

JOHN A. OLSZEWSKI, JR. County Executive

MICHAEL D. MALLINOFF, Director

Department of Permits,

Approvals & Inspections

July 2, 2020

Rosenberg, Martin, Greenberg, LLC c/o Adam Baker 25 South Charles Street 21st Floor Baltimore, Maryland 21201-3305

To Whom It May Concern:

RE: 1807 Whitehead Road Spirit and Intent Case No. 1996-0477-A

Pursuant to your provided information and plan you are requesting a zoning S&I "Spirit and Intent" verification for compliance in Zoning Case #1996-0477-A at the above referenced location. In your letter, you requested outside vehicle storage of operable and license cars, truck recreational vehicles and boats the zoning office has reviewed and find your request to be within the spirit and in intent of the final order.

The foregoing is merely an informal opinion. It is not an expert or legal opinion. It is not intended to be relied on as expert or legal advice, and is not legally or factually binding on Baltimore County or any of its officials, agents, or employees. Baltimore County expressly disclaims any and all liability arising out of, or in any way connected with the information provided in this document, or any interpretation thereof.

Sincerely

Gary Hucik Planner II Zoning Review



Adam D. Baker 25 South Charles Street, 21st Floor Baltimore, Maryland 21201-3305 T: 410.649.1241 abaker@rosenbergmartin.com

May 11, 2020

VIA FIRST CLASS MAIL

Mr. Michael D. Mallinoff, Director, Baltimore County Department of Permits, Approvals and Inspections 111 W. Chesapeake Avenue Towson, Maryland 21204

Re:

Request for Spirit and Intent Letter

1807 Whitehead Road Woodlawn, Baltimore County, Maryland Tax Map 0088, Parcel 0471 1st Election District, 2nd Councilmanic District

Case No. 1996-0477-A

Dear Mr. Malinoff:

This firm represents NorthPoint Development ("NorthPoint"), the owner of the above-referenced property (the "Property") located in BM-IM zone in the Woodlawn area of Baltimore County. NorthPoint has obtained the appropriate county approvals to construct a 152,441-SF self-storage facility and accessory parking on the Property. I have attached a copy of the DRC approval (May 2, 2018), a prior Spirit & Intent letter (April 2018) regarding the use of shared parking on site, and a site plan for your review.

As part of the self-storage (warehouse) use on the Property, our client is proposing to use a portion of the existing parking area for the outdoor storage of operable and licensed cars, trucks, recreational vehicles, and boats. This area is delineated on the attached site plan as the portion of the parking area below the red line. The proposed outdoor vehicle storage is permitted as accessory to the underlying self-storage (warehouse) use. With the use of this area for outdoor storage, there are still seven (7) parking spaces on site for off-street parking (including one (1) handicapped space).

Pursuant to Sections 409.6.A.2 and 409.6.A.3 of the Baltimore County Zoning Regulations ("BCZR"), the proposed self-storage (warehouse) use requires four (4) off-street parking spaces, broken down as follows: Four (4) spaces for the 980 square foot office (3.3 parking spaces/1,000 square feet). With seven (7) parking spaces on site, there is adequate parking to meet the parking requirements.

Please confirm by countersigning this letter below that the proposed use of the area delineated

RECEIVED

MAY 1 3 2020

DEPARTMENT OF PERMITS
APPROVALS AND INSPECTIONS

CK# 88539

20-32+ 5/14 lzour

on the site plan is within the spirit and intent of the prior approved zoning relief granted for the Property and is permitted without any additional zoning relief being required. I have enclosed with this letter a check in the amount of \$200.00 made payable to "Baltimore County, Maryland" to cover the administrative costs associated with this review.

If you need any additional information in order to complete your review, please feel free to give me a call.

I appreciate your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Adam D. Baker


AGREED AND ACCEPTED:

Michael Malinoff, Director
Baltimore County Department of
Permits, Approvals and Inspections

ADB Enclosures

cc: NorthPoint Development (via electronic mail)

Kimley Horn (via electronic mail)



THE SITE COMLIES WITH THE FOLLOWING ZONING REQUIREMENT: "THE FRONT YARD DEPTH OF ANY BUILDING

ON EACH SIDE, PROVIDED SUCH ADJOINING LOTS ARE IMPROVED WITH PERMANENT COMMERCIAL BUILDINGS

LOTS WITHIN 100 FEET ON EACH SIDE THEREOF WHICH ARE IMPROVED AS DESCRIBED ABOVE."

HEREAFTER ERECTED SHALL BE THE AVERAGE OF THE FRONT YARD DEPTHS OF THE LOTS IMMEDIATELY ADJOINING

WHERE SAID IMMEDIATELY ADJOINING LOTS ARE NOT BOTH SO IMPROVED, THEN THE DEPTH OF THE FRONT YARD OF

ANY BUILDING HEREAFTER ERECTED SHALL BE NOT LESS THAN THE AVERAGE DEPTH OF THE FRONT YARDS OF ALL

CONSTRUCTED OF FIRE-RESISTING MATERIALS SITUATE WITHIN 100 FEET OF THE JOINT SIDE PROPERTY LINE, BUT

BEFORE YOU DIG


Know what's **below**.

Call before you dig

IT'S THE LAW!

DIAL 811

SITE LEGEND



--- 1.5" HOT-MIX ASPHALT SURFACE COURSE 2.5" HOT-MIX ASPHALT BASE COURSE 8" AGGREGATE BASE (CR-6). REF. GEOTECH REPORT <u>INSET B</u> SIDEWALK PAVEMENT* - 6" COMPACTED AGGREGATE BASE (CR-6).

- COMPACT TOP 1' OF SUBGRADE TO A MINIMUM OF 95% OF STANDARD PROCTOR WITH MOISTURE CONTENT WITHIN 3% OF OPTIMUM MOISTURE CONTENT.

*PAVEMENT SECTIONS ARE BASED ON RECOMMENDATIONS FROM A GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATION PERFORMED BY GTA DATED APRIL 23RD. 2018.

CITE DATA CHMMADV

EXISTING ZONING	BM IM (BUSINESS MAJOR ZONE-INDUSTRIAL MAJOR DISTRICT)
PROPOSED ZONING	NO REZONING IS REQUIRED
TOTAL SITE AREA	1.9996 ±ACRES
PROPOSED BUILDING AREA	51,332 ±SF FOOTPRINT, 3 STORIES (151,490 ±SF TOTAL)
PROPOSED BUILDING COVERAGE	60% OF SITE
EXISTING PERVIOUS AREA	21,824 SF (0.501 ± ACRES)
EXISTING IMPERVIOUS AREA	76,404 SF (1.754 ± ACRES)
PROPOSED PERVIOUS AREA	24,239 SF (0.556 ± ACRES)
PROPOSED IMPERVIOUS AREA	73,965 SF (1.698 ± ACRES)
REQUIRED BUILDING SE	TBACKS
FRONT SETBACK	15' FROM PROPERTY LINE, 40' FROM STREET CENTERLINE
SIDE SETBACK	0'
REAR SETBACK	0'
LANDSCAPE BUFFER	1 PLANTING UNIT/40' OF PROPERTY LINE ADJ. PUBLIC ROW (ASSUMED 10' WIDTH TO ACCOMMODATE)
ALLOWABLE FAR (FLOOR SPACE)	4.0
PROPOSED FAR (FLOOR SPACE)	1.8
ALLOWABLE HEIGHT (ABOVE GRADE PLANE)	40', 3 STORIES; 75', 3 STORIES (INCLUDING TENTING REGULATIONS)
PROPOSED HEIGHT	39'-0", 3 STORIES

PAVING AND STRIPING NOTES:

26 PS (10 PARKING SPACES ON 1815 PROPERTY,

16 PARKING SPACES LOCATED ON 1807

WHITEHEAD ROAD PROPERTY)

PARKING

PROVIDED

1. ALL PAVING, CONSTRUCTION, MATERIALS, AND WORKMANSHIP WITHIN JURISDICTION'S RIGHT-OF-WAY SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH LOCAL OR COUNTY SPECIFICATIONS AND STANDARDS (LATEST EDITION) OR MARYLAND DOT SPECIFICATIONS AND STANDARDS (LATEST EDITION) IF NOT COVERED BY LOCAL OR COUNTY REGULATIONS.


TRAFFIC CONTROL DEVICES (M.U.T.C.D) AND CITY STANDARDS. . CONTRACTORS SHALL FURNISH ALL PAVEMENT MARKINGS FOR FIRE LANES, ROADWAY LANES, PARKING STALLS,

ACCESSIBLE PARKING SYMBOLS, ACCESS AISLES, STOP BARS AND SIGNS, AND MISCELLANEOUS STRIPING WITHIN THE PARKING LOT AS SHOWN ON THE PLANS.


THE MATERIALS AND PROPERTIES OF ALL CONCRETE SHALL MEET THE APPLICABLE REQUIREMENTS IN THE A.C.I.


5. CONTRACTOR SHALL APPLY A SECOND COATING OVER ALL PAVEMENT MARKINGS PRIOR TO ACCEPTANCE BY OWNER. 6. ANY EXISTING PAVEMENT, CURBS, AND/OR SIDEWALKS DAMAGED OR REMOVED WILL BE REPAIRED BY THE CONTRACTOR

. BEFORE PLACING PAVEMENT. CONTRACTOR SHALL VERIFY SUITABLE ACCESSIBLE ROUTES (PER A.D.A). IN NO CASE SHALL ACCESSIBLE RAMP SLOPES EXCEED 1 VERTICAL TO 12 HORIZONTAL. IN NO CASE SHALL SIDEWALK CROSS SLOPES EXCEED 2%. IN NO CASE SHALL LONGITUDINAL SIDEWALK SLOPES EXCEED 5%. CONTRACTOR SHALL CONTACT ENGINEER PRIOR TO PAVING IF ANY EXCESSIVE SLOPES ARE ENCOUNTERED. NO CONTRACTOR CHANGE ORDERS WILL BE ACCEPTED FOR A.D.A. COMPLIANCE ISSUES.



SCALE 1" = 1000'





SITE PLAN NOTES:

- 1. THIS SITE PLAN IS BASED ON SURVEY PROVIDED BY CHESAPEAKE ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT ON MARCH 26,
- 2. PROPERTY KNOWN AS TAX PARCEL 0471 ON MAP 0088.
- 3. PROPERTY AREA=1.9994 AC OR 87094 S.F. ACCOUNT #0107000763 TOTAL PROJECT AREA=1.9994 AC OR 87094 S.F. 4. PROPERTY IS ZONED BM-IM BUSINESS MAJOR ZONE,
- 5. PROPERTY HAS RECEIVED A TYPE A-1-VI EXEMPTION (DRC NUMBER 042418A; DIST. 1C1).
- 6. EXISTING USE: INDUSTRIAL PROPOSED USE: MINI-WAREHOUSE (PERMITTED IN ZONE)
- 7. SITE PROPERTY OWNER'S NAME AND ADDRESS. UBP REALTY HOLDING BUSINESS TRUST 1807 WHITEHEAD ROAD, BALTIMORE, MD 21207
- 8. APPLICANTS NAME AND ADDRESS NORTHPOINT DEVELOPMENT 4825 NW 41ST ST, SUITE 500 RIVERSIDE, MO 64150

INDUSTRIAL MAJOR DISTRICT)

- 9. PREVIOUS ZONING RELIEF HAS OCCURRED FOR THIS SITE 9.1. PARKING REQUIREMENT RELIEF (CASE NO. 1996-0477-A) 9.2. PETITION FOR ZONING RE-CLASSIFICATION, UTILITY CAPACITY (CASE NO. R-1956-3690)
- 10. THE SITE IS LOCATED OUTSIDE THE 100 YEAR FLOODPLAIN PER FEMA MAP NUMBER 2400100378F, AND OUTSIDE THE CRITICAL
- 11. THERE ARE NO KNOWN WETLANDS WITHIN THE SITE BOUNDARY.
- 12. WATERSHED: GWYNNS FALLS
- 13. COUNCILMANIC DISTRICT:
- 14. ELECTION DISTRICT: 1
- 15. THIS SITE IS NOT A HISTORICAL PROPERTY, ACCORDING TO THE BALTIMORE COUNTY GIS DATABASE.
- 16. THERE ARE NO KNOWN WELLS OR SEPTIC SYSTEMS ON-SITE.


17. APPROXIMATE LIMITS OF DISTURBANCE: 86,249 SF, 1.980 AC

2. ALL SIGNS, PAVEMENT MARKINGS, AND OTHER TRAFFIC CONTROL DEVICES SHALL CONFORM TO MANUAL ON UNIFORM



(AMERICAN CONCRETE INSTITUTE) MANUAL OF CONCRETE PRACTICE.

AT HIS EXPENSE TO THE SATISFACTION OF THE ENGINEER AND OWNER.



GRAPHIC SCALE IN FEET 30 15

MCS NAD 83(2011)/NAVD 88

PAI # 01-0110

S

CORDANCE WITH THE DRC APPROVA

ARED OR APPROVED BY ME AND TH

INEER UNDER THE LAWS OF THE STA

MARYLAND.

PHASE II PLANS TAX MAP: 0088 RID NO: 0019 PARCEL: 0471

ELECTION DISTRICT: 1st COUNCILMANIC DISTRICT: 1st

> NORTHPOINT SELF-STORAGE



1807 WHITEHEAD ROAD WOODLAWN, MD 21207

SHEET NUMBER C-200

Baltimore County Government Department of Permits Approvals and Inspections Development Management



111 West Chesapeake Avenue Towson, MD 21204

410-887-3335

May 2, 2018

Kimley-Horn Nicholas J. Leffner, P.E. 1215 East Fort Avenue, Suite 304 Baltimore, MD 21230

> RE: Northpoint Self Storage PAI Number: 01-0110

> > Tracking Number: DRC-2018-00052 DRC Number: 042418A; Dist. 1C1

Dear Mr. Leffner:

Pursuant to Article 25A, Section 5 (U) of the Annotated Code of Maryland, and as provided in Section 602 (d) of the Baltimore County Charter, and Sections 32-1-101, 32-3-401, and 32-3-517 of the <u>Baltimore County Code</u>, this letter constitutes an administrative order and decision on the request for issuance, renewal, or modification of a license, permit, approval, exemption, waiver, or other form of permission you filed with this department.

Your request has been submitted for careful review and consideration to the Development Review Committee (DRC), which is composed of representatives from each of those departments involved in land-use decisions. These representatives are designees of the directors of these departments. The purpose of the DRC is to ensure compliance with Section 32-4-106 and Section 32-4-262 of the Baltimore County Code. and to make recommendations to the Director, Department of Permits Approvals and Inspections (PAI).

The DRC has, in fact, met in an open meeting on April 24, 2018, 2018, and made the following recommendations:

The DRC has determined, your project provisionally meets the requirements of a **limited exemption** under Section 32-4-106(a)(1)(vi).

Now that you have received this limited exemption, please proceed with building permit application.

Kimley-Horn Northpoint Self Storage May 2, 2018 Page 2

A copy of this letter must be presented when submitting engineering/construction plans to this office, and/or when applying for a building permit.

Please note that compliance with subtitles 3, 4 and 5 of Title 4, Article 32, <u>Baltimore County Code</u>, is required, as is compliance with all applicable zoning regulations. Phase 2 review fees may apply, depending on the amount of site disturbance, and/or the requirement of a Public Works Agreement. Also, sidewalks are required whenever the site is within the Metropolitan District.

Herein find a Zoning Review Hearing Checklist that will serve as a guide when preparing plans for building permit applications. Please be advised, plans not meeting minimum checklist requirements will not be accepted for filing. This will consequently delay building permit approval. Please note, the "conceptual" plan required for DRC review, does not necessarily meet the checklist guidelines. Therefore, it should not be assumed that the DRC plan is acceptable for building permit applications. Should you have any questions regarding the above, please contact the Zoning Review Section at 410-887-3391.

I have reviewed the recommendations carefully, and I have determined to adopt the recommendations set forth above. It is this 2nd day of May 2018, ordered and decided that the recommendations of the DRC are hereby adopted.

Should you submit an application for any permit that may be required for this project, your application will be processed subject to the conditions set forth above, and any plans, securities, or non-county permits that may be required in accordance with County, State, or Federal regulations.

Sincerely,


Arnold Jabla

Director

AJ: JMC:dak

C: File

Northpoint Development; 4825 NW41st Street, Suite 500; Riverside, MO 64150 Rosenberg Martin Greenburg, LLP; 25 South Charles Street, 21st Floor; Baltimore, MD 21201





April 6, 2018

VIA OVERNIGHT DELIVERY

Mr. Arnold Jablon, Director,
Baltimore County Department of
Permits, Approvals and Inspections
111 W. Chesapeake Avenue
Towson, Maryland 21204

Re: Request for Spirit and Intent Letter

1807 Whitehead Road, Woodlawn, Baltimore County, Maryland

Tax Map 0088, Parcel 0471

1st Election District, 2nd Councilmanic District

Case No. 1996-0477-A

Dear Mr. Jablon:

This firm represents NorthPoint Development ("NorthPoint"), which has entered into a contract with UBP Realty Holding Business Trust (the "Seller") to purchase the above-referenced property (the "Property") located in the Woodlawn area of Baltimore County. As indicated in a request pending before the Development Review Committee ("DRC"), NorthPoint proposes to demolish the existing building on the Property and construct a ±52,850-SF self-storage facility with 22 parking spaces. I have attached a copy of the DRC application for your review.

The existing building on the Property, now vacant, was formerly utilized as a book manufacturing facility. In Case No. 1996-447-A (the "Prior Zoning Case"), the former owner of the Property obtained the zoning relief necessary to expand the book manufacturing operation at the Property to also include the adjacent property located at 1815 Whitehead Road. I have enclosed the Order and the Site Plan from the Prior Zoning Case for your reference. At this time, I am writing to seek written confirmation from you that the construction of the proposed self-storage facility on the Property is within the spirit and intent of the relief granted in the Prior Zoning Case.

As indicated in the Order in the Prior Zoning Case, the petitioner sought to permit 30 manufacturing employees at 1815 Whitehead Road (referred to therein as "Parcel A") and 55 manufacturing employees at 1807 Whitehead Road (referred to therein as "Parcel B"). In addition to granting variances from Sections 233,3.B and 233,3.C of the Baltimore County Zoning Regulations ("BCZR" or "Zoning Regulations") to permit each parcel to exceed the maximum permitted 25 employees, the Deputy Zoning Commissioner granted a parking variance to permit 47 parking spaces for both parcels combined in lieu of the required 56.

As indicated on the Site Plan in the Prior Zoning Case, Parcel B (the Property that NorthPoint seeks to redevelop) would have needed to provide 30 parking spaces, and Parcel A would have needed to provide 26 spaces. Following redevelopment of the Property for self-storage (warehouse) use, only 2 spaces will be required parking pursuant to BCZR § 409.6.A.2, as no more

Mr. Arnold Jablon, Director, Baltimore County Department of Permits, Approvals and Inspections April 6, 2018 Page 2

than 2 employees will be working on the largest shift. Following NorthPoint's redevelopment of Parcel B, there will be 32 parking spaces for both parcels combined (Parcel A's 10 spaces + Parcel B's 22 spaces = 32 spaces). As a practical matter, Parcel A (1815 Whitehead Road) is now vacant and is also separately being listed for sale by the Seller, and thus, will no longer be utilized jointly with Parcel B; however, even if Parcel A were still utilized for book manufacturing, its required parking per the Prior Zoning Case was only 26 spaces. Because only 2 parking spaces would be required on Parcel B following redevelopment, the total required on Parcels A & B would be 28 spaces. Therefore, the total 32 spaces proposed to be provided on Parcels A & B combined following redevelopment of Parcel A will satisfy the parking requirements of the Zoning Regulations.

Please confirm by countersigning this letter below that the proposed redevelopment is indeed, within the spirit and intent of the prior approved zoning relief granted for the Property and that the proposed redevelopment of the Property is permitted without any additional zoning relief being required. I have enclosed with this letter a check in the amount of \$150.00 made payable to "Baltimore County, Maryland" to cover the administrative costs associated with this review.

If you need any additional information in order to complete your review, please feel free to give me a call.

I appreciate your attention to this matter.

Sincerely.

Justin A. Williams

AGREED AND ACCEPTED:

Arnold Jablon, Director

Baltimore County Department of Permits, Approvals and Inspections


JAW/hal Enclosures

cc: NorthPoint Development (via electronic mail)

Kimley Horn (via electronic mail)

UBP Realty Holding Business Trust (via electronic mail)

4824-7207-6384, v. 1





SITE NOTES:

- THIS SITE PLAN IS BASED ON CONNECT EXPLORER AERIAL IMAGERY
 AND BALTIMORE COUNTY GIS DATA. ALL EXISTING CONDITIONS
 INFORMATION WILL BE SUPPLEMENTED BY TOPOGRAPHIC SURVEY FOR FINAL SITE DESIGN.
- 2. PROPERTY KNOWN AS TAX PARCEL 0471 ON MAP 0088.
- PROPERTY AREA=1.9994 AC OR 87094 S.F. ACCOUNT #0107000763 TOTAL PROJECT AREA=1.9994 AC OR 87094 S.F.
- PROPERTY IS ZONED BM-IM BUSINESS MAJOR ZONE, INDUSTRIAL MAJOR DISTRICT)
- 5. EXISTING USE: INDUSTRIAL PROPOSED USE: MINI-WAREHOUSE
- 6. SITE PROPERTY OWNER'S NAME AND ADDRESS. NORTHPOINT DEVELOPMENT 4825 NW 41ST ST, SUITE 500 RIVERSIDE, MO 64150
- 7. PREVIOUS ZONING RELIEF HAS OCCURRED FOR THIS SITE
 7.1. PARKING REQUIREMENT RELIEF (CASE NO. 1996-0477-A)
 7.2. PETITION FOR ZONING RE-CLASSIFICATION, UTILTY CAPACITY (CASE NO. R-1956-3690)
- 8. THE SITE IS LOCATED OUTSIDE THE 100 YEAR FLOODPLAIN PER FEMA MAP NUMBER 2400100378F, AND OUTSIDE THE CRITICAL AREA.
- 9. THERE ARE NO KNOWN WETLANDS WITHIN THE SITE BOUNDARY.
- 10. WATERSHED: GWYNNS FALLS
- 11. COUNCILMANIC DISTRICT: 1
- 12. ELECTION DISTRICT: 1
- 13. THIS SITE IS NOT A HISTORICAL PROPERTY, ACCORDING TO THE BALTIMORE COUNTY GIS DATABASE.
- 14. THERE ARE NO KNOWN WELLS OR SEPTIC SYSTEMS ON-SITE.

