IN RE: PETITION FOR RESIDENTIAL

ZONING VARIANCE

S/S Perch Court, 25 ft. SW of

c/l Sandollar Way 1 Perch Court

15th Election District
5th Councilmanic District
Karl F. Stoddard, et ux

Petitioners

* BEFORE THE

* ZONING COMMISSIONER

* OF BALTIMORE COUNTY

Case No. 96-338-A

*

* * * * * * * * * * *

FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

This matter comes before the Zoning Commissioner as an administrative variance filed by Karl F. Stoddard and Pamela L. Stoddard, his wife, for that property known as 1 Perch Court in The Woods at Bay Country subdivision of eastern Baltimore County. The Petitioners/property owners herein seek a variance from Section 504 of the Baltimore County Zoning Regulations (BCZR), and V.B.6.b & V.B.3.b of the Comprehensive Manual of Development Policy (CMDP) to permit an addition (deck and swimming pool) with a side yard setback of 4 ft., in lieu of the required 15 ft.; to permit a rear yard setback of 5 ft., in lieu of the required 15 ft.; to permit a distance of 14 ft. between dwellings, in lieu of 16 ft.; and to amend the Final Development Plan of The Woods at Bay Country. The property and relief sought are more particularly described on Petitioners' Exhibit No. 1, the plat to accompany the Petition.

The Petitioners having filed a Petition for Residential Variance and the subject property having been posted, and there being no request for a public hearing, a decision shall be rendered based upon the documentation presented.

The Petitioners have filed the supporting affidavits as required by Section 26-127 (b)(1) of the Baltimore County Code. Based upon the information available, there is no evidence in the file to indicate that the requested variance would adversely affect the health, safety or general

welfare of the public and should, therefore, be granted. In the opinion of the Zoning Commissioner, the information, photographs, and affidavits submitted provide sufficient facts that comply with the requirements of Section 307.1 of the B.C.Z.R. Furthermore, strict compliance with the B.C.Z.R. would result in practical difficulty and/or unreasonable hardship upon the Petitioners.

Pursuant to the posting of the property and the provisions of both the Baltimore County Code and the Baltimore County Zoning Regulations, and for the reasons given above, the requested variance should be granted.

1. The Petitioners may apply for their building permit and be granted same upon receipt of this Order; however, Petitioners are hereby made aware that proceeding at this time is at their own risk until such time as the 30 day appellate process from this Order has expired. If, for whatever reason, this Order is reversed, the Petitioners would be required to return, and be responsible for returning, said property to its original condition.

LAWRENCE E. SCHMIDT Zoning Commissioner

for Baltimore County

LES:mmn

ZONING CASE HISTORY DATABASE (1939 - PRESENT)

GIS Attribut	te Table ID N THIS FIELD):	Case Ty	pe Prefix: Ca	ase Yea	ar: Case Nurr	ber: Case Type	Suffix:		Existing (Use:
19960338			[1996	0338	A		Residential		
	ers/Petitioner N THIS FIELD):	Karl Frederic	ck Stoddard≀	& Pame	ela Louise St	oddard				
House/St. Number:	House/Street Number Range:	House/St. F No. I		Туре:	Street Nam	e (SEARCH ON	THIS FIELD):	Suf. Type:		Suite/Apt./Unit Number:
1			Г		PERCH			СТ		
Property D	escription (SEARC	H ON THIS FIE	ELD):							
S/S Perch	Court, 25' SW of cer	nterline Sandoll	ar Way (#1 F	erch C	ourt)					<u> </u>
Existing Zon	ing Classification D	.R5.5	Area:	7,429	9 square feet	Election	District: 15th	Councilma	anic Dist	rict 5th
Critical Area	NO Flo	odplain:	Histo	oric Are	эа:]	Related (Prior	and Future) Cas	es:		-
Violation Cas	*	Con	ncurrent Case	4.5	Miscellaneou	s Notes				
1.) [2.) [1.)									
3.)	3.)		 							
Contract Pu	rchaser: N/A	<u> </u>						<u> </u>		
Attorney:	V/A									
Petition Revi	ewer: JRF		Petition Revi	ewer 2:	:]		Petition Filing Da	ate:		-
Day of Week	: Hearing Da	ite: Heari	ing Time:	Hea	aring Location	er egyőt közétet v Migya egyétetetetetetete				
	04/	/01/1996	<u>Diday a Si Asia seni La</u>		<u> </u>		Ika la sa natanaka kan		<u>* * *, * </u>	
Closing Date	: Commis	ssioner Hearing	Continued F	rom	Commision	er Hearing Resco	eduled From f	Formal Reques	t For He	aring

Cas	e Numb	er:	•				
Г	1996	0338	A				
Peti	tion Typ	e#1:	ADMINISTRA	TIVE VARIANCE			
Peti	tion Red	quest # 1	required 15 fe	TIVE VARIANCE to permit an additio set; to permit a rear yard setback of 5 eu of 16 feet; and to amend the final o	feet in lieu of the re	ning pool) with a side yard setback of 4 feet in lieu of quired 15 feet; to permit a distance of 14 feet betwee f The Woods At Bay Country.	the in
Peti	tion Typ	e#2:			<u>- 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1</u>		
Peli	tion Red	juest # 2:	<u> </u>				
Peti	tion Typ	e#3:	<u> </u>	<u>ئۆلىن يىڭ دىڭسى و مۇنىئىسلىلىق ئىلىنى ئىرىسى سىسى يېرىپ دىسى.</u>	<u> </u>	<u>arati ariga a ang agamaninan a agama arata a</u>	<u></u>
Peti	tion Rec	uest # 3:		<u>in marini mirana e a a perindana </u>	<u></u>	aratak dan dan dan menangkan pengangan dan dalah dan dalah dan	<u></u>
	tion Typ tion Rec	e # 4: uest # 4:					
200	Foot			Existing Use:	Residential		namena A Oranga
Sca	Scale Map		*	Proposed Use:			
Reference:		<u>}</u>		Existing Zoning Classification	D.R5.5	North/South Coordinate:	<u> 11-12-11-11</u>
		,		Requested Zoning Classification) (East/West Coordinate:	
	1000 Foot			Existing District:	al i	Census Tract:	
	le Map erence:	J		Requested District:			
							•

•

Commissioner Case Numbe	1996 0338	Α	Circuit Court Case Number
Zon. Comm. or Dep. Zon. Comm		<u>and a manufacture lands of the same and the</u> state of	Circuit Court Filing Date:
Commissioner Order Dat	<u> </u>	**************************************	Circuit Court Decision Date
Commissioner Decision	Ţ , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , 		Circuit Court Decision:
Commissioner Order Restrictions			
			MD Court of Special Appeals Filing Date
			MD Court of Special Appeals Decision Date:
			MD Court of Special Appeals Decision:
Board of Appeals Case Number	96-338-A		
Appeal to Board of Appeals?:	NO		MD Court of Appeals Filing Date
Appellant:			MD Court of Appeals Decision Date:
Board of App. Description	1		MD Court of Appeals Decision:
Board of Appeals Filing Date			
Date Case Sent To Board:			U.S. Supreme Court Filing Date
Board of Appeals Hearing Date:			U.S. Supreme Court Decision Date
Board of Appeals Decision Date:			U.S. Supreme Court Decision
Board of Appeals Decision	Ţ		and the control of th

Find Record	Next Record	Previous Record	Preview ZAC	Public Hearings	Admin Variances	Open MS Word	Exit Access	Scanned Image
		·····	******				a are a consequence in the contribution of the	A CONTRACTOR STATE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROP