PETITION FOR ZONING VILLINGE

TO THE ZONING COMMISSIONER OF BALTIMORE COUNTY:

The undersigned, legal owner(s) of the property situate in Baltimore County and which is described in the description and plat attached hereto and made a part hereof, hereby petition for a Variance from Section 413.2f to allow a free-standing

replacement, relocated, double-face I.D. Sign IN of 181.3 Sq. Ft. in lieu of the allowed 100 Sq. Ft. of the Zoning Regulations of Baltimore County, to the Zoning Law of Baltimore County; for the NA? NE-9E

following reasons: (indicate hardship or practical difficulty)

1. Better visibility for the motoring public.

logo and image.

2. To conform to the 6' sign set back requirement. 3. To convert the existing BP sign, price box and pole to Crown's I.D.

Property is to be posted and advertised as prescribed by Zoning Regulations.

I, or we, agree to pay expenses of above Variance advertising, posting, etc., upon filing of this E 24, 100 petition, and further agree to and are to be bound by the zoning regulations and restrictions of Baltimore County adopted pursuant to the Zoning Law For Baltimore County,

> I/We do solemnly declare and affirm, under the penalties of perjury, that I/we are the legal owner(s) of the property which is the subject of this Petition.

Crown Central Petroleum Corporation

Miami, Florida 33137

Name, address and phone number of legal owner, con-

G. K. Holmes, Real Estate Representative

tract purchaser or representative to be contacted

Crown Contral Petroleum Corporation

1 N. Charles Street-21201 539-7400

G.K. HOLMES

De

K 2

30

BATE.

0

including the entire face or faces.

Signature G. K. Holmes

4770 Biscayne Boulevard

Legal Owner(s): Contract Durchaser: Vessee Gowr Central Potro lean Corp UTF - Maryland Limited Partnership Signature

PO BOX 1168 Baltimore Md 21203

Attorney for Petitioner: Andrew Lapayowker (Type or Print Name) Angew Aspayantin

ار المعترون المدينون

1 N. Charles Street Raltimore, MD 21201

Attorney's Telephone No.: ___539-7400

RDERED By The Zoning Commissioner of Baltimore County, this ____29th______ day 19_86_, that the subject matter of this petition be advertised, as

of _________, 19_86_, that the subject matter of this petition be advertised, as required by the Zoning Law of Baltimore County, in two newspapers of general circulation throughout Baltimore County, that property be posted, and that the public hearing be had before the Zoning Complissioner of Baltimore County in Room 106, County Office Building in Towson, Baltimore County, on the ____4th ____ day of ____ June ____, 19 86 , at _9:30 o'clock

Zoning Commissioner of Baltimore County.

9610HEFFORDRO +JOHARD MO-74

299, 302 (1949), '[a] dherence to the meaning of words does not require or permit isolation of words from their context *** [since] the meaning or the plainest words in a statute may be controlled by the context...' In construing statutes, therefore, results that are unreasonable, illogical or inconsistent with common sense should be avoided whenever possibly consistent with the statutory language, with the real legislative intention prevailing over the intention indicated by the literal meaning. B. F. Saul Co. v. West End Park, 250 Md. 707, 246 A.2d 591 (1968); Sanza v. Md. Board of Censors, 245 Md. 319, 226 A.2d 317 (1967); Height v. State, supra.

In applying these principles to the BCZR, particularly Section 413.2, the conclusion is inescapable that under the plain wording of Section 413.2, the policy as described above is in conflict and therefore wrong.

The intent of the BCZR must be determined as being construed as a whole. See Smith v. Miller, 249 Md. 390. Thus, the specific language delineating the use regulations in Section 413, BCZR, must be construed in light of all of the provisions concerning signs so that the several parts of those regulations are given their intended effect. Moreover, the relationship between those various provisions regulating signs must be reconciled as a whole. See Smith, supra; Bowie Vol. Fire Depart. & Rescue Squad, Inc. v. Bd. of County Commissioners, 255 Md. 381; Anderson, American Law or Zoning, Section 16.08.

