Petitioner

* * * * * * * * * * * AMENDED FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

WHEREAS, by Order dated January 30, 1992, the Zoning Commissioner for Baltimore County granted several variances to the Baltimore County Zoning Regulations in case No. 92-226-A for the above property; and,

WHEREAS, said variances were granted based upon a site plan submitted in that case, which contemplated an extensive renovation and expansion to the improvements located within the subject property; and,

WHEREAS, numerous variances were previously obtained for the subject property (see cases 86-134-A, 88114-A & 91-128-A) and it is believed those variances may be rendered null and void and of no effect by the Petitioner/property owner obtaining relief under case No. 92-226-A;

WHEREAS, subsequent to the Order which was issued on January 30, 1992 in case No. 92-226-A, the Petitioner/property owner reviewed the planned expansion and determined that, in lieu thereof, additional construction may be performed offsite and that the proposed expansion to the subject property may not be undertaken; and,

WHEREAS, the Petitioner wishes to preserve the validity of those variances granted in the previous cases in the event the property is not expanded, while validating the variances granted within the Order dated January 30, 1992 to preserve his right to expand the improvements thereon.

Particularly, the comment from A.F. (Pat) Keller, Deputy Director of the

Office of Planning and Zoning, who endorses the Petition as necessary for

which are depicted in detail on Petitioner's Exhibit No. 1. As indicated,

the Petitioner proposes to add a 14,400 sq. ft. addition onto the existing

Santoni's building. This will result in the realignment of the commercial

loading area to cause less traffic interference. Also, the parking areas

will be realigned. Other variances are requested as to setbacks, amenity

lated and all are necessary in order for the proposed expansion to be

completed. Further, because of the large across the board support of the

project, it is obvious that the Petitioner's comprehensive expansion plans

will be greatly beneficial not only to the subject property, but to the

existing commercial locale. In fact, if the variances were denied, not

only would the property owner suffer detriment, but there would be an

adverse affect upon the surrounding commercial corridor and the efforts

being made by government and the private sector alike to revitalize this

zoning regulations would cause practical difficulty to the Petitioner and

his property. McLean v. Soley, 270 Md. 208 (1973). To prove practical

difficulty for an area variance, the Petitioner must meet the following:

 whether strict compliance with requirement would unreasonably prevent the use of the proper-ty for a permitted purpose or render conformance

unnecessarily burdensome;

An area variance may be granted where strict application of the

It is clear that, when viewed as a whole, the variances are interre-

Specific testimony was offered in support of each of the variances

the revitalization efforts in the Dundalk area.

open space and the amendment of prior zoning cases.

NOW, THEREFORE, it is ordered by the Zoning Commissioner for Baltimore County this // day of March, 1992 that the Order rendered on January 30, 1992 in this case is hereby ratified, subject, however, to the following additional condition:

1. That the Orders granted in zoning cases Nos. 86-134-A, 88-114-A, and 91-128-A are all ratified and approved. The Order in this case (case No. 92-226-A) is not meant to void any portion of those previous Orders or to preclude the development of the subject project in accordance with the plans approved in those previous zoning cases.

Zoning Commissioner for Baltimore County

whether the grant would do substantial injustice to applicant as well as other property owners in the district or whether a lesser relaxation than that applied for would give substantial

-2-

whether relief can be granted in such fash-

28 (1974).

After due consideration of the testimony and evidence presented, it is clear that a practical difficulty or unreasonable hardship would result if the variances were not granted. It has been established that the requirements from which the Petitioner seeks relief would unduly restrict the use of the land due to the special conditions unique to this particular parcel. In addition, the variances requested will not be detrimental

hearing on this Petition held, and for the reasons given above, the relief requested should be granted.

relief; and

ion that the spirit of the ordinance will be observed and public safety and welfare secured.

Anderson v. Bd. of Appeals, Town of Chesapeake Beach, 22 Md. App.

It is clear from the testimony that if the variance is granted, such use as proposed would not be contrary to the spirit of the B.C.Z.R. and would not result in substantial detriment to the public good.

to the public health, safety and general welfare.

