| | | Control of the Contro | | | | |
|--|--|--|---|--|--|--|
| | PETITION FOR SPECIAL EXCEPTION TO THE ZONING COMMISSIONER OF BALTIMORE COUNTY: | | AMENDED PETITION TO THE ZONING COMMIS | 3/21/88 ON FOR SPEC SSIONER OF BALTIMORE | REVISED LANS 1787 71 71 71 TAL EXCEPTION | |
| | | 9 | The undersigned, legal owner(s) of the | | | |
| | The undersigned, legal owner(s) of the property situate in Baltimore County and which is described in the description and plat attached hereto and made a part hereof, hereby petition for a Special Exception under the Zoning Law and Zoning Regulations of Baltimore County, to use the herein described property for <u>a food store with less than 5,000 square feet</u> in conjunction with a service station (gas-n-go) as permitted under BCZR 405.4.D.8. | 8-139-XA | herein described property f | or a food store wit | and made a part hereof, hereby petition for a Regulations of Baltimore County, to use the h less than 5.000 square feet on (gas-n-go) as permitted under | |
| | Property is to be posted and advantage | | Property is to be posted | l and advertised as prescrib | ped by Zoning Regulations. | |
| | Property is to be posted and advertised as prescribed by Zoning Regulations. I, or we, agree to pay expenses of above Special Exception advertising, posting, etc., upon filing of this petition, and further agree to and are to be bound by the zoning regulations and restrictions of Baltimore County adopted pursuant to the Zoning Law for Baltimore County. | | I, or we, agree to pay ex of this petition, and further of Baltimore County adopted | kpenses of above Special Ex | cception advertising, posting, etc., upon filing nd by the zoning regulations and restrictions aw for Baltimore County. | |
| ** | I/We do solemnly declare and affirm, under the penalties of perjury, that I/we are the legal owner(s) of the property which is the subject of this Petition. | | Contract Purchaser: | | I/We do solemnly declare and affirm, under the penalties of perjury, that I/we are the legal owner(s) of the property which is the subject of this Petition. | |
| 1. Sec. 18. | Contract Purchaser: Legal Owner(s): | # % | AMOCO OIL COMPANY YTypy pr. Print(Name) | RO | BERT T. ADOLPH | |
| | . (Type of Print Name) AMOCO OIL COMPANY (Type of Print Name) E. D. 946 | 74 | By: Signature Charles T. | Political A | Type or Print Name) When T. addil | |
| BALTIMO | Signature By: Signature Charles T Bondanow or Day 7/2 8/11 | 4 | Project Eng 14520 Green Road | ineer | IZABETH P. ADOLPH | |
| FFICE OF ISCELLA | RE COUNTY, MARYLAND FINANCE REVENUE DIVISION NEOUS CASH RECEIPT Project Engineer 200 F 1000 F 10 | Amoco NW/cor Ave. | Baldwin, Maryland 2 City and State | | Type or Print Name) Lizakett P. advlph Ignature | |
| | 2-9/88 ACCOUNT OF-615-000 N-34,100 E-24,770 Pern Road (301)592-5914 | Oil Compa Harford | Attorney for Petitioner: F. Vernon Boozer | | 8 Corbin Road | |
| EIVED | AMOUNT \$ 35.00 [een Road (301)592-5914 Phone No. Maryland 21013 Ind State | ny Rd. | Signature | Ham | Phone No. ilton, Maryland 21214 ty and State | |
| 8-8 | ind State Items and phone number of legal owner, conhaser or representative to be contacted ton Boozer | 88-137-X and Chen 9th E.D. 6th C.D. | 614 Bosley Avenue Address Towson, Maryland 21 | Name, tract p | address and phone number of legal owner, con- urchaser or representative to be contacted | |
| A STATE OF THE STA | | A oak | City and State Attorney's Telephone No.: (301 | 174 | Bosley Ave., Towson, MD 21204 | |
| | Phone No. | | ORDERED By The Zoning | Add | Phone No. Phone No. day | |
| 1 | ORDERED By The Zoning Commissioner of County, this day | | ~ | 19, that the subject i | matter of this potition by | |
| 30 | equired by the Zoning Law of Baltimore County, in two newspapers of general circulation throughout Baltimore County, that property be posted, and that the public hearing be had before the Zoning Commissioner of Baltimore County in Room 106, County Office Building in Towson, Baltimore | BALTIMO OFFICE OI MISCELL | required by the Zoning Jaw of I ORE COUNTY, MARYLAND FORMANCE · REVENUE DIVISION OR OLD IN THE COUNTY OF I | No. 50426 | blic hearing he had before the Zoning 3ce Building in Towson, Baltimore | |
| 10 | County, on the day ofOctober, 19.87 _, at 10:00 o'clock AM. | DATE 3 | | 1-615-000 | , 19, at o'clock | |
| àà | Zoning Commissioner of Baltimore County. | RECEIVED FROM: | F. Unum Bussan | | g Commissioner of Baltimore County, | |
| | | | 4 | | The state of the s | |
| | se .o. 88-137-XA oco Oil Company 2. | | ase No. 88-137-XA moco Oil Company | | | |
| ger | nerated by the proposed use. Testimony was also received from many businesses | | s to food is purely and simpl | ly the warming of | 3. | |

as to food is purely and simply the warming of pre-prepared food with no counter, no seats, and no table service, it would not constitute a restaurant and is in fact the standard operation for all gas-and-go convenience store operations. It as therefore the opinion of this Board that these two restrictions should be removed and will so order. ORDER It is therefore this 8th day of June, 1988 by the County

Mrs. Donald Chenowith, of 2927 Chenoak Avenue, also vigorously objected to the proposal because of the 24-hour operation, the noise it would generate, the additional lights that would be necessary, and the possible disruption to her proper enjoyment of her property. This basically concluded the testimony in this case.

In this opinion, the Board will note certain facts and conditions arrived at through the testimony and evidence presented and made part of the record. The proposed site plan complies with all Baltimore County regulations. In addition to the requirements, a 30-foot-wide, completely landscaped buffer is proposed between the nearby neighbor and the proposed gas-and-go service station and an official landscape plan presented for this. The Board will note that the zoning of this site is B.L. with a C.S.T. district, and that the property is presently being used as a service and repair station. The proposed use, especially with the 30-foot buffer and the landscaping proposed, if permitted, would in the Board's opinion negate many of the more offensive permitted uses in this zone. The operation of the gas-and-go portion of the station and the operation of the convenience store, if permitted to operate on a 24-hour basis, would create less disturbance to the neighbors than many operations that could be imposed, and would provide a manned, lighted area that would discourage loitering or parking or partying on an empty service station location. Since the proposal

in proximity to this proposed site in favor of the improvements.

trash to be so generated.

at 2926 Chenoak Avenue. She was objecting to the proposed 24-hour operation

because of the noise and the lights that would be generated by this proposal and

objecting to the hot food portion of the proposal because of the possibility of

Protestants were represented by Nellie Kiemig, a neighbor who resides

Board of Appeals of Baltimore County ORDERED that the Order of the Zoning Commissioner dated December 9, 1987 be and the same is AFFIRMED with the following amendments: 1. Restriction #1 shall be removed; and 2. Restriction #5 shall be amended so that there shall be no grill or cooking facilities at this location other than a microwave oven and a specific machine for preparing hot dogs. There shall be no seating provided either in the building or on the property site for the consumption of these articles. Any appeal from this decision must be made in accordance with Rules B-1 through B-13 of the Maryland Rules of Procedure. COUNTY BOARD OF APPEALS OF BALTIMORE COUNTY

PETITION FOR ZONING VARIANCE TO THE ZONING COMMISSIONER OF BALTIMORE COUNTY!

The undersigned, legal owner(s) of the property situate in Baltimore County and which is described in the description and plat attached hereto and made a part hereof, hereby petition for a Variance from Sections 413.2.f to allow one (1) business sign with a total area of 183-02 square feet in lieu of three (3) signs with a total area of 100 square feet as permitted; and 405.4.A.2.a to allow a sign setback of 3 feet from the right-of-way line in lieu of the required 6-feet. 8 # 9-17-87 of the Zoning Regulations of Baltimore County, to the Zoning Law of Raltimore County; for the following reasons: (indicate hardship or practical difficulty)

(1) The variance is necessary for compliance with laws governing pricing and advertising.

(2) Without the variance, it would be extremely difficult to effectively advertise the products and services available, and there is no location for the sign that would be in compliance with the setback

Property is to be posted and advertised as prescribed by Zoning Regulations. I, or we, agree to pay expenses of above Variance advertising, posting, etc., upon filing of this petition, and further agree to and are to be bound by the zoning regulations and restrictions of Baltimore County adopted pursuant to the Zoning Law For Baltimore County.

