

APR 1 1 1962

Pursuant to the advertisement, posting of property, and public hearing on the Petition and kill appearing that strict compliance with the Baltimore County Zoning Regulations would/would not result in practical difficulty and unreasonable hardship upon the Petitioner(s) and the granting of the variance(s) requested will/will not adversely affect the health, safety, and general welfare of the community, the variance(s) should /should not be granted. Therefore, IT IS ORDERED by the Zoning Commissioner of Baltimore County, this ----Ch. And the state of the state of the The same of the second CHARLE WE SHALL BE A MANAGE The stop was an amount of the total property line instead of the required 22 feet be and is hereby GRANTED, from and after the date of this Order, subject to the following: 1. The Petitioners may apply for their building permit and be granted same upon receipt of this Order; however, Petitioners are hereby made aware that proceeding at this time is at their own risk until such time as the applicable appellate process from this Order has expired. If, for whatever reason, this Order is reversed, the Petitioners would be required to return, and be responsible for returning, said property to its original condition. AJ/srl cc: 1'r. & Mrs. Frank F. Haetler Ms. Roseann Collins People's Counsel

AJ/srl

BALTIMORE COUNTY, MARYLAND INTER-OFFICE CORRESPONDENCE Arnold Jablon TO Zoning Commissioner August 30, 1985 Norman E. Gerber, Director FROM Office of Planning and Zoning SUBJECT Zoning Petition No. 86-99-A Assuming compliance with the requirements set forth in the Critical Area comments dated August 28, 1985 (Gerber to J-vlon), this office is not opposed to the granting of the subject request. Norman E. Gerbey, Director Office of Planning and Zoning NEG:JGH:slm IN RE: PETITION ZONING VARIANCE E/S of Holly Circle, 351.67' ZONING COMMISSIONER S of Sue Creek Circle (113 Holly Circle) - 15th OF BALTIMORE COUNTY Election District Case No. 86-99-A Frank F. Haetler, et ux, Petitioners * AMENDED ORDER

IT IS ORDERED by the Zoning Commissioner of Baltimore County, this day of September, 1985, that the Order dated September 12, 1985 be and is hereby AMENDED to include the following restriction: 2. Baltimore County has reviewed this application involving property located in the Maryland Chesapeake Bay Critical Area, and as a result, the Petitioners are required to plant two conifers or four minor deciduous trees on the lot in order to provide environmental benefits in regard to the goals and specific requirements of the Critical Area program.

cc: Mr. & Mrs. Frank F. Haetler Ms. Roseann Collins People's Counsel

IN RE: PETITION ZONING VARIANCE E/S of Holly Circle, 351.67 ZONING COMMISSIONER S of Sue Creek Circle (113 Holly Circle) - 15th OF BALTIMORE COUNTY Election District Case No. 86-99-A Frank F. Haetler, et ux, Petitioners *

The Petitioners herein request a variance to permit an accessory structure (garage) to be set back zero feet from the side property line instead of the required 21 feet.

FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Petitioners appeared and testified. Roseann Collins appeared and testified as a Protestant and represented three other Protestants.

At the onset of the hearing, the Petitioners moved to amend the Petition to request a setback of one foot, as more particularly shown on Petitioners' Ex-

Testimony indicated that the subject property, zoned D.R.5.5, measures 60' x 115' and is improved with a two-story dwelling which faces Holly Circle. The Petitioners constructed a 12' x 28' garage on the south side of their property, but after a complaint was filed by the adjoining property owner, it was discovered that the contractor had constructed the garage only one foot from the property line. The Petitioners testified that the adjoining property owner to the who had originally complained, was not opposed to the requested vari-

He Protestants are concerned that if the variance were granted, other homeowners will construct accessory structures on, or close to, their property

He Petitioners seek relief from Section 400.1, pursuant to Section 307, Baltimore County Zoning Regulations (BCZR).

> PETITION FOR VARIANCE 15th Election District

East side of Holly Circle, 351.67' South of Sue Creek Circle (113 Holly Circle) LOCATION:

Tuesday, September 10, 1985 at 9:30 a.m. DATE AND TIME: Room 106, County Office Building, 111 West Chesapeake Avenue, Towson, Maryland PUBLIC HEARING: The Zoning Commissioner of Baltimore County, by authority of the Zoning Act and Regulations of Baltimore County, will hold a public hearing:

Petition for Variance from Section 400.1 to allow the accessory structure to be zero feet from the side lot line in lieu of the required 2-1/2 feet.

Being the property of Frank F. Haetler, et ux the plat filed with the Zoning Office.

In the event that this Petition is granted, a building permit may be issued within the thirty (30) day appeal period. The Zoning Commissioner will, however, entertain any request for a stay of the issuance of said permit during this period for good cause shown. Such request must be received in writing by the date of the hearing set above or made at the hearing.

BY ORDER OF ARNOLD JABLON ZONING COMMISSIONER OF BALTIMORE COUNTY

An area variance may be granted where strict application of the zoning regulation would cause practical difficulty to the petitioner and his property. McLean v. Soley, 270 Mg. 208 (1973). To prove practical difficulty for an area variance, the petitioner must meet the following:

- 1. whether strict compliance with requirement would unreasonably prevent the use of the property for a permitted purpose or render conformance unnecessarily burdensome;
- 2. whether the grant would do substantial injustice to applicant as well as other property owners in the district or whether a lesser relaxation than that applied for would give substantial relief; and
- 3. whether relief can be granted in such fashion that the spirit of the ordinance will be observed and public safety and welfare secured.

Anderson v. Bd. of Appeals, Town of Chesapeake Beach, 22 Md. App. 28 (1974).

It is clear from the testimony that if the variance were granted, such use as proposed would not be contrary to the spirit of the BCZR and would not result in substantial detriment to the public good.

After due consideration of the testimony and evidence presented, it is clear that a practical difficulty or unreasonable hardship would result if the instant variance were not granted. It has been established that the requirement from which the Petitioners seek relief would unduly restrict the use of the land due to the special conditions unique to this particular parcel. In addition, V the variance requested will not be detrimental to the public health, safety, and general welfare.

Pursuant to the advertisement, posting of the property, and public hearing on this Petition held, and for the reasons given above, the requested variance should be granted.

Therefore, IT IS ORDERED by the Zoning Commissioner of Baltimore County, 12 th day of September, 1985, that the Petition for Zoning Variance to permid an accessory structure (garage) to be set back one foot from the side

Zoning Description

Beginning on the east side of Helly Circle 60 feet wide, at the distance of 351.67 feet south of Sue Creek Drive. Being Let 138, in the subdivision of Sue Creek Landing. Liber E.H.K., Jr. No. 48 Folio 9.
Also known as 113 Helly Circle. In the 15th Election District.