Pursuant to the advertisement, posting of the property, and public

THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED by the Zoning Commissioner for Baltimore County this 30 day of January, 1992 that a variance from Sections 232.1, 232.A.4, 409.8, 409.8.A.4, 409.12, 413.5, 409.6, 409.7, 409.11, 230.11, 230.12 and 409.4 to allow an addition of 14,400 sq. ft. to an existing food market, all in accordance with Petitioner's Exhibit No. 1, be and is hereby GRANTED, subject, however, to the following restriction which are conditions precedent to the relief granted herein:

-3-

Baltimore County Government Zoning Commissioner Office of Planning and Zoning

Suite 113 Courthouse 400 Washington Avenue Towson, MD 21204

(410) 887-4386

March 6, 1992

Scott Barhight, Esquire Whiteford, Taylor and Preston 500 Court Towers 210 West Pennsylvania Avenue Towson, Maryland 21204-4515

> RE: Petition for Zoning Variance Case No. 92-226-A Dunleer Co./Wallace H. Campbell Contract Purchaser: Santoni's, Petitioner

Dear Mr. Barhight:

This is in response to your letter of February 24, 1992.

While agreeing with the spirit and intent of your proposed Order, I have redrafted same so as to provide additional background and foundation for the Order. I understand that Mr. Santoni is now deciding whether to move forward with his plans for expansion of the subject property, as set forth on the site plan in case No. 92-226-A or, in lieu thereof, maintaining the subject property in its current state and expanding offsite. Whichever course he ultimately decides to pursue, the proposed Order should provide him with the necessary protection from a zoning standpoint.

Kindly advise whether the enclosed Order is acceptable or whether any additional consideration should be given.

In the event the decision rendered is unfavorable to you or any party, please be advised that any party may file an appeal within thirty (30) days of the date of the Amended Order to the County Board of Appeals. If you require additional information concerning filing an appeal, please feel free to contact our Appeals Clerk at 887-3391.

1. The Petitioner may apply for its building

permit and be granted same upon receipt of this

Order; however, Petitioner is hereby made aware

that proceeding at this time is at its own risk

until such time as the 30 day appellate process

from this Order has expired. If, for whatever

reason, this Order is reversed, the Petitioner

for returning, said property to its original

condition.

cc: Peoples Counsel

would be required to return, and be responsible

Zoning Commissioner

for Baltimore County

Very truly yours LAWRENCE E. SCHMIDT Zoning Commissioner

LES:mmn

IN RE: PETITION FOR ZONING VARIANCE * N/S Center Place, 40 ft. W of c/l Trading Place * ZONING COMMISSIONER 16 Center Place 12th Election District * OF BALTIMORE COUNTY 7th Councilmanic District Legal Owner: The Dunleer Co./ * Case No. 92-226-A Wallace H. Campbell Co., Inc. Contract Purchaser:Santoni's * Petitioner

> * * * * * * * * * * * FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

This matter comes before the Zoning Commissioner as a Petition for Variance from numerous sections of the Baltimore County Zoning Regulations (B.C.Z.R.) including; Sections 232.1, 232.A.4, 409.8, 409.8.A.4, 409.12, 413.5, 409.6, 409.7, 409.11, 230.11, 230.12 and 409.4, all as detailed and shown on Petitioner's Exhibit No. 1, the site plan to accompany the Petition. In essence, all of these interrelated variances are requested so that the Petitioner can complete a proposed expansion to the existing Santoni's market in Dundalk. Specifically, the Petitioner proposes to construct a 14,400 sq. ft. addition, which will more than double the current market size.

Although the Petitioner, Robert Santoni, was unable to attend the hearing, he was represented by G. Scott Barhight, Esquire. Also appearing at the hearing was James S. Patton, a Professional Engineer who testified and prepared Petitioner's Exhibit No. 1. William H. Meyers, an Architect with the firm of Meyers and Affiliates, Inc., also appeared and testified. Also appearing on behalf of the Petition was Patrick Daid from Eastern Baltimore County Chamber of Commerce. It is also to be noted that the Zoning Advisory Committee's comments express support of the project.

> Baltimore County Government Zoning Commissioner Office of Planning and Zoning (海沙)

Suite 113 Courthouse 400 Washington Avenue Towson, MD 21204

(410) 887-4386

January 29, 1992

G. Scott Barhight, Esquire 210 W. Pennsylvania Avenue Towson, Maryland 21204

> RE: Case No. 92-226-A Legal Owner: The Dunleer Company/Wallace H. Campbell Contract Purchaser: Santoni's

Dear Mr. Barhight:

Enclosed please find the decision rendered in the above captioned case. The Petition for Zoning Variance has been granted, in accordance with the attached Order.