I/We do solemnly declare and affirm, under the penalties of perjury, that I/we are the legal owner(s) of the property which is the subject of this Petition Legal Owner(s): Contract Purchaser: AHOCO OIL COMPANY / (Type or Print Name) By Signature Charles T. Bogdandvicz Project Engineer (Type or Print Name) City and State Attorney for Pelitioner: F. Vermon Boozer 14520 Green Road (301)592-5914 July Print Name Baldein, Heryland 21013 City and State

614 Bosley Avenue Name, address and phone number of legal owner, contract purchaser or representative to be contacted Towson, Muryland 21204 F. Vernon Boozer 614 Booley Avenue, Towson, MD 21204 828 (301)828-9441

ORDERED By The Zoning Commissioner of Baltimore County, this 16.74 day of Satember. 1987, that the subject matter of this petition be advertised, as required by the Zoning Law of Baltimore County, in two newspapers of general circulation throughout Baltimore County, that property be posted, and that the public hearing be had before the Zoning Commissioner of Baltimore County in Room 106, County Office Building in Towson, Baltimore County, on the 23.1 day of October 1987, at 10:00 o'clock

IN RE: PETITION FOR SPECIAL EXCEPTION * PETITION FOR VARIANCES ZONING COMMISSIONER Northwest corner Harford Road * and Chenoak Avenue OF BALTIMORE COUNTY 9th Election District 6th Councilmanic District Case No. 88-137-XA AMOCO OIL COMPANY Petitioner

FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

.

The Petitioner herein requests a special exception for a food store of less than 5,000 square feet in conjunction with a service station, specifically, a gas-n-go operation, pursuant to Section 405.4.D.8. of the Baltimore County Zoning Regulations. Furthermore, the Petitioner requests two variances in reference to the new sign, namely, to allow one (1) business sign with a total area of 183.02 square feet in lieu of three (3) signs with a total area of 100 square feet as permitted, and to allow a sign setback of 3 feet from the right-of-way line in lieu of the required 8 feet, as more particularly described on Petitioner's Exhibit #1.

The Petitioner, represented by F. Vernon Boozer, Esquire, was present and testified both directly and by proffer of his attorney. Apparing for the Petitioner was Mr. Haynie, Engineer, Mr. Bogdanowicz, Mrs. Ginn, Mr. Adith and Mr. Feigold. The Protestants were not represented; however, both Mrs. Keimig and Mr. and Mrs. Chenoweth proffered their evidence and argued

The record indicates that the subject property is presently improved with a full service, service garage located at the corner of Harford Road and Chenoak Avenue. The subject property is zo. ed 3.L.-C.S.2 and D.R.5.5. The filling station that is presently located on the subject property is located

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF AMOCO OIL COMPANY FOR A SPECIAL EXCEPTION AND VARIANCE ON PROPERTY LOCATED ON THE NORTH-WEST CORNER OF HARFORD ROAD AND CHENOAK AVENUE 9th ELECTION DISTRICT 6th COUNCILMANIC DISTRICT

BEFORE COUNTY BOARD OF APPEALS BALTIMORE COUNTY CASE NO. 88-137-XA

OPINION

This case comes before this Board on an appeal from a decision of the Zoning Commissioner granting a special exception for a food store in conjunction with a gas-and-go station and a variance on the requested signs, with some restrictions. The appeal in this case was filed in regard to restriction limiting the hours of operation and restriction #5 limiting the preparation of food as this location.

Richard Truelove, a registered Professional Engineer, prepared the site plan. He summarized briefly everything contained thereon and entered the plan as Petitioner's Exhibit #1.

Charles Bogdanowicz, the project engineer for Amoco Oil, testified that he plans all Amoco's projects, both new and rebuilt, and described the operation proposed at this site. He specifically noted that there will be no seating or counters for the food service but merely the warming of pre-prepared

Pobert Adolf, the present lessee, operates the existing service station on a 12-hour cycle from 6:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m., and stated that he formerly operated on a 24-hour basis but for the last several years, he was no longer able to do so because of personal health problems. He described in detail the traffic flow at the site.

Washington Bowle, a Technical Manager for Environmental Services from the Greiner Corporation, testified as to the noise level that would be

there as a result of a Granted Special Exception on November 15, 1965 in case

The testimony tends to establish that Harford Road along this section is a very busy commercial strip. There are several service garages and retail establishments along Harford Road on both sides of the road. The side streets, like Chenoak Avenue, are lined with attractive single family residential dwelling units. These residential properties have been in place with little change for more than 25 years. The commercial use along Harford Road has intensified during the same period of time.

The testimony and evidence indicates that the Protestants are the most directly afrected residential neighbors to the subject property. They are very unhappy with the present commercial uses along Harford Road. They object to the noise and lights at night from these commercial uses. Mrs. Keimig claims the noise from the other properties keep her awake all night and that the gas fumos from the present filing station is damaging her health and property. She objects to the smell of a gas station and does not like to look at privacy screening fences that are now in place. She wants the D.R.5.5 section of the subject property cleaned and

The Petitioner testified that he wishes to raze the present filling station and construct a new gas-n-go service garage with a small food operation. The revised plat of October 26, 1987 and Petitioner's Exhibit 1 both show the same basic operating plan. The differences between the two are changes that were requested during the Zoning Commissioner's hearing of

The Petitioner testified that the proposed service station would sell O if a gas and some food. There would be a small convenience store. There will

- 2 -

be no automobile repair work done on the site. There will be no service bays. No damaged automobiles will be stored on the site and the entire subject property will have a finished appearance basically similar to the new Amoco Station located at Harford Road and North Avenue.

The entire commercial section of the site will be razed and the new gas-n-go and convenience store will be constructed. The new sign is required, because of the changed property line, resulting from the change in the design of Chenoak Avenue. Mr. Haynie testified, in his expert opinion, that the requirements of Section 307 of the Baltimore County Zoning Regulations (B.C.Z.R.) had and would be complied with by this project. Furthermore, the need for the sign variance is consistent with the requirements of Section 307 of the B.C.Z.R.

The evidence of the Petitioner and his expert and lay witnesses, tends to clearly establish that the requirements of Section 502.1 of the B.C.Z.R. have been complied with by this new project. There was testimony concerning all of the issues required by Section 502.1 of the B.C.Z.R.

The issue in the Special Exception is whether or not the requirements of Section 502 of the B.C.Z.R. have been successfully met by the Petitioner. The cases clearly establish that ". . . the appropriate standard to be used in determining whether a requested special exception use would have an adverse effect and, therefore, should be denied is whether there are facts and circumstances that show the particular use, proposed at the particular location would have any adverse effect above and beyond those inherently associated with such a special exception use irrespective of its location within the zone." Schultz v. Pritts, 291 Md. 1, 432 A.2d 1319 at 1327

- 3 -

3. A landscaping plan, in accordance with the Baltimore County Landscape Manual, shall be submitted for approval to the Current Planning and Development Division, Office of Planning and Zoning, subject to the following addi-

The entire D.R.5.5 portion of the subject property shall be cleaned and landscaped with an evergreen planting strip on both sides of the 6 feet shown on the zoning line. All landscaping is to be maintained in good repair and trimmed at least twice a year. All dead plants or trees are to be replaced each year.

Furthermore, the north property line from the side walk to the rear fence line shall be fenced with a 6 foot chair link perimeter fence with redwood filler slats.

4. The site shall be developed consistently with the representations found on Petitioner's Exhibit A, the revised site plan and the conditions set forth herein.

5. There shall be no hot food on a grill or cooking facilities at this location. Additionally, there shall be no seating provided either in the building or on the property site.

6. All outdoor lighting shall be directed onto the subject property and shall be shielded as needed from unnecessary overburn onto other properties.

7. The Petitioners may apply for their building permit and be granted same upon receipt of this Order; however, Petitioners are hereby made awars that proceeding at this time is at their own risk until such time as the applicable appellate process from this Order has expired. If, for whatever reason, this Order is reversed, the Petitioners would be required to return, and be responsible for returning, said property to its original condition.

