IN RE: PETITION FOR ZONING VARIANCE E/S Rockdale Terrace, 241' NE of the c/l of Liberty Road (3611 Rockdale Terrace) 2nd Election District

* DEPUTY ZONING COMMISSIONER

* OF EALTIMORE COUNTY 2nd Councilmanic District * Case No. 92-77-A

Robert B. Graves, et ux Petitioners

* * * * * * * * * * FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Petitioners herein request a variance from Section 1802.3.C.1 of the Baltimore County Zoning Regulations (B.C.Z.R.) to permit two lot widths of 50 feet each in lieu of the required 55 feet, and to permit α side yard setback of 8.5 feet in lieu of the minimum required 10 feet for an existing dwelling, all as more particularly described on Petitioner's Exhibit 1.

The Petitioners, by Robert Graves, appeared and testified. There were no Protestants.

Testimony indicated that the subject property, known as 3611 Rockdale Terrace, consists of 12,075 sq.ft. zoned D.R. 5.5 and is presently unimproved. Said property is also known as Lot 27 of Rockdale. Petitioners testified they purchased the subject property and the adjoining property on which they reside, known as 3613 Rockdale Terrace, in 1977. Testimony indicated that the two properties were purchased and deeded to Petitioners as two separate lots. Petitioners are desirous of selling Lot 27 as a buildable lot but have been unsuccessful as a result of a change in the zoning regulations requiring a minimum lot width of 55 feet. Petitioners introduced Petitioner's Exhibit 2 evidencing that Rockdale was recorded in 1922 with 50-foot wide lots. Further testimony indicated that the variance to side yard setback requirements is necessary due to the

NING DESCRIPTION & SITE PLAN IN THIS CASE INCORRECTLY REFER TO THE SUBJECT OPERTIES AS LOTS 26 AND 27 RATHER THAN THE CORRECT LOT NUMBERS OF 24 AND 25

location of the existing dwelling on Lot 26. Petitioners testified the subject property has become a burden for them to maintain and that they have attempted to sell the property together with their home to no avail. Testimony indicated Petitioners have spoken with all their neighbors and that there were no objections to a house being built on Lot 21. Testimony indicated the relief requested would not result in any detriment to the health, safety or general welfare of the community and that to deny same would only result in unreasonable hardship for Petitioners.

An area variance may be granted where strict application of the coming regulations would cause practical difficulty to the Petitioner and his property. McLean v. Soley, 270 Md. 208 (1973). To prove practical difficulty for an area variance, the Petitioner must meet the following:

> 1) whether strict compliance with requirement would unreasonably prevent the use of the property for a permitted purpose or render conformance unnecessarily burdensome;

2) whether the grant would do substantial injustice to applicant as well as other property owners in the district or whether a lesser relaxation than that applied for would give substantial relief; and

3) whether relief can be granted in such fashion that the spirit of the ordinance will be observed and public safety and welfare secured.

Anderson v. Bd. of Appeals, Town of Chesapeake Beach, 22 Md. App. 28

It is clear from the testimony that if the variances are granted, such use as proposed would not be contrary to the spirit of the B.C.Z.R. and would not result in substantial detriment to the public health, safety, and general welfare.

THE ORDER, ZONING DESCRIPTION & SITE PLAN IN THIS CASE INCORRECTLY REFER TO THE SUBJECT PROPERTIES AS LOTS 26 AND 27 RATHER THAN THE CORRECT LOT NUMBERS OF 24 AND 25.

> Pursuant to the advertisement, posting of the property, and public hearing on this Petition held, and for the reasons given above, the relief requested should be granted.

> THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED by the Deputy Zoning Commissioner for Baltimore County this 33 day of October, 1991 that the Petition for Zoning Variance from Section 1802.3.C.1 of the Baltimore County Zoning Regulations (B.C.Z.R.) to permit two lot widths of 50 feet each in lieu of the required 55 feet for Lots 26 and 27 of Rockdale, and to permit a side yard setback of 8.5 feet in lieu of the minimum required 10 feet for an existing dwelling on Lot 26, in accordance with Petitioner's Exhibit 1, be and is hereby GRANTED, subject to the following restriction:

> > 1) The Petitioners may apply for their building permit and be granted same upon receipt of this Order; however, Petitioners are hereby made aware that proceeding at this time is at their own risk until such time as the 30-day appellate process from this Order has expired. If, for whatever reason, this Order is reversed, the Petitioners would be required to return, and be responsible for returning, said property to its original condition.

> > 2) When applying for a building permit, the site plan filed must reference this case and set forth and address the restrictions of this Order.

NING DESCRIPTION & SITE PLAN IN THIS CASE INCORRECTLY REFER TO THE SUBJECT

PERTIES AS LOTS 26 AND 27 RATHER THAN THE CORRECT LOT NUMBERS OF 24 AND 25.

Deputy Zoning Commissioner for Baltimore County

Zoning Commissioner Office of Planning and Zoning

111 West Chesapeake Avenue Towson, MD 21204

887-3353

October 23, 1991

Mr. & Mrs. Robert B. Graves 3613 Rockdale Terrace Baltimore, Maryland 21207

RE: PETITION FOR ZONING VARIANCE E/S Rockdale Terrace, 241' NE of the c/l of Liberty Road (3611 Rockdale Terrace) 2nd Election District - 2nd Councilmanic District Robert B. Graves, et ux - Petitioners Case No. 92-77-A

Dear Mr. & Mrs. Graves:

Enclosed please find a copy of the decision rendered in the above-captioned matter. The Petition for Zoning Variance has been granted in accordance with the attached Order.

Baltimore County Government

In the event any party finds the decision rendered is unfavorable, any party may file an appeal to the County Board of Appeals within thirty (30) days of the date of this Order. For further information on filing an appeal, please contact Ms. Charlotte Radcliffe at 887-3391.

Very truly yours,

Deputy Zoning Commissioner for Baltimore County

TMK:bjs

cc: People's Counsel

Petition for Variance

to the Zoning Commissioner of Baltimore County 92-77-76

The undersigned, legal owner(s) of the property situate in Baltimore County and which i described in the description and plat attached hereto and made a part hereof, hereby petition for a Variance from Section 1802.3.C.1 - to allow two lot widths of 50' in lieu of the required 55' and to allow a side vard setback of 8.5' in lieu

of the Zoning Regulations of Baltimore County, to the Zoning Law of Baltimore County; for the following reasons: (indicate hardship or practical difficulty) SEE PTIDCHEO

Property is to be posted and advertised as prescribed by Zoning Regulations. I, or we, agree to pay expenses of above Variance advertising, posting, etc. upon filing of this petition, and further agree to and are to be bound by the zoning regulations and restrictions of Baltimore County adopted pursuant to the Zoning Law For Baltimore County.

Contract Purchaser/Lessee:

I/We do solemnly declare and affirm, under the penalties of perjury, that I/we are the legal owner(s) of the property which is the subject of this Pelition. Robert BRADIEY ERALES

Rost Bladles Core ANTA S GRAVES

vocor Punt Name) , GRAVES Aut & Thous

3613 Rock DAKe terr 922-3619 BALTO. MD. 21207

REBERT BRANIEY GRACES 36.13 ROCKDAle Teri 122-3619

AVAILABLE FOR HEARING MON./TUES./WED. - NEXT TWO MONTHS ALL ____OTHER ____

REVIEWED BY: DATE

92-77-A

HARDSHIP REASONS FOR GRANTING SVARTANCE

OUR PROPERTY HAS BEEN FOR SINE FOR 12 YEARS, BUT with Buyers in this MREA either NOT interested IN BOTH LOTS 26+27 OR GRAELE TO QUALITY FOR FINANCING FOR BOTH Lots (87,900 FOIR HOUSE AND/STZG, AND 20,000 FOR LOT 27, OR 102,000 BOTH LOTS COMBINED); WE HAVE BEEN UNDER UNDUE HARDSHIP TO SECURE A REASONABLE RETURN FOR OUR PROPERTY WITHOUT A FIVE FOOT UPKINNEC GRANIEL TO OUR Lot # 27.