ZONING SUMMARY			
APPROXIMATE ACREAGE	2.0 ±AC 87,094 ±SF		
ZONING	CURRENT:BM IM (BUSINESS MAJOR ZONE-INDUSTRIAL MAJOR DISTRICT)		
FRONT SETBACK	15' FROM PROPERTY LINE, 40' FROM STREET CENTERLINE		
SIDE SETBACK	N/A		
REAR SETBACK	N/A		
LANDSCAPE BUFFER	1 PLANTING UNIT/40' OF PROPERTY LINE ADJ. PUBLIC ROW (ASSUMED 10' WIDTH TO ACCOMMODATE)		
ALLOWABLE FAR	4.0		
PARKING REQUIRED (1807 WHITEHEAD ROAD)	3.3 PS/1000 SF OFFICE= 4PS		
PARKING PROVIDED -	6 PS (INCLUDING SHARED PARKING AREA ON PROPERTY)		
PARKING PROVIDED (1815 WHITEHEAD ROAD)	16 PS (PARKING SPACES LOCATED ON 1807 WHITEHEAD ROAD PROPERTY)		



PLAN

SITE

DRC

Orn

Kimley WHOrn

© 2018 KMLEY-HORN AND ASSOCIATES, INC.
1215 E FORT ARE, SURFIES 364, BALTIMORE, MD 21230
WWW.KMLEY-HORN.COM
WWW.KMLEY-HORN.COM

1807 WHITEHEAD ROAD PREPARED FOR NORTHPOINT DEVELOPMENT

SHEET NUMBER C-200

IT'S THE LAW!

DIAL 811

Know what's below.

Call before you cir.

March 23, 2018

Development Review Committee 111 West Chesapeake Avenue Towson, Maryland 21204

RE: Letter of Request for DRC

NorthPoint Self Storage 1807 Whitehead Road Woodlawn, Maryland 21207

NorthPoint Self Storage is respectfully requesting a Development Review Committee (DRC) review and approval of a Limited Exemption under Section 32-4-106 (A-1-vi) for its self storage facility located at 1807 Whitehead Road, Woodlawn, MD 21207. The site is currently a 50,742 square foot manufacturing facility.

NorthPoint Self Storage is purchasing the property on 1807 Whitehead Road and is proposed to demolish the existing warehouse and rebuild a self-storage facility in its place. The proposed building will be approximately 52,500 square feet, including 1,200 sf of office space. The increase of 1,758 square feet of footprint is gained adding a canopy on the front of the building toward the Whitehead Road frontage. 18 parking spaces are eliminated by the expansion, however, the parking requirements for the building, as well as the shared parking requirements for the adjacent property (1815 Whitehead Road) are met.

Please contact me at Johnny Sweeney or by email at Jsweeney@NorthPointKC.com if you have any questions or concerns.

Thank you,

Johnny Sweeney NorthPoint Development 4825 NW 41st St, Suite 500 Riverside, MO 64150

BALTIMORE COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF PERMITS APPROVALS AND INSPECTIONS DEVELOPMENT REVIEW COMMITTEE (DRC) APPLICATION

County Use Only County Use Only	
 This application must be accompanied by the following: One copy of the completed DRC checklist. Three copies of this DRC application, completed in full. Three copies of a letter of request (attach one to each DRC application). Nine copies of the plan folded to 8 ½ x 11 inches. \$100 fee for limited exemption request; \$375 for waiver request (check material are non-refundable; Applicant shall deliver check to County Finance Office. 	
Project Name: NORTHPOINT SELF STORAGE	PAI File No.:
Project Address: 1807 WHITEHEAD ROAD, WOODLAWN, MD Zip	Code: 21207 ADC Map #: 0088
Councilmanic District: 1ST Election District: 1ST Project Acreage	: 1.9994 ACRES
Tax Account No(s): 0107000763	Zoning: BM-IM
Engineer: NICHOLAS J. LEFFNER, KIMLEY-HORN N/A: Engine	
Address: 1215 E FORT AVE, SUITE 304, BALTIMORE, MD Zip 21230 Ema	
	none No.: 816-381-2901
Address: 4825 NW 41st ST, SUITE 500, RIVERSIDE, MO Zip 64150 Ema	
Attorney: ROSENBERG MARTIN GREENBURG, LLP N/A: Attorney	ney's Phone No.: 410-727-6600
Address: 25 SOUTH CHARLES STREET, 21ST FLOOR, BALTIMORE, MD Zip 21201 Ema	il: CHECKER@ROSENBERGMARTIN.COM
(City) Is this a tower? Yes X No If "Yes" check one of the following: Cellular	Water TowerMonoPole(CFC)
REQUESTED ACTION (TO BE COMPLETED BY THE APPLICA	(County Use only)
(X) Limited Exemption under Section 32-4-106 (A-1-VI) () (() Plan Refinement () Planned Shopping Center Designation under Section 101 of BCZR () Waiver under Section 32-4-107 () () () Requires a Zoning () Special Hearing; () Special Exception; () V () Lot Line Adjustment (LLA) () Other	Action:
This application must be accompanied by a written request. That request must typed, and signed by the applicant. The letter must contain the name, address a must provide details of the request. A copy of the checklist must be completed application. Please note that a DRC application form and checklist is available	nd telephone number of the applicant and and included along with this DRC

c: Council, Planning, DEPS, Zoning

Please see page 2 for the checklist of complete submittal requirements

and on the County web site www.baltimorecountymd.gov/Agencies/permits/pdm devmanage/pdmfdmgt.html

BALTIMORE COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF PERMITS APPROVALS AND INSPECTIONS

Development Review Committee (DRC)
Application Form Checklist

All applications to the DRC must include the following:

✓ 1.	Three copies of the DRC Application.
1 2.	Three copies (one attached to each DRC Application) of a letter of request to the DRC containing the
	following: a. Name, address and phone number of the applicant
	b. Explanation of the request to the DRC.
	c. Signature of the applicant.
✓ 3.	Nine copies of a plan*** showing the following:
5.	a. A plan title box noting "Plan to accompany DRC request."
	b. North arrow.
	b. North arrow. c. Vicinity map. d. Election district. e. Councilmanic district. f. Property tax account numbers. g. Site property owner's name and address. h. Scale of the drawing. i. Boundaries of the property lines shown in heavy bold lines. j. Lengths of property lines k. Area of project site in square feet and acreage. v. l. Proposed structures, heights and dimensions. m. Setbacks. NA n. Location of existing wells and septic systems.
	d. Election district.
	e. Councilmanic district.
	f. Property tax account numbers.
	g. Site property owner's name and address.
	h. Scale of the drawing.
	i. Boundaries of the property lines shown in heavy bold lines.
	j. Lengths of property lines
	k. Area of project site in square feet and acreage.
	l. Proposed structures, heights and dimensions.
	m. Setbacks.
	NA n. Location of existing wells and septic systems.
	o. Zoning information:
	1. Current zoning on the property.
	2 Case numbers of any zoning hearings
	2. Case numbers of any zoning hearings. 3. Dates of zoning orders. 4. Indication of what was granted or denied by the zoning commissioner.
	J. Dates of zoning orders. A Indication of what was granted or denied by the zoning commissioner
	5. Copies of zoning orders attached to the DRC Application.
	***Note: For refinements and material changes to previously approved plans you must use copies of the last
	approved plan to show items 3a-o listed above. Please show all changes in red.
	••
4.	One copy of this checklist completed and signed by the applicant or the consultant.
5.	Check for \$100 for limited exemption request or check for \$375 for waiver request, payable to Baltimore
	County, MD-non-refundable. (Do not staple check to forms) Applicant shall deliver payment to
	County Finance Office cashier. Submit paid receipt with this package.
	I have reviewed the DRC application and plan using this checklist to insure that the application
	and plan are complete. I understand that an incomplete application or plan may cause the DRC to delay
	its action on this request.
	Signed
	Date
	Print Name

IN RE:

PETITION FOR VARIANCE

S/S Whitehead Road, 530' W of

Woodlawn Drive

(1807 & 1815 Whitehead Road)

1st Election District

2nd Councilmanic District

* BEFORE THE

DEPUTY ZONING COMMISSIONER

* OF BALTIMORE COUNTY

* Case No. 96-477-A

Leroy E. Wolk, et al - Owners; and

United Book Press, Inc., Contract Purchasers

FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

This matter comes before the Deputy Zoning Commissioner as a Petition for Variance for the properties known as 1815 and 1807 Whitehead Road, also known as Parcels A and B, respectively, located in the vicinity of Woodlawn Drive and Security Boulevard in Woodlawn. The Petition was filed by the owners of Parcel A, Leroy E. Wolk and Daniel Katz, Surviving Partners of the LSD Partnership, and Leroy E. Wolk, Stanford G. Gann, Andrew Sandler, and Sidney Levin, as Trustees Under the Wills of Dorothea F. Wolk and Seymour Wolk, and the Contract Purchasers of Parcel A, (owners of Parcel B), United Book Press, Inc., by George Dilli, President, through their attorney, Anthony P. Palaigos, Esquire. The Petitioners seek relief from Section 233.3.B and 233.3.C to permit 30 and 55 manufacturing employees per establishment on Parcel A and Parcel B, respectively, in lieu of the maximum permitted 25 persons for each establishment and to approve a modified plan, accordingly, and from Sections 409.6.A.2 and 3 to permit 47 parking spaces for both parcels combined in lieu of the required 56. subject properties and relief sought are more particularly described on the site plan submitted which was accepted and marked into evidence as Petitioner's Exhibit 1.

Appearing at the hearing on behalf of the Petition were Leroy E. Wolk, General Partner of LSD Partnership, Owners of Parcel A, George Dilli, President of United Book Press, Inc., Owners of Parcel B and Con-

ORDER RECEIVED FOR FILING

ORDER RECEIVED FOR FILING

tract Purchasers of Parcel A, William P. Monk, Land Planning and Zoning Consultant who prepared the site plan for this project, and Anthony G. Palaigos, Esquire, attorney for the Petitioners. Also in attendance were Jamie Gilbert of the Baltimore County Economic Development Commission, and Howard L. Alderman, Esquire. There were no Protestants present.

Testimony and evidence offered at the hearing revealed that the subject properties are adjoining parcels, consisting of a combined area of 3.333 acres, more or less, zoned B.M.-I.M. Both properties, identified as Parcels A and B on Petitioner's Exhibit 1, have been, or are currently in use as a printing/book manufacturing business, and are improved with a large one-story building. The Petitioners are desirous of combining the two operations, and thus, the requested variances and approval of a modified site plan are necessary.

By way of background, testimony revealed that United Book Press, Inc. (United) is a Maryland corporation which in 1990, began its operations as a tenant, leasing 30,000 sq.ft. of space on Parcel B as a book manufacturer, which is a permitted use. Ultimately, United's operation expanded into the remaining 20,000 sq.ft. of space on Parcel B. United is a fully integrated book manufacturer of soft cover and paperback books. As such, United does off-set printing, binding, sewing, folding, packaging and shipping of its book products to its customers. Testimony indicated that United purchased Parcel B, by Deed dated December 8, 1994, a copy of which was introduced into evidence. As noted above, Parcel B is improved with a one-story manufacturing facility, with combined office and manufacturing space of 51,302 sq.ft. There have been no additions made to the building and United has not enlarged the building into areas on Parcel B that could have been used for parking. The exterior dimensions of the building have

ORDER RECEIVED FOR FILING
Date

remained the same since United has been occupying and using the land and buildings on Parcel B, both as a tenant originally, and subsequently, as Owner. Presently, 37 parking spaces are provided on Parcel B. There is a rear yard directly behind the building, however, it cannot be accessed by vehicle or utilized for parking due to its steep slope and topography. There is no other available space on Parcel B where additional parking spaces can be provided.

