FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

This matter comes before the Zoning Commissioner as a Petition for a Zoning Variance for the proposed subdivision known as Kenwood Park, located in the Kenwood section of Baltimore County. Blanket variance relief is requested for all 116 lots in this proposed townhouse community. In sum, the nature of the variances requested relate to required distances between side building faces, the required depth of porch and deck projections into the rear yards of the individual lots, and the length of building extensions into the rear vards.

The specific variances requested are as stated on Attachment A to the Petition. They are as follows:

- 1. From Section 301.1.A. and V.B.6.b. of the Baltimore County Zoning Regulations (BCZR) and the Comprehensive Manual of Development Policies (CMDP) to permit a deck to side property line as close as 4.5 ft. in lieu of 11.25 ft.;
- 2. From Section 301.1.A, V.B.6.b. and V.B.5.a. to permit a deck to rear property line or tract boundary as close as 10 ft., in lieu of 11.25 ft. or 26.25 ft.;
- 3. From Section 504.2 and V.B.3.b. to permit a distance between buildings at 20 ft. in lieu of 40 ft.;
- 4. From Section 504.2 and V.B.5.a. to permit window to tract boundary distances at 25 ft. and 30 ft. in lieu of 35 ft.;

Pursuant to the advertisement, posting of the property, and public

THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED by the Zoning Commissioner for Baltimore

hearing on this Petition held, and for the reasons given above, the relief

County this 34 day of June, 1994 that a variance from Section 301.1.A.

and V.B.6.b. of the Baltimore County Zoning Regulations (BCZR) and the

Comprehensive Manual of Development Policies (CMDP) to permit a deck to

side property line as close as 4.5 ft. in lieu of 11.25 ft., be and is

and V.B.5.a. to permit a deck to rear property line or tract boundary as

close as 10 ft. in lieu of 11.25 ft. or 26.25 ft., be and is hereby GRANT-

to permit a distance between buildings at 20 ft. in lieu of 40 ft., be and

to permit window to tract boundary distances at 25 ft. and 30 ft. in lieu

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a variance from Section 301.1.A, V.B.6.b.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a variance from Section 504.2 and V.B.3.b.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a variance from Section 504.2 and V.B.5.a.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a variance from Section 504.2 and V.B.6.a.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a variance from Section 504.2 (V.B.6.b.)

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a variance from Section 504.2 (V.B.6.c.

to permit a 20 ft. distance between windows, in lieu of 40 ft., be and is

to permit a 15 ft. window to proposed R/W setback in lieu of 25 ft., be

to permit a 10 ft. window to property line setback in lieu of 15 ft., be

requested should be granted.

hereby GRANTED; and,

is hereby GRANTED; and,

and is hereby GRANTED; and,

and is hereby GRANTED; and,

of 35 ft., be and is hereby GRANTED; and,

5. From Section 504.2 and V.B.6.a. to permit a 15 ft. window to proposed R/W setback in lieu of 25 ft.;

- 6. From Section 504.2 (V.B.6.b.) to permit a 10 ft. window to property line setback in lieu of 15 ft.; and,
- 7. From Section 504.2 (V.B.6.c.) to permit a 20 ft. distance between windows in lieu of 40 ft.

All of the relief requested is more particularly shown on the site plan, submitted as Petitioner's Exhibit No. 1. This plan was submitted at the public hearing held on this case and was prepared by Thomas A. Church, Professional Engineer. The 3 page site plan clearly depicts the subject property, the proposed residential development thereon and provides an itemization of the variances required for each lot. That site plan and the information thereon is hereby referenced and incorporated herein.

The uncontradicted testimony and evidence presented in this case provided by Thomas E. Carski, a partner of Kenwood Park Joint Venture, property owners. The site is owned by that partnership which proposes residential development as outlined above.

As noted above, 116 townhouse units are proposed. The site is comprised of approximately 33.695 acres and is split zoned D.R.3.5 and D.R.5.5. The predominant zoning is D.R.5.5 (31.847 acres) with but a small portion of D.R.3.5 (1.848 acres). As is the case with all petitions for variance filed with this office, the Petition and plan underwent the review of the Zoning Plans Advisory Committee (ZAC). That committee, which is comprised of numerous agencies within the County, reviewed the Petition and provided this Zoning Commissioner with written comment therein. A comprehensive comment was offered by the Office of Planning and Zoning (OPZ). I have reviewed that comment and concur with many of the

conclusions therein. The blanket variances which are requested are clearly appropriate in this instance. They will allow the applicant to develop in an esthetically pleasing manner which will be attractive to potential buyers. In fact, Mr. Carski observed at the public hearing that focus group meetings were held by his company to ascertain the desires of the potential market. These meetings clearly showed that potential buyers prefer windows, porches, garages and other amenities which would not be allowed if strict adherence to the relevant provisions of the BCZR and CMDP were observed. Within OPZ's comment, staff recommends approval of the requested variances to promote greater variation and architectural design and esthetically pleasing development.

Although OPZ recommended approval of the subject variances, they suggested that certain restrictions be imposed. One of the restrictions requests that OPZ be afforded the opportunity to review proposed building elevations to ensure that the conceptual elevations and building footprints shown are used. I will incorporate that restriction in my order in that same is appropriate.

The second requested restriction is that a small tot lot for use of the residents be provided to help compensate for loss of some of the private yard areas which are generally used for active use. I decline to incorporate such a restriction. My decision in this respect is based largely on the fact that the Shady Springs Elementary School is immediately next to this property. That site offers a large amenity area which will, no doubt, be used utilized by the residents of this community. A small tot lot does not seem necessary.

ing regulations would cause practical difficulty to the Petitioner and his

-3-

Baltimore County Government Zoning Commissioner

Office of Planning and Zoning

June 23, 1994

An area variance may be granted where strict application of the zon-

property. McLean v. Soley, 270 Md. 208 (1973). To prove practical difficulty for an area variance, the Petitioner must meet the following:

whether strict compliance with requirement would unreasonably prevent the use of the property for a permitted purpose or render conformance unnecessarily burdensome;

whether the grant would do substantial injustice to applicant as well as other property Owners in the district or whether a lesser relaxation than that applied for would give substantial relief; and

 whether relief can be granted in such fashion that the spirit of the ordinance will be observed and public safety and welfare secured.

Anderson v. Bd. of Appeals, Town of Chesapeake Beach, 22 Md. App. 28 (1974).

It is clear from the testimony that if the variances are granted, such use, as proposed, will not be contrary to the spirit of the B.C.Z.R. and will not result in any injury to the public good.

After due consideration of the testimony and evidence presented, it is clear that a practical difficulty or unreasonable hardship will result if the variances are not granted. It has been established that special circumstances or conditions exist that are peculiar to the land or structure which is the subject of the variances requested, and that the requirements from which the Petitioner seeks relief will unduly restrict the use of the land due to the special conditions unique to this particular parcel. In addition, the variances requested will not cause any injury to the public health, safety and general welfare. Further, granting of the variances will be in strict harmony with the spirit and intent of the

ORDER Date

hereby GRANTED, subject, however, to the following restrictions which are conditions precedent to the relief granted herein:

> 1. The Petitioner is hereby made aware that proceeding at this time is at its own risk until such time as the 30 day appellate process from this Order has expired. If, for whatever reason, this Order is reversed, the Petitioner would be required to return, and be responsible for returning, said property to its original condition.

2. The Office of Planning and Zoning shall be afforded the opportunity, prior to the issuance of building permits, to review proposed building elevations to ensure that the conceptual elevations and building footprints shown are used.

Zoning Commissioner

LES/mmn

ORDI Date By ___

Suite 113 Courthouse 400 Washington Avenue Towson, MD 21204

for Baltimore County

Thomas E. Carski Partner Willow Hill Ltd. Partnership 514 Hampton Lane Towson, Maryland 21204 Mr. Thomas A. Church Professional Engineer Development Engineering Consultants, Inc. 6603 York Road

Baltimore, Maryland 21212

RE: Case No. 94-381-A Petition for Variance Kenwood Park Willow Hill Ltd. Partnership, Petitioner

Gentlemen:

Enclosed please find the decision rendered in the above captioned case. The Petition for Zoning Variance has been granted, with restrictions, in accordance with the attached Order.

In the event the decision rendered is unfavorable to any party, please be advised that any party may file an appeal within thirty (30) days of the date of the Order to the County Board of Appeals. If you require additional information concerning filing an appeal, please feel free to contact our Appeals Clerk at 887-3391.

> Lawrence E. Schmidt Zoning Commissioner

(410) 887-4386

Petition for Variance to the Zoning Commission of the Zoning Commission to the Zoning Commissioner of Baltimore County for the property located at KENWOOD PARK which is presently sened DR. 3.5 This Petition shall be filed with the Office of Zoning Administration & Development Management.

DR. 5.5

The undersigned, legal owner(s) of the property situate in Baltimore County and which is described in the description and plat attached hereto and made a port hereof, hereby petition for a Variance from Section(s) SEE ATTACHMENT A. of the Zoning Regulations of Baltimore County, to the Zoning Law of Baltimore County; for the following reasons: (indicate hardship or TO INCREASE THE APPEAL OF THIS DEVELOPMENT AS REQUESTED BY MEMBERS OF THE SURROUNDING COMMUNITY. THESE VARIANCES WOULD ALLOW FOR A LARGER MORE TRADITIONAL HOUSE STYLE WHICH WILL UPGRADE THE VALUE OF COMPARABLE HOUSES IN THE AREA. Property is to be posted and advertised as prescribed by Zoning Regulations. I, or we, agree to pay expenses of above Variance advertising, posting, etc., upon filing of this petition, and further agree to and are to be bound by the zoning regulations and restrictions of Baltimore County adopted pursuant to the Zoning Lew for Baltimore County. I/We do solemnly declare and affirm, under the penalties of perjury, that I/we are the legal owner(s) of the property which is the subject of this Petition. THOMAS E. CARSKI, PARTNER JOWSON, MD 21204 DEVELOPMENT ENGINEERING Printed with Saybean ing on Recycled Paper

#366

SAY 94

Printed on Recycled Pape

KENWOOD PARK

PETITION FOR VARIANCE ATTACHMENT A

1. 301.1.A. & V.B.6.b. to permit a deck to side property line as close as 4.5' in lieu of 11.25'.

2. 301.1.A, V.B.6.b & V.B.5.a. to permit a deck to rear property line or tract boundary as close as 10' in lieu of 11.25' or

3. 504.2 & V.B.3.b. to permit a distance between buildings at 20' in lieu of 40'.

4. 504.2 & V.B.5.a. to permit window to tract boundary distances at 25' **£** 30' in lieu of 35'.

5. 504.2 & V.B.6.a to permit a 15' window to proposed R/W setback in lieu of 25'.

6. 504.2. (V.B.6.b) to permit a 10' window to property line

setback in lieu of 15'. 7. 504.2(V.B.6.c) to permit a 20' distance between windows in lieu of 40'.

NOTE: For Lot Specifications see the "Site Plan to Accompany Petition for Zoning Variance of Kenwood Park".

94-381-A ZONING DESCRIPTION

KENWOOD PARK

ZONING DESCRIPTION FOR KENWOOD PARK-ADJACENT TO GOLDENWOOD RD.
Election District 14 Councilmanic District 6
Beginning at a point on the <u>WEST</u> side of <u>GOLDENWOOD</u> (north, south, east or west)
ROAD
ROAD which is 60 FEET (street on which property fronts) (number of feet of right-of way width
wide at a distance of 1.000 FEET. MORE OR LESS, NORTH of the (number of feet) (north, south, east or west)
centerline of the nearest improved intersecting street <u>DELEGGE ROAD</u> (name of street)
which is 60 FEET wide. *Being Lot *1 THRU 119 (number of feet of right-of-way width)
Block N/A , Section # N/A in the subdivision of
KENWOOD PARK (name of subdivision) as recorded in Baltimore County Plat
Book # 64 , Folio # 143,144 & 145 , Containing
1,467,754 S.F. OR 33.695 ACRES, MORE OR LESS. (square feet and acres)

#366

Baltimore County Government Office of Zoning Administration and Development Management

111 West Chesapeake Avenue Towson, MD 21201

(410) 887-3353 ZONING HEARING ADVERTISING AND POSTING REQUIREMENTS & PROCEDURES

Baltimore County Zoning Regulations require that notice be given to the general public/neighboring property owners relative to property which is the subject of an upcoming zoning hearing. For those petitions which require a public hearing, this notice is accomplished by posting a sign on the property and placement of a notice in at least one newspaper of general circulation in the County.

This office will ensure that the legal requirements for posting and advertising are satisfied. However, the petitioner is responsible for the costs associated with these requirements.

PAYMENT WILL BE MADE AS FOLLOWS:

For newspaper advertising:

1) Posting fees will be accessed and paid to this office at the time of filing.

2) Billing for legal advertising, due upon receipt, will come from and should be remitted directly to the newspaper.

NON-PAYMENT OF ADVERTISING FEES WILL STAY ISSUANCE OF ZONING ORDER.

Item No.: 366 Petitioner: Willow Hill U.S. Partnership

Location: Corner of N/S of Goldenwood Rel, w/s of

Tarpleys Circle -- Kenwood Park"

PLEASE FORWARD ADVERTISING BILL TO:

NAME: Willow H. II Ltl Partnershy, Att: Tom Caraki ADDRESS: 514 Hampton Lanc

Touson, MD 21204 PHONE NUMBER: (410) 686-1776

Funterton Ricycled Paper

(Revised 04/09/93)

TO: PUTULENT PUBLISHING COMPANY April 14, 1994 Issue - Jeffersonian

Please foward billing to:

Willow Hill Ltd. Partnrship 514 Hampton Lane Towson, Maryland 21204 Attn: Tom Carski 686-1776

NOTICE OF HEARING

The Zoning Commissioner of Baltimore County, by authority of the Zoning Act and Regulations of Baltimore County, will hold a public hearing on the property identified herein in Room 106 of the County Office Building, 111 W. Chesapeake Avenue in Towson, Haryland 21204

Room 118, Old Courthouse, 400 Washington Avenue, Towson, Haryland 21204 as follows:

CASE NUMBER: 94-381-A (Item 366) Corner MS Goldenwood Road, W/S Tarpleys Circle, also 1000' N of Delegge Road 14th Election District - 6th Councilmanic Petitioner(s): Willow Hill Limited Partnership HEARING: MONDAY, MAY 9, 1994 at 9:00 a.m., Rm. 118, Old Courthouse.

Variance to permit a deck to side property line as close as 4.5 feet in lieu of 11.25 feet; to permit a deck to rear property line or tract boundary as close as 10 feet in lieu of 11.25 feet or 26.25 feet; to permit a distance between buildings of 20 feet in lieu of 40 feet; to permit window to tract boundary distances of 25 feet and 30 feet in lieu of 35 feet; to permit a 15-foot window to proposed right-of-way setback in lieu of 25 feet; to permit a 10-foot window to property line setback in lieu of 15 feet; and to permit a 20-foot distance between windows in lieu of 40 feet.

ZONING CONNISSIONER POR BALTIMORE COUNTY

MOTES: (1) HEARINGS ARE HAMDICAPPED ACCESSIBLE; FOR SPECIAL ACCOMMODATIONS PLRASE CALL 887-3353. (2) FOR IMPORMATION CONCERING THE FILE AND/OR HEARING, PLEASE CALL 887-3391.