Only Section 413.2.e, BCZR, permits a multiple-faced sign to be considered as one and that is limited to an identification sign for a shopping center or other interpreted group of stores or commercial buildings. The introductory language of Section 413.2 does not provide the right to treat all business signs alike. The language is not all inclusive nor all permissive. It provides a presable to the section's intent and conditions any permission to place a business sign of a particular size in only those business or

498, 499, 500, 501, 503, 504,

BEFORE THE IN RE: PETITIONS ZONING VARIANCE ZONING COMMISSIONER UTF - Maryland Limited Partnership, OF BALTIMORE COUNTY Property Owner Case Nos. 86-495, 496, 497,

Property Owner

and 505-A Case No. 86-502-A William J. Schafer, et ux,

FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

* * * * * * * * * *

The Petitioner herein requests a variance in each of the above-referenced cases to permit a free-standing business sign totaling 181.3 square feet in lieu of the permitted 100 square feet, as more particularly described on Petitioner's Exhibits 1, respectively marked in each case.

The Petitioner, by Stephen Broache, Engineering Manager; Bernard Mannion, Real Estate Representative; and G. Kenneth Holmes, Real Estate Consultant, all of whom represented Crown Central Petroleum Corporation (Crown), appeared and testified and were represented by Counsel. Mary Ginn, The Alliance of Baltimore County Community Councils, Inc., appeared in opposition.

Testimony indicated that 10 of the 11 gas stations presented are existing BP stations recently taken over by Crown and that it proposes to replace the existing BP station identification signs with its own. The eleventh gas station, Case No. 86-504-A, is an abandoned BP station which will be renovated and reopened as a Crown station. In each case, the existing sign will be replaced in its entirety with the standard Crown sign containing 181.3 square feet which includes the Crown logo containing 104.3 square feet, the price sign containing 36 square feet, and the structure holding the signs, which by ts monstruction is part of the overall sign, containing 41 square feet. In

0

such interpretation must conclude that the Baltimore County Council intended

each face of a sign to be counted, except for shopping center identification

signs. Section 413.5.a, BCZR, buttresses this clear and unequivocal reading,

i.e., the size of any sign is computed by determining its surface area

phrase shall be rendered surplusage, superfluous, meaningless or nugatory."

Supervisor v. Southgate Harbor, 279 Md. 586 (1977). If all multiple-faced

business signs were to be considered as one, the language of Section 413.2.e

long-standing and customary application of the policy which considers all

multiple-faced business signs as one should be disregarded for the strongest

and most urgent of reasons, i.e., the policy conflicts with the plain meaning

of the statute. Smith v. Higinbothom, 187 Md. 115. If after computation, the

two sides of the multiple-faced business sign exceed the permitted size as

The Petitioner seeks relief from Sections 405.4.A.2.a and 413.2.f.

An area variance may be granted where strict application of the zoning

regulation would cause practical difficulty to the petitioner and his

property. McLean v. Soley, 270 Md. 208 (1973). To prove practical difficulty

whether strict compliance with requirement would unreasonably prevent the use of the property for a

permitted purpose or render conformance unneces-

whether the grant would do substantial injustice

to applicant as well as other property owners in

an area variance, the petitioner must meet the following:

The language of Section 413.2 is clear and unambiguous; therefore, the

would be meaningless, or at the very least, superfluous.

delineated by law, a variance shall be required.

sarily burdensome;

pursuant to Section 307, BCZR.

An ordinance should be construed "so that no word, clause, sentence, or

ten of the sites, the square footage of existing signs will be reduced anywhere from 5.3 square feet to 227.9 square feet, inasmuch as two sites with two signs will have been replaced by one. Only on one site will there be an

All of the existing signs were erected at a time when the policy of the Zoning Commissioner was to compute only one side of a multi-faced sign; therefore, if a sign had less than 100 square feet per side, the sign was legal. If the requests here were denied, the Petitioner would only need to replace the actual logo and leave the size of the signs as they are. The Petitioner does not like the existing sign and believes its sign is better suited to the sites, both aesthetically and practically. The policy has been changed and all sides of a sign are now computed in accordance with the language of Section 413.2, BCZR.

increase, Case No. 86-495-A, from 121.4 square feet to 181.3 square feet.

For many years, a Zoning Office policy permitted both sides of a multiple-faced business sign to be computed as one for the purpose of determining the size permitted. If a business sign such as the multiplefaced, free-standing sign proposed here was computed to be 98 square feet on each side, past policy would have counted only one side. Section 413.2.f, BCZR, permits other business signs if limited to a total area of 100 square feet, and therefore, a sign such as described above would be permitted as a matter or right without the need for a variance. Of course, if both sides

were counted for a total of 196 square feet, a variance would be required. This interpretation does not comport to either the language or the intent the BCZR. By their inherent nature, policies facilitate and improve the implementation of procedures, but they are equally subject to alteration, modification, or revision in accordance with the authority under which they ard Initially adopted. They may be used to interpret and/or to construe the

law but carnot supplant or contradict the law. Official administrative interpretations of statutes in the form of policy that have long-continued and unvaried application should not be disregarded except for the strongest

reason. Hofmeister v. Frank Realty Co., 373 A.2d 273, 281 (1977). As long as the rules and regulations adopted by the administrative official are reasonable and consistent with the statute, they should be applied. Farber's Inc. v. Comptroller of the Treasury of the State of Maryland, 266 Md. 44

The basic principles of statutory construction were comprehensively set out by the Court of Appeals in State v. Fabritz, 276 Md. 416 (1975), cert. denied, 425 U.S. 942 (1976):

> The cardinal rule in the construction of statutes is to effectuate the real and actual intention of the Legislature. Purifoy v. Merc. Safe Dep. & Trust, 273 Md. 58, 327 A.2d 483 (1974); Scoville Serv., Inc. v. Comptroller, 269 Md. 390, 306 A.2d 534 (1973); Height v. State, 225 Md. 251, 170 A.2d 212 (1961). Equally well settled is the principle that statutes are to be construed reasonably with reference to the purpose to be accomplished, Walker v. Montgomery County, 244 Md. 98, 223 A.2d 181 (1966), and in light of the evils or mischief sought to be remedied. Mitchell v. State, 115 Md. 360, 80 A.2d 1020 (1911); in other words, every statutory enactment must be 'considered in its entirety, and in the context of the purpose underlying [its] enactment, Giant of Md. v. State's Attorney, 267 Md. 501 at 509, 298 A.2d 427, at 432 (1973). Of course, a statute should be construed according to the ordinary and natural import or its language, since it is the language or the statute which constitutes the primary source for determining the legislative intent. Grosvenor v. Supervisor of Assess., 271 Md. 232, 315 A.2d 758 (1974); Height v. State, supra. Where there is no ambiguity or obscurity in the language of a statute, there is usually no need to look elsewhere to ascertain the intention of the Legislature. Purifoy v. Merc.-Safe Deposit & Trust, supra. Thus, where statutory language is plain and free from ambiguity and expresses a definite and sensible meaning, courts are not at liberty to disregard the natural import of words with a view towards making the statute express an intention which is different from its plain meaning. Gatewood v. State, 244 Md. 609, 224 A.2d 677 (1966). On the other hand, as stated in Maguire v. State, 192 Md, 615, 623, 65 A.2d

proceeding at this time is at its own risk until such time as the applicable appellate process from

this Order has expired. If, for whatever reason,

this Order is reversed, the Petitioner would be

required to return, and be responsible for return-

-7-

Baltimore County

ing, said properties to their original condition.

3. whether relief can be granted in such fashion that

Anderson v. Bd. of Appeals, Town of Chesapeake Beach, 22 Md. App. 28 (1974).

uses as proposed would not be contrary to the spirit of the BCZR and would not result in substantial detriment to the public good.

clear that practical difficulties or unreasonable hardships would result if the instant variances were not granted. It has been established that the requirement from which the Petitioner seeks relief would unduly restrict the use of the land due to the special conditions unique to these particular parcels. In addition, the variances requested will not be detrimental to the public health, safety, and general welfare.

Pursuant to the advertisement, posting of the properties, and public hearing on these Petitions held, and for the reasons given above, the requested variances should be granted.

Therefore, IT IS ORDERED by the Zoning Commissioner of Baltimore County, this 9th day of June, 1986, that the Petitions for Zoning Variance to permit a free-standing business sign totaling 181.3 square feet in lieu of the permitted 100 square feet in each of the referenced cases be and are hereby GRENTED, from and after the date of this Order, subject to the following restigtions which are conditions precedent to the relief granted herein:

F()4 5

AJ/srl

cc: Andrew LaPayowker, Esquire

Mrs. Mary Ginn

People's Counsel

BATE

1 X 3

the spirit of the ordinance will be observed and public safety and welfare secured.

the district or whether a lesser relaxation than

that applied for would give substantial relief;

It is clear from the testimony that if the variances were granted, such

After due consideration of the testimony and evidence presented, it is

1. All signs must comply with Section 405.4.A.2.a,

2. The Petitioner may apply for its sign permits and be granted same upon receipt of this Order; however, Petitioner is hereby made aware that

-6-

ZONING DESCRIPTION

Beginning on the NW/Cor. of Harford Road and Joppa Road. Thence: 1. S. 80° 32° 25" W. 35.48° 2. N. 57° 19° 3. W. 183.05°

3. Curve to the R. N. 59° 09' 43" W. 58.83'
4. N. 29° 05' 35" E. 128.13'
5. S. 64° 37' 57" E. 268.53'
6. S. 28° 33' 40" W. 136.70' to the place of Beginning. Containing 38,236 Sq. Ft. in the 9th Elect. District. Also known as 9610 Harford Road.

004

PETITION FOR ZONING VARIANCE

9th Election District

Case No. 86-501-A

LOCATION:

Northwest Corner of Harford Road and Joppa Road (9610 Harford Road)

DATE AND TIME: Wednesday, June 4, 1986, at 9:30 a.m.

PUBLIC HEARING: Room 106, County Office Building, 111 W. Chesapeake Avenue,

The Zoning Commissioner of Baltimore County, by authority of the Zoning Act and Regulations of Baltimore County, will hold a public hearing:

Petition for Zoning Variance to permit a freestanding replacement, relocated, double-face I.D. sign of 181.3 square feet in lieu of the permitted 100 square feet

Being the property of <u>UTF - Maryland Limited Partnership</u>, as shown on plat plan filed with the Zoning Office.

In the event that this Petition(s) is granted, a building permit may be issued within the thirty (30) day appeal period. The Zoning Commissioner will, however, entertain any request for a stay of the issuance of said permit during this period for good cause shown. Such request must be received in writing by the date of the hearing set above or made at the hearing.

> BY ORDER OF ARNOLD JABLON ZONING COMMISSIONER OF BALTIMORE COUNTY

00

00

RE: PETITION FOR VARIANCE NW/Corner of Harford Rd. and Joppa Rd. (9610 Harford Rd.)

: BEFORE THE ZONING COMMISSIONER

9th District UTF - MARYLAND LIMITED OF BALTIMORE COUNTY

: Case No. 86-501-A

PARTNERSHIP, Petitioner ::::::

ENTRY OF APPEARANCE

Please enter the appearance of the People's Counsel in the abovecaptioned matter. Notices should be sent of any hearing dates or other proceedings in this matter and of the passage of any preliminary or final Order.

> Phyllis Cole Friedman People's Counsel for Baltimore County

Peter Max Zimmerman Deputy People's Counsel Room 223, Court House Towson, Maryland 21204

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on this 8th day of May, 1986, a copy of the foregoing Entry of Appearance was mailed to Andrew Lapayowker, Esquire, 1 N. Charles St., Baltimore, MD 21201, Attorney for Petitioner; and G. K. Holmes, Real Estate Representative, Crown Central Petroleum Corporation, P. O. Box 1168, Baltimore, MD 21203, Lessee.