United has contracted to purchase Parcel A, and, pursuant to the contract of sale shown at the hearing, has the authority to pursue the relief requested in the Petition for Variance as it pertains to Parcel A. The current legal owners of Parcel A, present through Mr. Leroy E. Wolk, purchased what now consists of Parcel A, pursuant to two deeds; the first being a deed dated October 24, 1963 and the second, being the rear yard portion of Parcel A, by deed dated October 25, 1982. The Wolk Press Company built the existing building on Parcel A between 1963 and 1982 and used the facility as a book manufacturer in the areas of catalogs and brochures. That building is a one-story manufacturing facility consisting of approximately 35,430 sq.ft. There have been no additions or expansions made to the existing building since its completion in 1982. The only available parking on Parcel A are the 10 spaces located in front of the building.

The proposed use of Parcel A by United Book Press, Inc. is to expand into case bound and hard cover book manufacturing, thereby enabling United to be a fully integrated book manufacturer of both soft cover and hard cover books. The expanded use within the existing building on Parcel A will require the purchase and installation of additional and different

ORDER RECEIVED YOR FILING

equipment than what is in use on Parcel B, and the operation of such equipment to manufacture hard cover books is also labor intensive.

In support of the relief requested from Sections 233.3.B & C, testimony revealed that Mr. Dilli has been in the book manufacturing business for 40 years. Testimony indicated that the day shift of a fully integrated book manufacturer of soft cover and paperback books cannot operate efficiently with 25 persons or less. The operation is labor intensive and requires employees at all the various pieces of equipment necessary to compile these books, including, but not limited to, the presses, the bindery equipment, the folding equipment, the sewing equipment, etc. The limitation of 25 persons or less creates an undue hardship for this Petitioner because its application at the subject location would render it impossible to conduct its business as a fully integrated book manufacturer. Likewise, a fully integrated book manufacturer for hard cover books cannot operate with 25 persons or less who are directly engaged in the manufacturing process during the day shift, which would employ the most persons. Enforcement of the 25 person limit would create an undue hardship to the Petitioner, and would, in fact, prevent United from using Parcel A as a fully integrated hard cover book manufacturing facility, even though it is a permitted use.

The Petitioners have also requested a parking variance from Section 409.6.A.2 & 3. As is the case with Parcel B, the rear yard of Parcel A cannot be reached by vehicle and is totally unusable due to the steep slope and topography. There are no additional areas on Parcel A that can be used for parking and there has been no expansion into areas that could have been used for parking. In fact, parking spaces that were historically available for use by the owners of Parcel A have been lost

ORDER RECEIVED FOR FILING

due to the expiration of a lease, which expired March 31, 1996, after an original term of 15 years. A copy of this lease was introduced as Petitioner's Exhibit 3. This lease allowed the current owners of Parcel A to lease land that was contiguous with and adjacent to the eastern building line of the building on Parcel B for additional parking; however, the current owners of that land have refused to either lease or sell that portion of land to the owners of Parcel A.

Through the joint efforts and cooperation between United Book Press, Inc. and Baltimore County, there currently is available street parking on Whitehead Road and efforts are being undertaken, as of the date this Petition was filed, to expand that number of street spaces available There currently exists sufficient parking on both Parcels A for parking. and B to accommodate the employees and customers of United and the available 28 spaces on Whitehead Road are sufficient to accommodate any additional demand for parking by employees and customers. There will be no impact of any kind whatsoever on the residential community closest to Parcels A and B because there is a wooded buffer between that residential community and Whitehead Road, and there is no direct street access off of Whitehead Road into that residential community. Moreover, United has distributed and encouraged its employees to participate in Baltimore County's ride sharing program as evidenced by the introduction of Petitioner's Exhibit 6.

The testimony offered was uncontradicted and showed clearly that the conditions that exist and which justify the variance and modified plan are peculiar and unique to the land and existing buildings on Parcels A and B. Additionally, the proffered testimony showed that strict compliance with the B.C.Z.R. would unreasonably prevent the use of Parcels A and

ORDER RECEIVED FOR FILING
Date
By

B by United as a fully integrated book manufacturer, which is a permitted use under the existing zoning classifications, thereby resulting in practical difficulty to the Petitioner with regard to the parking requirements, and an undue hardship with regard to the maximum number of persons engaged in the manufacturing process during the peak, day shift. It is clear that the relief requested is the minimum relief necessary to grant substantial justice to the Petitioner as well as other property owners in the district, and is within the spirit and intent of the B.C.Z.R.

Based upon the testimony and evidence presented, it is apparent that the requested relief is necessary as a result of the size and shape of Parcels A and B as recorded and the nature of the existing and proposed use of Parcels A and B. The B.C.Z.R., specifically, Section 307.1 thereof, established a two-step process for the granting of variances. That two-step process was addressed and identified by the Court of Special Appeals in the case of Cromwell v. Ward, 102 Md. App. 691 (1995). The opinion in that case, issued January 4, 1995, and authored by the Honorable J. Cathell, interpreted our regulations to require the applicant to establish the following:

First, the applicant (Petitioner) must prove, and this Deputy Zoning Commissioner must find, that the "uniqueness and peculiarity of the subject property causes the zoning provision to impact disproportionately upon that property".

I find from the testimony and evidence presented in this case that the subject properties are unique, unusual and different from properties which surround them so as to cause the applicable zoning provisions to impact disproportionately upon both Parcels A and B.

ORDER RECEIVED FOR FILING
Date
By

Having satisfied this "first step", the applicant (Petitioner) must proceed to the "second step" of this variance process, which is to show that strict compliance with the B.C.Z.R. would result in a practical difficulty or unreasonable hardship.

The practical difficulty or unreasonable hardship guidelines that have been imposed by the B.C.Z.R. have been thoroughly examined and discussed by the appellate courts of this State. In <u>Loyola Federal Savings & Loan Association v. Buschman</u>, 227 Md. 243, 176 A.2d, 355 (1961), the Court of Appeals considered the identical regulation to Section 307.1 of the B.C.Z.R.

As the Court noted: "Section 307 of the Regulations uses the two terms (practical difficulty or unreasonable hardship) in the disjunctive."

Loyola Federal, at 358. Thus, by the use of the term "or", Section 307 offers the Petitioner an opportunity to obtain its variance upon satisfaction of either the undue hardship or practical difficulty standard.

The distinction between these standards was clarified by the Court of Special Appeals in Anderson v. Board of Appeals, Town of Chesapeake Beach, 22 Md. App. 28, 322 A.2d, 220 (1974). Within that opinion, the Court held that the undue hardship standard applies to a Petition for a use variance. The Court noted that a use variance, which permits a use on the property other than that specifically permitted in that particular district, requires the imposition of a higher standard. That is, to allow the change or demonstrate real hardship, where the land cannot allow a reasonable return if used only in accordance with the use restrictions of the ordinance.

Compared with this heavy burden, the Court reviewed the practical difficulty standard applicable for area variances. The Court characterized



ORDER RECEIVED FOR FILING
Date
By

area variances as having a much less drastic affect than use variances, in that they seek relief only from height, area, setback or side property line restrictions, and would not affect the property's use, per se. The Court envisioned the impact of area variances on the surrounding locale to be less than that generated by use variances, and thus, the lesser practical difficulty standard applies. The prongs of that standard which must be satisfied by the Petitioner, as enunciated in Anderson, supra, are as follows:

- whether compliance with the strict letter of restrictions governing area setbacks, frontage, height, bulk or density would unreasonably prevent the owner from using the property for a permitted purpose or would render conformity with such restrictions unnecessarily burdensome;
- 2) whether a grant of the variance applied for would do substantial justice to the applicant as well as to other property owners in the district or whether a lesser relaxation than that applied for would give substantial relief to the owner of the property involved and be more consistent with justice to other property owners; and,
- 3) whether relief can be granted in such fashion that the spirit of the ordinance will be observed and public safety and welfare secured.

Anderson v. Bd. of Appeals, Town of Chesapeake Beach, 22 Md. App. 28 (1974). See, also, McLean v. Soley, 270 Md. 208 (1973) at 214-215.

I find from the testimony and evidence presented at the hearing before me that the Petitioner has, in fact, proven the practical difficulty standards as set forth above, and that the variances requested should be granted. I also find from the testimony and evidence presented before me that the Petitioner has in fact proven that the requirements set forth in Section 233.3.B of the B.C.Z.R. creates an undue hardship on the Petitioner and that the modified plan should be approved. I further find that the granting of these variances and the approval of the modified plan is in

strict harmony with the spirit and intent of the B.C.Z.R. and the granting of this relief is accomplished without injury to the public health, safety or general welfare.

Pursuant to the advertisement, posting of the properties and public hearing on this Petition held, and for the reasons set forth above, the relief requested should be granted.

1) The Petitioners may apply for their building permit and be granted same upon receipt of this Order; however, Petitioners are hereby made aware that proceeding at this time is at their own risk until such time as the 30-day appellate process from this Order has expired. If, for whatever reason, this Order is reversed, the relief granted herein shall be rescinded.

TIMOTHY M. KOTROCO

Deputy Zoning Commissioner

for Baltimore County

TMK:bjs

PROER RECEIVED FOR FILM

IN RE: PETITION FOR VARIANCE

S/S Whitehead Road, 530' W of

Woodlawn Drive

(1807 & 1815 Whitehead Road)

1st Election District 2nd Councilmanic District * BEFORE THE

* DEPUTY ZONING COMMISSIONER

* OF BALTIMORE COUNTY

Case No. 96-477-A

Leroy E. Wolk, et al - Owners; and

United Book Press, Inc., Contract Purchasers

* * * * * * * * *

FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

This matter comes before the Deputy Zoning Commissioner as a Petition for Variance for the properties known as 1815 and 1807 Whitehead Road, also known as Parcels A and B, respectively, located in the vicinity of Woodlawn Drive and Security Boulevard in Woodlawn. The Petition was filed by the owners of Parcel A, Leroy E. Wolk and Daniel Katz, Surviving Partners of the LSD Partnership, and Leroy E. Wolk, Stanford G. Gann, Andrew Sandler, and Sidney Levin, as Trustees Under the Wills of Dorothea F. Wolk and Seymour Wolk, and the Contract Purchasers of Parcel A, (owners of Parcel B), United Book Press, Inc., by George Dilli, President, through their attorney, Anthony P. Palaigos, Esquire. The Petitioners seek relief from Section 233.3.B and 233.3.C to permit 30 and 55 manufacturing employees per establishment on Parcel A and Parcel B, respectively, in lieu of the maximum permitted 25 persons for each establishment and to approve a modified plan, accordingly, and from Sections 409.6.A.2 and 3 to permit 47 parking spaces for both parcels combined in lieu of the required 56. subject properties and relief sought are more particularly described on the site plan submitted which was accepted and marked into evidence as Petitioner's Exhibit 1.

Appearing at the hearing on behalf of the Petition were Leroy E. Wolk, General Partner of LSD Partnership, Owners of Parcel A, George Dilli, President of United Book Press, Inc., Owners of Parcel B and Con-

tract Purchasers of Parcel A, William P. Monk, Land Planning and Zoning Consultant who prepared the site plan for this project, and Anthony G. Palaigos, Esquire, attorney for the Petitioners. Also in attendance were Jamie Gilbert of the Baltimore County Economic Development Commission, and Howard L. Alderman, Esquire. There were no Protestants present.