2) THE DIFFICULTIES AND HAIRDSHIP peculing to this Property is THAT WHEN THIS NEVERTEDRIGOD WAS CSTARLISHED, All OF THE LOTS WEIRE MEASURED IN 50, Building Lots, SINCE THAT THE the ZONING LAWS have Been charges to 55 Building lots. MOST All OF THE HOMES IN OUR ALLA ARE ON 50 1575 AND WITHOUT A STEVARIANCE OUR LOT " LY CANNOT BE IMPROVED. WE HAVE TRIED FOR 12 years to SECURE A KENSONINICK RETURNS BY MARKETING OUR TWO Lots 26+27 TOGETHEN INDE SEPERATELY, WITH the UPCANT LOT # Z7 NIWAYS BEING A problem (described 1.2 SETION I) WE HAVE ALSO THEE TO SELL THIS PROPERTY AT A MUCH KES then going worte to MOSSINING Neighbors without May success. Since All the

92-77-A

OTHER PROPERTIES ON OUR STREET WERE BUILT ON 50 LOTS, OUR ONE 10+#27 IS AND WILL REMAIN VACANT, AFTER OUR HOUSE IS SOLD ON LOTZG; AND MOST importantly will be AND is A pecultar HARDSHIP TO US IN OUR PURSUIT OF A FAIR AND REASONAble RETURN. Please GRANT US A FIVE FOOT LARTANCE WHICH WILL BE IN THE BEST INTEREST AND WITHOUT BURDEN TO US, THE NEIGHBORHOOD AND BALTIMORE COUNTY.

3) WE PURCHASED THIS PROPERTY IN 1977 FROM THE PASTOR OF OUR CHURCH WHO AT THAT TIME USED THIS PROPERTY AS THE CHURCH PARSONAGE. WE WERE TOLD OR LED TO KELIEVE THAT WE COULD AT SOME TIME BUILD ON the VACANT LOT # 27. RECENTLY, HOWEVER, WE Have been told that the Zoning Laws CHANGED SOME Time REFORE WE purchased OUR property. We DID NOT CHECK ON this IN 1977 Because MT THAT TIME WE HAD NO NEED TO IMPROVE THE VACANT LOT. However, STREE THAT TIME, THINGS HAVE CHANGED All OVER THE COUNTY

42-77-A

AND WITH US. THE COUNTY CHANGED THE Building Lot size to 55' AND AT THE SAME Time THEREASED THE BUILDING DENSSTY to DR 5.5. We ARE ONLY REQUESTING A FAIR Solution to our problem WHICH WILL HAVE NO ADVERSE EFFECT ON ANYONE OR BALLO COUNTY. BY Allowing 45 A 5' VARTANCE, THE COURTY WILL be RELIEVING US OF AN UNDUE HARDSHIP WHICH WAS NO FAULT OF OUR OWN. A FIVE FOOT LARTANCE WOULD Allow US TO rECTEBE A REASONABLE RETURN AND AT THE SAME TIME, THE Property WOULD GENERATE FUTURE GROWTH FOR THE COUNTY. Please GRANT US A FIVE FOOT UNRIANCE SO THAT OUR PROPERTY WILL CONFORM TO, AND BE OF EQUAL USE AND PURPOSE, AS THE REST OF OUR 50'LOT Building Site Neighborhood.

x food Boadfor surve 8/14/91

75

ZONING DESCRIPTION

Beginning on the east side of Rockdale Terrace 30' maintained at a point distant northeasterly 241' +/- from the center line of Liberty Road being lots 26 and 27 in the subdivision of Rockdale Platbook 7 folio 47, containing approximately 24,000 square feet. Also known as #3611-3613 Rockdale Terrace in the 2nd Election District, and 2nd Councilmanic District.