Peter Max Zimmerman

DALTIMORE COUNTY
OFFICE OF PLANNING & ZONING
TOWSON, MARYLAND 21204
494-3353

≥ County, Maryland"

Coning Office, Room

dolar.

104 before the hearing.

CO

ARNOLD JABLON ZONING COMMISSIONER

JEAN M. H. JUNG DEPUTY ZONING COMMISSIONER

- 11-11-

May 28, 1986

Andrew Lapayowker, Esquire 1 North Charles Street Baltimore, Maryland 21201

> RE: PETITIONS FOR ZONING VARIANCE UTF - Maryland Limited Partnership - Petitioner William J. Schafer, et ux - Petitioners Contract Lessee: Crown Central Petroleum Corp. Case Nos. 86-495-A, 86-496-A, 86-497-A, 86-498-A, 86-499-A, 86-500-A, 86-501-A, 86-502-A, 86-503-A, 86-504-A and 86-505-A

Dear Mr. Lapayowker:

This is to advise you that \$736.79 is due for advertising and posting of the properties involved in the above-captioned cases. This fee must be paid before any Orders are issued in these cases.

THIS FEE MUST BE PAID AND THE ZONING SIGNS AND POSTS RETURNED ON THE DAY OF THE HEARING OR THE ORDERS WILL NOT BE ISSUED.

Do <u>not</u> remove the signs from the properties from the time they are placed by this office until the day of the hearing itself.

No. 021727 BALTIMORE COUNTY, MARYLAND OFFICE OF FINANCE - REVENUE DIVISION MISCELLANEOUS CASH RECEIPT R-01-615-000 1-Ck-\$500.00 + 1-Ck-SIGHS & POSTS TO BE RETURNED \$236.79 - \$736.79

VALIDATION OR SIGNATURE OF CASHIER

RECEIVED Crown Central Petroleum Corporation Advertising & Posting re Case Nos. 86-495-A, 96-496-A, 66-497-A, 86-498-A, 86-499-A, 86-500-A, 86-501-A, 35-502-A, 86-503-A, 86-504-A & 86-505-A

B B 011++***73579:a 804%F

CERTIFICATE OF PUBLICATION

PETITION FOR ZONING
VARIANCE
9th Election District
Case No. 86-501-A LOCATION: Northwest Corner of Harford Road and Jopen Road (9610 Harford Road) DATE AND TIME: Wednesday, June 4, 1986, at 9:30 s.m.
PUBLIC HEARING: Room 106 mit a freestanding explacement, resocated, double-face I.D. sign of 181.3 square feet in lieu of the permitted 100 square feet.

Being the property of UTF —
Maryland Limited Partnership, as
shown on plat plan filed with the Zonstay of the insuance of said permi

By Order Of ARNOLD JABLON, Zoning Commissioner of Beltimore County

TOWSON, MD., May 15, 1986 THIS IS TO CERTIFY, that the annexed advertisement was published in THE JEFFERSONIAN, a weekly newspaper printed and published in Towson, Baltimore County, Md., appearing on May 15 86

Cost of Advertising 24.75

00

Qe Times

was inserted in Octimes, a newspaper printed

and published in Baltimore County, once in each

This is to Certify, That the annexed

Reg L89442

CERTIFICATE OF POSTING ZONING DEPARTMENT OF BALTIMORE COUNTY

86-501-H District 97/7 Date of Posting 5/9/86 Petitioner: 11.TT - Mary land Hamita Portnership Location of property: NW Jour Hor Ford Pd & Joppo, Pd. 9610 Har Ford Pd. Location of Signs: Facing Intersection of Her First & Juppe Pds, oppor 15' For corner, on morety et petitioner Posted by Millerly Number of Signs:

CASE NO. 86-501-A

BALTIMORE COUNTY OFFICE OF PLANNING & ZONING

County Office Building 111 W. Chesapeake Avenue Towson, Maryland 21204

Your petition has been received and accepted for filing this

Zoning Commissioner UTF - Maryland Limited Petitioner Partnership Petitioner's Petitioner Attorney Thairman, Zoning Plans Advisory Committee Andrew Lapayowker, Esquire

BALTIMORE COUNTY, MARYLAND OFFICE OF FINANCE - REVENUE DIVISION

MISCELLANEOUS CASH RECEIPT 01.615-000

RECEIVED / HOWK Sopput Huntonat Varioure # 384 0 8(92*****100000 51027

OCO

Andrew Lapayowker, Esquire 1 North Charles Street Baltimore, Maryland 21201

May 2, 1986

NOTICE OF HEARING RE: PETITION FOR ZONING VARIANCE NW/cor. of Harford Rd. and Joppa Rd. (9610 Harford Rd.)