Testimony and evidence offered at the hearing revealed that the subject properties are adjoining parcels, consisting of a combined area of 3.333 acres, more or less, zoned B.M.-I.M. Both properties, identified as Parcels A and B on Petitioner's Exhibit 1, have been, or are currently in use as a printing/book manufacturing business, and are improved with a large one-story building. The Petitioners are desirous of combining the two operations, and thus, the requested variances and approval of a modified site plan are necessary.

By way of background, testimony revealed that United Book Press, Inc. (United) is a Maryland corporation which in 1990, began its operations as a tenant, leasing 30,000 sq.ft. of space on Parcel B as a book manufacturer, which is a permitted use. Ultimately, United's operation expanded into the remaining 20,000 sq.ft. of space on Parcel B. United is a fully integrated book manufacturer of soft cover and paperback books. As such, United does off-set printing, binding, sewing, folding, packaging and shipping of its book products to its customers. Testimony indicated that United purchased Parcel B, by Deed dated December 8, 1994, a copy of which was introduced into evidence. As noted above, Parcel B is improved with a one-story manufacturing facility, with combined office and manufacturing space of 51,302 sq.ft. There have been no additions made to the building and United has not enlarged the building into areas on Parcel B that could have been used for parking. The exterior dimensions of the building have

remained the same since United has been occupying and using the land and buildings on Parcel B, both as a tenant originally, and subsequently, as Owner. Presently, 37 parking spaces are provided on Parcel B. There is a rear yard directly behind the building, however, it cannot be accessed by vehicle or utilized for parking due to its steep slope and topography. There is no other available space on Parcel B where additional parking spaces can be provided.

United has contracted to purchase Parcel A, and, pursuant to the contract of sale shown at the hearing, has the authority to pursue the relief requested in the Petition for Variance as it pertains to Parcel A. The current legal owners of Parcel A, present through Mr. Leroy E. Wolk, purchased what now consists of Parcel A, pursuant to two deeds; the first being a deed dated October 24, 1963 and the second, being the rear yard portion of Parcel A, by deed dated October 25, 1982. The Wolk Press Company built the existing building on Parcel A between 1963 and 1982 and used the facility as a book manufacturer in the areas of catalogs and brochures. That building is a one-story manufacturing facility consisting of approximately 35,430 sq.ft. There have been no additions or expansions made to the existing building since its completion in 1982. The only available parking on Parcel A are the 10 spaces located in front of the building.

The proposed use of Parcel A by United Book Press, Inc. is to expand into case bound and hard cover book manufacturing, thereby enabling United to be a fully integrated book manufacturer of both soft cover and hard cover books. The expanded use within the existing building on Parcel A will require the purchase and installation of additional and different

equipment than what is in use on Parcel B, and the operation of such equipment to manufacture hard cover books is also labor intensive.

In support of the relief requested from Sections 233.3.8 & C, testimony revealed that Mr. Dilli has been in the book manufacturing business for 40 years. Testimony indicated that the day shift of a fully integrated book manufacturer of soft cover and paperback books cannot operate efficiently with 25 persons or less. The operation is labor intensive and requires employees at all the various pieces of equipment necessary to compile these books, including, but not limited to, the presses, the bindery equipment, the folding equipment, the sewing equipment, etc. The limitation of 25 persons or less creates an undue hardship for this Petitioner because its application at the subject location would render it impossible to conduct its business as a fully integrated book manufacturer. Likewise, a fully integrated book manufacturer for hard cover books cannot operate with 25 persons or less who are directly engaged in the manufacturing process during the day shift, which would employ the most persons. Enforcement of the 25 person limit would create an undue hardship to the Petitioner, and would, in fact, prevent United from using Parcel A as a fully integrated hard cover book manufacturing facility, even though it is a permitted use.

The Petitioners have also requested a parking variance from Section 409.6.A.2 & 3. As is the case with Parcel B, the rear yard of Parcel A cannot be reached by vehicle and is totally unusable due to the steep slope and topography. There are no additional areas on Parcel A that can be used for parking and there has been no expansion into areas that could have been used for parking. In fact, parking spaces that were historically available for use by the owners of Parcel A have been lost

Date July

due to the expiration of a lease, which expired March 31, 1996, after an original term of 15 years. A copy of this lease was introduced as Petitioner's Exhibit 3. This lease allowed the current owners of Parcel A to lease land that was contiguous with and adjacent to the eastern building line of the building on Parcel B for additional parking; however, the current owners of that land have refused to either lease or sell that portion of land to the owners of Parcel A.

Through the joint efforts and cooperation between United Book Press, Inc. and Baltimore County, there currently is available street parking on Whitehead Road and efforts are being undertaken, as of the date this Petition was filed, to expand that number of street spaces available There currently exists sufficient parking on both Parcels A and B to accommodate the employees and customers of United and the available 28 spaces on Whitehead Road are sufficient to accommodate any additional demand for parking by employees and customers. There will be no impact of any kind whatsoever on the residential community closest to Parcels A and B because there is a wooded buffer between that residential community and Whitehead Road, and there is no direct street access off of Whitehead Road into that residential community. Moreover, United has distributed and encouraged its employees to participate in Baltimore County's ride sharing program as evidenced by the introduction of Petitioner's Exhibit 6.

The testimony offered was uncontradicted and showed clearly that the conditions that exist and which justify the variance and modified plan are peculiar and unique to the land and existing buildings on Parcels A and B. Additionally, the proffered testimony showed that strict compliance with the B.C.Z.R. would unreasonably prevent the use of Parcels A and

ORDER RECEIVED FOR FILING
Date

B by United as a fully integrated book manufacturer, which is a permitted use under the existing zoning classifications, thereby resulting in practical difficulty to the Petitioner with regard to the parking requirements, and an undue hardship with regard to the maximum number of persons engaged in the manufacturing process during the peak, day shift. It is clear that the relief requested is the minimum relief necessary to grant substantial justice to the Petitioner as well as other property owners in the district, and is within the spirit and intent of the B.C.Z.R.

Based upon the testimony and evidence presented, it is apparent that the requested relief is necessary as a result of the size and shape of Parcels A and B as recorded and the nature of the existing and proposed use of Parcels A and B. The B.C.Z.R., specifically, Section 307.1 thereof, established a two-step process for the granting of variances. That two-step process was addressed and identified by the Court of Special Appeals in the case of Cromwell v. Ward, 102 Md. App. 691 (1995). The opinion in that case, issued January 4, 1995, and authored by the Honorable J. Cathell, interpreted our regulations to require the applicant to establish the following:

First, the applicant (Petitioner) must prove, and this Deputy Zoning Commissioner must find, that the "uniqueness and peculiarity of the subject property causes the zoning provision to impact disproportionately upon that property".

I find from the testimony and evidence presented in this case that the subject properties are unique, unusual and different from properties which surround them so as to cause the applicable zoning provisions to impact disproportionately upon both Parcels A and B.

Having satisfied this "first step", the applicant (Petitioner) must proceed to the "second step" of this variance process, which is to show that strict compliance with the B.C.Z.R. would result in a practical difficulty or unreasonable hardship.


The practical difficulty or unreasonable hardship guidelines that have been imposed by the B.C.Z.R. have been thoroughly examined and discussed by the appellate courts of this State. In <u>Loyola Federal Savings</u> & <u>Loan Association v. Buschman</u>, 227 Md. 243, 176 A.2d, 355 (1961), the Court of Appeals considered the identical regulation to Section 307.1 of the B.C.Z.R.

As the Court noted: "Section 307 of the Regulations uses the two terms (practical difficulty or unreasonable hardship) in the disjunctive."

Loyola Federal, at 358. Thus, by the use of the term "or", Section 307 offers the Petitioner an opportunity to obtain its variance upon satisfaction of either the undue hardship or practical difficulty standard.

The distinction between these standards was clarified by the Court of Special Appeals in Anderson v. Board of Appeals, Town of Chesapeake Beach, 22 Md. App. 28, 322 A.2d, 220 (1974). Within that opinion, the Court held that the undue hardship standard applies to a Petition for a use variance. The Court noted that a use variance, which permits a use on the property other than that specifically permitted in that particular district, requires the imposition of a higher standard. That is, to allow the change or demonstrate real hardship, where the land cannot allow a reasonable return if used only in accordance with the use restrictions of the ordinance.

Compared with this heavy burden, the Court reviewed the practical difficulty standard applicable for area variances. The Court characterized



ORDER RECEIVED FOR FILING
Date

area variances as having a much less drastic affect than use variances, in that they seek relief only from height, area, setback or side property line restrictions, and would not affect the property's use, per se. The Court envisioned the impact of area variances on the surrounding locale to be less than that generated by use variances, and thus, the lesser practical difficulty standard applies. The prongs of that standard which must be satisfied by the Petitioner, as enunciated in Anderson, supra, are as follows:

- 1) whether compliance with the strict letter of restrictions governing area setbacks, frontage, height, bulk or density would unreasonably prevent the owner from using the property for a permitted purpose or would render conformity with such restrictions unnecessarily burdensome;
- 2) whether a grant of the variance applied for would do substantial justice to the applicant as well as to other property owners in the district or whether a lesser relaxation than that applied for would give substantial relief to the owner of the property involved and be more consistent with justice to other property owners; and,
- 3) whether relief can be granted in such fashion that the spirit of the ordinance will be observed and public safety and welfare secured.

Anderson v. Bd. of Appeals, Town of Chesapeake Beach, 22 Md. App. 28 (1974). See, also, McLean v. Soley, 270 Md. 208 (1973) at 214-215.

I find from the testimony and evidence presented at the hearing before me that the Petitioner has, in fact, proven the practical difficulty standards as set forth above, and that the variances requested should be granted. I also find from the testimony and evidence presented before me that the Petitioner has in fact proven that the requirements set forth in Section 233.3.B of the B.C.Z.R. creates an undue hardship on the Petitioner and that the modified plan should be approved. I further find that the granting of these variances and the approval of the modified plan is in

In and any

strict harmony with the spirit and intent of the B.C.Z.R. and the granting of this relief is accomplished without injury to the public health, safety or general welfare.

Pursuant to the advertisement, posting of the properties and public hearing on this Petition held, and for the reasons set forth above, the relief requested should be granted.

THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED by the Zoning Commissioner for Baltimore County this // day of July, 1996 that the Petition for Variance seeking relief from Section 233.3.B and 233.3.C to permit 30 and 55 manufacturing employees per establishment in Parcel A and Parcel B, respectively, in lieu of the maximum 25 per establishment, and to approve a modified plan, and from Section 409.6.A.2 and 3 to permit 47 parking spaces for both parcels combined in lieu of the required 56, in accordance with Petitioner's Exhibit 1, be and is hereby GRANTED, subject to the following restriction:

1) The Petitioners may apply for their building permit and be granted same upon receipt of this Order; however, Petitioners are hereby made aware that proceeding at this time is at their own risk until such time as the 30-day appellate process from this Order has expired. If, for whatever reason, this Order is reversed, the relief granted herein shall be rescinded.