CERTIFICATE OF POSTING SEPARTMENT OF BALTIMORE COUNTY 94-381-14

Date of Posting 1/22/84 Posted for: Verience Potitioner: Willow Hill Hamit & fortworkly Location of property: Kon wood Post- Con No - Goldon woul Rd w/s Terpleys Girila Location of Signer Fraging road way on property being zoned

Ros of SS her; he penne at 15-hest window to proposed right-of-way sethent in few of 25 feet; to permit a 10-her;

CERTIFICATE OF PUBLICATION

TOWSON, MD., Upril 15, 1994 THIS IS TO CERTIFY, that the annexed advertisement was published in THE JEFFERSONIAN, a weekly newspaper published in Towson, Baltimore County, Md., once in each of ___ successive weeks, the first publication appearing on Quel 14. 1994.

THE JEFFERSONIAN.

Baltimore County Government Office of Zoning Administration and Development Management

111 West Chesapeake Avenue Towson, MD 21204

(410) 887-3353

NOTICE OF HEARING

The Zoning Commissioner of Baltimore County, by authority of the Zoning Act and Regulations of Baltimore County, will hold a public hearing on the property identified herein in Room 106 of the County Office Building, 111 W. Chesapeake Avenue in Towson, Haryland 21204

Room 118, Old Courthouse, 400 Washington Avenue, Towson, Maryland 21204 as follows:

CASE NUMBER: 94-381-A (Item 366) Kenwood Park Corner NS Goldenwood Road, W/S Tarpleys Circle, also 1000' N of Delegge Road 14th Election District - 6th Councilmanic Petitioner(s): Willow Hill Limited Partnership HEARING: MONDAY, MAY 9, 1994 at 9:00 a.m., Rm. 118, Old Courthouse.

Variance to permit a deck to side property line as close as 4.5 feet in lieu of 11.25 feet; to permit a dack to rear property line or tract boundary as close as 10 feet in lieu of 11.25 feet or 26.25 feet; to permit a distance between buildings of 20 feet in lieu of 40 feet; to permit window to tract boundary distances of 25 feet and 30 feet in lieu of 35 feet; to permit a 15-foot window to proposed right-of-way setback in lieu of 25 feet; to permit a 10-foot window to property line setback in lieu of 15 feet; and to permit a 20-foot distance between windows in lieu of 40 feet.

cc: Willow Hill Limited Partnership Development Engineering Consultants, Inc.

NOTES: (1) ZONING SIGN & POST MOST BE RETURNED TO BM. 104, 111 W. CHESAPEAKE AVENUE ON THE HÉARING DATE. (2) HEARINGS ARE HAMDICAPPED ACCESSIBLE; FOR SPECIAL ACCOMMODATIONS PLEASE CALL 887-3353. (3) FOR IMPORNATION CONCERING THE FILE AND/OR HEARING, CONTACT THIS OFFICE AT 887-3391.

Baltimore County Government Office of Zoning Administration and Development Management



(410) 887-3353

May 2, 1994

Mr. Thomas E. Carski, Partner Willow Hill Limited Partnership 514 Hampton Lane Towson, Maryland 21204

> RE: Case No. 94-381-A, Item No. 366 Petitioner: Willow Hill Limited Partnership Petition for Variance

Dear Mr. Carski:

111 West Chesapeake Avenue

Towson, MD 21204

The Zoning Plans Advisory Committee (ZAC) has reviewed the plans submitted with the above referenced petition. The attached comments from each reviewing agency are not intended to indicate the appropriateness of the zoning action requested, but to assure that all parties, i.e., zoning commissioner, attorney and/or the petitioner, are made aware of plans or problems with regard to the proposed improvements that may have a bearing on this case.

Enclosed are all comments submitted thus far from the members of ZAC that offer or request information on your petition. If additional comments are received from other members of ZAC, I will forward them to you. Otherwise, any comment that is not informative will be placed in the hearing file. This petition was accepted for filing on March 28, 1994. and a hearing was scheduled accordingly.

The following comments are related only to the filing of future zoning petitions and are aimed at expediting the petition filing process

1. The director of Zoning Administration and Development Management has instituted a system whereby seasoned zoning attorneys who feel that they are capable of filing petitions that comply with all aspects of the zoning regulations and petitions filing requirements can file their petitions with this office without the necessity of a preliminary review by zoning personnel.