9th Election District UTF - Maryland Limited Partnership - Petitioner Contract Lessee: Crown Central Petroleum Corp. Case No. 86-501-A

9:30 a.m. Wednesday, June 4, 1986

PLACE: Room 106, County Office Building, 111 West Chesapeake

Avenue, Towson, Maryland

of Baltimore County

No. 019914

AMOUNT \$ 100,00

MD-74 Harford · Joppa Rds. Case No. 86-501A

· Petition for Zoning Variance 9th Election District
Case No. 86-501-A
LOCATION: Northwest Corner of
Hurford Road and Joppa Road (9610
Harford Road).
DATE 2 TIME: Wednesday, June 4,
1985 at 2:30 a.m. 1968, et 9:30 a.m.
PUBLIC HEARING: Room 106,
County Office Building, 111 West
Chesapsake Avenue, Towson, Earyland.
The Zoning Commissioner of Baltimore County, by authority of the Zon-ing Act and Regulations of Baltimore County, will hold a public hearing: cated, double-face I.D. sign of 181.3 sque s fect is lies of the permitted 10

mit during this period for good cause

BALTIMORE COUNTY, MARYLAND

INTER-OFFICE CORRESPONDENCE

Arnold Jablon TO Zoning Commissioner

Date May 29, 1986

Norman E. Gerber, AICP, Director FROM Office of Planning and Zoning

SUBJECT Zoning Petitions No. 86-495-A, 86-496-A, 86-497-A, 86-498-A, 86-499-A, 86-500-A, 86-501-A, 86-502-A, 86-503-A, 86-504-A and 86-505-A

We are not opposed to the granting of this request, particularly in view of the fact that the replacement is slightly smaller.

NEG:JGH:slm

BALTIMORE COUNTY ZONING PLANS ADVISORY COMMITTEE May 21, 1986

COUNTY OFFICE BLDG. 111 W. Chesapeake Ave. Towson, Maryland 21204

000

Chairman

Andrew Lapayowker, Esquire Crown Central Petroleum Corporation 1 North Charles Street Baltimore, Maryland 21201

Dear Mr. Lapayowker:

RE: PETITION FOR ZONING VARIANCE

MEMBERS Bureau of Department of Traffic Engineering State Roads Commission Fire Prevention Health Department Project Planning Building Department

Industrial

Item No. 384, Case No. 86-501-A UTF - Maryland Limited Partnership - Petitioner

The second secon

The Zoning Plans Advisory Committee has reviewed the plans submitted with the above-referenced petition. The following comments are not intended to indicate the appropriateness of the zoning action requested, but to assure that all parties are made aware of plans or problems with regard to the development plans that may have a bearing on this case. The Director of Planning may file a written report with the Zoning Commissioner with recommendations as Board of Education to the suitability of the requested zoning. Zoning Administration

Enclosed are all comments submitted from the members of the Committee at this time that offer or request information on your petition. If similar comments from the remaining members are received, I will forward them to you. Otherwise, any comment that is not informative will be placed in the hearing file. This petition was accepted for filing on the date of the enclosed filing certificate and a hearing scheduled accordingly.