TIMOTHY M. KOTROCO

Deputy Zoning Commissioner

for Baltimore County

TMK:bjs

ORDER RECEIVED FOR FILENCE

<u>- 9-</u>

MICROFILMED

Baltimore County Government Zoning Commissioner Office of Planning and Zoning



Suite 112 Courthouse 400 Washington Avenue Towson, MD 21204

(410) 887-4386

July 18, 1996

Anthony P. Palaigos, Esquire
Blum, Yumkas, Mailman, Gutman & Denick
1200 Mercantile Bank & Trust Building
2 Hopkins Plaza
Baltimore, Maryland 21201-2914

RE: PETITION FOR VARIANCE
S/S Whitehead Road, 530' W of Woodlawn Drive
(1807 & 1815 Whitehead Road)
1st Election District - 2nd Councilmanic District
Leroy E. Wolk, et al - Owners; and
United Book Press, Inc., Contract Purchasers
Case No. 96-477-A

Dear Mr. Palaigos:

Enclosed please find a copy of the decision rendered in the above-captioned matter. The Petition for Variance has been granted in accordance with the attached Order.

In the event any party finds the decision rendered is unfavorable, any party may file an appeal to the County Board of Appeals within thirty (30) days of the date of this Order. For further information on filing an appeal, please contact the Zoning Administration and Development Management office at 887-3391.

Very truly yours,

TIMOTHY M. KOTROCO

Deputy Zoning Commissioner

wither Hotroco

for Baltimore County

TMK:bjs


cc: Mr. George Dilli, President, United Book Press, Inc. 1807 Whitehead Road, Baltimore, Md. 21207

Mr. William P. Monk

222 Bosley Avenue, Suite C-6, Towson, Md. 21204

Mr. Jamie Gilbert, Economic Development Commission People's Counsel; Case File

MICROFILMED





Petition for Variance

to the Zoning Commissioner of Baltimore County

for the property located at	1807 & 1815 WHITEHEAD ROAD
76 - 477 - A	which is presently zoned BM-IM

This Petition shall be filed with the Office of Zoning Administration & Development Management.

The undereigned, legal owner(s) of the property situate in Baltimore County and which is described in the description and plat attached hereto and made a part hereof, hereby petition for a Variance from Section(s).

SECTION 233.3 B & 233.3 C TO PERMIT 30 AND 55 MANUFACTURING EMPLOYEES PER ESTABLISHMENT IN PARCEL A AND PARCEL B RESPECTIVELY IN LIEU OF THE MAXIMUM OF 25 PER ESTABLISHMENT AND TO APPROVE A MODIFIED PLAN.

SECTION 409.6 A 2&3 TO PERMIT 47 PARKING SPACES FOR BOTH PARCELS COMBINED IN LIEU OF THE REQUIRED 56.

of the Zoning Regulations of Baltimore County, to the Zoning Law of Baltimore County; for the following reasons: (indicate hardship or practical difficulty)

THE LABOR INTENSIVE NATURE OF THE PRINTING OPERATINS REQUIRE ADDITIONAL SKILLED EMPLOYEES ALTHOUGH NO BUILDING EXPANSION IS PROPOSED.

THE EXISTING SITE IMPROVEMENTS (BUILDINGS AND PARKING AREAS) PROHIBITS ANY FURTHER EXPANSION OF THE PARKING AREAS.

Property is to be posted and advertised as prescribed by Zoning Regulations.

I, or we, agree to pay expenses of above Variance advertising, posting, etc., upon filing of this petition, and further agree to and are to be bound by the zoning regulations and restrictions of Baltimore County adopted pursuant to the Zoning Law for Baltimore County.

, we assume to graduotion and t	contouche of palitificité ob	min's scrobled brushaut to the Sould Cam for D	animore County.
CONTRACT PURCHASER PARCEL B	L A	I/We do solemnly declare and affirm, under the penalties legal owner(s) of the property which is the subject of this F	of perjury, that I/we are the etition.
UNITED BOOK PRESS, INC.		Legal Owner(e). OF PARCEL A LEROY E. WOLK & DANIEL KATZ PARTNERS OF LSD PARTNERSHIP	Z, SURVIVING
Signature # 5000	Jugat - OWNER	Lege look	LETROY WOLK PARTINES NODELL CANDLED CIDNEY
1807 WHITEHEAD ROAD	PREGOENT	LEVIN TRUSTEES UNDER WILLS OF DORO	THEA F. WOLK & SEYMOUR
BALTIMORE MARYLAND State Attorney for Petitioner.	21207 Zipcode	Signature	
ANTHONY P. PALAIGOS (Type or Print Name)		2 HOPKINS PLAZA 53 Address SUITE 900, BALTIMORE MD	9-3700 Phone No 21201
Signature 205 400	7	City State Name, Address and phone number of representative to be	Zipcode contacted.
2 HOPKINS PLAZA, 385-402 Address Pho BALTIMORE MARYLAND Gity State	/ ne No. 21201 Zipcode	STANFORD G. GANN, ESQUIRE Name 2 HOPKINS PLAZA, SUITE 900 Addiosa TIMORE, MD 21201	539-3700 Phone No.
	Pares Vamine	OFFICE USE ONLY ESTIMATED LENGTH OF HEARING unavailable for Hearing	
Printed with Soybean Ink on Recycled Paper	. .	ALLOTHER	Next Two Months
MICRO	FILMED	DA(E	

WOLK

ZONING DESCRIPTION UNITED BOOK PRESS 1807 - 1815 WHITEHEAD ROAD 1ST ELECTION DISTRICT BALTIMORE COUNTY, MARYLAND

96-477-A

BEGINNING FOR THE SAME ON THE SOUTHERNMOST RIGHT OF WAY LINE OF WHTEHEAD ROAD (70 FEET WIDE), SAID POINT BEING 530 FEET +/- WEST OF THE INTERSECTION OF WHITEHEAD ROAD AND WOODLAWN DRIVE. THENCE DEPARTING SAID POINT SO FIXED AND BINDING ON SAID. SOUTHERLY RIGHT OF WAY LINE AND RUNNING (1) WESTERLY BY A LINE CURVING TO THE LEFT WITH A RADIUS OF 1200.00 FEET FOR A DISTANCE OF 158.55 FEET. (2) SOUTH 60 DEGREES 34 MINUTES 02 SECONDS WEST 252.96 FEET. (3) SOUTHWESTERLY BY A LINE CURVING TO THE LEFT WITH A RADIUS OF 630.00 FEET, FOR A DISTANCE OF 47.04 FEET; LEAVING SAID RIGHT OF WAY LINE AND RUNNING (4) SOUTH 33 DEGREES 42 MINUTES 40 SECONDS EAST 339.87 FEET AND (5) NORTH 60 DEGREES 34 MINUTES 02 SECONDS EAST 211.40 FEET. (6) NORTH 67 DEGREES 34 MINUTES 49 SECONDS EAST 265.63 FEET. (7) NORTH 25 DEGREES 29 MINUTES 34 SECONDS WEST 55.00 FEET. (8) SOUTH 76 DEGREES 45 MINUTES 37 SECONDS WEST 130.12 FEET. (9) NORTH 13 DEGREES 14 MINUTES 23 SECONDS WEST 282,72 FEET TO THE PLACE OF BEGINNING.

CONTAINING 3.333 ACRES OF LAND MORE OR LESS.



CERTIFICATE OF POSTING

Medical Kate-120 by 2/2 1/2 1/2 1/2 1/2 1/2 1/2 1/2 1/2 1/2	Number of Signs:	Posted by		Location of Sin-	Location of proper	Petitioner:	District /5/	
Date of Posting 6/1/9 (Mile hood Red, 5/1 (Mile h		MANGE III	moon the		2/8/2 (08/ - 4	Mensons 1		
1129 Jan 1971/2 - Marie 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18			et on proporty	15 to 3 pool 21.73	1/2-150 to trucky	Det	Towns, Maryland	
	1989h		being zond		Much & Book Pross	to of Posting 6/21/9/	4-444-96	

Case: #96-477-A S/S Whitefread Road, 530' +/-W of Woodlawn Drive and 1815 Whitehead

1st Bection District
2nd Councilmenic
Legal Owner(s);
Parcel A - Lerry E. Wolk and
Daniel Katz, Surwing Partners
of LSD Partnership, Parcel B -

United Book Press, Inc.
Contract Purchaser: Parcel ALinited Book Press
Variance: to permit 30 and
So manufacturing employees
per establishment in Parcel A
and Parcel B respectively in
lieu of the meximum of 25 per
establishment and to approve
a modified plant; to permit 47
parking spaces for both parcel
combined in lieu of the required 56.
Hearing: Tuesday, July 9,
1956 at 2000 p.m. in Rm. 118, Old Courthouse

LAWRENCE E SCHMIDT Zoning Commissioner for Baltimore County

NOTES: (1) Hearings are Handizapped Accessible; for special accommodations Pease Call 887-358.

(2) For information concening the File and/or Hearing, Please Call 887-3391.

67196.June 20 061198

CERTIFICATE OF PUBLICATION

TOWSON, MD.,	
6/2099	

weeks, the first publication appearing on _ published in THE JEFFERSONIAN, a weekly newspaper published in Towson, Baltimore County, Md., once in each of __! THIS IS TO CERTIFY, that the annexed advertisement was successive _, 19 %.

THE JEFFERSONIAN,

LEGAL AD. - TOWSON

OFFICE OF FIN/ MISCELLANEOUS	UNTY, MARYLAND REVENUE DIVISION CASH RECEIPT	No. "21101
DATE 7/96	ACCOUNT ROOT 61	TT HO
96-477-	A AMOUNT \$ 28	35.00
RECEIVED (IN)	TED BOK PRIESS.	
1807-	1815 WHITEHEAD RD.	MCROFIL
	- WARINACE, FILING	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
	03A91#0133MICHRC BA C011#31AM06-07-96	\$285,00
ANHILE - CASHIER BINK - AGENCA	VALIDATION OR SIGNATURE OF CASH YELLOW - CUSTOMER	

· ·

•



111 West Chesapeake Avenue Towson, MD 21204

(410) 887-3353

ZONING HEARING ADVERTISING AND POSTING REQUIREMENTS & PROCEDURES

Baltimore County Zoning Regulations require that notice be given to the general public/neighboring property owners relative to property which is the subject of an upcoming zoning hearing. For those petitions which require a public hearing, this notice is accomplished by posting a sign on the property and placement of a notice in at least one newspaper of general circulation in the County.

This office will ensure that the legal requirements for posting and advertising are satisfied. However, the petitioner is responsible for the costs associated with these requirements.

PAYMENT WILL BE MADE AS FOLLOWS:

- 1) Posting fees will be accessed and paid to this office at the time of filing.
- 2) Billing for legal advertising, due upon receipt, will come from and should be remitted directly to the newspaper.

NON-PAYMENT OF ADVERTISING FEES WILL STAY ISSUANCE OF ZONING ORDER.

ARNOLD JABLON, DIRECTOR

For newspaper advertising:

Item No.: 487

Petitioner: LINIVED ROOK FRES INC

Location: 1807-1815 WHITE WEAD ROAD

PLEASE FORWARD ADVERTISING BILL TO:

NAME: CHEORGE DICC

ADDRESS: 1807 WHITE WEAD ROAD

PHONE NUMBER: 944-4044


AJ:ggs

(Revised 04/09/93)

MICROFILMED

NOTES: (1) HEARINGS ARE HANDICAPPED ACCESSIBLE; FOR SPECIAL ACCOMMODATIONS PLEASE CALL 887-3353.

(2) FOR INFORMATION CONCERNING THE FILE AND/OR HEARING, PLEASE CALL 887-3391.