> / ZONING COMMISSIONER FOR BALTIMORE COUNTY

cc: Peoples Counsel

The Court went on to say in Schultz that,

". . . the applicant has the burden of adducing testimony which will show that his use meets the prescribed standards and requirements, he does not have the burden of establishing affirmatively that his proposed use would be a benefit to the community. If he shows to the satisfaction of the Board that the proposed use would be conducted without real detriment to the neighborhood and would not actually adversely affect the public interest, he has met his burden. The extent of any harm or disturbance to the neighboring area and uses is, of course, material. If the evidence makes the question of harm or disturbance or the question of the disruption of the harmony of the comprehensive plan of zoning fairly debatable, the matter is one for the Board to decide. But if there is no probative evidence of harm or disturbance in light of the nature of the zone involved or of factors causing disharmony to the operation of the comprehensive plan, a denial of an application for a special exception use is arbitrary, capricious, and illegal." (at page 1325)

The Petitioner must only show to the satisfaction of the Zoning Commissioner that the proposed use would be conducted without real detriment to the community to meet his burden. See, Turner v. Hammond, 270 Md. 41, 310A2d 543 (1973). When the Petitioner produces credible and probative evidence on all of the specific issues established by Section 502.1, then a special exception should be granted.

In fact, the Petitioner has shown that the proposed use would be conducted without real detriment to the community and would not adversely affect the public good. The evidence tends to show that the presently existing special exception use (i.e. filling station) has not adversely impacted the community any more than a filling station would in any other community.

- 4 -

The new service garage will, in many ways, be less adverse than the old filling station and, in some ways, more adverse. However, it will be conducted without real detriment to the neighborhood and there is no legal requirement to prove that it is going to be a benefit to the community...

In order to control the new use, the Zoning Commissioner will use his authority to prescribe appropriate conditions and safeguards for the community when granting this special exception. Section 502.2 of the Baltimore County Zoning Regulations. See also, Montgomery County v. Mossberg, 228 Md. 555, 180 A.2d 851 (1962).

The facts, circumstances and evidence of the use proposed by the Petitioner do not show that the proposed use, at the particular location, described in Petitioner's Exhibit 1, would have any adverse impact above and beyond that inherently associated with such a special exception used irrespective of its location within the zone. Schultz v. Pritts, 432 A.2d 1319

I cannot find as a matter of law that the proposed use will not be detrimental to the health, safety or general welfare of the locality, nor tend to create congestion in the roads, streets, or alleys therein, nor be inconsistent with the purposes of the property's zoning classification, nor in any other way inconsistent with the spirit and intent of the B.C.Z.R.

Pursuant to the advertisement, posting of property, and public hearing held and, it appearing that by reason of the requirements of Section 502.1 having been met and the health, safety and general welfare of the community not being adversely affected, the special exception should be granted.

-5-

It is clear from the testimony that if the variances were granted, such use as proposed would not be contrary to the spirit of the B.C.Z.R. and would not result in substantial detriment to the public good.

After due consideration of the testimony and evidence presented, it is clear that a practical difficulty or unreasonable hardship would result if the instant variance were not granted. It has been established that the requirement from which the Petitioner seeks relief would unduly restrict the use of the land due to the special conditions unique to this particular parcel. In addition, the variance requested will not be detrimental to the public health, safety, and general welfare.

Pursuant to the advertisement, posting of the property, and public hearing on this Petition held, and for the reasons given above, the requested variance should be granted.

Therefore, It is Ordered by the Zoning Commissioner of Baltimore County, this 2 day of December, 1987, that the Petition for Special Exception for a service garage be and is hereby GRANTED, subject to the conditions listed below which are conditions precedent of the Petitioners continued use of the Special Exception, and furthermore, that the variance to allow one (1) business sign with a total area of 184 sq. feet in lieu of the three (3) business signs with a total area of 100 square feet and to allow a setback of 3 feet from the right-of-way for the business sign in lieu of the required 6 feet be and is hereby GRANTED, from and after the date of this Order, subject, however, to the following:

1. The hours of operation shall be limited to no more than 6:00 A.M. to 11:00 P.M., seven days a week. No automobiles may be stored or parked overnight on the site except inside the existing building. There shall

BALTIMORE COUNTY, MARYLAND

INTER-OFFICE CORRESPONDENCE

Mr. J. Robert Haines TO Zoning Commissioner

Date__September 22, 1987

Norman E. Gerber, AICP FROM Director of Planning and Zoning

SUBJECT Zoning Petition No. 88-137-XA

There are no comprehensive planning factors requiring comment on the above numbered petition.

NEG:KAK:dme

cc: Ms. Shirley M. Hess, Legal Assistant, People's Counsel

#88-137-XA

9th District

NW/cor. Harford Rd. and Chenoak Ave.

2 SIGNS

AMOCO OTL COMPANY

ZONING OFFICE

PETITIONS FOR SPECIAL EXCEPTION AND VARIANCE 9th Election District - 6th Councilmanic District Case No. 88-137-XA

LOCATION:

Northwest Corner of Harford Road and Chenoak Avenue

DATE AND TIME: Friday, October 23, 1987, at 10:00 a.m. PUBLIC HEARING: Room 106, County Office Building, 111 W. Chesapeake Avenue, Towson, Maryland

The Zoning Commissioner of Baltimore County, by authority of the Zoning Act and Regulations of Baltimore County, will hold a public hearing:

Petition for Special Exception for a food store with less than 5,000 square feet in conjunction with a service station (gas-n-go)

Petition for Zuning Variances to permit one business sign with a total area of 183.02 sq. ft. in lieu of three signs with a total area of 100 sq. ft. and a sign setback of 3 feet from the right-of-way line in lieu of the required b feet

Being the property of Annua Oil Company plan filed with the Zoning Office.

In the event that this Petition(s) is granted, a building permit may be issued within the thirty (30) day appeal period. The Zoning Commissioner will, however, entertain any request for a stay of the issuance of said permit during this period for good cause shown. Such request must be received in writing by the date of the hearing set above or made at the hearing.

> J. POBERT HAINES ZONING COMMISSIONER OF BALTIMORE COUNTY

PETITION FOR FARCIAL EXCEPTION PETITION FOR VARIANCE Northwest corner Harford Road and Chenoak Avenue 9th Election District 6th Councilmenic District AHOCO OIL COMPANY and

BEFORE THE BOARD OF APPEALS FOR

BALTIMORE COUNTY * CASE NO.: 88-137-XA

Petitioners

ROBERT T. ADOLPH, et ux.

ENTRY OF APPEARANCE

Please enter the appearance of Anthony J. DiPaula as co-counsel for the Appellants/Petitioners with F. Vernon Booser as counsel in the above-captioned matter.

> 614 Bosley Avenue Towson, Haryland 21204 (301)828-9441

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on this 5th day of May, 1988, a copy of the foregoing Entry Of Appearance was hand-delivered to all parties present at the hearing.

COVAHEY & BOOZER, P. A. ATTORNEYS AT LAW

614 BOSLEY AVENUE TOWSON, MARYLAND 21204 AREA CODE SOL 828-9441

EDWARD C. COVAHEY, JR. F. VERNON BOOZER MARK S. DEVAN ANTHONY J. DIPAULA

March 29, 1988

OREPLY TO: ANNEX OFFICE SUITE IOI 606 BALTIMORE AVE. TOWSON, MD. 21204 AREA CODE 301

628-5525

HAND-DELIVERED

County Board of Appeals Room 200, Courthouse Towson, Maryland 21204

Re: Case No.: 88-137-XA Amoco Oil Company

Gentlemen:

Enclosed please find copies of an Amended Petition for Special Exception and Amended Petition for Zoning Variance which have been filed this date with the Zoning office. The Amendment was necessary only to correctly reflect that Amoco Oil Company is Contract Purchaser/Lessee of the property, contingent upon the outcome of these Petitions, and not legal owner. The Agreement between the parties does, however, appoint Amoco as attorney-in-fact for the owners to pursue zoning approval attorney-in-fact for the owners to pursue zoning approval.

We apologize for any inconvenience or difficulties this may have caused.

Anthony J. DiPaula

AJD/bb

cc: Phyllis C. Friedman, People's Counsel James Hoswell, Office of Planning J. Robert Haines, Zoning Commissioner Mrs. Nellie Kiemig Mrs. Donald Chenowith

Dennis F. Rasmussen

Baltimore County Zoning Commissioner Office of Planning & Zoning

494-3353 J. Robert Haines

Towson, Maryland 21204

January 4, 1988

Baltimore County Board of Appeals Old Cou thouse, Room #205 Towson, Maryland 21204

RE: Petitions for Special Exception and Zoning Variance NW/cor. Harford Road and Chenoak Avenue 9th Election District; 6th Councilmanic District Amoco Oil Company - Petitioner Case No. 88-137-XA

Dear Board:

Please he advised that an appeal of the decision rendered in the abovereferenced matter was filed on December 29, 1987 by F. Vernon Boozer, Esquire, Attorney for Petitioner. All materials relative to the case are being forwarded to your office herewith.

Please notify all parties to the case of the date and time of the appeal hearing when it has been scheduled. If you have any questions on the subject, please do not hesitate to contact this office.

