PETITION FOR ZONING VARIANCE
SW/S ATMAGOST Road, 10 ft. E
of Molesworth Road
1113 Armscoat Road
113 Armscoat Road
113 Armscoat Road
114 Council Insanto District
1rd Council Insanto
1rd Co OF BALTIMORE COUNTY Case No. 94-292-A

## STRUTHOS OF EACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAN

This matter comes before the Zoning Commissioner as a Petition for Variance for the property located at 1°15 Armacost Road in the northern section of Baltimore County and filed by John F. Henneman and Carol A. Henneman, property owners. Relief is requested from the strict application of Sections 102.1, 100.6 and 1A04.2.A of the Baltimore County Zoning Regulations (B.C.Z.R.) to permit an accessory stabling and pasturing area for two animals (horse and pony) on 1+ acre approximately of grazing land in lieu of the required 3 acres. It need also be noted that the Petition was amended at the public hearing to allow the aforementioned 1+ acre area

Appearing at the public hearing held for this case, along with their daughter Christine were John F. Henneman and Carol A. Henneman. his wife. Appearing in opposition to the case were a number of Protestant from the surrounding locale. They include Lucile Tweed, Elizabeth Tracey, Marjorie B. and Stephen Krug, Anna Cay and Barbara Albright. He Albright Ms. Guy, Mr. and Mrs. Krug and Ms. Tweed were represented by J. Carroll Holzer, Esquire. The Petitioners were not represented by coun

Total and evidence properted by Mr. and Mrs. Henneman was that the subject property owned by them is 2.651 acres in ares. This is in a rural/suburban part of Baltimore County not far from Middletown Road. The

property and surrounding locale is zoned R.C.5. This is a residential/rural zoning designation which promotes agricultural pursuits and rural

The Vennesses further testified that they acquired the property in 1989. At that time, they inquired with the selling real estate agent whether the property could be used to keep horses. They were advised that since the site contained more than 1 acre, that horses could be kept on the promines. Symptime later in October of 1993, they brought a horse onto the property. The subject animal is a retired thoroughbred race horse. The Petitioners testified in great detail about the pasture they have established for keeping the horse. That area is slightly larger than l acre in size, is to the rear of the property, and is clearly shown or the various photographs which were submitted by the Petitioners and Protestants. Additionally, the Petitioners have constructed a running shed within the posture to shelter the horse during inclement weather. More over, two sides of the pasture are fenced with a wooden storkade fence and the other two are enclosed with a wire feace.

Shortly after placing that animal on the property in October of 1993, the Petitioners acquired a second horse. This animal was a pony and was more suited to personal use and riding. Unfortunately, the Petitioners admitted, however, that the pony had escaped the pasture on several occasions and did some damage to neighboring properties. Shortly before this public hearing on this case, the Petitioners indicated that they sold the pony and it is no longer on the property. Lastly, the Petitioners indicated that they began boarding a third horse in Movember of 1993. This animel is also no longer on the property.

- NO : -2-

The Petitioners are requesting relief from the above reterenced sections of the B.C.Z.R. Collectively, those sections require that property must be, at least, three acres in area for a horse to be kept on the pres ises. It is to be noted that these sections were amended by the enactment of Bill 51-93 in July of 1993. It is, indeed, true that the Petitioners were advised correctly that a horse could have been kent on the site as of right under the old regulations. However under the present law under which this case must be adjusted, a minimum of three acres is remitted. There is no claim that the Petitioners' use of this property is, in any manner, nonconforming in that the horses were not brought onto the site until October of 1993, five months after the amended regulations were

In support of their variance request, the Hennemans testified that this is a mural locate and indeed to Shores country. They wish to hear the horse on the property for their use and that of their daughter and family. They testified as to the efforts they have made to ensure that keeping the animal will not be detrimental to the neighboring property Although, specifically, they have kept the horse in good health and attempted to clean the 1 acre pasture of manure on a regular basis. In their words the Datitioners have tried to be a good neighbor but heliaus that the property is suited to keen this enimal

