors, Inc. to complete Baltimore County Zoning Regulations, there are ser



burdensome requirements from which Walter Realty Investors, Inc. must seek exemption. Some of the more important items are as follows:

- 1. Area (410A.3.B.1). The lot is not within a planned industrial park, it contains less than 5 acres, and its diametrical dimension is less than 150 feet.
- 2. Curbing (410A.3.A.2). Mr. Tustin informs me that there is no curbing at all in the immediate neighborhood.
- Wheel Stops (410A.3.B.5.) There are no wheel stops installed.
- 4. Rest Room Facilities (410A.3.B.8). Since this lot is used solely by employees of D. M. T. Trucking, Inc., whose offices are located approximately 650 feet away, we feel that providing such facilities on site is unnecessary.
- Generally. Mr. Tustin notes that some of the design and layout requirements may be difficult to comply with. We also may wish to seek confirmation that the use of maintenance shop located at the nearby offices of D. M. T. Trucking, Inc. complies with the applicable requirements.

I would like to consult with Mr. Thompson at his convenience to review in greater detail the compliance procedures and the problem areas noted above in order to establish a mutually acceptable program of compliance.

In a related matter, at the January 26, 1981 hearing, questions were raised as whether necessary paving and fencing permits were obtained. Enclosed is a copy of Fence Permit No. 07041 dated July 31, 1978. As to the paving permit, enclosed is a copy of a letter dated September 15, 1977, from J. Rayman & Sons Corporation, the paving contractor, to Jeffrey Bennett of Walter Realty Investors, Inc., in which Mr. Rayman indicates that a Mr. Schneider of the Baltimore County Permit Department advised him that a paving permit for this lot was not necessary. In reliance upon this information, Walter Realty Investors, Inc. did not obtain such a permit.


I trust that the foregoing will meet with your appro-If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to call me.

Sincerely,

Im Machen

Enclosure

Mr. James H. Thompson Mr. Robert D. Orme Charles E. Robinson, Esq. Mr. Paul Petryszak



THIS PERMIT MUST BE POSTED

SEE CINEA SIDE FOR INSPECTIONS

Mr. Joseph G. Rayman, Jr., Page 3

September 8, 1977

5. All work is to be completed within 30 days from the execution of this contract.

- 6. Walter Realty Investors will stake and identify the area of land to be covered by this contract.
- 7. All materials, methods of preparation and construction shall conform to the latest Maryland Department of Highways Standard Specifications for Road and Bridge Construction.

For reference, attached hereto is your letter proposal dated July 22, 1977 labeled Exhibit "B" and your letter proposal dated August 26, 1977 labeled Exhibit "C".

If the terms of this letter are acceptable, please execute the enclosed copy of this letter and return it to the undersigned. Once executed, please consider this your authorization to proceed with the required construction as stated hereinabove.

Very truly yours

Jeffrey C. Bent

JCB/pat Enclosures

cc: Reese Tasker

September 15, 1911

Dear Jeff:

In reviewing the contract with you during our telephone conversation of 9/15/71, it has been agreed that we are amending your contract in the following manner:

Description of Work-A--Supplying necessary chemicals to hinder further growth of weeds was not included. This will be an additional \$1,645.00.

We had discussed, but did not quote the dumping charge as discussed at the site and we will acknowledge that we plan to haul the vegetation to the dum but do not include the actual cost of dumping which will be billed directly to you.

Exhibit A shows parking lot painting which we have not quoted. We also wish to advise you that we do not include any underdrain problems such as springs or soils that will not stabilize.

With reference to your General Requirements and Conditions #2-"Payment to be made upon completion of work with 10% retainage until all county and other required approvals and occupancy permits have been obtained by Contractor, if any." Bill will be submitted upon completion of work and will be due within 10 days after completion.

Man Jack

(continued)

Permit is not necessary; occupancy permit will not be issued by the County nor any other approvals except the degree of compaction and thickness of material. Completion within 30 day period is subject to weather conditions.

For the record, I have, on the morning of September 15, contacted Baltimore County Permit Department. Mr. Ted Burnham was not there but I did talk to Mr. Schneider who is his assistant. He acknowledges Mr. Burnhams' previous statement that permit is not required to shape this parking lot and pave it. If a major change in the terrain would occur only then would a permit be required.

As above described conditions have already been accepted by you on the telephone, we are executing your agreement and expect to proceed on the work during the week of September 19, 1977.

Freph D. Rayman Joseph G. Rayman Jr.

President, J. RAYMAN & SONS CORPORATION

JGRJR/cb

FRANKLIN G. ALLEN HENRY R. LORD

FRANKLIN G. ALLEN
MICHAEL P. CROCKER
FRANK T. GRAY
ANDRE W. BREWSTER
JOHN MARTIN JONES, Ja.
CHARLES T. ALBERT
EDWARD O. CLARKE, Ja.
DECATUR H. MILLER
ROGER D. REDDEN
RICHARD D. FERBER
JOHN C. COOPER, IIII
L. P. SCRIGGINS
ROBERT B. BARNHOUSE
LEWIS A. NOONBERG
DONALD E. SHARPE
JOSEPH G. FINNERTY, JR.

OF COUNSEL WILLIAM L. MARBURY G. VAN VELSOR WOLF

*ADMITTED IN D. C. ONLY

HENRY R. LORD
ALBERT S. BARR. III
E. STEPHEN DERBY
PAUL V. NIEMEYER
DONALD P. McPHERSON, III
FRANK R. GOLDSTEIN
LAWRENCE M. KATZ
ROBERT E. YOUNG
JAMES P. GILLECE, JR.
WALTER G. LOHR, JR.
EDWARD S. DIGGES, JR.
JAMES J. WINN, JR.
JOHN E. KRATZ, JR.
W. GAR RICHLIN

PARTNERS EMERITUS JOHN W. AVIRETT, 20 J. MARTIN McDONOUGH GEORGE S. NEWCOMER JESSE SLINGLUFF LAW OFFICES OF

PIPER & MARBURY

1100 CHARLES CENTER SOUTH 36 SOUTH CHARLES STREET BALTIMORE, MARYLAND 21201

TELEPHONE 301-539-2530 CABLE PIPERMAR BAL TELEX 908054

December 29, 1980

FRANCIS X. WRIGHT
STEVEN D. SHATTUCK
MARK POLLAK
E. FREMONT MAGEE
STANARD T. KLINEFELTER
CARL E. EASTWICK
JEFFREY D. HERSCHMAN
JOSEPH H. LANGHIRT
NEIL J. DILLOFF
EDWARD C. SLEDGE
VIRGINIA K. ADAMS
FRANCIS B. BURCH. JR.
EARL S. WELLSCHLAGER
DAVID M. KOHEN
RICHARD C. TILGHMAN, JR.
JOANNE E. POLLAK
DOROTHY A. BEATTY
RICHARD J. HAFETS
ROBERT DALE KLEIN
EDWARD J. LEVIN
F. THOMAS RAFFERTY
GEORGE P. STAMAS

ROBERT W. SMITH, JR.
SUSAN GILLETTE
ELIZABETH G. WEBB
ROBERT J. OWEN, JR.
PAUL K. CASEY
JOHN P. MACHEN
DAVID M. FUNK
REBECCA H. STALFORT
DEBORAH K. WEST OWEN
STEWART K. DIANA
KATHLEEN S. DOWNS
JOEL J. FEINBERG
THEODORE E. KING, JR.
LEE A. SHELLER
LAURA E. SCOTT
JOHN E. GRIFFITH, JR.*
C. LAMAR GARREN
DEBORAH T. GARREN
KAREN L. MYERS
MICHAEL C. POWELL
THOMAS J. GISRIEL
ELIZABETH A. MCKENNON
S. ELIZABETH WEAVER

Office of the Zoning Commissioner
Baltimore County Office of Planning
and Zoning
lll West Chesapeake Avenue
Towson, Maryland 21204

HENRIETTA BLUMBERG

Re: Summons issued to

Walter Realty Investors, Inc.

77-147-TV

Hearing Date: January 5, 1981

Dear Mr. Commissioner:

I have been retained by Walter Realty Investors, Inc. to represent them in the matter of an alleged zoning violation set forth in the summons referred to above. I hereby request a postponement for the following reasons.

- 1. Walter Realty Investors, Inc. has its principal office in Florida and it has no representatives in Maryland familiar with the subject property.
- 2. The subject property is leased to a corporation that repairs trucks and truck equipment. We are undertaking to advise this tenant of the alleged zoning violations and to gather all relevant facts with respect to the current use of the property.

For the foregoing reasons, we request a postponement of the January 5, 1981 hearing for not less than two weeks in order to make adequate preparation for the hearing.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

John P. Machen

Cc: Charles E. Robinson, Esq.