CERTIFICATE OF POSTING

887 3353

District Jane Bilert Gradin France of my Location of property: Els of Portolesis Temory INE in 24th from E ORDER, ZONING DESCRIPTION & SITE PLAN IN THIS CASE MOORRECTLY REFER TO THE SUBJECT
OPERTIES AS LOTS 26 AND 27 RATHER THAN THE CORRECT LOT NUMBERS OF 24 AND 25.

Baltimore County Government Office of Zoning Administration and Development Management Office of Planning & Zoning

111 West Chesapeake Avenue

Towson, MD 2120 i

NOTICE OF HEARING

The Zoning Commissioner of Baltimore County, by authority of the Zoning Act and Regulations of Baltimore County will hold a public hearing on the property identified herein in Room 106 of the County Office Building, located at 111 W. Chesapeake Avenue in Towson, Maryland 21204 as follows:

CASE NUMBER: 92-77-A E/S Rockdale Terrace, NEly 241' (=/-) from c/l Liberty Road 3611-3613 Rockdale Terrace 2nd Election District - 2nd Councilmanic Petitioner(s): Robert Bradley Graves, et ux HEARING: WEDNESDAY, OCTOBER 16, 1991 at 2:00 p.m.

Variance to allow two lot widths of 50 feet in lieu of the required 55 feet; and to allow a side yard setback of 8.5 feet in lieu of the required 10 feet.

cc: Robert Bradley Graves

CERTIFICATE OF PUBLICATION

NOTICE OF HEARING The Zoning Commissioner of Baltimore County, by authority of the Zoning Act and Regulations of Baltimore County will hold a public hearing on the property identified herein in Room 106 of the County Office Building, located at 111 W Chesapeake Avenue in Towson, Maryland 21204 Case Number: 92-77-A E-S Rockdale Terrace, NEly 241' (---) from c1 Liberty Road 3611-3613 Rockdale Terrace 2nd Election District 2nd Councilmanic Graves, et ux Hearing Date: Wednesday Oct. 16, 1991 at 2:00 p.m Variance: to allow two lot widths of 50 feet in lieu of the required 55 feet, and to allow a side yard setback of 8.5 feet in fieu of

the required 10 feet.

The Zoning Commissioner of Battimore County, by authority of the Zoning Act and Regulations of Baltimore County will hold a public hearing on the property identified herein in Room 106 of the County Office Building, located at 111 W. Chesapeake Avenue in Towson, Maryland 21204 as follows:

Case Number: 92-77-A E/S Rockdale Terrace, NEly 241' (=/-) from c/l

241 (= /-) from C/I Liberty Road 3611-3613 Rockdale Terrace 2nd Election District 2nd Councilmanic Petitioner(s): Robert Bardley Graves, et ux Hearing Date: Wednesday Oct. 16, 1991 at 2:00 p.m.

Variance: to allow two lot widths of 50 feet in lieu of the required 55 feet; and to allow a side yard setback of 8.5 feet in lieu of

Zoning Commissioner of Baltimore County OMT/J/9/079 September 12.

Zoning Commissioner of Baltimore County OMT J 9 079 September 12

THIS IS TO CERTIFY, that the annexed advertisement was published in OWINGS MILLS TIMES, a weekly newspaper published in Towson, Baltimore County, Md., once in each of successive weeks, the first publication appearing on _____

OWINGS MILLS TIMES,

CERTIFICATE OF PUBLICATION

TOWSON, MD., ______, 19____

THIS IS TO CERTIFY, that the annexed advertisement was published in THE JEFFERSONIAN, a weekly newspaper published in Towson, Baltimore County, Md., once in each of ____ successive weeks, the first publication appearing on ______, 19 ____.