Printed with Skybean Int on Resysted Paper

Zoning Administration & Development Management
111 West Chesapeuke Avenue 3/28/94 WILLOW HILL ETD PART. -

Kennook Park Cubblisher

-080 - 1 sign

Baltimore County

Taken In dy: mak -070 - Mult. lot in more - \$ 650.00

~ \$1 35,00

\$1 655.0T

Item Number: 366



O. James Lighthizer Hal Kassoff Acministrator

4-8 94

Re: Baltimore County
Item No.: 1566 (MJK)

Zoning Administration and Development Management County Office Building Room 109 111 W. Chesapeake Avenue Towson, Maryland 21204

Ms. Charlotte Minton

Dear Ms. Minton:

This office has reviewed the referenced item and we have no objection to approval as it does not access a State roadway and is not effected by any State Highway Administration project.

Division

Please contact Bob Small at 410-333-1350 if you have any questions.

Thank you for the opportunity to review this item.

JEACE -timell DAVID A ENFREY, ACTING CINAL Jer John Contestabile, Chief Engineering Access Permits

My telephone number is Maryland Relay Service for Impaired Hearing or Speach 1-800-735-2258 Statewide Toil Free Mailing Address: P.O. Box 717 - Baltimore, MD 21203-0717 Street Address: 707 North Calvert Street • Baltimore, Maryland 21202 BALTIMORE COUNTY, MARYLAND

DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AND RESOURCE MANAGEMENT INTER-OFFICE CORRESPONDENCE

April 19, 1994

Mr. Arnold Jablon, Director Zoning Administration and

Development Management

J. Lawrence Pilson Development Coordinator, DEPRM

SUBJECT: Zoning Item #366 - Kenwood Park Zoning Advisory Committee Meeting of April 11, 1994

The Department of Environmental Protection and Resource Management offers the following comments on the above-referenced zoning item.

Environmental Impact Review

Development of the property must comply with the Regulations for the Protection of Water Quality, Streams, Wetlands and Floodplains.

JLP:KK:sp

KENWOODP/DEPRM/TXTSBP

The second set of variances deals with porch and deck projections into the required yard areas. These types of projections cannot extend more than 25% into the required yard area. Given the fact that most decks usually extend at least 10 feet from the building, a minimum 40 foot rearyard would be required. The projection of porches into the front yard raises similar issues if decks were to be provided.

The third set of variances deals with buildings extending into the required rear yard area. Again this setback is variable under the old regulations, however, a window on the rear of the structure would necessitate in a 35 foot setback.

The Office of Planning and Zoning has met several times with the applicant. When the project was originally subdivided it was anticipated that modest homes would be placed on modest lots. The average lot size is approximately 60 feet by 85 feet. As the project proceeded with development, a series of "focus groups" were held with local residences (prospective buyers) to determine what types of building styles would appeal to the market. Based upon these preferences a particular building style was selected. The number of windows, and the architectural detail of this house style necessitated the request for variances.

The variances involving the distances between buildings is supportable based upon the extreme variation (18 feet to 40 feet) previously allowed. The height of structures and the presence of windows should not be the determining factor in the distances between the sides of buildings. The County is trying to encourage greater variation in architectural design and avoiding the use of blank walls.

The second set of variances dealing with decks and porches also transcends into the realm of architectural design. The intrusion of porches into the front should be viewed as a relief which adds diversity and interest to the street frontage. The provision of decks into the rear yard area where families enjoy private recreation should also be allowed. If a standard 30 foot rear yard is provided, a deck extending 10 to 12 feet into the yard area is acceptable.

Regarding the third set of variances dealing with rear yard setbacks, staff recommends that a minimum 30 foot rear yard be provided. Unless the rear yard area is highly designed with a privacy wall and landscaping, a standard 30 foot rear yard should be provided.

Based upon the Applicants request, staff recommends that variances for side yard setbacks, and porch and deck projections be APPROVED and that a minimum 30 foot rear yard setback be maintained. Those variances granted should be made subject to the following:

- 1. Prior to the issuance of building permits, the Office of Planning and Zoning shall review proposed building elevations to assure that the conceptual elevations and building footprints shown are those that are used.
- 2. A small tot-lot for use by the residents should be provided to help compensate for loss of some of the private yard areas which are generally used for active use.