TO: PUTUXENT PUBLISHING COMPANY
June 20, 1996 Issue - Jeffersonian

Please foward billing to:

George Dilli 1807 Whitehead Road Baltimore, MD 21207 944-4044

NOTICE OF HEARING

The Zoning Commissioner of Baltimore County, by authority of the Zoning Act and Regulations of Baltimore
County, will hold a public hearing on the property identified herein in
Room 106 of the County Office Building, 111 W. Chesapeake Avenue in Towson, Maryland 21204

Room 118, Old Courthouse, 400 Washington Avenue, Towson, Maryland 21204 as follows:

CASE NUMBER: 96-477-A (Item 487)

1807 and 1815 Whitehead Road

S/S Whitehead Road, 530'+/- W of Woodlawn Drive

1st Election District - 2nd Councilmanic

Legal Owner(s): Parcel A - Leroy E. Wolk and Daniel Katz, Surviving Partners of LSD Partnership

Parcel B - United Book Press, Inc.


Contract Purchaser: Parcel A - United Book Press

Variance to permit 30 and 55 manufacturing employees per establishment in Parcel A and Parcel B respectively in lieu of the maximum of 25 per establishment and to approve a modified plan; to permit 47 parking spaces for both parcel combined in lieu of the required 56.

HEARING: TUESDAY, JULY 9, 1996 at 2:00 p.m. in Room 118, Old Courthouse.

LAWRENCE E. SCHMIDT ZONING COMMISSIONER FOR BALTIMORE COUNTY

- NOTES: (1) HEARINGS ARE HANDICAPPED ACCESSIBLE; FOR SPECIAL ACCOMMODATIONS PLEASE CALL 887-3353.
 - (2) FOR INFORMATION CONCERNING THE FILE AND/OR HEARING, PLEASE CALL 887-3391.





Baltimore County Department of Permits and Development Management

Development Processing County Office Building 111 West Chesapeake Avenue Towson, Maryland 21204

June 14, 1996

NOTICE OF HEARING

The Zoning Commissioner of Baltimore County, by authority of the Zoning Act and Regulations of Baltimore
County, will hold a public hearing on the property identified herein in
Room 106 of the County Office Building, 111 W. Chesapeake Avenue in Towson, Maryland 21204

Room 118, Old Courthouse, 400 Washington Avenue, Towson, Maryland 21204 as follows:

CASE NUMBER: 96-477-A (Item 487) 1807 and 1815 Whitehead Road

S/S Whitehead Road, 530'+/- W of Woodlawn Drive

1st Election District - 2nd Councilmanic

Legal Owner(s): Parcel A - Leroy E. Wolk and Daniel Katz, Surviving Partners of LSD Partnership

Parcel B - United Book Press, Inc.

Contract Purchaser: Parcel A - United Book Press

Variance to permit 30 and 55 manufacturing employees per establishment in Parcel A and Parcel B respectively in lieu of the maximum of 25 per establishment and to approve a modified plan; to permit 47 parking spaces for both parcel combined in lieu of the required 56.

HEARING: TUESDAY, JULY 9, 1996 at 2:00 p.m. in Room 118, Old Courthouse.

Arnold Jablon Director

cc: Leroy Wolk and Daniel Katz


Stanford G. Gann, Esq. United Book Press, Inc. Anthony P. Palaigos, Esq.


NOTES: (1) ZONING SIGN & POST MUST BE RETURNED TO RM. 104, 111 W. CHESAPEAKE AVENUE ON THE HEARING DATE.

(2) HEARINGS ARE HANDICAPPED ACCESSIBLE; FOR SPECIAL ACCOMMODATIONS PLEASE CALL 887-3353.

(3) FOR INFORMATION CONCERING THE FILE AND/OR HEARING, CONTACT THIS OFFICE AT 887-3391.

MICROFILMED





Development Processing County Office Building 111 West Chesapeake Avenue Towson, Maryland 21204

July 3, 1996

Anthony P. Palaigos, Esquire 2 Hopkins Plaza Baltimore, MD 21201

RE: Item No.: 487

Case No.: 96-477-A

Petitioner: Leroy E. Wolk

Dear Mr. Palaigos:

The Zoning Advisory Committee (ZAC), which consists of representatives from Baltimore County approval agencies, has reviewed the plans submitted with the above referenced petition, which was accepted for processing by Permits and Development Management (PDM), Zoning Review, on June 4, 1996.

Any comments submitted thus far from the members of ZAC that offer or request information on your petition are attached. These comments are not intended to indicate the appropriateness of the zoning action requested, but to assure that all parties (zoning commissioner, attorney, petitioner, etc.) are made aware of plans or problems with regard to the proposed improvements that may have a bearing on this case. Only those comments that are informative will be forwarded to you; those that are not informative will be placed in the permanent case file.

If you need further information or have any questions regarding these comments, please do not hesitate to contact the commenting agency or Roslyn Eubanks in the zoning office (887-3391).


Sincerely,

W. Carl Richards, Jr.

Zoning Supervisor

WCR/re
Attachment(s)





BALTIMORE COUNTY, MARYLAND

INTER-OFFICE CORRESPONDENCE

TO: Arnold Jablon,	Director, PDM	DATE:	June 20,	1996
FROM: Arnold F. "Pa	at" Keller, III, Director, OP			
SUBJECT: 1807 & 18	15 Whitehead Road			
INFORMATION:				
Item Number:	487			
Petitioner:	United Book Press, Inc.		·	
Property Size:				
Zoning:	BM-IM			
Requested Action:				
Hearing Date:				
CHIMADY OF DECOMBEN	ONTONO.			

SUMMARY OF RECOMMENDATIONS:

The subject property is located in an area designated "Employment Center" by the Baltimore County Growth Management Map adopted by Baltimore County Planning Board on June 15, 1995.

The <u>Baltimore County Master Plan 1989-2000</u> growth management policy suggests that in Employment Centers, we should "promote higher intensity new development and redevelopment of older areas instead of rezoning new areas."

The Office of Planning supports the petitioner's requested variances to allow 30 and 55 manufacturing employees per establishment in parcel A and parcel B, respectively, in lieu of the maximum of 25 employees per establishment.

The Office of Planning, however, suggests that the petitioner contact the individual listed below in order to discuss possible mitigation of the requested variances via ride share efforts and public transportation:

Patsy Brewer, Rideshare Coordinator Baltimore County Department of Public Works 401 Bosley Avenue Towson, Maryland 21204 Phone - (410) 887-3554 Fax - (410) 887-5784

Prepared by:

Division Chief:

PK/JL/lw ITEM487/PZONE/ZAC1 BALTIMORE COUNTY, MARYLAND

INTEROFFICE CORRESPONDENCE

TO:

Arnold Jablon, Director

Date: June 21, 1996

Department of Permits & Development

Management

FROM:

Robert W. Bowling, Chief

Development Plans Review Division

SUBJECT:

Zoning Advisory Committee Meeting

William Common

for June 24, 1996

Item No. 487

The Development Plans Review Division has reviewed the subject zoning item. The "angled" parking arrangement is not acceptable and should be modified.

RWB:jrb

cc: File

ZONE 14C



David L. Winstead Secretary Hal Kassoff Administrator

10-18-96

Ms. Joyce Watson Baltimore County Office of Permits and Development Management County Office Building, Room 109 Towson, Maryland 21204

RE:

Baltimore County Item No. 487 (JLL)

Dear Ms. Watson:

This office has reviewed the referenced plan and we have no objection to approval as the development does not access a State roadway and is not effected by any State Highway Administration projects.

Please contact Bob Small at 410-545-5581 if you have any questions. Thank you for the opportunity to review this plan.

Very truly yours,

Ronald Burns, Chief Engineering Access Permits

BS

MICROFILMED,

My telephone number is ___

BALTIMORE COUNTY, MARYLAND

DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AND RESOURCE MANAGEMENT

INTER-OFFICE CORRESPONDENCE

T0:

PDM / Roslyn Eubenks

FROM:

R. Bruce Seeley Permits and Development Review

comments for the following Zoning Advisory Committee Items:

DEPRM

SUBJECT:

Zoning Advisory Committee

Meeting Date:

The Department of Environmental Protection & Resource Management has no

Item #'s:

433

484

RBS:sp

BRUCE2/DEPRM/TXTSBP

Baltimore County Government Fire Department



700 East Joppa Road Towson, MD 21286-5500 Office of the Fire Marshal (410) 887-4880

DATE: 06/20/96

Arnold Jablon
Director
Zoning Administration and
Development Management
Baltimore County Office Building
Towson, MD 21204
MAIL STOP-1105

RE: Property Owner: PARCEL A: LERDY E. WOLK & DANIEL DATZ, SURVIVING PARTNERS OF LSD PARTNERSHIP; PARCEL B: UNITED BOOK PRESS, INC. Location: S/S WHITEHEAD RD.530'W OF WOODLAWN DR(1807 & 1815 WHITEHEAD RD)

Item No.: 487

Zoning Agenda: VARIANCE

Gentlemen:

Pursuant to your request, the referenced property has been surveyed by this Bureau and the comments below are applicable and required to be corrected or incorporated into the final plans for the property.

- 4. The site shall be made to comply with all applicable parts of the Fire Prevention Code prior to occupancy or beginning of operation.
- 5. The buildings and structures existing or proposed on the site shall comply with all applicable requirements of the National Fire Protection Association Standard No. 101 "Life Safety Code", 1991 edition prior to occupancy.


9 1 1996

REVIEWER: LT. ROBERT P. SAUERWALD

Fire Marshal Office, PHONE 887-4881, MS-1102F

cc: File

MOTOFILM



PETITION PROBLEMS

#483 --- RT

1. No telephone number for legal owner.

#485 --- MJK

1. Only one legal owner signature on back of petition form.

#487 --- JLL

1. Need authorization for person signing for legal owner.

The state of the s

RE: PETITION FOR VARIANCE

1807 and 1815 Whitehead Road, S/S Whitehead Road, 530'+/- W of Woodlawn Drive 1st Election District, 2nd Councilmanic

BEFORE THE

ZONING COMMISSIONER

OF BALTIMORE COUNTY

Legal Owner(s): Parcel A - Leroy E. Wolk

& Daniel Katz, Surviving Partners of LSD Partnership

CASE NO. 96-477-A

Parcel B - United Book Press, Inc.

Contract Purchaser: United Book Press, Inc.

(Parcel A)

Petitioners

ENTRY OF APPEARANCE

Please enter the appearance of the People's Counsel in the abovecaptioned matter. Notice should be sent of any hearing dates or other proceedings in this matter and of the passage of any preliminary or final Order.

PETER MAX ZIMMERMAN

People's Counsel for Baltimore County

CAROLE S. DEMILIO

Deputy People's Counsel Room 47, Courthouse 400 Washington Avenue Towson, MD 21204 (410) 887-2188

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on this day of June, 1996, a copy of the foregoing Entry of Appearance was mailed to Anthony P. Palaigos, Esquire, 2 Hopkins Plaza, Baltimore, MD 21201, and Stanford G. Gann, Esquire, 2 Hopkins Plaza, Suite 900, Baltimore, MD 21201, attorneys for Petitioners.

DeterMax Zinnoimen MICROFILMED

COURTHOUSE COMMONS 222 BOSLEY AVENUE SUITE C-6 TOWSON, MD 21204 410-494-8931 FAX 410-494-9903

WILLIAM MONK, INC.

SITE PLANNING/DESIGN • ZONING • DEVELOPMENT SERVICES

TO:

File

FROM:

Bill Monk

RE:

Variance Case

1807 - 1815 Whitehead Road

DATE:

June 7, 1996

96-477-A

At the time of the hearing the applicant will present documentation establishing Leroy Wolk as authorized to speak for the partnership/owner of 1815 Whitehead Road.