In the event the decision rendered is unfavorable to any party, please be advised that any party may file an appeal within thirty (30) days of the date of the Order to the County Board of Appeals. If you require additional information concerning filing an appeal, please feel free to contact our Appeals Clerk at 887-3391.

Very truly yours,

RECEIVED

ORD/ Date

Zoning Commissioner

🖊 Lawrence E. Schmidt

SANTONI'S MARKET W-91-159

CRG Waiver Plan Review
Plan Date: 9/23/91
Comments Due: 1/10/92
Comments Date: 1/10/92
Comments Completed: 1/15/92

The C.R.G. plan of Santoni's Market may be approved with the understanding that it is in agreement with the last zoning hearing plan (#92-226-A). Final zoning approval is contingent upon, but not limited to, the aforementioned variance being granted. Upon building permit application, the hearing, Order and restrictions must be referenced on the site plan.

Any requests for further information from the Zoning Office must include a reference to the waiver file #W-91-159 and written correspondence or revised plans must be accompanied by a copy of these comments.

MITCHELL J. KELLMAN

PLANNER II

MJK:scj

cc: Current Planning

Zoning File - 92-226-A

Waiver File

to the Zoning Commissioner of Baltimore County The undersigned, legal owner(s) of the property situate in Baltimore County and which is described in the description and plat attached hereto and made a part hereof, hereby petition for a Variance from Section 232.1; Sec. 232A.4; Sec. 409.8; Sec. 409.8A4; Sec. 409.12; Sec.413-5; Sec. 409.6; Sec. 409.7; Sec. 409.11; Sec. 230.11; Sec. 230.12; SEE ATTACHMENT

of the Zoning Regulations of Baltimore County, to the Zoning Law of Baltimore County; for the of the Zoming Regulations of Bartimore County, to the Zoning Daw of Bartimore County, for the following reasons: (indicate hardship or practical difficulty) To enable owner to construct an addition to an existing food store in the Dundalk revitalization area. Without the requested variances dimensioning for parking, loading and efficient floor plan of the food store would be difficult. The variances will enable a beneficial expansion of the store to occur on the parcel.

1/We do solemnly declare and affirm,

WALLACE H. CAMPBELL & CO., INC.

Mea Vice Vensionant

under the penalties of perjury, that I/we are the legal owner(s) of the property

which is the subject of this l'etition.

Legal Owner(s):
THE DUNLEER COMPANY

(Type or Print Name)

WALLACE H. CAMPBELL

1701 Meridene Drive

City and State

Baltimore, Maryland 21239

Name, address and phone number of legal owner, con-

tract purchaser or representative to be contacted JAMES S. PATTON, P.E. PATTON CONSULTANTS, LTD.

305 W. Chesapeake Ave., Suite 305

Towson, MD 21204 (301) 296-2140

ESTIMATED LENGTH OF HEARING -1/2HR. +1HR.

AVAILABLE FOR HEARING MON./TUES./WED. - NEXT TWO HONTHS

(Type or Print Name)

Property is to be posted and advertised as prescribed by Zoning Regulations.

1, or we, agree to pay expenses of above Variance advertising, posting, etc., upon filing of this petition, and further agree to and are to be bound by the zoning regulations and restrictions of Baltimore County adopted pursuant to the Zoning Law For Baltimore County.

Contract Purchaser/Lessee:

8900 Yellow Brick Road ... Baltimore, Maryland 21222

Attorney for Pelitioner:

210 W. Pennsylvania Avenue

Towson, Maryland 21204 Attorney's Telephone No. (301) 832-2000

County Office Building 111 West Chesapeake Avenue

Account: R-001-6150

H9200239

11/25/91

The Zening Commissioner of Beltimere County, by authority of the Zening Act

and Regulations of Baltimere County will held a public bearing on the pro-

porty identified herein in Reem 106 of the County Office Building, lecated at 111 W. Chesapooke Avenue in Toursen, Maryland 21204 as follows:

CASE NUMBER: 92-226-A N/S Center Place, 40' W of cfl

Councilments: The Dunier Company/ Wellace H. Campbel & Co., Inc. Contract Purcheser(s): Santoni's HEARING: FRIDAY, JANUARY 3, 1982

Variance to permit a zero (C) foot front setback in lieu of ten (10) feet in chaling aethock from conterline; to permit an A.O.S. of 0.1 in Lou of 0.2;

to permit amendments to previous zon-ing cases #86-134-A, #88-114-R, #91-128-A; to variance parking stan-

dards as to landscaping, setbacks, travelereys and access; to permit a medified parking and leading plan; to permit autside storage of shopping

corts; and to permit projection of face signage into Center Place.