As noted above, several Protestants testified in connection to the variance request. These included Barbara Albright who resides immediately next door to the Petitioners' property. She has lived there 17 years and has several objections to the keeping of the horse on the property. As shown in photographs submitted by Ms. Albright, as well as the site plan. her property is a small tract of approximately 6/10ths of an acre. Be

cause of this, she has a minimally sized side and rear yard and her house is located within 50 ft. of the corner of the pasture area. She is concerned over mid, loss of vegetation and the proliferation of flies and other pests which might result due to the keeping of this large animal so close to her house. She is also concerned over the smells generated by the animal. Although a rural area, she believes this vicinity is suburban in nature, with many houses within a small area, and further believes about site is inappropriate for keeping of any horses.

These comments were echoed by Elizabeth Tracey who resides to the rear of the subject site. Ms. Tracey indicates that she and her husband own 25 acres and do maintain 3 horses and 1 pony on their property. As a devoted horse woman, however, she believes that the subject site is incopropriate for the keeping of this animal. She indicated that one acre is not large enough to allow proper exercising of the horse and is too small to accommodate the needs of the animal. She related her experiences as it indicated to flies and other pests brought about by the presence of horse es. She also believes that the smell of manure and the animal would be detrimental to this neighborhood. Marjorie Krug, who resides on the other side of the subject property, agreed with the above testimony offered by her neighbors. She is also concerned because her property lies down!!! from the subject site. She specifically fears runoff during the rainy and wet season. She believes that with the loss of vegatation, caused by the grazing animal, rud, manure and other undesirable material will wash onto

Lastly, testimony was received from Anna Guy who resides nearby. She echoed the concerns raised by the other Protestants. She believes that

Petition for Variance

se the property bounded at 1315 Arragost RD

1221, 1006 and 1 Aou 3A To Termit Accessory stablingar to Torring of a Animals (those poor) on it Aor of grazing land in Licy of the 3 Aores required

Car Porting

· 293

LES/mmn

this area is a rural suburban locale and the character of the neighborhoo is incompatible with the keeping of large animals

In order for an area variance to be granted in Baltimore County, the Datitionar must adduce testimony and evidence that satisfies the require ments set forth in Section 307 of the B.C.Z.R. In essence, this section sets forth a three pronged test. That is, the Petitioner must show that a practical difficulty would result if the variance were denied; that the relief requested is within the spirit and intent of the regulations and that a grant of the variance will not detrimentally affect the surrounding

In applying these tests to the testimony and evidence received, I as not persuaded that the Petitioners have satisfied their burden. I concur with the testimony offered by the Protestants. Quite simply, this parcel is not large enough to support the boarding of a horse. In my view, the area is, indeed, not for this use. Although northern Baltimore County is agricultural in nature, this vicinity is more suburban in character. I de not believe that the variance can be granted without causing a detrimental affect on the surrounding locals. For these reasons, the Petition for Variance should and will be denied

Pursuant to the advertisement, posting of the property, and public hearing on this Petition held, and for the reasons given above, the relief requested should be denied

THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED by the Zoning Commissioner for Baltimore County this 147h day of April, 1994 that a variance from Sections 102.1, 100.6 and 1A04.2.A of the Baltimore County Zoning Regulations (B.C.Z.R.) to permit an accessory stabling and pasturing area for 1 animal (horse

on 1+ acre approximately of grazing land, in lieu of the required 3 acres, he and is hereby DENIED

Suite 113 Courthou 400 Washington Ave

(410) 887-4386

April 11, 1994

Mr. and Mrs. John F. Hens 1315 Armacost Road Parkton, Maryland 21120

RE: Case No. 94-292-A Petition for Variance Property: 1315 Armaco John F. Henneman, et

Dear Mr. and Mrs. Henneman

Enclosed please find the decision rendered in the above captioned case. The Petition for Zoning Variance has been denied, with a restriction, in accordance with the attached Order.

In the event the decision rendered is unfavorable to any party, please be advised that any party may file an appeal within thirty (30) days of the date of the order to the County Board of Appeals. If you require additional information concerning filing an appeal, please feel free to contact our Appeals Clerk is 48 07-3991.

from & diat ence E. Schmid

cc: J. Carroll Holzer, Esquire
18200 Horn Lane, Parkton 21120

(We do promine) decises and affirm, under the parables of person, that they me the FHEUNCHON La E. American Calol A Henneman ( arol a gernenten 1315 Armost RD 343-2234 Bokton MD. ZUZO John Henreman 1315 Armonst RD. 343-2234 OFFICE UNIT ONLY THR

**6** 

94-292-A

wide at a distance of 10 Feet (number of feet) (north, south, east or west)

conterline of the nearest improved intersecting street Attnown Holesworth which is 30 Feet (number of feet of right-of-way width) wide. \*Being Lot #\_\_\_\_ STATING HT THE TONE OF PROMINING ON THE SW SIDE OF PRIMEOST RO SEFT WITH THENCE SW 150 FT, N 734 SW 365 FT, SE. 211.45 FT. NW234.45 FT, NE 89,674 NE TENT, WE TI. S FT, WE COFF, THENKE HANG MERCOST FIT ALL 1-10 FT. BACK TO THE POINT OF 2.65 ECRES Description of help for the viewway of the description and plantes when the house of the house of the house of

70: POTUMENT PUBLISHED COMPANY Feb. 10, 1994 Issue - Jeffersoniae Please foward billing to: NOTICE OF HEARING

The Zoning Commissioner of Saltimore County, by authority of the Zoning Act and Regulations of Saltimore County, will hold a public bearing on the property identified berein in Room 106 of the County Office Building, 111 W. C. enapeake Svenow in Townon, Maryland 23204

or Boom 118. Old Courthouse, 400 Machington Avenue, Townoo, Maryland 21204 as follows:

Variance to permit accessory stabling and pastering of 2 animals (borse, posy) on 1+ acre of grazing land in lies of the 3 acres required.

LANGUET E. SCHUT WHITE CONTESSIONER FOR BALTIMORE COUNTY

HOTES: (1) HEARINGS ARE HANDICAPPED ACCESSIBLE; FOR SPECIAL ACCORDINATIONS PLEASE CALL 887-3353 (2) FOR INFORMATION CONCLUDIO THE FILE AND/OR HEARING, PLEASE CALL 887-3391.

THERT OF BALTIMORE COUNTY 94-293-A

Date of Parties 2/19/84 John + Cord Henneman Lection of property 1315 Himme cont Rd, sals, 10' F/Mila worth Rt Leaden at the Ferry yord way on properly being zont mand by Mithely Date of return 1/15/04

CERTIFICATE OF PUBLICATION TOWSON, MD. Jel. 14 1994 reeks, the first publication appearing on <u>Febr 10</u>, 1994 THE JEFFERSONAN. Henrikson LEGAL AD. - TOWSON

receipt -293 - 1/27/94 (1) residential rassance Code 010 \$50.00 1 sign poeting Coole 080 Torn \$85.00 Owner Henneman Joe: 1315 Asmacost Rd.

111 West Chesapeake Avenu Towson, MD 21204

ZONING HEARING ADVERTISING AND POSTING REQUIREMENTS & PROCEDURES

Ballimore County Zoning Regulations require that notice be given to the queeral public/moidaboring property owners relative to property on the property of the property of the property of the property of which require a public hearing, this notice is accomplished by prating a sign on the property and placement of a notice in at least one newspaper of general circulation in the County.

This office will ensure that the legal requirements for posting and advertising are satisfied. However, the petitioner is responsible for the costs associated with these requirements.

Posting fees will be accessed and paid to this office at the time of filing.

Billing for legal advertising, due upon receipt, will come from and should be remitted directly to the newspaper.

NON-PAYMENT OF ADVERTISING FEES WILL STAY ISSUANCE OF ZONING ORDER

ARROLD JABLON, UT SECTOR

Item No.: 293

Petitioner: John F Henneman Location: 1315 ACHARAST RD. PLEASE FORMARD ADVERTISING BILL TO:

MARE: John F. HEDDEMAN ADDRESS: 1315 Armonost RD. Parkton MD 21120

PHONE NUMBER: 410 - 343-2234

111 West Chesapeake Avenue Towson, MD 21204

(410) 887-3353

NOTICE OF HEARING

The Zoning Consistence of Saltimore County, by sutherity of the Zoning Act and Empirical and Saltimore County, will sold a public bearing on the property identified levels in Rose 186 of the County of William Saltimore, 111 to Companies Homes in Posson, National ZDM of Rose 111, Old Counthouse, 400 Hamburgton Posson, Saryland 22204 as follows:

245
CASE MEMBER: 94-292-8 (Item 207)
1315 Armonat Road
SM/2 Armonat Road, 10° E of Rolesworth Road
6th Election District - 3rd Council Inselect
Parties.

NOTES: (1) EXCESS SIGN & FORT NOT DE SETURNIO TO DE. 104, 111 M. CREAMPARE REVINE OF THE MEASURE DATE.

(2) HEALTHS AND REMOTESTED ACCUSATION FOR THE ACCUSATION PLANS CALL PORTOL ST.

(3) FOR DEPOSITION OPERATION OF FULL MANAGE REMAINS. CHIEFT THIS OPTICE ST SET-3551.

111 West Chesapeake Avenue Towson, MD 21204

MARCH 7 1994

NOTICE OF REASSIGNMENT

RESCHEDULED FROM 3/3/94
CASE NUMBER: 94-292-A (Item 291)
1315 Armscost Road
SM/S Armscost Road
6th Election District - 3rd Councilmente
Petitioner(s): John F. Henneman and Carol A. Henne

February 23, 1994

Mr. and Mrs. John F. Hennemar 1315 Armacost Road Parkton, Maryland 21:20

111 West Chesapeake Avenue Towson, MD 21204

RE: Case No. 94-292-A, Item No. 293 Petitioner: John F. Henneman, et ux. Petition for Variance

The Zoning Plans Advisory Committee (IMC) has reviewed the plans that the above referenced partition. The attached comment from the committee of the social section requested, but to assure that the appropriateness of the social section requested, but to assure that all parties, i.e., zoning commissioner, attorney and/or the patitioner, are made source of plans or problems with repeat to the proposed sprovements that may have a bearing

Enclosed are all comments submitted thus far from the members of ZAC that offser or request information on your petition. If additional you, otherwise, any comment that is not informative will be placed in the hearing file. This petition was accepted for filing on January 27, 1994, and a hearing was scheduled coordingly.

The following comments are related only to the filing of future coning petitions and are aimed at expediting the petition filing process with this office.

The director of Zoning Administration and Development Runagement has instituted a system whereby seasoned zoning attorage the system of the Soning requisitions and petitions filling requirements can file their petitions with this office without the necessity of a preliabilizary review by coming personnel.