Pat Keller, Deputy Director

PK:bjs

366.ZAC/PZONE/ZAC1

Pg. 2

PETITION FOR VARIANCE BEFORE THE Kenwood Park, Corner NS Goldenwood Road, W/S Tarpleys Circle, also ZONING COMMISSIONER 1000' N of Delegge Road, 14th Election Dist., 6th Councilmanic OF BALTIMORE COUNTY PETITIONER: CASE NO: 94-381-A WILLOW HILL LTD. PARTNERSHIP

ENTRY OF APPEARANCE

: : : : :

Please enter the appearance of the People's Counsel in the above captioned matter. Notice should be sent of any hearing dates or other proceedings in this matter and of the passage of any preliminary or final Order.

> Carole S. Demilio Deputy People's Counsel Room 47, Courthouse 400 Washington Avenue Towson, Maryland 21204

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT on this 4th day of May, 1994, a copy of the foregoing Entry of Appearance was mailed to bevelopment Engineering Consultants, Inc., 6603 York Road, Baltimore, MD 21212, Representative for Petitioners.

(410) 887-2188

Baltimore County Government Fire Department

700 East Joppa Road Suite 901 Towson, MD 21286-5500

(410) 887-4500

DATE: 04/12/94

Arnold Jablon Director Zoning Administration and Development Management Baltimore County Office Building Towson, MD 21204 MAIL STOP-1105

RE: Property Owner:

LOCATION:

Item No.: Zoning Agenda:

Gentlemen:

Pursuant to your request, the referenced property has been surveyed by this Bureau and the comments below are applicable and required to be corrected or incorporated into the final plans for the property.

8. The Fire Prevention Pureau has no comments at this time, IN REFERENCE TO THE FOLLOWING ITEM NUMBERS: 366,367,369,370,371 and 375.



REVIEWER: LT. ROBERT P. SAUERWALD Fire Marshal Office, FHONE 887-4881, MS-1102F

cc: File

Printed on Recycled Paper

BALTIMORE COUNTY, MARYLAND INTER-OFFICE CORRESPONDENCE Arnold Jablon, Director, ZADM

DATE: April 20, 1994 Pat Keller, Deputy Director Office of Planning & Zoning

SUBJECT: Kenwood Park

ITEM NO:

LOCATION:

ZONING:

PROJECT: Kenwood Park

CRG XIV-100 Approved January 19, 1992ONING COMMISSIONER

APPLICANT:

Willow Hill Ltd. Partnership 514 Hampton Lane

Towson, MD 21204

This project is located on the southwest of the intersection of I-695 (Baltimore Beltway) and I-95 (John F. Kennedy Highway). Access is obtained via

Goldenwood Road. PROPERTY SIZE:

33.695± acres (gross) DR $3.5 = 1.848 \pm \text{ acres}$ and DR $5.5 = 31.847 \pm \text{ acres}$

DWELLINGS: Permitted: 181 Proposed: 116

REQUESTED ACTION: Variance to allow reduced building setbacks

HEARING DATE: _____

SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDATIONS:

The applicant is requesting 'blanket' variances to allow for reduced side yard setbacks and reduced rear yard setbacks. The purpose of the variances is to allow a more "traditional house style" than that which was originally contemplated when the CRG was approved.

The variances being requested can be best summarized as falling into 3 categories. The first category involves variances that would reduce the distances between side building faces. The applicant wishes to use the 20 feet side building separation presently required in the CMDP versus the old requirement which ranged between 18 feet and 40 feet (depending upon the height of adjoining structures and presence of windows). The purpose of this request is to use a standard setback so that the provision of windows and height of buildings will not necessitate in the creation of varying setbacks for each lot. The lot widths are simply too narrow to allow much flexibility once a building footprint has

366. ZAC/PZONE/ZAC1

Pg. 1