THE JEFFERSONIAN.

887 3353

Baltimore County Government Office of Zoning Administration and Development Management Office of Planning & Zoning

111 West Chesapeake Avenue Towson, MD 2120 i

October 1, 1991

Mr.& Mrs. Robert B. Graves 3613 Rockdale Terrace Baltimore, MD 21207

> RE: Item No. 75, Case No. 92-77-A Petitioner: Robert B. Graves, et ux Petition for Variance

Dear Mr. & Mrs. Graves:

The Zoning Plans Advisory Committee has reviewed the plans submitted with the above referenced petition. The following comments are not intended to indicate the appropriateness of the zoning action requested, but to assure that all parties are made aware of plans or problems with regard to the development plans that may have a bearing on this case. Director of Planning may file a written report with the Zoning Commissioner with recommendations as to the suitability of the requested zoning.

Enclosed are all comments submitted from the members of the Committee at this time that offer or request information on your petition. If similar comments from the remaining members are received, I will forward them to you. Otherwise, any comment that is not informative will be placed in the hearing file. This petition was accepted for filing on the date of the enclosed filing certificate and a hearing scheduled accordingly.

IT WOULD BE APPRECIATED IF YOU WOULD RETURN YOUR WRITTEN COMMENTS TO MY OFFICE, ATTENTION JULIE WINIARSKI. IF YOU HAVE ANY QUESTIONS REGARDING THIS, PLEASE CONTACT HER AT 887-3391.

Enclosures

Zoning Plans Advisory Committee

ONING DESCRIPTEN & SITE PLAN IN THIS CASE INCORRECTLY REFER TO THE SUBJECT RESEARCH OF 24 AND 25. 111 West Chesapeake Avenue Account: R-001-6150 THE RESERVE TO A STATE OF THE PARTY OF

> Baltimore County Zoning Commisioner County Office Enilding 111 We ! Chesapeake Avenue

04A04#0069#ICHRC

SA CO10430Ah08-15-91
Please Make Checks Payable To: Baltimore County

Mary Barby THE BURNEY

ING DESCRIPTION & SITE PLAN IN THIS CASE INCORRECTLY REFER TO THE SUBJECT

Baltimore County Government Office of Zoning Administration and Development Management Office of Planning & Zoning

111 West Chesapeake Avenue Towson, MD 2120 r

887-3353

Your petition has been received and accepted for filing this 15th day of August, 1991.

Received By:

Petitioner: Robert B. Graves, et ux Petitioner's Attorney:

BALTIMORE COUNTY, MARYLAND

INTER-OFFICE CORRESPONDENCE

Arnold Jablon, Director DATE: September 13, 1991 Zoning Administration and Development Management

Pat Keller, Deputy Director Office of Planning and Zoning

SUBJECT: HELBING Property, Item No. 53 Smith Property, Item No. 64 McClure Property, Item No. 65 Boehnlein Property, Item No. 66 Marx Property, Item No. 68 Buie Property, Item No. 69 Pulaski Property, Item No. 70 Wollschlager Property, Item No. 72 Bray Property, Item No. 74 Graves Property, Item No. 75 Sylvia Property, Item No. 76 Long Property, Item No. 78 Pearl Property, Item No. 79 Casey Property, Item No. 80 Edwards Property, Item No. 81 Tyson Property, Item No. 85 Skidmore Property, Item No. 88 Williams Property, Item No. 89 Restivo Property, Item No. 90 Didier Property, Item No. 97 Wesolowski Property, Item No. 102 Griffin Property, Item No. 103 Burgwin Property, Item No. 104 Ghent Property, Item No. 110 Lingg Property, Item No. 111 Bates Property, Item No. 112 Bond Property, Item No. 115

In reference to the Petitioner's request, staff offers no comments.