Very truly yours,

LAMES E. DYER @hairman Zoning Plans Advisory Committee

JED:med Enclosures cc: Mr. G. K. Holmes, Real Estate

Crown Central Petroleum Corporation

Representative

Maryland Department of Transportation State Highway Administration

William K. Hellmann Hal Kassoff

May 6, 1986

Mr. A. Jablon Zoning Commissioner County Office Building Towson, Maryland 21204

ATT: James Dyer

Re; Baltimore County Item #384 Property Owner: U.T.F. Maryland Limited Partn. (Crown Lessee) Location: NW/cor. Harford (Route 147) and Joppa Roads Existing Zoning: B.L. Proposed Zoning: Var. to allow a free standing

replacement, relocated double face I.D. sign of 181.3 sq. ft. in lieu of the allowed 100 sq. ft. Acres: 38,236 District: 9th

Dear Mr. Dyer:

On review of the submittal for sign variances for Outdoor Advertising, the site plan has been forwarded to the S.H.A. Beautification Section, c/o Morris Stein, (659-1642) for all comments relative to zoning.

Very truly yours,

Chew E Charles Lee, Chief Bureau of Engr. Access Permits

by: George Wittman

CL-GW:es

cc: J. Ogle M. Stein w/att.

> My telephorbonumber 95=1350 Teletypewriter for Impaired Hearing or Speech 383-7555 Baltimore Metro — 565-0451 D.C. Metro — 1-800-492-5062 Statewide Toll Free P.O. Box 717 / 707 North Calvert St., Baltimore, Maryland 21203 - 0717

Mr. Arnold Jablon Zoning Commissioner
County Office Building MAY 12, 1986 Re: Zoning Edvisory Meeting of April 29, 1986
Frozenty Onner: U.T.F.-MD.Limited Part.
NILL (CROWN LESSEE) Dear Mr. Jablon: The Division of Current Planning and Cavelorment has reviewed the subject applicable. NW/CORVER HARFORD + JOPPA ROS. There are no site planning factors requiring comment.

A County device Group Meeting is required.

A County device Group Meeting is required.

Forward by the Bureau of Public Services.

Subdivision. The plan must snow the entire tract.

A record plat will be required and must be recorded order.

The docess is not satisfactory.

The parking arrangement is not satisfactory.

This property contains soils which are defined as wetlands, and winder the provisions of Section 22-93 of the Development the Bultimore County Master Plan.

Construction in or alteration of the floopplain is prohibited the Bultimore County Master Plan.

Landscaping: "ust comply with dailingree County Lightscape Master Plan.

Landscaping: "ust comply with dailingree County Lightscape Master Plan.

Bill 173-79. To building dailingree County Lightscape Master Plan.

The property is located in a deficient County Lightscape Master Plan.

The docesty is located in a deficient County Lightscape Master Plan.

The property is located in a deficient County Lightscape Master Plan.

The property is located in a deficient County Lightscape Master Plan.

The property is located in a deficient County Lightscape Master Plan.

The property is located in a deficient County Lightscape Master Plan.

The property is located in a deficient County Lightscape Master Plan.

The property is located in a deficient County Lightscape Master Plan. It and scaping: "ust comply with dartimore County Landscape Manual.
The property is located in a deficient service area as defined by Sill 173-79. No building permit may be issued until a Reserve is considered by the control of the is

()The property is located in a critical area controlled by 3 "9" Tevel intersection as defined by 3:11 173-79, and as conditions change traffic conjusts may become more limited. The 30sto Services Areas in terminal comments: cc: James dashell Europhe A. Bother Character Planning and Covelopment

CPS-008

BALTIMORE COUNTY FIRE DEPARTMENT TOWSON, MARYLAND 21204-2586

PAUL H. REINCKE CHIEF

Item No.:

Mr. Arnold Jablon Zoning Commissioner Office of Planning and Zoning Baltimore County Office Building Towson Maryland 21204

RE: Property Owner: U.T.F. - Maryland Limited Partnership (Crown Lessee)

NW/corner Harford and Joppa Rds.

Zoning Agenda: Meeting of April 29, 1986

Gentlemen: Pursuant to your request, the referenced property has been surveyed by this Bureau and the comments below marked with an "X" are applicable and required to be corrected or incorporated into the final plans for the property.

() 1. Fire hydrants for the referenced property are required and shall be located at intervals or feet along an approved road in accordance with Baltimore County Standards as published by the Department of Public Works.