Very truly yours,

J Lobert Haires Zoning Commissioner

cc: F. Vernon Boozer, Esquire 614 Bosley Avenue Towson, Maryland 21204

Phyllis Friedman, Esquire People's Counsel of Baltimore County Rm. 223, Old Courthouse Towson, Maryland 21204



Maryland Department of Transportation State Highway Administration Richard H. Trainor Hal Kassoff

October 26, 1987

Mr. J. Robert Haines Zoning Commissioner County Office Building Towson, Maryland 21204

Attention: Mr. James Dyer

ZONING OFFICE

Re: ZAC Meeting of 9-1-87 Property Owner: Amoco Oil Company Location: NW/C Harford Road, Rou e 147, and Chenoak Avenue Existing Zoning: B.L. - CS 2 Proposed Zoning: Variance to allow one business sign with a total area of 183.02 square feet in lieu of three signs with a total area of 100 square feet and to allow area of 100 square feet and to the a sign setback of 3 feet from the right-of-way line in lieu of the required 6 feet and a Special Exception for a food store with less than 5,000 square feet in conjunction with a service station (gas and go) District: 9th Election District

Dear Mr. Haines:

On review of the submittal of 4-27-87 for variance of business sign, the State Highway Administration - Bureau of Engineering Access Permits offers the following comments.

The Project Development Section has indicated the proposed easement limit necessary to provide a six (6) foot sidewalk. The proposed 54 foot (5 lane section) roadway would be constructed within the existing sixty (60') right of way.

Although this information is tentative, we will require the site plan to be revised prior to a hearing date being

My telephone number is (301) 333-1350

Teletypewriter for Impaired Hearing or Speech
363-7555 Baltimore Metro - 565-0451 D.C. Metro - 1-800-492-5052 Statewide Toll Free
707 North Calvert St., Baltimore, Maryland 21203-0717

COVAHEY & BOOZER, P.A. ATTORNEYS AT LAW 614 BOSLEY AVENUE TOWSON, MARYLAND 21204 AREA CODE 301 828-9441

C REPLY TO: ANNEX OFFICE 606 BALTIMORE AVE. TOWSON, MD 21204 AREA CODE 30 828-5525

F VERNON BOOZER MARK B. DEVAN ANTHONY J. DIPAULA HAND DELIVERY

EDWARD C. COVAHEY, JR.

J. Robert Haines Baltimore County Zoning Commissioner County Office Building 111 West Chesapeake Avenue Towson, Maryland 21204

RE: CASE NO.: 88-137XA AMOCO OIL COMPANY HARFORD ROAD AND CHENOAK AVENUE

Dear Mr. Haines:

On behalf of the Petitioner, please enter an appeal to the County Board of Appeals from the decision rendered on December 9, 1987 in the above-referenced matter. Enclosed is my escrow check in the amount of \$190.00 to cover the cost of same.

December 29, 1987

gvB/jab

enclosure

cc: People's Counsel C. T. Bogdanowicz (Amoco) Robert Haynie (APR Assoc.) . Steve Feazell

Mr. R. Haines Page -2-October 26, 1987

The submittal for variance of a business sign has been forwarded to the State Highway Administration Beautification Section, c/o Morris Stein (333-1642), for all comments relative

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to call Mr. George Wittman at 333-1350.

Very truly yours, , Krevrow d. Wetts for Creston J. Mills, Jr. Acting Chief Bureau of Engineering Access Permits

GW:maw

cc: Mr. J. Ogle Mr. M. Stein

BALTIMORE COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AND RESOURCE MANAGEMENT

Zoning Commissioner Office of Planning and Zoning County Office Building Towson, Maryland 21204

Zoning Item 74, Zoning Advisory Committee Meeting of 9-1-87

Property Owner: Amoco Oillo.

Location: WW/C Philosopha & Chanoah Que District

Water Supply metro Sewage Disposal metro

COMMENTS ARE AS FOLLOWS: (V) Prior to approval of a Building Permit for construction, renovation and/or installation of equipment for any existing or proposed food service facility, complete plans and specifications must be submitted to the Plans Review Section, Bureau of Regional Community Services, for final review

() Prior to new installation/s of fuel burning equipment, the owner shall contact the Bureau of Air Quality Management, 494-3775, to obtain requirements for such installation/s before work begins. () A permit to construct from the Bureau of Air Quality Management is required for such items as spray paint processes, underground gasoline storage tank/s (5,000 gallons or more) and any other equipment or process which exhausts into the atmosphere. () A permit to construct from the Bureau of Air Quality Management is required for any charbroiler

operation which has a total cooking surface area of five (3) square feet or more.) Prior to approval of a Building Permit Application for renovations to existing or construction of new health care facilities, complete plans and specifications of the building, food service area and type of equipment to be used for the food service operation must be submitted to the Plans Review and Approval Section, Division of Engineering and Maintenance, State Department of Health and Hental Hygiene for review and approval.

) Prior to any new construction or substantial alteration of public swimming pool, wading pool, bathhouse, saunas, whirlpools, hot tubs, water and severage facilities or other appurtenances pertaining to health and safety; two (2) copies of plans and specifications must be submitted to the Baltimore County Department of Environmental Protection and Resource Management for review and approval. For more complete information, contact the Recreational Hygiene Section, Bureau of Regional Community Services, 494-3811.

Prior to approval for a nursery school, owner or applicant sust comply with all Baltimore County regulations. For more complete information, contact the Division of Maternal and Child Health. If lubrication work and oil changes are performed at this location, the method providing for the elimination of waste oil must be in accordance with the State Department of the Environment. (V) Prior to rating of existing structure/s, petitioner must contact the Division of Waste Management at 494-3768, regarding removal and/or disposal of potentially hazardous materials and solid wastes.

Petitioner must contact the Bureau of Air Quality Management regarding removal of asbestos, 494-3775. Any abendoned underground storage tanks containing gasoline, waste oil, solvents, etc., must have the contents removed by a licensed hauler and tank removed from the property or properly backfilled. Prior to removal or abandonment, owner must contact the Division of Waste Management at 494-3768. Soil percolation tests, have been ____, must be ____, conducted.

() The results are valid until

() Soil percolation test results have expired. letitioner should contact the Division of

Water and Sewer to determine whether additional tests are required.) Where water wells are to be used as a source of water supply, a well meeting the minimum Baltimore County Standards must be drilled.

) In accordance with Section 13-117 of the Baltimore County Code, the water well yield test () shall be valid until () is not acceptable and must be reteated. This must be accomplished prior to conveyance

of property and approval of Building Permit Applications. () Prior to occupancy approval, the potability of the water supply must be verified by collection of bacteriological and chemical water samples.

) If submission of plans to the County Review Group is required, a Hydrogeological Study and an Environmental Effects Report must be submitted.

BUREAU OF WATER QUALITY AND RESOURCE MANAGEMENT

Baltimore County Department of Public Works Bureau of Traffic Engineering Courts Building, Suite 405 Towson, Maryland 21204

Zoning Commissioner

County Office Bullding

Towson, Maryland 21204

September 23, 1987

Dear Zoning Commissioner:

The Bureau Of Traffic Eng.neering has no comments for items number 73, (74) 75, 77, 78, and 80.

Very truly yours,

Micheal S. Flanigan Traffic Engineer Associate II

ZONING OFFICE

Baltimore County Fire Department Towson, Maryland 21204-2586

Liul H. Reinche

J. Robert Haines Zoning Commissioner Office of Planning and Zoning Baltimore County Office Building Towson, ND 21204



The second of th

Re: Property Owner: Amuco Oil Company

Location: NW/C Harford Road and Chenoak Avenue Itom No.: 74

Gentlemen:

Zoning Agenda: Meeting of 9/1/87

September 1, 1987

Pursuant to your request, the referenced property has been surveyed by this

Bureau and the corrents below marked with an "X" are applicable and required to be corrected or incorporated into the final plans for the property. () 1. Fire hydrants for the referenced property are required and shall be located at intervals or ____ feet along an approved road in accordance with Baltimore County Standards as published by the Department

() 2. A second means of vehicle access is required for the site.

() 3. The vehicle dead end condition shown at

ENCE:D3 the maximum allowed by the Fire Department. * (x) 4. The site shall be made to comply with all applicable parts of the

Fire Prevention Code prior to occupancy or beginning of operation. (x) 5. The buildings and structures existing or proposed on the site shall comply with all applicable requirements of the National Fire Protection Association Standard No. 101 "Life Safety Code," 1976 edition

() 6. Site plans are approved, as drawn.

() 7. The Fire Prevention Bureau has no comments at this time.