Louvrence E. Schmidt Zening Commissioner of Boltimere County

at 2:00 p.m.

PRICE PUBLIC HEARING FEES 020 -ZONING VARIANCE (OTHER)

LAST NAME OF OWNER: DUNLEER COMPANY/ Please Make Checks Payable To: Baltimore County

CERTIFICATION PUBLICATION

TOTAL: \$175.00

4 N. Center Place P. O. Box 8936 Dundalk, Md. 21222

December 19, 19 91

THIS IS TO CERTIFY, that the annexed advertisement of Baltimore County Zoning Office - Notice of Hearing Case #92-226-A - P.O. #0116733 - Req. #M54887 -65 lines @ \$32.50 The Dundalk Eagle a weekly newspaper published in Baltimore County, Maryland, once a week successive weeks before the 19 91; that is to say,

the same was inserted in the issues of December 19, 1991.

EONING OFFICE KIN

Kimbel Publication, inc.

per Publisher.
By Kimbel alke

SANTONI'S PARAPHRASED VARIANCE REQUEST

A zero (0) foot front setback in lieu of ten (10) feet including setback from center line.

FOR PLACEMENT OF SIGN

An AOS of 0.1 in lieu of 0.2.

Amendments to previous zoning cases 86-134-A, 88-114-R and 91-128-A

Variance parking standards as to landscaping, setbacks, travelways and access.

To permit a modified parking and loading plan.

To permit outside storage of shopping carts.

To permit projection of face signage into Center Place.

James S. Patton, P.E. 11/22/91

NOTICE OF MEASURE The Zening Commissioner of Baltimere County, by exthesity of the Zening Act and Regulations of Baltimere County will hald a public bearing on the pro-

will held a public hearing on the property identified borein in Reem 106 of the County Office Shalling, located at 111 W. Cheenpacks Avenue in Tourson, Maryland 21204 as follows:

CASE MUMINIST 26-285-8
WS Conter Place
16 Conter Place
16 Conter Place
19 Election District 7th
Councilments
Logal General: The Denter Company
Wellnes M. Comphel & Co., Inc.
Contract Purchaserict: Sentent's
14-200 Salli.

at 2:00 p.m. Verlance to permit a zero (I) feet front authorit in lieu of ten (10) feet in chaling authoric from contaction; to pormit an A.O.S. of O.1 in lieu of O.2; to parent assuminants to provious zer-ing cases #06-134-A, #06-114-R, #01-126-A; to variance parking stemdords as to landscaping, setbacks, travelencys and access; to permit a medified parting and leading pilor; to permit outside storage of shapping carts; and to germit projection of face signage into Center Place. CERTIFICATE OF PUBLICATION

OFFICE OF

4 N. Center Place P. O. Box 8936 Dundalk, Md. 21222

December 19, 19 91

THIS IS TO CERTIFY, that the annexed advertisement of Baltimore County Zoning Office - Notice of Hearing -P.O. #0116733 - Req. #M54887 - 65 lines @ \$32.50 The Dundaik Eagle a weekly newspaper published in Baltimore County, Maryland, once a week successive weeks before the 19 91 ; that is to say, the same was inserted in the issues of December 19, 1991.

-Kimbel Publication, Inc.

in the 12th Election District. See also deed 558, folio 184. Site Planners and Engineers iation • Land Development Consulting • Expert Testimony • Zoning

ZONING DESCRIPTION

SANTONI'S MARKET

Beginning at a point on the North side of Market Street which

West of the center line of the nearest improved intersecting

street, Trading Place, which is eighty (80) feet wide. Thence

is sixty (60) feet wide at the distance of forty (40) feet

South 69° 41'25" West, 235.00 feet;

North 20° 18'35" West, 170.00 feet;

North 69° 41'25" East, 145.00 feet;

South 20° 18'35" East, 65.00 feet;

North 69° 41'25" East, 90.00 feet;

South 20° 18'35" East, 105.00 feet.

being all or part of Lots 1, 2, and 3, Block 6, and the

on Plat No. 5 of Dundalk in Book 9, Folio 17, containing

unnumbered lot measuring 35'x 170' and adjacent to the westerly boundaries of Lots 2 and 3, Block 6, all as shown