James H. Thompson

JPM:brm

ZONING

By

FRANKLIN G. ALLEN
MICHAEL P. CROCKER
FRANK I. GRAY
ANDRE W. BREWSTER
JOHN MARTIN JONES, JR.
CHARLES T. ALBERT
EDWARD O. CLARKE, JR.
DECATUR H. MILLER
ROGER D. REDDEN
RICHARD D. FERBER
JOHN C. COOPER, III
L. P. SCRIGGINS
ROBERT B. BARNHOUSE
LEWIS A. NOONBERG
DONALD E. SHARPE
JOSEPH G. FINNERTY, JR.

OF COUNSEL WILLIAM L. MARBURY G. VAN VELSOR WOLF

*ADMITTED IN D. C. ONLY

WALTER G. LOHR, JR. EDWARD S. DIGGES, JR. JAMES J. WINN, JR. JOHN E. KRATZ, JR. W. GAR RICHLIN

PARTNERS EMERITUS
JOHN W. AVIRETT, 25
J. MARTIN McDONOUGH

GEORGE S. NEWCOMER JESSE SLINGLUFF

LAW OFFICES OF

PIPER & MARBURY

IIOO CHARLES CENTER SOUTH

36 SOUTH CHARLES STREET

BALTIMORE, MARYLAND 2120

BALTIMORE, MARYLAND 2120

TELEPHO

TELEPHO

TELEPHO

TELEPHO

TELEPHO

TOTAL

TO

December 29, 1980

FRANCIS X. WRIGHT
STEVEN D. SHATTUCK
MARK POLLAK
E. FREMONT MAGEE
STANARD T. KLINEFELTER
CARL E. EASTWICK
JEFFREY D. HERSCHMAN
JOSEPH H. LANGHIRT
NEIL J. DILLOFF
EDWARD C. SLEDGE
VIRGINIA K. ADAMS
FRANCIS B. BURCH. JR.
EARL S. WELLSCHLAGER
DAVID M. KOHEN
RICHARD C. TILGHMAN. JR.
JOANNE E. POLLAK
DOROTHY A. BEATTY
RICHARD J. HAFETS
ROBERT DALE KLEIN
EDWARD J. LEVIN
F. THOMAS-RAFFERTY
GEORGE P. STAMAS

ROBERT W. SMITH, JR.
SUSAN GILLETTE
ELIZABETH G. WEBB
ROBERT J. OWEN, JA.
PAUL K. CASEY
JOHN P. MACHEN
DAVID M. FUNK
REBECCA H. STALFORT
DEBORAH K. WEST OWEN
STEWART K. DIANA
KATHLEEN S. DOWNS
JOEL J. FEINBERG
THEODODRE E. KING, JA.
LEE A. SHELLER
LAURA E. SCOTT
JOHN E. GRIFFITH, JR.
C. LAMAR GARREN
LAMAR GARREN
KAREN L. MYERS
MICHAEL C. POWELL
THOMAS J. GISRIEL
ELIZABETH A. MCKENNON
S. ELIZABETH WEAVER ROBERT W. SMITH, JR.


Office of the Zoning Commissioner Baltimore County Office of Planning and Zoning lll West Chesapeake Avenue Towson, Maryland 21204

AGNRISTTA BLYMBERG

Re: Summons issued to Walter Realty Investors,

77-147-TV

Hearing Date: January



Dear Mr. Commissioner:

I have been retained by Walter Realty Investors, Inc. to represent them in the matter of an alleged zoning violation set forth in the summons referred to above. I hereby request a postponement for the following reasons.

- Walter Realty Investors, Inc. has its principal office in Florida and it has no representatives in Maryland familiar with the subject property.
- The subject property is leased to a corporation that repairs trucks and truck equipment. We are undertaking to advise this tenant of the alleged zoning violations and to gather all relevant facts with respect to the current use of the property.

For the foregoing reasons, we request a postponement of the January 5, 1981 hearing for not less than two weeks in order to make adequate preparation for the hearing.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

John P. Machen

Charles E. Robinson, Esq.

James H. Thompson

Chesterwood & Starsbury ROAD

Det: Paul Petryszak Water Realty Investors INC.

c/o D.M. T. Trucking INC (Clo Corporation Trust, INC

2700 Broening Huy. 25 S. Charles Street

Only. 1D. 21222 Palt. AD. 21201

Attai Hearnetta Blumburg

Failed to file the Aggrogiate Special exception

for a Trucking Facility, Class II

Again, T



OFFICE OF THE ZONING COMMISSIONER

494 - 3351

SUMMONS

ISSUED TO: ADDRESS:	gan dhe gan a' gan a Gan a' gan a
ALLEGED	AND TESTIFY IN THE MATTER OF AN ZONING VIOLATION LOCATED AT:
INIVOLVING SI	ECTION(S)
\$2.50 miles	<u> </u>
	HEARING DATE
CASE NO. C	
CASE NO. C	HEARING DATE
CASE NO. C HEAI ROOM I	HEARING DATE: RING TIME: A.M./P.M.

ZONING VIOLATION/INSPECTION RECORD Date: 12 0 80 Inspector: ML-IM Location: 5 Farsbury R District: \2+ Int./Landmark: In-Person Source: Structure: Apartment Dwelling Letter PEY MINIA Other Pick-Up () Complaintant: Address: Phone: () Attorney: Address: Phone: () Occupant: Brossind Address: 2700 HIGHWAN PAH. 10. ZIZZZ () Owner: Walter Realty Corporation INC. 1st/10. BLOG. 11 ENTIET Phone: Address: DETAILS OF COMPLAINT: secral Exceptions NOT INSPECTOR'S REPORT Thurs. Date: 1/11/19 INITIAL INSPECTION Day: Time: Probable Violation: Yes I No I Section (s): 102.1 101 Touck fac 410 A. IAI Non-Conforming Use Claimed: Yes D No Year: 410 A. 10.1, 410 A.10.2 Property being putilized to store gontame 500.4, 500.9: 253.1 garlling of frailers Send __ Day Card - Correction: No. Photos: SET FOR HEARING RE-INSPECTION Day: Thurs Date: Time: No change in this condition SINCE Close Case () Set for Hearing () No. Photos: RE-INSPECTION Day: Tues. Date: Time: Container units no longer on No. Photos: Day: 10E5. RE-INSPECTION Date: Time x)

No. Phôtos: 🍣 SUMMONS (V) Inspector

FOLLOW-UP INSPECTION RECORD

		EALS/DISTRICT COUR	
Case No. 77-147TV	Location: 57avs	فالتراث المناز والمراجع المراجع والمراجع والمراجع والمراجع والمراجع والمراجع والمراجع والمراجع والمراجع والمراجع	the contract of the first of the contract of t
RE-INSPECTION	Day: WED.	Date: 12/10/80	
this was sent		ulding the	okua lot.
Set for District Co	garana and a salah da		No. Photos:
RE-INSPECTION	Day: \mathcal{F}_{r} ;	Date: 1 23 81	Time: 4:07
2 fruck carriers Close Case ()	to one of the	moc. fires fation sticker.	attached
Set For District Co	urt ()		No. Photos: 🍣
RE-INSPECTION	Day: 1325	Date: 5/24/8/	Time:
Close Case ()	SEE //	10/	
Set for District Co	urt ()	6/10/31	No. Photos:
RE-INSPECTION	Day: 1065.	Date: 6 1681	Time:
Close Case () Set for District Co	Ext. 6/2	26/21	No. Photos:
RE-INSPECTION		Date: 7/27/9/	and the second s
	Commodar, +		
RE-INSPECTION	Day:	Date:	Time:
Close Case () Set for District Co	urt ()		No. Photos:
RE-INSPECTION	Day:	Date:	Time:
Close Case () Set for District Co			No. Photos:
RE-INSPECTION	Day:	Date:	Time:
Close Case () Set for District Co			No. Photos:

RE: ALLEGED ZONING VIOLATION :

Stansbury Road

12th Election District : DEPUTY ZONING

Walter Realty Investors, Inc.: COMMISSIONER

c/o Corporation Trust, Inc.

25 South Charles Street :

Baltimore, Maryland 21201

Attn: Henrietta Blumberg : BALTIMORE COUNTY

Defendant

: 77-147-TV

BEFORE THE

OF

Paul Petryszak c/o D.M.T. Trucking, Inc. 2700 Broening Highway Baltimore, Maryland 21222

Defendant

A complaint has been filed with the Zoning Office concerning an alleged violation of the Baltimore County Zoning Regulations on property at the above location. A hearing was held to determine whether a violation exists.

The following Baltimore County Zoning Regulations are involved:

Section 102.1 - "No land shall be used or occupied and no building or structure shall be erected, altered, located, or used except in conformity with these regulations and this shall include any extension of a lawful nonconforming use."