Special Inspection Division

* NFTA 30, Section 7-8.4.3. shall apply. Attendants primary duty is to supervise /jl observe, and control the dispensing of class I liquids.

BALTIMORE COUNTY ZONING PLANS ADVISORY COMMITTEE October 22, 1987

RE: Item No. 74 - Case No. 88-137-XA

Special Exception

The Zoning Plans Advisory Committee has reviewed the plans submitted with the above-referenced petition. The following comments

are not intended to indicate the appropriateness of the zoning

action requested, but to assure that all parties are made aware of

plans or problems with regard to the development plans that may

have a bearing on this case. The Director of Planning may file a written report with the Zoning Commissioner with recommendations

Enclosed are all comments submitted from the members of the

Committee at this time that offer or request information on your

petition. If similar comments from the remaining members are received, I will forward them to you. Otherwise, any comment that is not informative will be placed in the hearing file. This

petition was accepted for filing on the date of the enclosed

Very truly yours.

Zoning Plans Advisory Committee

filing certificate and a hearing scheduled accordingly.

as to the suitability of the requested zoning.

Petitioner: Amoco Oil Company

Petitions for Zoning Variance and

COUNTY OFFICE BLDG. 111 W. Chesapeake Ava. Towson, Maryland 21204 F. Vernon Boozer, Esquire 614 Bosley Avenue Towson, Maryland 21204

MEMBERS Sureau of Engineering Department of Traffic Engineering

Bureau of Fire Prevention Health Department Project Planning Building Department Board of Education Soning Administration

State Roads Commiss.

Industrial

JED:kkb

Enclosures

cc: APR Associates, Inc. 7427 Harford Road Baltimore, Maryland 21234

Maryland Department of Transportation State Highway Administration

Richard H. Trainor Hal Kassoff

September 8, 1987

District 9th

Mr. J. Robert Haines Zoning Commissioner County Office Building Towson, Maryland 21204 Att: James Dyer

Re: Baltimore County Item #74 Property Owner: Amoco Oil Company Location: NW/C Harford Road (Maryland Route 147) and Chenoak Avenue Existing Zoning: B.L. CS2 Proposed Zoning: Variance to allow one business sign with a total area of 183.02 sq. feet in lieu of three signs with a total area of 100 sq. feet and to allow a sign setback of 3 feet from the right-of-way line in lieu of the required 6 feet and a Special Exception for a food store with less than 5,000 feet in conjunction with a service station (gas and go) Area: .4795 acres

Dear Mr. Haines:

On review of the submittal for variance of a business sign, the submittal has been forwarded to the State Highway Administration Beautification Section, C/O Morris Stein (333-1642), for all comments relative to zoning.

In addition, the State Highway Administration Bureau of Engineering Access Permits is forwarding the site plan to S.H.A. Project Development for review and comments on improvements to the Baltimore Beltway study, from Maryland Route 140, Reisterstown Road to Maryland Route 702 at Eastern

ZONING OFFICE

My telephone number is (301) 333-1350

Teletypewriter for impaired Hearing or Speech
383-7555 Baltimore Metro - 565-0451 D.C. Metro - 1-800-492-5062 Statewide Toll Free
707 North Calvert St., Baltimore, Maryland 21203-0717



County Board of Appeals of Baltimore County Room 200 Court House Towson, Margland 21204 (301) 494-3180

June 8, 1988

F. Vernon Boozer, Esquire 614 Bosley Avenue Towson, MD 21204

> RF: Case No. 88-137-XA Amoco Oil Company

Dear Mr. Boozer:

Enclosed is a copy of the final Opinion and Order issued this date by the County Board of Appeals regarding the oject case.

Sincerely,

Kathleen C. Weiderhammer Administrative Secretary

Encl.

cc: Anthony J. DiPaula, Esquire Mr. Charles T. Bogdanowicz Amoco Oil Company Mrs. Donald Chencwith Mrs. Wellie Kiemig Phyllis Cole Friedman, Esquire P. David Fields James G. Hoswell J. Robert Haines Ann M. Nastarowicz James E. Dyer Docket Clerk -Zoning Arnold Jablon, County Attorney



Baltimore County, Maryland PEOPLE'S COUNSEL RM. 223, COURT HOUSE TOWSON, MARYLAND 21204 494-2188

April 28, 1988

PHYLLIS COLE FRIEDMAN People's Counsel

PETER MAX ZIMMERMAN Deputy People's Counsel

Anthony J. DiPaula, Esquire Covahey & Boozer, P. A. 614 Bosley Avenue

> RE: Amoco Oil Company, Petitioners Zoning Case No. 88-137-XA

Dear Mr. DiPaula:

Towson, Maryland 21204

Thank you for your letter dated April 27, 1988. It is my understanding, however, that not only is Amoco primarily concerned with Restrictions 1 and 5, but that it does not intend to oppose the other restrictions. If that is the case, it is the present intention of this office not to participate in the appeal hearing.

If that is not the case, please communicate with me promptly so that we can make a final decision on our participation.

> Very truly yours, Peter Max Zimmerman Deputy People's Counsel

Vcc: County Board of Appeals

Mr. J. Robert Haines Page 2 September 8, 1987

Additional comments will be forwarded to Baltimore County Zoning on the State Highway Administration Project Development review.

> Very truly yours, Creston J. Mills, Jr. Acting Chief-Bureau of Engineering Access Permits

by: George Wittman

CJM-GW/es

cc: Morris Stein w/att.

County Board of Appeals of Baltimore County Room 200 Court House Towson, Maryland 21201 (301) 484-3140

June 10, 1988

Mrs. Donald Chenowith 2927 Chenoak Avenue Baltimore, MD 21234

> RE: Case No. 88-137-XA Amoco 311 Company

Dear Mrs. Chenowith:

Enclosed per your request is a copy of the Maryland Rules B1 through B13 concerning appeals taken from decisions of the Board of Appeals to the Circuit Court for Baltimore County. These rules outline steps to be taken, including the actual appeal, the petition, memorandum, etc. I've high-

Also enclosed is a sample copy of an actual appeal and petition recently filed in Circuit Court. As we discussed, you would also be required to pay for the cost of the transcript, which would be handled directly between you and the court reporter (Carolyn Peatt; 828-4148).

I hope this answers some of your questions concerning the appeal process. In the event you decide to appeal this case to the Circuit Court, please be sure to send a copy of both your appeal and the petition to this office prior to filing

Sincerely,

Kathleen C. Weidenhammer Administrative Secretary

Encls.

County Board of Appeals of Baltimore County Room 200 Court House Towson, Maryland 21204

HEARING ROOM #218

(301) 494-3180 January 22, 1988

NOTICE OF ASSIGNMENT

NO POSTPONEMENTS WILL BE GRANTED WITHOUT GOOD AND SUFFICIENT REASONS. REQUESTS FOR POSTPONEMENTS MUST BE IN WRITING AND IN STRICT COMPLIANCE WITH BOARD RULE 2(b). NO POSTPONEMENTS WILL BE GRANTED WITHIN FIFTEEN (15) DAYS OF SCHEDULED HEARING DATE UNLESS IN FULL COMPLIANCE WITH RULE 2(c), COUNTY COUNCIL BILL NO. 59-79.

CASE NO. 88-137-XA AMOCO OIL COMPANY

題/cor, Harford Rd. and Chenoak Ave.

9th E. Dist.; 6th C. Dist.

SE-Food store in conj. w/service station Var.-Signs

12/9/87 - Z.C.'s Order-GRANTED w/restrictions

11/87

ASSIGNED FOR:

THURSDAY, MAY J. 1988, at 10 a.m.

cc: Mrs. Donald Chenowith

Mrs. Nellie Kiemig

F. Vernon Boozer, Esq. / Counsel for Petitioner Authory Di Ania.

Di ANE WALSH. Amore Comput. Phyllis C. Friedman People's Counsel

David Fields Office of Planning H 16 H

James Hoswell

J. Robert Haines Zoning Office Ann Nastarowicz

James Dyer Docket Clerk

June Holmen, Secretary



1/22/88 - Following notified of hear. set for Thurs. May 5, 1988, at 10 a.m.:

Mrs. Chenowith Mrs. Kiemig F. Vernon Boozer Phyllis Friedman

David Fields, J. Hoswell J. R. Haines, A. Nastarowicz, J. Dyer, Doc. Clerk

MARYLAND ZONING DECISIONS Where a change in law occurs by virtue of a judicial decision during the course of an administrative appeal, a circuit court is not only empowered to remand for such factual findings and further consideration, but is required to do so in order to permit an appellant the right to rely upon the new law. In the subject case, while a decision to deny a special exception was on appeal to the circuit court, the Maryland Court of Appeals rendered its decision in Schultz v. Pritts, 291 Md. 1, 432 A.2d 1319 (1981), which changed the law with respect to consideration of particular adverse effects emanating from special exception uses and the trial court was correct in remanding the matter to the county board of appeals to apply the new standards set forth in the Schultz case, supra. A litigant is entitled to the application of a change in law which has come about while he is enjoying his appellate privileges. Skipjack Cove Marina, Inc. v. Board of County Comm'rs of Cecil County, 264 Md. 381, 287 A.2d 49 (1972) Under local zoning ordinance provisions, a Board of

Appeals is authorized to prescribe appropriate conditions and safeguards in granting special exceptions. See also Montgomery County v. Mossburg, 228 Md. 555, 180 A.2d 851 (1962).