If there should be any further questions or if this office can provide additional information, please contact Jeffrey Long in the Office of Planning at 887-3211.

PK/JL/rdn ITEM47/TXTROZ

887 3353

111 West Chesapeake Avenue

Baltimore County Government

Office of Zoning Administration and Development Management

Office of Planning & Zoning

Robert Bradley Graves, et ux 3613 Rockdale Terrace Baltimore, Maryland 21207

CASE NUMBER: 92-77-A E/S Rockdale Terrace, NEly 241' (=/-) from c/l Liberty Road 3611-3613 Rockdale Terrace 2nd Election District - 2nd Councilmanic Petitioner(s): Robert Bradley Graves, et ux HEARING: WEDNESDAY, OCTOBER 16, 1991 at 2:00 p.m.

fifteen (15) minutes before your hearing is scheduled to begin.

Dear Petitioner(s):

Towson, MD 2120+

Please be advised that \$_____ is due for advertising and posting of the above captioned

THIS FEE MUST BE PAID AND THE ZONING SIGN & POST SET(S) RETURNED ON THE DAY OF THE HEARING OR THE ORDER

SHALL NOT ISSUE. DO NOT REMOVE THE SIGN & POST SET(S) FROM THE PROPERTY UNTIL THE DAY OF THE HEARING. Please make your check payable to Baltimore County, Maryland. Bring the check and the sign & post set(s) to the Zoning Office, County Office Building, 111 W. Chesapeake Avenue, Room 113, Towson, Maryland

ZONING COMMISSIONER BALTIMORE COUNTY, MARYLAND

Balamore County Government
THE ORDER, ZONING DESCRIPTION & SITE PLAN NATHIS CASE INCORRECTLY REFER TO THE SUBJECT PROPERTIES AS LOTS 26 AND 27 RATHER THAN THE CORRECT LOT NUMBERS OF 24 AND 25. 10 Mast Joppa Road, Suite 901 (301) 88" (500 Towson, MD 2120+5500 SEPTEMPER 16, 1991 Arrold Jahlon Pirector Tening Administration and Povelopment Management Politimore County Office Duilding Towson, MD 21204 FORERT FRACLEY CRAVES mp: Proporty Owner: #3611-3613 POCKDALE TERPACE Location: Item No.: 75 Zoning Agenda: AUGUST 27, 1991 Contlemen: Pursuant to your request, the referenced property has been surveyed by this Bureau and the comments below are applicable and required to be corrected or incorporated into the final plans for the property. 7. The Fire Prevention Pureau has no comments at this time. Noted and Approved Fire Prevention Bureau Special Inspection Division JE/KEK

THE ORDER, ZONING DESCRIPTION & SITE PLAN IN THIS CASE INCORRECTLY REFER TO THE SUBJECT

PROPERTIES AS LOTS 26 AND 27 RATHER THAN THE CORRECT LOT NUMBERS OF 24 AND 25.

BALTIMORE COUNTY, MARYLAND GEIVEN INTER-OFFICE CORRESPONDENCE September 27, 1991 F T 1 1991 ZONING COMMISSIONER, DEPARTMENT ZONING RICHARD F. SEIM, PLANS REVIEW CHIEF, DEPARTMENT OF PERMITS & LICENSES ZONING ITEM #: 75 SUBJECT: PROPERTY OWNER: Robert Bridley Graves, et ux LOCATION: F/S Rockdale Terrace, NEIv 241' (-/-) from cemerline Libery some 3011-3013 Rockdale Terrace) ELECTION DISTRICT: Pud COUNCILMANIC DISTRICT: Ind

A REVIEW OF THE SITE PLAN FOR THE ABOVE ZONING ITEM INDICATES THE

() RAMPS (degree slope)

() CURB CUTS

FOLLOWING: () PROPOSED SITE PLAN DOES, DOES NOT, COMPLY TO STATE CODE OF MARYLAND REGULATION 05.02.02, MARYLAND BUILDING CODE FOR THE HANDICAPPED.

() PARKING LOCATION () NUMBER PARKING SPACES () BUILDING ACCESS

() SIGNAGE () PLAN DOES, DOES NOT COMPLY TO SET BACKS FOR EXTERIOR FIRE SEPARATION DISTANCE OF ARTICLE 5 AND ARTICLE 9 OF THE CURRENT BALTIMORE COUNTY BUILDING CODE.

A BUILDING PERMIT IS REQUIRED BEFORE ANY CONSTRUCTION CAN BEGIN. SECTION 111.1 OF ARTICLE 1. CONSTRUCTION DRAWINGS MAY BE REQUIRED. () A CHANGE OF OCCUPANCY PERMIT IS REQUIRED TO CHANGE THE EXISTING

ARTICLE ONE, SECTION 103.2 ALTERATIONS MAY BE NECESSARY BY CODE TO COMPLY TO NEW USE REQUIREMENTS. () STRUCTURE IS SUBJECT TO FLOOD PLAIN LIMITATIONS, SECTION 517.0

USE OF THE STRUCTURE TO THE PROPOSED USE. SEE ARTICLE THREE AND

COUNCIL BILL #192-90 (BALTIMORE COUNTY BUILDING CODE). () OTHER -

PERMITS MAY BE APPLIED FOR @ ROOM 100, 111 WEST CHESAPEAKE AVENUE, TOWSON, MARYLAND 21204 - PHONE - 887-3900.

THIS REVIEW COVERS ONLY MAJOR ITEMS ASSOCIATED WITH THE SITE PLAN, A FULL REVIEW MAY BE CONDUCTED WHEN THE PERMIT APPLICATION AND PLANS ARE APPLICABLE CODE: 1990 NATIONAL BUILDING CODES AS ADOPTED BY COUNTY COUNCIL BILL #192-90 EFFECTIVE 1/13/91

THE ORDER, ZONING DESCRIPTION & SITE PLAN IN THIS CASE INCORRECTLY REFER TO THE SUBJECT PROPERTIES AS LOTS 26 AND 27 RATHER THAN THE CORRECT LOT NUMBERS OF 24 AND 25.


Developers Engineering Division


RWB: g


PROPERTIES AS LOTS 26 AND 27 RATHER THAN THE CORRECT LOT NUMBERS OF 24 AND 25.

PARTIMORE COUNTY, MARYLAND INTEROFFICE CORRESPONDENCE TO: Arnold Jublem, Director DATE: Coptember 4, 1991 Zoning Administration and Development Management FROM: Robert W. Powling, I.E. - KE: Zening Advisory Committee Meeting for August 27, 1991 The Developers Engine ring Division has reviewed the subject zoning items and we have no comments for Items 53, 62, 64, 65, 66, 68, 70, 71, 72, 75, 76, 77, 78, For Item 67, this site must be submitted through the minor subdivision process for review and comments. For Item 69, if maintenance or repair is required on the existing 15 inch drain along the east property line, Baltimore County may require the removal of the ear port at the owner's expense. For Item 74, this site is subject to the previous minor subdivision comments.

THE ORDER, ZONING DESCRIPTION & SITE PLAN IN THIS CASE INCORRECTLY REFER TO THE SUBJECT







BUREAU OF TRAFFIC ENGINEERING

This office has no comments for item numbers 53, 64, 65, 66, 67, 68,

Traffic Engineer II

DATE: October 3, 1991

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS BALTIMORE COUNTY, MARYLAND

Mr. Arnold Jablon, Director Office of Zoning Administration

and Development Management

Rahee J. Famili

Z.A.C. MEETING DATE: August 27, 1991

69, 70, 71, 74, 75, 76, 77, 78, 79 and 80.

SUBJECT: Z.A.C. Comments

RJF/lvd