Section 101 - Definitions - "Trucking Facility, Class II: A trucking facility other than a Class I trucking facility, including a truck yard (the primary purpose of which is to accommodate the parking or storage of trucks, truck trailers, or truck tractors."

Section 253 - "Use Regulations (M.L. Zone)."

Section 410A.lA.l. - "If the owner of or authorized agent for a Class II trucking facility believes that approved plans of that facility are on file with the Office of Planning and Zoning or Department of Permits and Licenses on the effective date of this Section, he must so notify the Zoning Commissioner, in writing, within 6 months after that date, unless he has filed or will file plans as provided in Subparagraph 2, below, within 30 days after he receives the written notice, the Zoning Commissioner shall inform the owner or agent whether the plans are, in fact, on file and, if they are on file, whether they meet the requirements of Subparagraph 410A.3.C.l. If the plans do not meet those requirements, the owner or agent shall file plans that do meet the requirements within 1 year after the effective date of this Section."

Section 410A.1A.2. - "If approved plans of a Class II trucking facility are not on file with the Office of Planning and Zoning or the Department of Permits and Licenses on the effective date of this Section or if the Zoning Commissioner is not notified in accordance with Subparagraph 1, the owner of or authorized agent for the trucking facility must file plans of the facility, meeting the requirements of Subparagraph 410A.3.C.1, within 1 year after that date."

Section 401A.1D.1 - "The failure of an owner of or authorized agent for a Class II trucking facility to comply with an applicable requirement of Paragraph A or C, above, or failure to comply with an Order by the Zoning Commissioner prescribing a truck route as provided in Subparagraph 3 of Paragraph B, shall constitute a violation of these Zoning Regulations."

Section 410A.1D.2 - "The right to continue any Class II trucking facility that was established before the effective date of this Section and whose owner or agent has failed to comply with an applicable requirement of Paragraph A shall cease 3 years after that date, unless the facility conforms or has been changed to conform with all provisions of these Zoning Regulations, as if it were a new use."

Section 500.4 - "In cases in which no building permit is required, any person desiring to use any land for any purpose other than that for which said land is being used at the time of adoption of this Order and Resolution, shall make application to the Zoning Commissioner for a use permit, upon such form as the Zoning Commissioner may prescribe. If such use is permissible the Zoning Commissioner may issue a use permit, conditioned by other provisions contained in the Regulations which shall indicate that it authorizes particular use applied for."

Section 500.7 - "The said Zoning Commissioner shall have the power to conduct such other hearings and pass such orders thereon as shall, in his discretion, be necessary for the proper enforcement of all zoning regulations, subject to the right of appeal to the Board of Zoning Appeals as hereinafter provided. The power given hereunder shall include the right of any interested person to petition the Zoning commissioner for a public hearing after advertisement and notice to determine the existence of any purported non-conforming use on any premises or to determine any rights whatsoever of such person in any property in Baltimore County insofar as they are affected by these Regulations."

Section 500.9 - "The Zoning Commissioner shall have the power to require the production of plats of developments or subdivisions of land, or of any land in connection with which application for building or use permits or petitions for a Special Exception, a reclassification, or a temporary use shall be made, such plats to show the location of streets or roads and of buildings or other structures proposed to be

erected, repaired, altered, or added to. All such plats shall be drawn to scale and shall clearly indicate the proposed location, size, front, side and rear setbacks from property lines and elevation plans of proposed buildings or other structures. Such details shall conform in all respects with Zoning Regulations. No such plats or plans, showing the opening or laying out or roads or streets, shall be approved by the Zoning Commissioner unless such plats or plans shall have been previously approved by the Office of Planning and the Department of Public Works of Baltimore County."

Testimony given by the leasee, Mr. Paul Petryszak, indicated that he leased a truck maintenance and repair shop in a building in the general area; that trucks and truck rigs are parked on the subject property between delivery jobs, as well as for the purpose of maintenance, and that approximately one-half of the D.M.T. Trucking, Inc. rigs are routinely parked on the subject property between delivery jobs.

It is FURTHER ORDERED that if a Petition for Special Exception is filed, the petition must be accepted for filing by the Zoning Plans Advisory Committee within 90 days from the date of this Order.

Any appeal from this decision must be in accordance with Section 500.10 of the Baltimore County Zoning Regulations within 30 days.

Deputy Zoning Commissioner

Baltimore County

CASE NO.: 77-1477	
DATE: 8/3/8/	
CONVERSATION: IN-PERSON TELEPHONE /0//5	
INDIVIDUAL(S) INVOLVED:	
SUBJECT DISCUSSED: Questioned for fachers conserving the Status of his letter dated forg. 24 1991. HE stated that he had grotte with fr. 2).ck former thin said thursday regarding this road forwards factor had exformed him that this superconstruction would be sequed this week. This would evalue exception a variance of furthered added exception a variance of furthered added i would rechel the case, Tues Sept. INSPECTOR	J. L. 31
8 T CON	

CASE NO.: 77-147 TV
DATE: <u>6/16/81</u>
CONVERSATION:
IN-PERSON TELEPHONE
TELEPHONE
INDIVIDUAL(S) INVOLYED:
- 6/2 P Ada Fee
John V. Machen, Esq.
CID TECT DISCUSSED.
SUBJECT DISCUSSED:
Informed by Ar. Jachen that he had not
De Florida (10) t
fruished sering /1. James Dyen And that
the appropriate site-plan & required comment
is all the law is the law is a second of the
would be submitted by next week.
,
7. The same
INSPECTOR

CASE NO.: 77-14774
DATE: <u>5/26/81</u>
CONVERSATION: IN-PERSON TELEPHONE 2:32
INDIVIDUAL(S) INVOLVED: John P. Jachen Esq. James Hongran
SUBJECT DISCUSSED: Questioned for Jachen about whether his had filed the agarogratic forms regarding the shear exception for chesterwood & Stansburg Rd. HE Stated that he was in this week
Richards. At which time we was advised that a state must be submitted in conjunction with the spend exception forms. Currently, he is working with the surveyor in resolving that grobolem. I added that I would

INSPECTOR

CASE NO.:	-			
DATE:	-			
CONVERSATION: IN-PERSON				
TELEPHONE				
INDIVIDUAL(S) INVOLV	ED:			
			,	
SUBJECT DISCUSSED:	45e 12	Aug w	ceks to	verly
I the special	exception	Dal Co	brew	nosel
Piled.				
0,		:		
				. <u> </u>
		•		
		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		
	· <u></u>			***************************************
		= - / ·	TECTOD SOL	

RE: ALLEGED ZONING VIOLATION BEFORE THE

Stansbury Road

12th Election District DEPUTY ZONING

Walter Realty Investors, Inc. : COMMISSIONER

c/o Corporation Trust, Inc.

25 South Charles Street OF

Baltimore, Maryland 21201

Attn: Henrietta Blumberg BALTIMORE COUNTY

Defendant

77-147-TV

Paul Petryszak c/o D.M.T. Trucking, Inc. 2700 Broening Highway

Baltimore, Maryland

Defendant

. . .

A complaint has been filed with the Zoning Office concerning an alleged violation of the Baltimore County Zoning Regulations on property at the above location. A hearing was held to determine whether a violation exists.

The following Baltimore County Zoning Regulations are involved:

Section 102.1 - "No land shall be used or occupied and no building or structure shall be erected, altered, located, or used except in conformity with these regulations and this shall include any extension of a lawful nonconforming use.'

Section 101 - Definitions - "Trucking Facility, Class II: A trucking facility other than a Class I trucking facility, including a truck yard (the primary purpose of which is to accommodate the parking or storage of trucks, truck trailers, or truck tractors."

Section 253 - "Use Regulations (M.L. Zone)."

Section 410A.1A.1. - "If the owner of or authorized agent for a Class II trucking facility believes that approved plans of that facility are on file with the Office of Planning and Zoning or Department of Permits and Licenses on the effective date of this Section, he must so notify the Zoning Commissioner, in writing, within 6 months after that date, unless he has filed or will file plans as provided in Subparagraph 2, below, within 30 days after he receives the written notice, the Zoning Commissioner shall inform the owner or agent whether the plans are, in fact, on file and, if they are on file, whether they meet the requirements of Subparagraph 410A.3.C.1. If the plans do not meet those requirements, the owner or agent shall file plans that do meet the requirements within l year after the effective date of this Section.

BY And L'Saugett

Section 410A.1A.2. - "If approved plans of a Class II trucking facility are not on file with the Office of Planning and Zoning or the Department of Permits and Licenses on the effective date of this Section or if the Zoning Commissioner is not notified in accordance with Subparagraph 1, the owner of or authorized agent for the trucking facility must file plans of the facility, meeting the requirements of Subparagraph 410A.3.C.1, within 1 year after that date."