Kassab v. Burkhardt, 34 Md. App. 699, 368 A.2d 1064

An applicant for a special exception must meet all conditions precedent to the grant of such use. In the instant case, planned unit development provisions requiring that evidence be submitted to demonstrate that the subject development will be served by public water and sewage disposal systems which exist at the time the plan is submitted for approval must be literally interpreted. The courts may not attempt, under the guise of construction, to

LETTER OF TRANSMITTAL APR ASSOCIATES, INC. Surveyors - Engineers 7427 Harford Road BALTIMORE, MD 21234 26 OCT 1987 (301) 444-4312 MR. ROBERT HAINES TO ZONING COMMISSIONER SPECIAL EXCEPTION HARFORD RD & CHENOAK AVENUE COUNTY OFFICE BUILDING TOWSON MARYLAND ZIZO4 WE ARE SENDING YOU Attached Under separate cover via_ □ Samples □ Specifications HAND- DELIVERED COPIES DATE PLAT TO ACCOMPANY PETITION 27APS 87 FOR SPECIAL EXCEPTION 007 % 1367 ZONING OFFICE IE AFE TRANSMITTED as checked below ☐ For approval ☐ Submit _____copies for distribution As requested 19 PRINTS RETURNED AFTER LOAN TO US MR. HAINES THE PLAT WAS CORRECTED ACCORDING TO THE COMMENTS RECEIVED DURING THE ZONING COMMISSIONER HEARING ON OCT 23, 1987.

RELATED AREAS § 11.2 ses, if they be a legitimate use of land in the O-S Zone, subject to dinance, do meeting applicable requirements. fare of the Zoning boards dealing with special exceptions are deleg plan this gated limited authority to permit such uses which the of validity legislative body has determined, prima facie, can be (Citations allowed in specific use districts, absent any particular facts or circumstances to rebut this presumptive finding. If the promoted applicant has met its ourden of producing credible and e district. probative evidence on all issues and requirements, a cts, cannot special exception must be granted. The Court concluded by ubstantial stating: "Our examination of the record convinces us that that the the applicant more than met its burden of producing ects above probative and credible evidence on all issues required to be ated with proven, and that the evidence of the protestants was so vague and indefinite as to fail to raise fair debate in a witnesses reasoning mind...." 47 Md. App. at 480, 424 A.2d at 397. _ ues, etc.. Mayor & City Council of Baltimore v. Foster & Kleiser, l home es, were 46 Md. App. 163, 416 A.2d 762 (1980) eneralized The Court of Special Appeals reversed a denial of a probative conditional use permit to erect a sign, based sofely uponthe vague and unsupported fear that it might hinder efforts . 47 Md. to improve other areas in the same neighborhood. The Court stated: "... The City Council, by permitting billboards as a nd gravel conditional use, has legislatively determined that, as a by the general rule, they do not menace or endanger the public e Court health, safety, general welfare or morals within the area of omments that permitted use. The Board has a limited amount of id (2) the discretion to deny the use if there is substantial evidence to he Court show that, notwithstanding the underlying legislative compreconclusion, a particular structure would have such an as such. effect. But it may not thwart the legislative will based upon unspecific and unsupported protestations and concerns. In short, these conclusions have absolutely no

--- 668-8710 **BOB ADOLPH'S SUNOCO SERVICE** ROAD SERVICE - PICKUP & DELIVERY GENERAL REPAIRS

September 10, 1987

9300 HARFORD ROAD I BLOCK NORTH OF BELTWAY BALTIMONE, MO 21234

> Ballimore County Loning Commissioner 111 W. Chesapeake Ave.

Towson, Maryland 21204

Dear Sir,

Several months ago I leased my property at 9300 Harford Road to Amoco Oil Company pending building permits. In addition there is a requirement for a special exception which was filed 8/18/87. The item # 1s 74.

I am over 60 years of age and have a bad heart. I am not supposed to work over three hours a day. Because I let my mechanics know I was giving up the business I have lost all my help and income from the property which is my only income. As a result I am working twelve hours a day 6 days a week. I realize that the county is being flooded with zoning requests due to comprehensive rezoning, but I very much need to get this hearing over with so that I can close this station and stop worrying about how to pay my bills. I would appreciate any help you could give me concerning getting this hearing scheduled.

MARYLAND ZONING DECISIONS

evidentiary support, and are therefore by law, arbitrary and capricious." 46 Md. App. at 171-72, 416 A.2d at 767,

Turner v. Hammond, 270 Md. 41, 310 A.2d 543 (1973)

A special exception or conditional use is a part of a comprehensive zoning plan sharing the presumption that as such it is in the interest of the general welfare and therefore, valid. It is a zoning mechanism that delegates to an administrative board a limited authority to permit enumerated uses the legislature has determined can be allowed absent any facts or circumstances negating the

The burden of proof on the applicant and the duties of the

administrative body are explained, thusly: "While the applicant has the burden of adducing testimony which will show that his use meets the prescribed standards and requirements he does not have the burden of showing affirmatively that his proposed use accords with the general welfare. If he shows to the satisfaction of the Board that the proposed use would be conducted without real detriment to the neighborhood and would not actually adversely affect the public interest, he has met his burden. The extent of any harm or disturbance to the neighboring area and uses is, of course, material but if there is no probative evidence of harm or disturbance in light of the nature of the zone involved or of factors causing disharmony to the functioning of the comprehensive plan, a denial of an application for a special exception is arbitrary, capricious and illegal." 270 Md. at 55, 310 A.2d

See also Rockville Fuel & Feed Co. v. Board of Appeals of the City of Gaithersburg, 257 Md. 183, 187, 262 A.2d 499. 501-02 (1970); Montgomery County v. Merlands Club, Inc., 202 Md. 279, 287, 96 A.2d 261, 264 (1953).

COVAHEY & BOOZER, P. A.

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

BIA BOFLEY AVENUE

TOWSON, MARYLAND 21204

Enclosed please find copies of an Amended Petition for

Special Exception and Amended Petition for Zoning Variance which

We apologize for any inconvenience or difficulties this may

have been filed this date with the Zoning office. The Amendment was necessary only to correctly reflect that Amoco Oil upon the outcome of these Petitions, and not legal owner. The

Agreement between the parties does, however, appoint Amoco as

attorney-in-fact for the owners to pursue zoning approval.

AREA GODE 301

March 29, 1988

Baltimore County Zoning Commissioner Office of Planning & Zoning Towson, Maryland 21204



F. Vernon Boozer, Esquire 614 Bosley Avenue Towson, Maryland 21204

Re: Petition for Zoning Variances and Special Exception

Dear Mr. Boozer:

Pursuant to the recent hearing held on the subject case, please be advised that your Petition for a Special Exception and Zoning Variances have

If you have any questions concerning this matter, please do not hesitate to contact this office.

Very truly yours,

J. ROBERT HAINES ZONING COMMISSIONER

enclosure

HEARING ROOM #218

4-2-88-3

DREPLY TO

BUITE 101

828-855

ANNEX OFFICE

BOR BALTIMORE AVE

TOWSON, MO. BIRDA

AREA CODE 301

(ro FILE)

County Board of Appeals of Paltimore Coun. Room 200 Court House Toloson, Maryland 21204

(301) 494-3180 January 22, 1988 NOTICE OF ASSIGNMENT

NO POSTPONEMENTS WILL BE GRANTED WITHOUT GOOD AND SUFFICIENT REASONS. REQUESTS FOR POSTPONEMENTS MUST BE IN WRITING AND IN STRICT COMPLIANCE WITH BOARD RULE 2(b). NO POSTPONEMENTS WILL BE GRANTED WITHIN FIFTEEN (15) DAYS OF SCHEDULED HEARING DATE UNLESS IN FULL COMPLIANCE WITH RULE 2(c). COUNTY COUNCIL BILL NO. 59-79.