**\*** 

8-



No N.CV. Protestant frat # PLEASE PRINT CLEARLY No wooden force - see photo 165 SIGN-IN SHEET - Smell in they now -Smell + plies - over how they now -Luise 1317 armost PA 21120 Pebruary 23, 1994 61. 1. h. 11 belled Biget hours y lations close Bur off ( she's downhill ) 18500 Fren Lane Suso torathe. apart that Houses will lat Mayou Bolling 1305 Amajor Ved. 21120 - neighborhood is for crowded . dustered missioner of Baltimore County 1305 drawent Rd. 21120 to for how Hedge Row 434 Gracent Red 21120 smell- flus alliger 1317 Amacest Rd 21130 Planes he devised that I have been redefined to prepagate number of neighbors who desire to extend the propagate number of neighbors who desire to extend the second secon anna Yhuy du, Traccy anna. 18 soo Ham love 46 - 10 acres ocons is acres 4/1/94 10 hornes us close proventy-of dose for one what about the others Continues heart to be in a continue to the time to be in a continues heart to be in a continues heart to before the continues heart to before the continues to con 3 hours , on percy PLEASE PRINT CLEARLY PETITIONER(S) SIGN-IN SHEET one acre is not evousts The ray no animals but CAROL HENDEMAN Christina Henringa 1315 ACHOROST RD energie 1315 Armacost Rd con the esting get which hours flies This rural suburbia 1315 Armacost Rd house I she has plus in her house rebuttal \$ Rate, mice I closest peces & ban. Under famille defention Mayners try - close to when they work table - Deny Holyer-doing See Bill 51.95 SETTO REMOVE Man we bornfull ofraid of um-off A-5 ANIMALS, HORSES ON RESIDENTIAL PROPERTY, FARMS AND FARMETTES St. 50 96 \$ 500 5740 49 245 00:002 Fowl, small livestock, such as pigs, sheep, goats, chickens, ducks, goese or simila: livestock not permitted within any residential area on land less than one acre in size. D. Large livestock, such as horses, coms and ponies, shall not exceed one animal per sere. and or want care hewers Sec. 13-80 , ECC farms. [Bill No. 98-75] ANGENERAL SE 8836/600 stellite farms. [Bill no.70-72]
framt? Spondis Sings: An occusior, stucture for the sole of criticles grown or roduced on the presion. [B.C.Z.R., 1955.]
Firmstite 4 parcel of land source than 3 acres and lass than 70 acres.
Firmstite 4 parcel of land source than 3 acres and lass than 70 acres.
Firmstite 4 parcel of land source than 3 acres and lass than 70 acres.
Firmstite 4 parcel of land source than 3 acres and lass than 70 acres.
Firmstite 5 acres than 70 acres.
Firmstite 7 acres than 70 acres than C. One horse or other large livestock may be permitted as an accessory use on residential property, with dwelling, on land of more than one ore in any D.R. zone. LACRE + GRAZING # 1815 D. On parcels of property between 3 and 10 acres with a dwelling in the RC zones, use the definition of farmette as guide. E. In all other somes on parcels greater than 3 scree, principally used as a ferm, there are no limits or guidelines on the number of animals. F. In all other zones on parcels greater than 3 scree not used principally as a farm, and that neets the farmette definition, on horse or other livestock per acre is permitted. Vicivity Map G. For dogs, see definition of kennet and section 421. Location Information H. For horses, see definition of riding stable and section 421. Election District: 6 Council Havie District: 3 fowl or poultry Reference Section 101 definitions of Farm and Farmette 1"=2000 scale Hap # DW 31D Fighing and dealitishing facility, shoreline: A principal use that maints of the buildings, equipment or other facilities necessary to accon-date the combors activities of a fathing and shallfishing business (inclu-ance retailing or wholessling of the catches) and that is situated on a lot the shoreline of tidal waters. [kill 80.30-78] ZONING RC.5 Lot size 2.65 appare Training and spallinghout resultive, showning. Clean 1. A showning similar particularly facility that man accommodate a finding particular contribution to the contribution of resulting the use of not sore than the contribution of the contribution PLAN to ACCOMPANY ZONING VARIANCE Zowing Office Use Only! Reviewed By Item # Case \* 1315 ARMACOST RD. COUNTRY JOHN AND CARROLL HENNEMAN PRIVATE WELL AND SEPTIC. SCALE 1:50 PARTIAL OUTLINE SURVEY