Section 401A.1D.1 - "The failure of an owner of or authorized agent for a Class II trucking facility to comply with an applicable requirement of Paragraph A or C, above, or failure to comply with an Order by the Zoning Commissioner prescribing a truck route as provided in Subparagraph 3 of Paragraph B. shall constitute a violation of these Zoning Regulations."

Section 410A.1D.2 - "The right to continue any Class II trucking facility that was established before the effective date of this Section and whose owner or agent has failed to comply with an applicable requirement of Paragraph A shall cease 3 years after that date, unless the facility conforms or has been changed to conform with all provisions of these Zoning Regulations, as if it were a new use."

Section 500.4 - "In cases in which no building permit is required, any person desiring to use any land for any purpose other than that for which said land is being used at the time of adoption of this Order and Resolution, shall make application to the Zoning Commissioner for a use permit, upon such form as the Zoning Commissioner may prescribe. If such use is permissible the Zoning Commissioner may issue a use permit, conditioned by other provisions contained in the Regulations which shall indicate that it authorizes particular use applied for."

Section 500.7 - "The said Zoning Commissioner shall have the power to conduct such other hearings and pass such orders thereon as shall, in his discretion, be necessary for the proper enforcement of all zoning regulations, subject to the right of appeal to the Board of Zoning Appeals as hereinafter provided. The power given hereunder shall include the right of any interested person to petition the Zoning commissioner for a public hearing after advertisement and notice to determine the existence of any purported non-conforming use on any premises or to determine any rights whatsoever of such person in any property in Baltimore County insofar as they are affected by these Regulations."

Section 500.9 - "The Zoning Commissioner shall have the power to require the production of plats of developments or subdivisions of land, or of any land in connection with which application for building or use permits or petitions for a Special Exception, a reclassification, or a temporary use shall be made, such plats to show the location of streets or roads and of buildings or other structures proposed to be

erected, repaired, altered, or added to. All such plats shall be drawn to scale and shall clearly indicate the proposed location, size, front, side and rear setbacks from property lines and elevation plans of proposed buildings or other structures. Such details shall conform in all respects with Zoning Regulations. No such plats or plans, showing the opening or laying out or roads or streets, shall be approved by the Zoning Commissioner unless such plats or plans shall have been previously approved by the Office of Planning and the Department of Public Works of Baltimore County."

Testimony given by the leasee, Mr. Paul Petryszak, indicated that he leased a truck maintenance and repair shop in a building in the general area; that trucks and truck rigs are parked on the subject property between delivery jobs, as well as for the purpose of maintenance; and that approximately one-half of the D.M.T. Trucking, Inc. rigs are routinely parked on the subject property between delivery jobs.

It is FURTHER ORDERED that if a Petition for Special Exception is filed, the petition must be accepted for filing by the Zoning Plans Advisory Committee within 90 days from the date of this Order.

Any appeal from this decision must be in accordance with Section 500.10 of the Baltimore County Zoning Regulations within 30 days.

Deputy Zoning Commissioner of

Baltimore County

ONTE ANILLISM

WHITE ANILLISM

CASE NO.: 77-1477
DATE: $\frac{Z/2/\tilde{\epsilon}/}{2}$
CONVERSATION: IN-PERSON TELEPHONE
INDIVIDUAL(S) INVOLVED: James The grow
SUBJECT DISCUSSED: [Noticined by Jr. Jachen Hat a letter would be submitted within 30 days to request a syem exception for a truck ferminal
7 16 ×
INSPÉCTOR



77-1477



Stauslamy Rel SW/ Cor. Chesterwood Road

12/10/80


30=






ZONES: ML-IM










OFFICE OF THE ZONING COMMISSIONER

494 - 335

SUMMONS

Barth 1886. ann 1886. Maranta Chiefe ann ann
We the removable of the final framework of th
ISSUED TO:
ADDRESS:
TO APPEAR AND TESTIFY IN THE MATTER OF AN
ALLEGED ZONING VIOLATION LOCATED AT:
had free free free free free free first fitte free free free free free free free f
INVOLVING SECTION(S):
CASE NO. C- HEARING DATE
HEARING TIME: A.M./PM.
ROOM 106, BALTO. CO. OFFICE BUILDING
BE PROMPT IN YOUR ATTENDANCE, OTHERWISE YOU WILL BE ATTACHED
ISSUED BY:DATE:



WILLIAM E. HAMMOND ZONING COMMISSIONER

January 5, 1981

John P. Machen, Esquire 1100 Charles Center South 36 South Charles Street Baltimore, Maryland 21201

RE: Stansbury Road Case No. 77-147-TV

Dear Mr. Machen:

Please be advised that the above captioned matter has been scheduled for a violation hearing on Monday , January 26, 1981 at 1:30 p.m. , in Room 106, County Office Building, 111 West Chesapeake Avenue, Towson, Maryland 21204.

Also, please note that no postponements will be granted without good and sufficient reasons. All requests for postponements must be in writing. Absolutely no postponements will be granted within seven (7) days of the scheduled hearing date.

Very truly yours,

WILLIAM E. HAMMOND Zoning Commissioner

WEH:eoh

cc: The Honorable John W. O'Rourke Councilman, Seventh District 4 North Center Place Dundalk, Maryland 21222

File

ZONING IOLATION, INSPECTION RECORL	
Date: 12 10 80 Inspector: J. Thomason	No. C-77-1477
Zoning: ML-JM Location: Stansbury Re/ SW/	Cor. Chesterwood Rofo
District: 12th Int./Landmark:	
Source: In-Person/ Structure: Apartment	
Letter Dwelling L	
Pick-Up Other	Truck IErmiNA CLASS TI
() Complaintant:	Phone:
Address: CALT. CC.	
l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l	
() Attorney:	Phone:
Address:	
() Occupant: D. M. Trucking INC.	Phone:
Address: 2700 Brokenic Hichway	
Part. 10.2.1222 c/a Paul	PETRY 57AK
() Owner: Walter Realty Invavoors	Phone:
Address: Cla Corporation Trust INC	
25, 5 Charles St. 1st /D. BLOG. 6	3/11-10 21201
() Accordey: JENISTA Blumburg	Phone:
Address:	
DETAILS OF COMPLAINT:	
(KEVISED INSK	rection S/ast
) / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / /
Wolfror (ASES Special Exceptions NOT VALLES	
	