CASE NO. 88-137-XA

AMOCO OIL COMPANY NW/cor. Harford Rd. and Chenoak Ave.

gin F. Dist.; 6th C. Dist.

SE-Food store in conj. W/service station (gas-n-go) Var.-Signs

12/9/87 - Z.C.'s Order-GRANTED w/restrictions

THUPSDAY, MAY 5, 1939, at 10 a.m. ASSIGNED FOR:

ca: Mrs. Donald Chenowith

Mrs. Nellie Kiemig

Counsel for Petitioner F. Vernon Boozer, Esq. People's Counsel

Phyllis C. Friedman office of Flanning

Pavid Fields

и и и

James Hoswell

J. Robert Haines Ann Nastarowicz

James Lyer

Lesoket Clerk

Zoning Office

ZONING OFFICE

ZONING OFFICE

Mrs. Nellie Kiemig

Mrs. Donald Chenowith

EDWARD C COVAHEY, JR

Gentlemen:

have caused.

HAND-DELIVERED

County Board of Appeals
Room 200, Courthouse

Towson, Maryland 21204

Re: Case No.: 88-137-XA

Amcco Oil Company

F VERNON BOOZER

ANTHONY J DIPAULA

MARK & DEVAN

cc: Phyllis C. Friedman, People's Counsel James Hoswell, Office of Planning

J. Robert Haines, Zoning Commissioner

June Holmen, Secretary

Mr. Robert T. Adolph 9300 Harford Road Baltimore, Maryland 21234

I have contacted the Zoning Commissioner about your situation. He has nformed me that the decision on the Petition for Special Exception and the Petition for Zoning Variances was issued on December 9, 1987. That Amoco Oil Company, through their atvorney, Vernon Boozer, has appealed the decision of the Zoning Commissioner to the Baltimore County Board of Appeals.

I understand your predictament and your desire to conclude this matter. However, the Zoning Commissioner is a quasi-judicial officer and I, as an elected officia. am prevented from changing his Orders. As you are aware, decisions of the Zoning Commissioner are appealable to the Baltimore County

The County Executive does not have the authority to change a decision of the Zoning Commissioner and, likewise, appeals are the legal responsibility of the Board of Appeals. I believe it is improper for me to interfere in a case on appeal to the Board of Appeals.

Thank you for your and consideration.

Sincerely

Deen in amoco stations in day 9th Rains with Hore of my sous Deur soum dear enough. Arroco Bocs Rame amaning Rolling of requiring and more to gay givet - quing Batin "Crown station Personally I would nother be trated as though Betential thing! Le gentlemantest fying ast ather hoise Develotables of dechel Develo and human hearing Drisounds convincing But I soo a hater recording Dound Develo rolly Compare with a Queman bring's concept of noise Graning a collophane bog of chips or cookies at a pieme is Roubly note worthy open that some bog in a quiet how is the attended of him patient will invally some for into get it some with so they can Lear the movie - A fire crocker can seem to be a cannon in the relatively quiet vight The actual decital cent of the expresion would be the same on the nater hight or day. But not in the ears and mind of a person trying to sleep. In the wee hows engines brokes and draing con doors can seen like they are in ght in your your The Dandscaping shows on the drawing The street from the noise or lights-including.

Read fits of can pulling in a out.

Ballimore County Board of Appeals Old Cor thouse, Room #205 Towson, Haryland 21204 Petitions for Special Exception and Zoning Variance NY/cor, Harford Road and Chenoak Avenue 9th Election District; 6th Councilmenic District Amoco Oil Company - Petitioner Case No. 88-137-XA referenced matter was filed on December 29, 1987 by F. Vernon Boozer, Esquire, Attorney for Petitioner. All meterials relative to the case are being forwarded bearing when it has been scheduled. If you have any questions on the subject, please do not besitate to contact this office." J. ROBERT HAIRES Vernon Booser, Baquire 614 Bosley Avenue Towson, Maryland 3 21204 Phyllis Friedman, Esquire People's Counsel of Baltimore County Rm. 223, Old Courthouse Towson, Maryland 3 21204

> Mr. Bogdanawicz spent much time about the staint of anoly 2 words sudicash Kentet when Proces policy of Fraging open 24 hours a day , 365 doug a year came to grouply. no MAIHT Trasmin och bedning to sugart mil Hot 24 Amoco in grantes the extensed Rome of 118M 6 MM. then the what will be open 24 home a day. every day of the week every week of the month every month of the year year after year after year after year "the doct us do part That is a sobbury. and depressing thought is feel that is garticidar. Docation is Too CLOSE to resident al property for anyone in 8002 conscience to allow a 24 hour. 365 day operation Einally Dohall ment-on my family My Luchand Las Dived 57 years on Chericale Dre and my son all his 28 years_I orgen than that Suraso station has existed they work in construction. walking across josto and ropo, dimbing scaffed, working with power tools are not things to be esell tiele bue betout to year only by givel toer ithis it trus so a been were Me Louetine and money invested inventome

We have a right as veridents to not be Taken assignation lois removed by against a land of the same of long commercial enterprises

> (Mrs) Evances Elevent 2927 Cherrole Are Batto, Nd. 21234

Rodin 240 Court Wouse 19ans Marshand Care No. 88-137-XA- Amaco English The whole was belong the with setted Though will come Misses = it will Relative businesses water of in parties of in the word in it was the seems vielement Thin Surenesses done by 5 mm 1, 8m the Bown ar at a AM There people are not it Penais doing business between 11 and 6 AM get Done trying to Deep Part of the property which Sunor o be we

gut ago in tota osant us oursed jullo well! was I Swal Emil mas Chan level first of the results are unsatisfactory we turn to a source which offus citizen assistance equainquality control posses & Dution, Reall R. Bring 2Re Oarquit Ra Janemeins Ku Randunt icte de Stilles of ai I man le mitate as and glance

Petitions for Special Exception and Zoning Variance

Description of Property

Certificate of Posting

Certificates of Publication

Entry of Appearance of People's Counsel

Zoning Plans Advisory Committee Comments

Director of Planning & Zoning Comments

Petitioner's Exhibits: 1) Plat of Property A) Revised Plat of Property dated 10/26/87

Zoning Commissioner's Order dated December 9, 1987

Notice of Appeal received December 29, 1987 from F. Vernon Boozer, Esquire,

F. Vernon Boozer, Esquire, Attorney for Petitioner Towson, Maryland 21204

Request Notification: Norman E. Gerber, Director of Planning James Hoswell, Office of Planning & Zoning J. Hobert Haines, Zoning Commissioner Ann M. Nastarowicz, Deputy Zoning Commissioner James E. Dyer, Zoning Supervisor Docket Cier'i

88-137 XAL

Rd Chenook

BALTIMORI: COUNTY OFFICE OF PLANNING & ZONING

amoco Oil Company NW/corner Harford

Met with Jimmy Fielde, Field Sopervier

for the construction company hard by

anow to wither the service station.

Fields indicated measurements were laken

after the complainants complained directly

"thicked out". He further indicated that

were on amover property. No violations

were noted at the time of my inspection

L'interter Mrs Kuning and rejertel

that there was no reolation.

to him 96 e revealed that everything

portions of mis Keinigs driveway

County Office Building 111 W. Chesapeake Avenue Towson, Maryland 21204

Your petition has been received and accepted for filing this __ day of _October ____, 1987.

Received by: Chairman, Zoning Plans
Advisory Committee

Amoco Oil Company Petitioner's F. Vernon Booser, Esquir

Attorney for Petitioner Centhony I. Wi Taula Gag. McDonald Chenowith ao- Loursel - Petitioner 1927 Chenoak are. (2/234) moi Kumig (Nelle) 1926 Khirosk are, (21234) *F. Vernon Boozer, Esquire, Attorney for Petitioner 614 Bosley Avenue Towson, Maryland 21204 **计多数分词用中央的种类形态** Mr. Charles T. Bogdanowicz Amoco Oil Company, Proj. Engnr. Phyllis Friedman, Esquire 14520 Green Road People's Counsel of Baltimore County Baldwin, MD 21013 Rm. 223, Old Courthouse Towson, Maryland 21204 A CONTRACT OF THE PROPERTY OF water the control of the state Request Notification: Norman E. Gerber, Director of Planning James Hoswell, Office of Planning & Zoning J. Robert Haines, Zoning Commissioner Ann M. Nastarowicz, Deputy Zoning Commissioner James E. Dyer, Zoning Supervisor Docket Clerk DALTIMORE COUNTY
OFFICE OF PLANNING & ZONING
TOWSON, MARYLAND 21204 J. ROBERT HAINES ZONING COMMISSIONER October 14, 1987 F. Vernon Boozer, Fsquire 614 Bosley Avenue Towson, Maryland 21204 RE: PETITIONS FOR SPECIAL EXCEPTION AND VARIANCE NW/cor. Harford Rd. and Chenoak Ave. 9th Election District - 6th Councilmanic District Amoco Oil Company - Petitioner Dear Mr. Boozer: This is to advise you that \$124.53 is due for advertising and posting of the above property. This fee must be paid before an BALTIMORE COUNTY MADE THE OPDER SHALL NOT BE ISSUED. BALTIMORE COUNTY, MARYLAND OFFICE OF FINANCE - REVENUE DIVISION MISCELLANEOUS CASH RECEIPT a time it is placed by ore County, Maryland, and remit 12 SIGNS & POSTS R-01-615-000 MAN RETURNED F. Vernon Boozer, Esq., 614 Bosley Ave., ADVERTISING & POSTING COSTS RE CASE #88-137-VA 8 B 018****12458:8 2232F