() 2. A second means of vehicle access is required for the site.

() 3. The vehicle dead end condition shown at ____

EXCEEDS the maximum allowed by the Fire Department. () 4. The site shall be made to comply with all applicable parts of the Fire Prevention Code prior to occupancy or beginning of operation.

() 5. The buildings and structures existing or proposed on the site shall comply with all applicable requirements of the National Fire Protection Association Standard No. 101 "Life Safety Code", 1976 edition prior to occupancy.

() 6. Site plans are approved, as drawn.

7. The Fire Prevention Bureau has no comments, at this time. Fire Prevention Bureau

Planning Croup

Special Inspection Division

BALTIMORE COUNTY
DEPARTMENT OF PERMITS & LICENSES TOWSON, MARYLAND 21204 DIRECTOR

May 19, 1986

TED ZALESKI, JR.

Mr. Arnold Jablon, Zoning Commissioner

Office of Planning and Zoning Towson, Maryland 21204 Dear Mr. Jablon:

> 384 Zoning Advisory Committee Meeting are as follows: U.T.F. - Maryland Limited Partnership (Crown Lessee) NW/Corner Harford and Joppa Roads

Districts APPLICABLE ITEMS ARE CIRCLED:

All structures shall conform to the Beltimore County Building Code as adopted by Council Bill #17-85, the Maryland Code for the Handicapped and Aged (A.M.S.I. #117-1 - 1980) and other applicable Codes and Standards. (B) A building and other miscellaneous permits shall be required before the start of any construction.

C. Residential: Two sets of construction drawings are required to file a permit application. The seal of a registered in Maryland Architect or Engineer is/is not required on plans and technical data.

Commercial: Three sets of construction drawings seeled and signed by a registered in Maryland Architect or Engineer shall be required to file with a permit application. Reproduced seals are not acceptable.

E. All Use Groups except R-h Single Family Detached Dwellings require a minimum of 1 hour fire rating for exterior walls closer than 6'-0 to an interior lot line. R-h Use Groups require a one hour wall if closer than 3'-0 to an interior lot line. Any wall built on an interior lot line shall require a fire or party wall. See Table h01, Section 1407, Section 1406.2 and Table 1402. No openings are permitted in an exterior wall within 3'-0 of an interior lot line.

F. The structure does not appear to comply with Table 505 for permissable height/area. Reply to the requested variance by this office cannot be considered until the necessary data pertaining to height/area and construction type is provided. See Table 401 and 505 and have your Architect/Engineer contact this department G. The requested varience appears to conflict with Section(s) _____, of the Baltimore

H. When filing for a required Change of Use/Occupancy Parmit, an alteration permit application shall also be filed along with three sots of acceptable construction plane indicating how the existing structure is to be altered in order to comply with the Code requirements for the new Ess. Maryland Architectural or Engineer seals are usually required. The change of Use Groups are from Use to Use or to Mixed Uses.

I. The proposed project appears to be located in a Flood Plain, Tidal/Riverins. Please see the attached copy of Section 516.0 of the Building Code as adopted by Bill #17-65. Site plans shall show the correct elevations above sea level for the lot and the finish floor levels including beassment,

K. These abbreviated comments reflect only on the information provided by the drawings submitted to the Office of Planning and Zoning and are not intended to be construed as the full extent of any permit. If dezired the applicant may obtain additional information by visiting Room 122 of the County Office Building at 111 W. Chesapeake Avenue, Towson, Maryland 21204. Marles & Sunter -BY: C. E. Burnham, Chief

May 20,1986

Mr. Arnold Jablon,

UTF - MA. LTP. PARTNOTE SAIP (CROWN)

Being unable to attend daytime hearings, I wish to express Cub Hill Civic Organization's opposition to case No. 86-501A item No. 384. We feel further enlargement of signs creates an unnecessary eyesore in our community. It is our desire to co -exist with local businesses with the least possible impact on either residents or business.

Sincerely,

T. W. Chenowith Chairman Zoning Committee Cub Hill Civic Organization 9321 Smith AUE BALTO MO 21234