/INSPECTOR'S REPORT	
INITIAL INSPECTION Day: Thurs. Date: 1/1/79	Time: 3:035
INITIAL INSPECTION Day: Thurs Date: 1/2/79 Probable Violation: Yes I No Section(s): 102.1,101	Touck Fac. 410 A. 1A1
INITIAL INSPECTION Day: Thurs Date: 1/1/79 Probable Violation: Yes No Section(s): 102.1,101 Non-Conforming Use Claimed: Yes No Year: 4104.14.7	Truck Fac. 410 A. 1A1:
INITIAL INSPECTION Day: Thurs. Date: 1/1/29 Probable Violation: Yes No Section(s): 102.1 101 Non-Conforming Use Claimed: Yes No Year: 410 A. 1A. 2 Property Western artificial to Store contame 500.4;	Truck Fac. 410 A. 1A1:
INITIAL INSPECTION Day: Thurs Date: 1/1/79 Probable Violation: Yes No Section(s): 102.1,101 Non-Conforming Use Claimed: Yes No Year: 4104.14.7	Truck Fac. 410 A. 1A1:
INITIAL INSPECTION Day: Thurs. Date: 1/1/79 Probable Violation: Yes No Section(s): 102.1.101 Non-Conforming Use Claimed: Yes No Year: 410 A. 14.7 Proporty Weiner and No. 200.4, Storie contame 500.4, Section 100.101	Tour Fac. 410 A.1A1; 2; 410 A.10.1; 410 A.10.2 500.9; 253.1
INITIAL INSPECTION Day: Thurs. Date: 1/1/79 Probable Violation: Yes No Section(s): 102.1.101 Non-Conforming Use Claimed: Yes No Year: 410 A. 1A. 7 Progetty Western at 1. 200 to store contame 500.4; Twifs & For the Barkey of trailers Send Day Card - Correction: Set For federal	Tour Sac. 410 A.1A1: 410 A.10.1; 410 A.10.2 500.9; 253.1 16 No. Photos: 7
INITIAL INSPECTION Day: Thurs. Date: 1/1/79 Probable Violation: Yes No Section(s): 102.1 101 Non-Conforming Use Claimed: Yes No Year: 410 A. 1A. 7 Proporty West of Store contamination of transfers Send Day Card - Correction: Set for feature RE-INSPECTION Day: Thurs. Date: 21/12/79	Touk fac. 410 A.1A1; 410 A.10.1; 410 A.10.2 500.9; 253.1
INITIAL INSPECTION Day: Thurs. Date: 1/1/29 Probable Violation: Yes No Section(s): 102.11 101 Non-Conforming Use Claimed: Yes No Year: 410 A. 1A. 7 Procent, being at larger to store contame 500.4; with a for the garden of trailers Send Day Card - Correction: Set for fearing RE-INSPECTION Day: Thurs. Date: 21/12/79 No Change in this count too of the	Tour Sac. 410 A.1A1: 410 A.10.1; 410 A.10.2 500.9; 253.1 16 No. Photos: 7
INITIAL INSPECTION Day: Thurs Date: 1/1/79 Probable Violation: Yes No Section(s): 102.11 10.1 Non-Conforming Use Claimed: Yes No Year: 410 A. 1A. 7 Proceed being at larger to store contained to store	Tour Sac. 410 A.1A.1 2, 410 A.1D.1; 410 A.10.2 500.9; 253.1 No. Photos: 7 Time: 3:45
INITIAL INSPECTION Day: Thurs. Date: 1/1/79 Probable Violation: Yes \(\) No \(\) Section(s): \(\) 102.1 101 Non-Conforming Use Claimed: Yes \(\) No \(\) Year: \(\) 410 \(\) 10.7 Property being not have to store contained to \(\) 200.4; with \(\) For the garling of trailers Send \(\) Day Card - Correction: \(\) Set for \(\) Fear \(\) RE-INSPECTION \(\) Day: Thurs. Date: \(\) 21/2/9 No \(\) Alo change in this could to \(\) of \(\) Alie \(\)	No. Photos:
INITIAL INSPECTION Day: Thurs. Date: 1/1/79 Probable Violation: Yes \(\) No \(\) Section(s): \(\) 102.11 101 Non-Conforming Use Claimed: Yes \(\) No \(\) Year: \(\) 410.4.14.72 Probable Violation: Yes \(\) No \(\) Year: \(\) 410.4.14.72 Probable Violation: Yes \(\) No \(\) Year: \(\) 410.4.14.72 Probable Violation: Yes \(\) No \(\) Year: \(\) 410.4.14.73 Probable Violation: Yes \(\) No \(\) Year: \(\) 410.4.14.73 Probable Violation: Yes \(\) No \(\) Year: \(\) 410.4.14.73 Probable Violation: Yes \(\) No \(\) Year: \(\) 410.4.14.73 Send \(\) Day Card - Correction: \(\) Set \(\) For \(\) Heminimate () RE-INSPECTION \(\) Day: \(\) 102.0 \(\) Date: \(\) 11.2.5 \(\) 8.	Time: 3:45 No. Photos:
INITIAL INSPECTION Day: Thurs Date: 1/29 Probable Violation: Yes No Section(s): 102.1 10.1 Non-Conforming Use Claimed: Yes No Year: 410 A. 1A.7 Proparty Warren of Year: 410 A. 1A.7 Proparty Warren of fra. 1675 Send Day Card - Correction: Set for feeting RE-INSPECTION Day: Thurs Date: 21/2/99 Close Case () Set for Hearing () RE-INSPECTION Day: 1065 Date: 11/2/5/8	Time: 3:45 No. Photos:
INITIAL INSPECTION Day: Thurs. Date: 1/1/29 Probable Violation: Yes \(\) No \(\) Section(s): \(\) 102.1 \(\) 101 Non-Conforming Use Claimed: Yes \(\) No \(\) Year: \(\) 410 \(\) 10.2 Probable Violation: Yes \(\) No \(\) Year: \(\) 410 \(\) 10.2 Probable Violation: Yes \(\) No \(\) Year: \(\) 410 \(\) 410 \(\) 102.1 \(\) 101 Non-Conforming Use Claimed: Yes \(\) No \(\) Year: \(\) 410 \(\) 410 \(\) 410 \(\) 510 \(\) 52 \(\) 63 \(\) 64 \(No. Photos: No. Photos: No. Photos: Time: 3:45 No. Photos:
INITIAL INSPECTION Day: Thurs Date: 1/29 Probable Violation: Yes No Section(s): 102.1 10.1 Non-Conforming Use Claimed: Yes No Year: 410 A. 1A.7 Proparty Warren of Year: 410 A. 1A.7 Proparty Warren of fra. 1675 Send Day Card - Correction: Set for feeting RE-INSPECTION Day: Thurs Date: 21/2/99 Close Case () Set for Hearing () RE-INSPECTION Day: 1065 Date: 11/2/5/8	No. Photos: No. Photos: Time: 3:45 No. Photos:
INITIAL INSPECTION Day: Thurs Date: 1/1/29 Probable Violation: Yes No Section(s): 102.1 101 Non-Conforming Use Claimed: Yes No Year: 410 A. 14.2 Procents being up lized to store contame to 4.2 with For the garker of fraces Send Day Card - Correction: Set for fearer RE-INSPECTION Day: Thurs Date: 21/12/19 Close Case () Set for Hearing () RE-INSPECTION Day: 1025 Date: 41/268 Contamer was a long of the fraces a face of the fearer Contamer was a long of the fe	No. Photos: Time: 3:45 No. Photos: Time: 2.05 No. Photos:
INITIAL INSPECTION Day: Thurs Date: 1/19 Probable Violation: Yes No Section(s): 102.1 101 Non-Conforming Use Claimed: Yes No Year: 410 A 14.7 Progat, being at transfer for for feature of fractions Send Day Card - Correction: Set for feature RE-INSPECTION Day: Thurs Date: 21/12/39 Close Case () Set for Hearing () RE-INSPECTION Day: 1050 Date: 11/2 5 8 Conforming C	No. Photos: Time: 2.45 No. Photos: Time: 2.45 No. Photos: Time: 2.45 No. Photos:
INITIAL INSPECTION Day: Thurs. Date: 1/1/29 Probable Violation: Yes No Section(s): 1021 101 Non-Conforming Use Claimed: Yes No Year: 410 A 14.7 Proceed Desire of fraction of fractions Send Day Card - Correction: Set for feature RE-INSPECTION Day: Thurs. Date: 21/12/29 Close Case () Set for Hearing () RE-INSPECTION Day: 1365 Date: 12/5/2 Conformer was a lower on the feature Conformer was a lower on the feature Conformer was a lower on the feature RE-INSPECTION Day: 1365 Date: 12/5/2	No. Photos: Time: 2.45 No. Photos: Time: 2.45 No. Photos: Time: 2.45 No. Photos:
INITIAL INSPECTION Day: Thurs. Date: 1/1/29 Probable Violation: Yes No Section(s): 1021 101 Non-Conforming Use Claimed: Yes No Year: 410 A 14.7 Proparty being at 12 2 of store contame 500.4, 2 2017 8 For the garken of fraction RE-INSPECTION Day: Thurs. Date: 21/12/29 Close Case () Set for Hearing () RE-INSPECTION Day: 1050 Date: 11/26 8 Contamer must be lower on the garken of the ga	No. Photos: Time: 2.45 No. Photos: Time: 2.45 No. Photos: Time: 2.45 No. Photos:
INITIAL INSPECTION Day: Thurs. Date: 1/1/29 Probable Violation: Yes No Section(s): 1021 101 Non-Conforming Use Claimed: Yes No Year: 410 A 14.7 Proceed Desire of fraction of fractions Send Day Card - Correction: Set for feature RE-INSPECTION Day: Thurs. Date: 21/12/29 Close Case () Set for Hearing () RE-INSPECTION Day: 1365 Date: 12/5/2 Conformer was a lower on the feature Conformer was a lower on the feature Conformer was a lower on the feature RE-INSPECTION Day: 1365 Date: 12/5/2	No. Photos: Time: 3:45 No. Photos: Time: 2:05 No. Photos: Time: 2:05 No. Photos: Time: 2:05 No. Photos:
INITIAL INSPECTION Day: Thurs Date: Probable Violation: Yes No Section(s): 102.1 101 Non-Conforming Use Claimed: Yes No Year: 410 A. 14.7 Property being at larger to stone continuation. Send Day Card - Correction: Set for Hearing Send Day: Thurs Date: 21/12/19 No change in this Day: Thurs Date: 21/12/19 Probable Violation: White council to of the stone of	No. Photos:
INITIAL INSPECTION Day: Thurs Date: 1/1/29 Probable Violation: Yes No Section(s): 102.1 101 Non-Conforming Use Claimed: Yes No Year: 410 A 14.2 Progety being at 1.2 2 of store contains 00.4, 20.4 & For the garkey of trailers Send Day Card - Correction: Set For Hearth RE-INSPECTION Day: Thurs Date: 21/2/29 Close Case () Set for Hearing () RE-INSPECTION Day: 1005 Date: 11/2 68 Container many of a containers of the	No. Photos: Time: 3:45 No. Photos: Time: 2:05 No. Photos: Time: 2:05 No. Photos: Time: 2:05 No. Photos:

ING DATE BY FOR PROF 11/26/80 1202072 79 F 40 1	NO DIST LAND IMPR 141 12 14 76 F 12,980	MUYE AD-VAL TY LAND-E 1940 14,940 07 12,980
IMPROVE-E AD-VAL-E	TY YR M SEW-BEN YR M	! WAT-BEN SEW-SERV WAT-DIS
2 WALTER REALTY INVEST	OWNER CODE AND NAME	
ADDRESS PREFIX	STREET ADDRESS 00025 S CHARLES ST	CLERED ENGINEER AND A TOTAL A TENTOMETERS
CITY ST ZIP BALTIMORE MD 21201	MAP BLK PARCL LIBR FOL 103 24 00120 5594 077	M TYP PRIMARY DESCRIPTION 5 A 1.5867 AC SWS
SUPPLEMENTARY DESCRIPT STANSBURY RD	PLAT SEC BLK LOT BK F	OL ST-NO STREET DIR STANSBURY RD SWS
PURCHASE GROUND CONCC	FORMER OWNER PRUE BOATS INC	TRANSFER CR LN ST-E
マー・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・	FRONT BACK SIDE-1	SIDE-2 AREA CARD FM-DATE DEL

SW COR CHESTERWOOD R

800527

FOR BOARD OF APPEALS/DISTRICT COURT Case No. 77-147TV Location: 5 Two buy Old Cor WED. 10 රිට Time: RE-INSPECTION Day: Date: wo was Close Case () No. Photos: Set for District Court RE-INSPECTION Day: Date: Time: Close Case () Set For District Court () No. Photos: RE-INSPECTION Day: Date: Time: Close Case () Set for District Court () No. Photos: RE-INSPECTION Date: Time: Day: Close Case () Set for District Court () No. Photos: RE-INSPECTION Date: Time: Day: Close Case () Set for District Court () No. Photos: RE-INSPECTION Day: Date: Time: Close Case () No. Photos: Set for District Court () RE-INSPECTION Day: Date: Time: Close Case () Set for District Court () No. Photos: B Day: RE-INSPECTION Date: Time: Close Case () No. Photos: Set for District Court ()


F LOW-UP INSPECTION RECORD



OFFICE OF THE ZONING COMMISSIONER

ISSUED BY

SUMMONS
ISSUED TO: 1. September 1997 Septemb
TO APPEAR AND TESTIFY IN THE MATTER OF AN ALLEGED ZONING VIOLATION LOCATED AT:
INVOLVING SECTION(S):
CASE NO. C- HEARING DATE: A.M./PM.
CASE NO. C- A.M./PM.
ROOM 106, BALTO. 35 BE PROMPT IN YOUR ATTENDANCE, OTHERWISE YOU WILL BE ATTACHED
DATE



WILLIAM E. HAMMOND ZONING COMMISSIONER

December 10, 1980

The Honorable John W. O'Rourke Councilman, Seventh District 4 North Center Place Dundalk, Maryland 21222

RE: Stansbury Road and Stansbury Road Case No. 81-166-V Case No. 77-147-TV

Dear Councilman O'Rourke:

Please be advised that the above captioned matters has been scheduled for a violation hearing on Monday, January 5, 1981 at 10:30 a.m., in Room 106, County Office Building, 111 West Chesapeake Avenue, Towson, Maryland 21204.

Also, please note that no postponements will be granted without good and sufficient reasons. All requests for postponements must be in writing. Absolutely no postponements will be granted within seven (7) days of the scheduled hearing date.

Very truly yours,

WILLIAM E. HAMMOND Zoning Commissioner

WEH:eoh

cc: File

VIOLATION/INSPECTION RECOL Date: 12/10/80 Inspector: 1. Thomason SW/ Cor. Chesterwood MI-IM Location: 5/4Nskur District: (2 | Int./Landmark: In-Person Structure: Apartment Dwelling Letter Other Pick-Up Phone: () Complaintant: Address: Phone: () Attorney: Address: (). Occupant: Phone: Address: () Owner: Walter Realty INVENT Phone: Address: clo Corporation Trust INC. 1st /D. BLOG. arles St. Balt AD. 21201 Phone: HENrietta B Address: DETAILS OF COMPLAINT: Spicial Exceptions NOT VAMO INSPECTOR'S REPORT Day: Thurs. Date: Time: 3:03 P= INITIAL INSPECTION Section (s): 102.1 101 Truck Fac. 410 A. 1A.1 Probable Violation: Yes No Non-Conforming Use Claimed: Yes No El Year: 410 A. 10.2; 410 A. 10.1; 410 A. 10.2;
Property being putilized to store youtamer 00.4; 500.9; 253.1 Day Card - Correction: No. Photos: SET FOR HEARING RE-INSPECTION Date: Time: Day: Thurs. were in this coud. SINCE Close Case () Set for Hearing (No. Photos: Day: Tues. Date: RE-INSPECTION 11/2 5/80 Time: call-ier No. Photos: Day: 10ES. RE-INSPECTION Date: Time x) garlluc

SUMMONS (V)

No. Photos: 3

FOR BOARD OF APPEALS/DISTRICT COURT Case No. 77-147TV Location: 5 Tansbury Old 5W/ Cor Chesterwood RE-INSPECTION WED. Date: 121 Dav: රිට Time: sas sed ws was very Close Case () Set for District Court () No. Photos: RE-INSPECTION Day: Date: Time: Close Case () Set For District Court () No. Photos: RE-INSPECTION Day: Date: Time: Close Case () Set for District Court () No. Photos: RE-INSPECTION Day: Date: Time: Close Case () Set for District Court () No. Photos: RE-INSPECTION Day: Date: Time: Close Case () Set for District Court () No. Photos: RE-INSPECTION Day: Date: Time: Close Case () Set for District Court () No. Photos: RE-INSPECTION Day: Date: Time: Close Case () Set for District Court () No. Photos: RE-INSPECTION Day: Date: Time: Close Case () Set for District Court () No. Photos:

I LOW-UP INSPECTION RECORD



OFFICE OF THE ZONING COMMISSIONER


494 - 335

SUMMONS

ISSUED TO:
ADDRESS: %
<u> 1993 Indiability Fall Indiability Table 1</u>
<u> </u>
TO APPEAR AND TESTIFY IN THE MATTER OF AN
ALLEGED ZONING VIOLATION LOCATED AT:
INVOLVING SECTION(S):
<u> </u>
CASE NO. C HEARING DATE :
HEARING TIME:A.M./PM.
ROOM 106, BALTO. CO. OFFICE BUILDING
BE PROMPT IN YOUR ATTENDANCE, OTHERWISE YOU
WILL BE ATTACHED
ISSUED BY:DATE:

		* 1 J . 1 J . 1 J				
	pector:	Jim thom	B .	1	A CONCURSION OF THE PARTY OF TH	. c-77-14
Coning: ML-IM	Locatio	on: Chartern	<u> β Ιρου</u>	<u> </u>	14 15c	<u>CIAC</u>
District: 124 Int.	/Landmark:					
Source: In-Person		Structure: Ap	partment		1 }	
Letter		Dτ	velling	$-\Box$		
Pick-Up		Of	ther	7500	e leam	WAL CLASS
				7/1		
) Complaintant:/			b.		one:	<u> </u>
ddress: /		14:60			,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
		1		/		<u>,,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,,</u>
) Attorney:/			ļ	/ Ph	one:	
ddress:				/		
\ Oggunant:	***************************************			Pr	one:	
) Occupant/: ddress:						-
uuress:		\			·-····	
	1			/ Int	none:	
		STEES JUL		/	ione.	
ddress: 433	Title Buil		/			
Balt.	MD. 2120			· T		
) Attorney: R.	Samuel Ja	H Keal	Ager) !	Pl	none:	
ddress:			\ <u>\\</u>			
ETAILS OF COMPLAIN	NT:					
			\ /			
				** of the first the second sec		
			/\			
		INSPECTOR'S	1 \1		ime: '	2 ·
ΝΙΤΡΊΧΙ ΙΝΟΌΡΟΤΟΝ	Day: Thu				LINE .	<u>る、03</u>
NITIAL INDIBOTION	Day: Thu		<u> </u>		\	
robable Violation:	Yes No D	Section (s	s): 102.1	:101 True	L. FAC.	410A.1A.1
robable Violation:	Yes No	Section (s	s): 102.1 r: 410A 1.	; 101 True A.Z.; 410	L. FAC.	410A.1A.1
robable Violation:	Yes No No Claimed: Yes	Section (s	s): 102.1	; 101 True A.Z.; 410	L. FAC.	410A.1A.1
robable Violation:	Yes No	Section (s	s): 102.1 r: 410A 1.	; 101 True A.Z.; 410	L. FAC.	410A.1A.1
robable Violations on-Conforming Hope below with	Yes No Claimed: Yes	Section (s	s): 102.1 r: 410A 1. 500.	; 101 True A.Z.; 410 7	L FAC. A.ID.I;	410 <u>4.14.1</u> ; 410 4.1
robable Violations on-Conforming Hope below with	Yes No Claimed: Yes	Section (s	s): 102.1 r: 410A 1.	; 101 True A.Z.; 410 7	L FAC. A.ID.I;	410A.1A.1
robable Violations on Conforming Use Lewer with Particular Part	Yes No Claimed: Yes	Section (s Not Yea Finder	(s): 102.1 r: 410A 1. 500.	; 101 True 4.2; 410 7	L FAC. A.ID.1;	410 <u>4.14.1</u> ; 410 4.1
end Day Card	Yes No Claimed: Yes Sore - Correction Day:	Section (s Not Yea Finder Date:	102.1 r: 4104 1. 500.	; 101 True A.Z.; 410 7	A.ID.I	410A.1A.1 410A.1
end Day Card	Yes No Claimed: Yes Yes Correction	Section (s Not Yea F /lans Date:	102.1 r: 4104 1. 500.	; 101 True A.Z.; 410 7	No. Pho	410A.1A.1 410A.1
end Day Card	Yes No Claimed: Yes Sore - Correction Day:	Section (s Not Yea Finder Date:	102.1 r: 4104 1. 500.	;101 True A.Z; 410 7 51.11 6	No. Pho	410A.1A.1 410A.1 5tos: 7 3:45
end Day Card E-INSPECTION lose Case () wt.	Yes No Claimed: Yes Sore - Correction Day: Thur	Section (s Not Yea Finder Date:	102.1 r: 4104 1. 500.	;101 True A.Z; 410 7 51.11 6	No. Pho	410A.1A.1 410A.1
end Day Card E-INSPECTION lose Case () wt.	Yes No Claimed: Yes Sore - Correction Day: Hun	Section (s Not Yea Finally Solution Date:	102.1 r: 4104 1. 500.	; 101 True A.Z; 410 7	No. Pho	410A.1A.1 410A.1 5tos: 7 3:45
end Day Card E-INSPECTION Close Case ()	Yes No Claimed: Yes Sore - Correction Day: Thur	Section (s Not Yea Finder Date:	102.1 r: 4104 1. 500.	; 101 True A.Z; 410 7	No. Pho	410A.1A.1 410A.1 5tos: 7 3:45
end Day Card E-INSPECTION Close Case ()	Yes No Claimed: Yes Sore - Correction Day: Hun	Section (s Not Yea Finally Solution Date:	102.1 r: 4104 1. 500.	; 101 True A.Z; 410 7	No. Pho	410A.1A.1 410A.1 5tos: 7 3:45
end Day Card E-INSPECTION lose Case () wt.	Yes No Claimed: Yes Sore - Correction Day: Hun	Section (s Not Yea Finally Solution Date:	102.1 r: 4104 1. 500.	; 101 True A.Z; 410 7	No. Pho	410A.1A.1 410A.1 5tos: 7 3:45
end Day Card E-INSPECTION lose Case () wt.	Yes No Claimed: Yes Sore - Correction Day: Hun	Section (s Not Yea Finally Solution Date:	102.1 r: 4104 1. 500.	101 True 4.2; 410 7 51.11 6	No. Pho Time: No. Pho	410A.1A.1 410A.1 5tos: 7 3:45
end Day Card E-INSPECTION lose Case () wt.	Yes No Claimed: Yes Sore - Correction Day: Hun	Section (s Note: N	102.1 r: 4104 1. 500.	101 True 4.2; 410 7 5+11 6	No. Pho Time: No. Pho	410A.1A.1 410A.1 5tos: 7 3:45
end Day Card E-INSPECTION lose Case () wt.	Yes No Claimed: Yes Sore - Correction Day: Hun	Section (s Not Yea Finally Solution Date:	102.1 r: 4104 1. 500.	101 True 4.2; 410 7 5+11 6	No. Pho Time: No. Pho	410A.1A.1 410A.1 5tos: 7 3:45
end Day Card E-INSPECTION Close Case () et for Hearing (E-INSPECTION	Claimed: Yes Claimed: Yes Correction Day: Day:	Section (s Note: N	102.1 r: 4104 1. 500.	101 True 4.2; 410 7 5+11 6	No. Pho Time: No. Pho	410A.1A.1 410A.1 5tos: 7 3:45
end Day Card E-INSPECTION Close Case () E-INSPECTION Close Case () CE-INSPECTION	Claimed: Yes Claimed: Yes Correction Day: Day:	Section (s Note: N	102.1 r: 4104 1. 500.	101 True 4.2; 410 7 5+11 6	No. Pho Time: No. Pho	410A.1A.1 410A.1 5tos: 7 3:45
end Day Card E-INSPECTION Close Case () E-INSPECTION Close Case () CE-INSPECTION	Claimed: Yes Claimed: Yes Correction Day: Day:	Section (s Note: N	102.1 r: 4104 1. 500.	101 True 4.2; 410 7 5+11 6	No. Pho Time: No. Pho	410A.1A.1 410A.1 5tos: 7 3:45
robable Violation: con_Conforming Use con_Conforming con_Conforming Use con_Con_Conforming Use con_Conforming Use con_Con_Conforming Use con_Conforming Use con_Con_Conforming Use con_Conforming Use con_Conforming Use con_Con_Conforming Use con_Con_Con_Con_Con_Con_Con_Con_Con_Con_C	Claimed: Yes Claimed: Yes Correction Day: Day:	Section (s Note: N	102.1 r: 4104 1. 500.	101 True 4.2; 410 7 51.11 6	No. Pho Time: No. Pho	410A.1A.1 410A.1 otos: 7 otos:
robable Violation: on:Conforming Head end Day Card E-INSPECTION Close Case () M. Set for Hearing (RE-INSPECTION RE-INSPECTION	Claimed: Yes Claimed: Yes Correction Day: Day:	Section (s Note: N	102.1 r: 4104 1. 500.	101 True 4.2; 410 7 51.11 6	No. Pho Time: No. Pho Time:	410A.1A.1 410A.1 otos: 7 otos:
Send — Day Card RE-INSPECTION Close Case () Set for Hearing (RE-INSPECTION	Claimed: Yes Claimed: Yes Correction Day: Day:	Section (s Note: N	102.1 r: 4104 1. 500.	101 True 1.2; 410 2 51.11 6	No. Pho Time: No. Pho Time:	410A.1A.1 tos: 7 3:45 otos:

	ZONII VIOLA	TION INSPECTI	ON RECU	建设计划的 中国的中国的中国的中国中国的中国中国的中国中国的中国中国的中国的中国的中国的中国	en e
	spector:	Jim thom	has a	No. 0	
Moning: ML-IM	Location	on: Chartern	oal & 5	tanslowny Ros	D
District: 12 Int	./Landmark:				
Source: In-Perso	n 🗌	Structure: Ap	artment		
Letter			elling		•
Pick-Up	7		her	Mrsde Termino	1 Classi
() Complaintant:				Phone:	
Address:		11.00)		
		1	**************************************		
() Attorney:			1	Phone:	
Address:					· :
() Occupant:		\	7	Phone:	
Address:				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u> </u>
	-				
() Owner: Scha	eler Inous	STEES INC.		Phone:	
Address: 433	Title Bul			1110110	
Balt.					
() Attorney: R.	MD. 21207 Samuel Ja		1	Phone:	
Address:	SHIVE UR	TT KPO.	Hagen 1	I E TOTTE :	·
		\leftarrow			
DETAILS OF COMPLAI	NT:				
	解 病技能设计与1:地层11-1号和7次元素的原件158至4688892-259738868	ESTADORISM (INSTITUTOR PRODUCTION (INSTITUTOR PRODUCTION IN THE PR			
		arangaan la e	or species from any construction of the state of the stat	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	[西北西安安山安安 西西西西西安 1年 1月25年 1月49年 月
	TO SECURE AND LOCAL LABORATE SECURE S	NSPECTOR'S R	EPART		
INITIAL INSPECTION	CONTRACTOR OF COLUMN TO SERVICE AND SERVIC		EPORT	Time: 3	oranganananango DS
	Day: Thus	5. Date:	1/1/28		ina и ме ⁴⁷ МВ У Что и може роздолоров до м 1, и м 1, у 1
Probable Violation	Day: Thus : Yes□ No□	5. Date: Section(s)	· [14]>8 : _102.1;	101 Truck-FAC. 410	A.14.1;
Probable Violation	Day: Thung: Yes No D	5. Date: Section(s)	· [14]>8 : _102.1;	101 Truck-FAC. 410	A.14.1;
Probable Violation	Day: Hun Yes No No Claiped: Yes I	Section(s) Nother	90 111. 1.501 : Al. AGIP :	101 Truck-FAC. 410	A.14.1;
Probable Violation	Day: Thung: Yes No D	Section(s) Nother	90 111. 1.501 : Al. AGIP :	101 Truck-FAC. 410	1.14.1
Probable Violation	Day: Hun : Yes No D Clained: Yes I	Section(s) Note Year Andreas	90 111. 1.501 : Al. AGIP :	101 Truck-FAC. 410	A.IA.I;
Probable Violation: Non-Conforming Use Conforming U	Day: Thun : Yes No No Claimed: Yes No Son	Section(s) Section(s) Note Year Andreas	1 102.1; : 102.1; : 4104.14 500.7	101 Truck Fac. 410.2; 410 A.10.1; 4	A.IA.I; (10 A.VD.: : 7
Probable Violation: Non-Conforming Use Conforming U	Day: Hun : Yes No D Clained: Yes I	Section(s) Section(s) Note Year Andreas	: 102.1; : 4104.14 500.7	No. Photos	A.IA.;
Probable Violation: Non-Conforming Use Conforming U	Day: Thun : Yes No No Claimed: Yes No Son	Section(s) Section(s) Note Year Andreas	1 102.1; : 102.1; : 4104.14 500.7	101 Truck Fac. 410.2; 410 A.10.1; 4	A.IA.I; 110 A.VD. : 7
Probable Violation: Non-Conforming Use Conforming U	Day: Thun : Yes No No Claimed: Yes No Son	Section(s) Section(s) Note Year Confaire Alons Storle Date:	1 102.1; : 102.1; : 4104.14 500.7	No. Photos	A.IA.I; 110 A.VD. : 7
Probable Violation: Non-Conforming Use Conforming U	Day: Thun Yes No Claimed: Yes Correction: Day: Thun Day: Thun	Section(s) Section(s) Note Year Confaire Alons Storle Date:	1 102.1; : 102.1; : 4104.14 500.7	No. Photos Time: 3:	: 7 45
Probable Violation: Non-Conforming Use Conforming Use Send — Day Card RE-INSPECTION Close Case () Mill Set for Hearing ()	Day: Thun Yes No Claimed: Yes Correction: Day: Thun Day: Thun	Section (s) Section (s) Note Year fra. lors Section (s) Note Year Ara. lors Ara. lors Section (s)	1 102.1; : 102.1; : 4104.14 500.7	No. Photos No. Photos No. Photos	: 7 45
Probable Violation Non-Conforming Use Conforming Use Send Day Card RE-INSPECTION Close Case () with	Day: Thun Yes No Claimed: Yes Correction: Day: Thun Day: Thun	Section(s) Section(s) Note Year Confaire Alons Storle Date:	1 102.1; : 102.1; : 4104.14 500.7	No. Photos Time: 3:	: 7 45
Probable Violation Non-Conforming Use Conforming Use Send Day Card RE-INSPECTION Close Case () wt Set for Hearing ()	Day: Thun Yes No Claimed: Yes Correction: Day: Thun Day: Thun One Correction:	Section (s) Section (s) Note Year fra. lors Section (s) Note Year Ara. lors Ara. lors Section (s)	1 102.1; : 102.1; : 4104.14 500.7	No. Photos No. Photos No. Photos	: 7 45
Probable Violation Non-Conforming Use Conforming Use Send Day Card RE-INSPECTION Close Case () wt Set for Hearing ()	Day: Thun Yes No Claimed: Yes Correction: Day: Thun Day: Thun One Correction:	Section (s) Section (s) Note Year fra. lors Section (s) Note Year Ara. lors Ara. lors Section (s)	1 102.1; : 102.1; : 4104.14 500.7	No. Photos No. Photos No. Photos	: 7 45
Probable Violation: Non-Conforming Use Conforming Use Send Day Card RE-INSPECTION Close Case () wt Set for Hearing ()	Day: Thun Yes No Claimed: Yes Correction: Day: Thun Day: Thun One Correction:	Section (s) Section (s) Note Year fra. lors Section (s) Note Year Arabay Arabay Section (s) Note Year Arabay Arabay	1 102.1; : 102.1; : 4104.14 500.7	No. Photos Time: 3: No. Photos Time: 3:	: 7 45
Probable Violation: Non-Conforming Use Conforming Use Send — Day Card RE-INSPECTION Close Case () Mt. (Set for Hearing ()	Day: Thun Yes No Claimed: Yes Correction: Day: Thun Day: Thun One Correction:	Section (s) Section (s) Note Year fra. lors Section (s) Note Year Arabay Arabay Section (s) Note Year Arabay Arabay	1 102.1; : 102.1; : 4104.14 500.7	No. Photos No. Photos No. Photos	: 7 45
Probable Violation: Non-Conforming Use Cook Send Day Card RE-INSPECTION Close Case () Mt. Set for Hearing ()	Day: Thun Yes No Claimed: Yes Correction: Day: Thun Day: Thun One Correction:	Section (s) Section (s) Note Year fra. lors Section (s) Note Year Arabay Arabay Section (s) Note Year Arabay Arabay	1 102.1; : 102.1; : 4104.14 500.7	No. Photos Time: 3: No. Photos Time: 3:	: 7 45
Probable Violation Non-Conforming Use Conforming Card RE-INSPECTION Close Case () Mill RE-INSPECTION	Day: Thun Yes No Claimed: Yes Sorr Correction: Day: Thun Day:	Section(s) Section(s) Note Year Andres Andres Date:	1 102.1; : 102.1; : 4104.14 500.7	No. Photos Time: 3: No. Photos Time: No. Photos	: 7 45
Probable Violation Non-Conforming Use Conforming Card RE-INSPECTION Close Case () Mill RE-INSPECTION	Day: Thun Yes No Claimed: Yes Sorr Correction: Day: Thun Day:	Section(s) Section(s) Note Year Andres Andres Date:	1 102.1; : 102.1; : 4104.14 500.7	No. Photos Time: 3: No. Photos Time: No. Photos	: 7 45
Probable Violation Non-Conforming Use Conforming Use Send — Day Card RE-INSPECTION Close Case () Mill Set for Hearing () RE-INSPECTION	Day: Thun Yes No Claimed: Yes Sorr Correction: Day: Thun Day:	Section(s) Section(s) Note Year Andres Andres Date:	1 102.1; : 102.1; : 4104.14 500.7	No. Photos Time: 3: No. Photos Time: No. Photos	: 7 45
Probable Violation Non-Conforming Use Conforming Card RE-INSPECTION Close Case () Mill RE-INSPECTION	Day: Thun Yes No Claimed: Yes Sorr Correction: Day: Thun Day:	Section(s) Section(s) Note Year Andres Andres Date:	1 102.1; : 102.1; : 4104.14 500.7	No. Photos Time: No. Photos Time: No. Photos Time:	: 7 45
Probable Violation Non-Conforming Use Non-Conforming Use Non-Conforming Use Non-Conforming Use Send Day Card RE-INSPECTION Close Case () Mill Set for Hearing () RE-INSPECTION RE-INSPECTION	Day: Thun Yes No Claimed: Yes Sorr Correction: Day: Thun Day:	Section(s) Section(s) Note Year Andres Andres Date:	1 102.1; : 102.1; : 4104.14 500.7	No. Photos Time: No. Photos Time: No. Photos Time: No. Photos	: 7 45
Probable Violation Non-Conforming Use Conforming Card RE-INSPECTION Close Case () Mill RE-INSPECTION	Day: Thun Yes No Claimed: Yes Sorr Correction: Day: Thun Day:	Section(s) Section(s) Note Year Andres Andres Date:	1 102.1; : 102.1; : 4104.14 500.7	No. Photos Time: No. Photos Time: No. Photos Time:	: 7 45
Probable Violation Non-Conforming Use Non-Conforming Use Non-Conforming Use Non-Conforming Use Send Day Card RE-INSPECTION Close Case () Mill Set for Hearing () RE-INSPECTION RE-INSPECTION	Day: Thun Yes No Claimed: Yes Sorr Correction: Day: Thun Day:	Section(s) Section(s) Note Year Andres Andres Date:	1 102.1; : 102.1; : 4104.14 500.7	No. Photos Time: No. Photos Time: No. Photos Time: No. Photos	: 7 45





OFFICE OF THE ZONING COMMISSIONER

SUMMONS
ADDRESS: Co Schaefer Trepsties Isic
CBIL MD 21202
TO APPEAR AND TESTIFY IN THE MATTER OF AN
CALLEGED ZONING VIOLATION LOCATED AT
INVOLVING SECTION(S): 1020 101 4104.102
Joseph PAC. CLASSITY
CASE NO. C- HEARING DATE 4/27/7
HEARING TIME: S. S. A.M./PM.
ROOM 106, BALTO. CO. OFFICE BUILDING
BE PROMPT IN YOUR ATTENDANCE, OTHERWISE YOU
WILL BE ATTACHED
ISSUED BY: DATE: 4//2/17