Petitions for Special Exception and Zoning Variance

NW/cor. Harford Road and Chenoak Avenue

9th Election District - 6th Councilmanic District

Amoco Oil Company - Petitioner

Case No. 88-137-XA

A) Revised Plat of Property dated 10/26/87

Notice of Appeal received December 29, 1987 from F. Vernon Boozer, Esquire,

SP.EXCEP.-food store in conjunction with service station (gas-n-go)

Petitions for Special Exception and Zoning Variance

Description of Property

Certificate of Posting

Certificates of Publication

Entry of Appearance of People's Counsel

Director of Planning & Zoning Comments

Zoning Plans Advisory Committee Comments

Petitioner's Exhibits: 1) Plat of Property

Zoning Commissioner's Order dated December 9, 1987

Die assacties, inc. KEVIN Ļ. ĢUELET, P.S. surveyors-engineers PAUL A. HATYOH WARD F. DEIACO - LOIGH, PRUP. L.S. AMOCO OIL COMPANY DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY HARFORD AND CHENOAK AVENUE NINTH ELECTION DISTRICT, BALTIMORE COUNTY, MARYLAND BEGINNING FOR THE SAME at a point on the Northwest right-ofway line of Harford Road, 60 feet wide, as shown on the State Roads Commission of Maryland Right-of-Way Plat No. 2696 and at the beginning of a gusset line conrecting said. right-of-way line of Harford Road with the Northeasterly side of Chenoak Avenue as shown on Baltimore County Bureau of Land Acquisition drawing RW 66-343-1; thence leaving Harford Road and binding along said gusset line, referring all courses to the True Meridian as established for the -Baltimore County Metropolitan District, South 84 degrees 11 "minutes 40 seconds West 30.47 feet to a point on the Northeasterly side of Chenoak Avenue; thence binding thereon North 54 degrees 51, minutes 40 seconds West 126.62 feet to intersect the last or South 35 degrees 08 minutes 20 seconds West 140.14 foot line of a parcel of land which by Deed dated May 9, 1966 and recorded in Liber O.T.G. 4619, page 121 was conveyed by Sun Oil Company to William A. Kelmig and wife; thence leaving Chenoak Avenue and binding reversely, along said line North 35 degrees 08 minutes 20 seconds East 130.14 feet to intersect the fourth or South 63 degrees 01 minutes 20 seconds East 171.48 foot line of a parcel of land which by Deed dated March 2, 1966 and recorded in Liber 7027 harford road baltimore, md. 21234 tol: 301 444 4312 September 16, 1987 F. Vernon Boozer, Esquire 614 Bosley Avenue Towson, Maryland 21204 NOTICE OF HEARING RE: PETITIONS FOR SPECIAL EXCEPTION AND VARIANCE NW/cor. Harford Road and Chenoak Avenue 9th Election District - 6th Councilmanic District Amoco Oil Company - Petitioner Case No. 88-137-XA 10:00 a.m. Friday, October 23, 1987 PLACE: Room 106, County Office Building, 111 West Chesapeake Avenue, Towson, Maryland BALTIMORE COUNTY, MARYLAND OFFICE OF FINANCE - REVENUE DIVISION

MISCELLANEOUS CASH RECEIPT

Tem # 74 No. 41491 DATE 8-18-87 ACCOUNT 890-617 Mrs. Nellie D. Keimig

AMOCO OIL COMPANY DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY HARFORD AND CHENOAK AVENUE NINTH ELECTION DISTRICT, BALTIMORE COUNTY, MARYLAND 1587, page 338 was conveyed by Edward E. Moreau to Sun Oil Company; thence binding along said line South 63 degrees 01 mintues 20 seconds East 151.90 feet to intersect the 4/22/87 2926 Chenoak Avenue Baltimore, Maryland 21234

Northeast right-of-way line of Harford Road, thence binding thereon by a curve having a radius of 17,218.74 feet for an ard length of 131.74 feet and a chord of South 35 degrees 27 minutes 18 seconds West 131.74 feet to the point of beginning; containing 20,886 square feet or 0.4795 acres more or less. BEING and comprising the land conveyed to Sun Oil . Company by the two hereinmentioned deeds: 1) From Lester G. Chenowith and wife dated March 2, 1966 and recorded in Liber 4587, page 341. 2) From Edward E. Moreau dated March 2, 1966 and recorded in Liber 4587, page 338. CERTIFICATE OF POSTING ZONING DEPARTMENT OF BALTIMORE COUNTY 88-137-XA District. 9th

Dete of Posting 10/1/17

Posted for: 5poc14/ Ficuptions + Varion co Petitioner: Anasa Oil Composed
Location of property: NWlcor, Horford Rd + Changon Ing. Location of Signs: Tours on the first of Chenest + 1884 10' fra roodway of thomset + 20 Fr. 200 dws + of ller Ford COVAHEY & BOOZER, P.A. ATTORNEYS AT LAW 414 BOBLEY AVENUE TOWSON MARYLAND 21204 AREA CODE 301 828-9441 C REPLY TO ANNEX OFFICE EDWARD C COVAHEA TE BUITE 101 December 29, 1987 F VERNON BOOZER 606 BALTIMORE AVE MARK & DEVAN TOWSON MD 21204 ANTHONY J DIPAULA AREA CODE 301 HAND DELIVERY J. Robert Haines Baltimore County Zoning Commissioner County Office Building 111 West Chesapeake Avenue Towson, Maryland 21204 RE: CASE NO.: 88-137XA AMOCO OIL COMPANY HARFORD ROAD AND CHENOAK AVENUE Dear Mr. Paines: On behalf of the Petitioner, please enter an appeal to the County Board of Appeals from the decision rendered on December 9, 1987 in the above-referenced matter. Enclosed is my escrow check in the amount of \$190.00 to cover the cost Very truly yours, enclosure BALTIMORE COUNTY, MARYLAND No. 33112 OFFICE OF FINANCE REVENUE DIY HON MISCELLANEOUS CASH RECEIPT ropesta, hairtima - manua OR SIGNATURE OF CASHIFR

BEFORE THE ZONING COMMISSIONER RE: PETITION FOR SPECIAL EXCEPTION PETITION FOR VARIANCES OF BALTIMORE COUNTY NW Corner Harford Rd. and Chenoak Ave., 9th District Case No. 88-137-XA AMOCO OIL COMPANY, Petitioner 1:::::: ENTRY OF APPEARANCE Please enter the appearance of the People's Counsel in the abovecaptioned matter. Notices should be sent of any hearing dates or other proceedings in this matter and of the passage of any preliminary or final Order.

> Shyllia Cole Friedman Phyllis Cole Friedman People's Counsel for Baltimore County

Peter Max Zimmerman Deputy People's Counsel Room 223, Court House Towson, Maryland 21204 494-2188

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on this 22nd day of September, 1987, a copy of the foregoing Entry of Appearance was mailed to F. Vernon Boozer, Esquire, 614 Bosley Ave., Towson, MD 21204, Attorney for Petitioner.

Peter Max Zimmerman

ZONING DEPARTMENT OF BALTIMORE COUNTY 88-137-11

| 924 | Date of Posting 1/13/28 |
|------------------------------------|--|
| Posted for: Amero Oil Co | Horford R1 to Change A Un |
| Location of property: NWI Cov. | 1 to fait Change line classe. |
| Location of Signer Property of Co. | The first of the first change the second |
| | Date of return: 1/15/58 |

CERTIFICATE OF PUBLICATION

THIS IS TO CERTIFY, that the annexed odvertisement was published in THE JEFFERSONIAN, a weekly newspaper printed and published in Towson, Baltimore County, Md., appearing on

THE JEFFERSONIAN,

B 830*****2)000:a 2182F

VALIDATION OR SIGNATURE OF CASHIER