34,100 square feet or 0.782 acres in the 12th Election

To the place of beginning as recorded in Deed Liber 1722/235

Beginning at a point on the North side of Center Place which

West of the center line of the nearest improved intersecting street, Trading Place, which is eighty (80) feet wide. Thence

To the place of beginning as recorded in Deed Liber 1722/235

measuring 180'x 200' and adjacent to the westerly boundaries

or 1.6529 acres. Also known as 16 Center Place and located

of Lots 2 and 3, Block 5, all as recorded on Plat No. 5 of Dundalk in Book 9, Folio 17, containing 72,000 square feet

is sixty (60) feet wide at the distance of forty (40) feet

South 69° 41'25" West, 400.00 feet; North 20° 18'35" West, 180.00 feet;

North 69° 41'25" East, 400.00 feet;

South 20° 18'35" East, 180.00 feet.

being Lots 1, 2, and 3, Block 5, and the unnumbered lot

the following courses and distances:

the following courses and distances:

305 West Chesapeake Avenue • Suite 305 • Towson, MD 21204

(301) 296-2140 • FAX (301) 296-0419

BALTIMORE OUNTY, MARYLAND OFFICE OF FINANCE - REVENUE DIVISION No 249AA MISCELLANEOUS CASH RECEIPT RECEIVED

VALIDATION OR SIGNATURE OF CASHIER

Baltimore Count Zoning Commisioner County Office Building 111 West Chesapoake Avenue

CONTROLITION

STATE - CAMPIER PINK - AGENCY YELLOW - CUSTOMER

Patton Consultants, Ltd.

District.

Account: R-001-6150

04A64#0077M36HRC BA C011:38AM02-10-97 Please Make Checks Payable To: Baltimore Count Patton Consultants, Ltd.

305 West Chesapeake Avenue • Suite 305 • Towson, MD 21204 (301) 296-2140 • FAX (301) 296-0419

PARCEL 2A

Beginning at a point on the North side of Center Place which is sixty (60) feet wide at the distance of eighty (80) feet West of the centerline of the nearest improved intersecting street, Trading Place, which is eighty (80) feet wide. Then the following courses and distances:

> South 20° 18'35" East, 14.00 feet; South 69° 41'25" West, 168.00 feet; North 20° 18'35" West, 14.00 feet; North 69° 41'25" East, 168.00 feet;

To the place of beginning, being a portion of the rightof-way of Center Place as shown on Plat No. 5 of Dundalk recorded in Book 9, Folio 17, containing 2,352 square feet, or 0.05 acres, more or less.



Site Planners and Engineers Site Evaluation • Land Development Consulting • Expert Testimony • Zoning

> **Baltimore County Government** Office of Zoning Administration and Development Management Office of Planning & Zoning

> > 887-3353

111 West Chesapeake Avenue Towson, MD 21204

8900 Yellow Brick Road Baltimore, Maryland 21222

CASE NUMBER: 92-226-A N/3 Center Place, 40' W of c/1 Trading Place 16 Center Place 12th Election District - 7th Councilmanic Leval Owner(s): The Dunleer Company/Wallace H. Campbel & Co., Inc.

Contract Purchaser(s): Santoni's

Dear Petitioner(s):

THIS FEE MOST BE PAID AND THE ZONING SIGN & POST SET(S) RETURNED ON THE DAY OF THE HEARING OR THE ORDER SHALL NOT ISSUE. DO NOT REMOVE THE SIGN & POST SET(S) FROM THE PROPERTY UNTIL THE DAY OF THE HEARING.

Please forward your check via return smil to the Zoning Office, County Office Building, 111 W. Chesspeake Avenue. Room 113, Towson, Maryland 21204. It should have your case number noted thereon and be made payable to Baltimore County, Maryland. In order to prevent delay of the issuance of proper credit and/or your Order, immediate attention to this matter is suggested.

111 West Chesapeake Avenue Towson, MD 21204

887-3353

DECEMBER 5, 1991 NOTICE OF HEARING

The Zoning Commissioner of Baltimore County, by authority of the Zoning Act and Regulations of Baltimore County will hold a public hearing on the property identified herein in Room 106 of the County Office Building, located at 111 W. Chesapeake Avenue in Towson, Maryland 21204 as follows:

CASE NUMBER: 92-226-A N/S Center Place, 40' W of c/l Trading Place 16 Center Place 22th Election District - 7th Councilmanic Legal Owner(s): The Dunleer Company/Wallace H. Campbel & Co., Inc. Contract Purchaser(s): Santoni's HEARING: FRIDAY, JANUARY 3, 1991 at 2:00 p.m.

Variance to permit a zero (0) foot front setback in lieu of ten (10) feet including setback from centerline; to permit an A.O.S. of 0.1 in lieu of 0.2; to permit amendments to previous zoning cases #86-134-A, #88-114-R and #91-128-A; to variance parking standards as to landscaping, setbacks, travelways and access; to permit a modified parking and loading plan; to permit outside storage of shopping carts; and to permit projection of face signage into Center Place.

> BALTIMORE COUNTY, MARYLAND INTER-OFFICE CORRESPONDENCE

In reference to the petitioner's request, the staff offers the

Based upon an analysis of the site, staff feels that the successful completion of the expanded Santoni's market is extremely

commercial revitalization area. Currently the commercial area has

strengthen the area's customer base and thereby support the effort

to lease the vacant commercial space. The continued stability of the surrounding residential community is dependent, in part, upon

issuance of permits, a landscape plan shall be submitted to the

the viability of the older commercial core. However, prior to the

deputy director of the Office of Planning and Zoning for approval.

In addition, Kimberly Piper of the Economic Development Commission

additional information, please contact Jeffrey Long in the Office

should be contacted to ensure that the proposed streetscape treatment

If there should be any questions or if this office can provide

important for Dundalk. Santoni's is an anchor for the Dundalk

several vacancies. Completion of the expanded market should

Arnold Jablon, Director

Zoning Administration and Development Management

SUBJECT: The Dunleer Company, Item No. 23

complies with the Dundalk Village Plan.

of Planning at 887-3211.

ITEM236/TXTROZ

following comments:

Pat Keller, Deputy Director

Office of Planning and Zoning

DATE: December 12, 1991

Zoning Commissioner o Baltimore County

cc: The Dunleer Company/Wallace H. Campbell & Co., Inc. G. Scott Barhight, Esq.

Baltimore County Government Office of Zoning Administration and Development Management Office of Planning & Zoning

111 West Chesapcake Avenue Towson, MD 21204

(410) 887-3353

G. Scott Barhight, Esquire

210 W. Pennsylvania Avenue Towson, MD 21204

> RE: Item No. 239, Case No. 92-226-A Petitioner: Wallace H. Campbell, et al Petition for Variance

December 20, 1991

Dear Mr. Barhight:

The Zoning Plans Advisory Committee (ZAC) has reviewed the plans submitted with above referenced petition. The attached comments from each reviewing agency are not intended to assure that that all parties, i.e. Zoning Commissioner, attorney and/or the petitioner, are made aware of plans or problems with regard to the proposed improvements that may have a bearing on this

Enclosed are all comments submitted thus far from the members of ZAC that offer or request information on your petition. If additional comments are received from other members of ZAC, I will forward them to you. Otherwise, any comment that is not informative will be placed in the hearing file. This petition was accepted for filing on the date of the enclosed filing certificate and a hearing scheduled accordingly.

The following comments are related only to the filing of future zoning peitions and are aimed at expediting the petition filing process with this office.

The Director of Zoning Administration and Development Management has instituted a system whereby seasoned zoning attorners who feel that they are capable of filing petitions that comply with all aspects of the zoning regulations and petitions filing requirements can file their petitions with this office without the necessity of a review by Zoning personnell.

> 12/2/91 8739-92

BALTIMORE COUNTY, MARYLAND 12/2/9/ DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AND RESOURCE MANAGEMENT INTER-OFFICE CORRESPONDENCE

November 27, 1991

Arnold Jablon, Director Zoning Administration and Development Management

FROM: DIVISION OF GROUND WATER MANAGEMENT SUBJECT: Zoning Item #239, Zoning Advisory Committee Meeting of December 3, 1991, The Dunleer Company/Wallace H. Campbell and Company, Inc., N/S Center Place, 40' W of centerline Trading

COMMENTS ARE AS FOLLOWS:

Prior to approval of a Building Permit for construction, renovation and/or installation or equipment for any existing or proposed food service complete plans and specifications must be submitted to the Plans Review Section, Bureau of Regional Community Services, for final review and approval.

Place (#16 Center Place). D-12, Public Water and Sewer

Zoning Plans Advisory Committe Coments Date: December 20, 1991 Page 2

> Anyone using this system should be fully aware that they are responsible for the accuracy and completeness of any such petition. All Petitions filed in this manner will be reviewed and commented on by Zoning personnel prior to the hearing. In the event that the petition has not been filed correctly, there is always a possiblity that another hearing will be required or the Zoning Commissioner will deny the petition due to errors or imcompleteness.

Attorneys and/or engineers who make appointments to file petitions on a regular basis and fail to keep the appointment without a 72 hour notice will be required to submit the appropriate filing fee at the time future appointments are made. Failure to keep these appointments without proper advance notice, i.e. 72 hours, will result in the loss of filing fee.

Very truly yours,

Chairman Zoning Plans Advisory Committee

JED: jw

Enclosures

cc: Mr. Wallace H. Campbell Mr. Robert Santoni

Your petition has been received and accepted for filing this 22nd day of November, 1991.

Baltimore County Government Office of Zoning Administration

and Development Management Office of Planning & Zoning

111 West Chesapeake Avenue

Towson, MD 21204

DIRECTOR

(410) 887-3353

Petitioner: Wallace H. Campbell & Co., Inc., et al Petitioner's Attorney: G. Scott Barhight

Baltimore County Government Fire Department

700 East Joppa Road Suite 901 Towson, MD 21204-5500

(301) 887-4500

DECEMBER 6, 1991

Arnold Jablon Director Zoning Administration and Development Management Baltimore County Office Building Towson, MD 21204

THE DUNLEER COMPANY/WALLACE RE: Property Owner: H. CAMPBELL AND COMPANY, INC.

Location:

#16 CENTER PLACE

Zoning Agenda: DECEMBER 3, 1991 Item No.: 239

Gentlemen:

Pursuant to your request, the referenced property has been surveyed by this Bureau and the comments below are applicable and required to be corrected or incorporated into the final plans for the property.

7. The Fire Prevention Bureau has no comments at this time.

Noted and Planning Group Fire Prevention Bureau Special Inspection Division

JP/KEK

ZONING OFFICE

BALTIMORE COUNTY, MARYLAND INTEROFFICE CORRESPONDENCE

TO: Arnold Jablon, Director DATE: November 29, 1991 Zoning Administration and Development Management FROM: Robert W. Bowling, P.E.

RE: Zoning Advisory Committee Meeting for December 3, 1991

The Developers Engineering Division has reviewed the subject config thems and we have no comments for Stamb 200 and 208.

For Item 239, the previous County Review Group Comments still apply.

For Item 236, the previous minor subdivision review comments still apply.

Developers Engineering Division

RWE:s

LAW OFFICES WHITEFORD, TAYLOR & PRESTON

SEVEN SAINT PAUL STREET BALTIMORE, MARYLAND 21202 TELEPHONE 410-347-6700

G. SCOTT BARHIGHT

500 COURT TOWERS
210 WEST PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE
TOWSON, MARYLAND 21204-4515
410-832-2000
FAX: 410-832-2015

DIRECT NUMBER
410 B32 2050

February 24, 1992



SUITE 400 688 17TH STREET, NW

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20006 TELEPHONE 202-659-6600

HAND DELIVERED
The Honorable Lawrence E. Schmidt, Esquire
Zoning Commissioner
Office of Planning and Zoning
Suite 113
Courthouse
400 Washington Avenue
Towson, Maryland 21204

RE: Case No. 92-226-A

Legal Owner: The Dunleer

Company/Wallace H. Campbell

Contract Purchaser: Santoni's

Request for Revisory Order

Dear Larry:

On behalf of The Dunleer Company, Wallace H. Campbell & Company, Inc. and Santoni's, I wish to express our appreciation for your Order dated January 30, 1992 approving the requested variances. Notwithstanding your approval, events have occurred which necessitate this letter requesting a revision to your

On behalf of the legal owner, The Dunleer Company and Wallace H. Campbell & Company, Inc., I respectfully request that you enter an Order revising your January 30th Order to ensure that any previous variances voided approved regarding these sites not be voided in any way. The property owner is concerned that for economic reasons, the previous plans to construct a new grocery market on an adjacent site rather than enlarging the existing market might need to occur. In light of several of the notes on Petitioner's Exhibit \$1, we are very concerned that your January 30th Order in this case might preclude the use and enjoyment of those previous zoning cases and limit the property owner's flexibility.

