LAW OFFICES BLUM, YUMKAS, MAILMAN, GUTMAN & DENICK, P.A. 1200 MERCANTILE BANK & TRUST BUILDING 2 HOPKINS PLAZA BALTIMORE, MARYLAND 21201-2914 (410) 385-4000 FAX (410) 385-4070

FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

CLIENT NU	MBER 11659-25	_ # PAGES	NCLUDING COVER SHEET
TRANSMIT TO: TELE	COPY NUMBER: 88	7-3468	man and spikkers of a stable of the same angle for summer stable to the subject of a stable of a stable of a stable of the same and stabl
NAME:	Timothy	M. Kotroco	
			Towson, MD.
	TELEPHONE:	887	-3468
FROM: NAME:ANT	HONY P. PALAIGOS, ESC	OUIRE	
DIRECT DIAL TELEPHONE:			
MESSAGE bear Mr.	Kotroco: Enclosed	please f	ind a draft of your
. Popost∂ Order in cas	e number 3-477-A.	Once you	have reviewed same,
please call me so the	at I can put same in	n final fo	rm,
المستخدة المستخدم ووووه المنظمين المستخدم المستخدم المنطقة المنطقة المنطقة المنطقة المنطقة المنطقة المنطقة الم	Annual Conference Conf		The second secon

THE INFORS ON IN THIS FACSIMILE TRANSM SINTENDED ONLY FOR THE USE OF THE INDIVIDUAL OR ENTITY
TO WHICH II IS ADDRESSED, AND MAY CONTA
FROM DISCLOSURF UNDER APPLICABLE LAW.
OR THE EMPLOYEE OR AGENT RESPONSIBLE FOR DELIVERING THE MESSAGE IS NOT THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, YOU ARE
HEREBY NOTIFIED THAT ANY DISSEMINATION, DISTRIBUTION OR COPYING OF THIS COMMUNICATION IS STRICTLY
PROHIBITED IF YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS CON JUNICATION IN ERROR, PLEASE NOTIFY US IMMEDIATELY BY
TELEPHONE (410)385-4014 AND RETURN THE ORICINAL MYSSAGE TO US AT THE ABOVE ADDRESS VIA THE U.S. POSTAL.
SERVICE. THANK YOU.

LAW OFFICES

BLUM, YUMKAS, MAILMAN, GUTMAN & DENICK, P.A.

1200 MERCANTILE BANK & TRUST BUILDING

Z HOPKINS PLAZA

BALTIMORE, MARYLAND 21201-2914

(410) 385-4000 FAX (410) 385-4070

WRITER'S DIRECT DIAL

ANTHONY P PALAIGOS

(410) 385-4027

July 10, 1996

VIA FACSIMILE NO. 887-3468

Timothy M. Kotroco
Deputy Zoning Commissioner for
Baltimore County
Office of Zoning Administration and
Development Management
111 West Chesapeake Avenue
Towson, Maryland 21204

Re: Case No. 96-477-A (Item 487) Subject: Proposed Order

Our File No. 11659(25)

Dear Mr. Kotroco:

Enclosed please find a draft of your Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law in regards to the above captioned proceeding.

Unfortunately, I did not write down what numbers you have to the various exhibits introduced at the public hearing on Tuesday, July 9, 1996, and as a result I left those exhibit numbers blank in your proposed Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law.

If after you have reviewed the proposed Order, you give me the exhibit numbers, I will insert them into the final hard copy of this proposed Order and have delivered directly to you for your signature.

I look forward to hearing from you and preparing a final Order for your approval and signature.

Very truly yours,

Anthony P. Palaigos

APP/hp Enclosure IN RE: PETITION FOR VARIANCE
AND APPROVAL OF MODIFIED PLAN
1807 Whitehead Road and
1815 Whitehead Road
S-S Whitehead Road, ± 530'
W of Woodlawn Drive
1st Election District
2nd Councilmanic District

UNITED BOOK PRESS, INC.
Legal Owner of 1807 Whitehead *
Road and Contract Purchaser of
1815 Whitehead Road and *
LEKOY E. WOLK and
DANIEL KATZ, Surviving Partners *
of LSD Partnership and
LEROY E. WOLK, STANFORD G. GANN, *
ANDREW SANDLER, and SIDNEY LEVIN,
TRUSTEES under Wills of Dorothea *
F. Wolk and Seymour Wolf, Legal
Owners of The Whitehead Road *

BEFORE THE DEPUTY

ZONING COMMISSIONER

FOR

BALTIMORE COUNTY

Case No. 96-477-A (Item 487)

FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

This matter comes before the Deputy Zoning Commissioner as a Petition for a Zoning Variance and approval of a Modified Plan for the contiguous properties known as 1807 Whitehead Road Galantified as Parcel B) and 1815 Whitehead Road (identified as Parcel A) (hereinafter collectively referred to as the Properties) in the Woodlawn area of Baltimore County. The variance relief for the Properties is requested from Sections 409 6A 2 & 3 and Sections 233.3 B & C of the Baltimore County Zoning Regulations (BCZR) to permit a combined forty-seven (47) parking spaces for both Properties combined in lieu of the required fifty-six (56) parking spaces, and to permit fifty-five (55) manufacturing employees during the shift that employs the most persons for Parcel B in lieu of the twenty-five (25) person limit and thirty (30) manufacturing employees during the shift that employs the most persons for Parcel A in lieu of the twentyfive (25) person limit, respectively. The Petition also requests approval of a Modified Plan for the allowance of the aforementioned number of manufacturing employees in each Parcel in lieu of the twenty-five (25) person maximum allowed under the BCZ

All of the relief requested is more particularly shown on the Plan that was attached to the Petition filed in this case, a color coded version having been introduced as Petitioner's Exhibit No. /. Appearing at the public hearing for this case were the following persons: William Monk, the Land Planner who prepared the Plan that was filed with the Petition, as well as the cool coded Plan previously identified hereinabove as



Petition Exhibit No. 1, the Existing Parking Conditions Plan introduced Petitioner's Exhibit No. 2, and the various photogray: uroduced as Petitioner's Exhibit No. 4, the through 7 seorge Dilli, President and principal shareholder of United Book Tress, Inc.; and Leroy E. Wolk, authorized representative and spokesperson for all the record owners of Parcel A. Also in attendance were Jamie Gilbert of the Baltimore County Department of Economic Development and Howard L. Alderman, Jr. Esquire.

As noted previously, this request comes before the Deputy Zoning Commissioner for variances and approval of a Modified Plan to permit a content ined forty-seven (47) parking spaces for Parcels A and B, and approval thirty (30) manufacturing employees for Parcel A and fifty-five (55) manufacturing employees for Parcel B during the shifts employing the most persons directly in manufacturing. The combined gross lot area of Parcels A and B is 3.647 acres. The zon

In support of the testimony were proffs behalf of George Dill proffered testimony a

1. That Ur corporation which in leasing 30,000 square Ultimately, United's square feet of Parcel

Kodak ds digital science

evidence and quire, on lonk. The

is a Maryland tenant mufacturer. maining 20,000 lited is a

permitted use. United is a fully integrated book manufacturer of soft cover and paperback books. As a fully integrated book manufacturer of soft cover and paperback books, United does offset printing, binding, sewing, folding, packaging and shipping of its book products to its customers. United purchased Parcel B pursuant to a Deed dated December 8, 1994, a copy of which was introduced as Petitioner's Exhibit No. . Who evidence.

- facility with combined office and manufacturing space with a building height of 24', having approximately 51,302 square feet. Available on Parcel B is thirty-seven (37) parking spaces. There is a rear yard directly behind the building, but it cannot be reached by vehicle and cannot be used for any purposes because of its steep slope and topography. There is no other available space on Parcel B where additional parking spaces can be provided.
- building on Parcel B and United has not enlarged the building into areas on Parcel B that could have been used for parking. The exterior dimensions of the building on Parcel B have remained same since United has been occupying and using the land and aldings on Parcel B, both as a tenant originally, and the equently as an owner.

both soft cover and hard cover books. The expanded use within the existing building on Parcel A will require the purchase and installation of additional and different equipment than what United already has and uses in Parcel B, and the operation of such equipment to manufacture hard cover books is also labor intensive. A fully integrated book manufacturer for hard cover books cannot operate with twenty-five persons or less, directly engaged in the manufacturing process during the shift that would employ the most persons. Enforcement of the twenty-five person limit would create an undue hardship to United and would, in fact, prohibit United from using Parcel A as such, even though it is a permitted use.

- United and bullimore County, there currently is available street parking on Whitehead Road and efforts are being undertaken, as of the date of this Petition, to even expand that number of street spaces available for parking.
- 9. There currently is sufficient parking on the sites of Parcels A and B to accommodate the employees and customers of United and the available twenty-eight spaces on Whitehead Road is sufficient to accommodate any additional demand for parking by such employees and customers.
- 10. There will be no impact of any kind whatsoever on the residential community closest to Parcels A and B because there is a wooded buffer between that residential community and Whitehead Road and there is no direct street access off of Whitehead Road into that residential community.
- 11. United has distributed and encouraged its employees to participate in Baltimore County's ride sharing program as evidenced by the introduction of Petitioner's Exhibit No. 6.

The testimony offered was uncontradicted and showed clearly that the conditions that exist and which justify the variance and the relief requested are peculiar and unique to the land and existing buildings on Parcels A and B. Additionally, the proffered testimony showed that strict compliance with the BCZR would unreasonably prevent use of Parcels A and B by United as a fully integrated book manufacturer, which is a permitted use ler the existing zoning classifications, thereby resulting in practical difficulty to the Petitioner with regards to the

king requirements, and an undue hardship with regards to the limum number of persons engaged in manufacturing during the peak shift. It is clear that the relief requested is the minimum relief necessary to grant substantial justice to the Petitioner as well as other property owners in the district, and is within the spirit and intent of the BCZR.

hased upon the testimony and evidence presented, it is apparent that the requested relief is necessary as a result of the size and shape of Parcels A and B as recorded and the nature

of the existing use of Petitioner as to Parcel B and the Petitioner's proposed use of Parcel A. The BCZR, specifically Section 307.1, establish a two-step process for the granting of variances. That two-step process was addressed and identified by the Court of Special Appeals in the case of <u>Cromwell v. Ward</u>, 102 Md. App. 691 (1995). The opinion in that case, issued January 4, 1995, and authored by the Honorable J. Cathell, interpreted our regulations to require the applicant to establish the following:

First, the applicant (Petitioner) must prove, and this Deputy Zoning Commissioner must find, that the "uniqueness and peculiarity of the subject property causes the zoning provision to impact disproportionately upon that property".

I find from the testimony and evidence presented in this case that the subject properties, Parcels A and B, are unique, unusual and different from properties which surround them so as to cause the applicable zoning provisions to impact disproportionately upon each of Parcels A and B.

Having satisfied this "first step", the applicant (Petitioner) must proceed to the "second step" of this variance process, which is to show that strict compliance with the zoning regulations for Baltimore County would result in practical difficulty or unreasonable hardship.

that have been imposed by the Baltimore County Zoning Regulations have been thoroughly examined and discussed by the appellate courts of this State. In Loyola Federal Savings & Loan Association v. Buschman, 227 Md. 243, 176 A.2d. 355 (1961), the Court of Appeals considered the identical regulation to Section 307.1 of the BCZR.

As the Court noted: "Section 307 of the Regulations uses the two terms (practical difficulty or unreasonable hardship) in the disjunctive." Loyola Federal @358. Thus, by the use of the term "or", Section 307 offers the Petitioner an opportunity to obtain its variance upon satisfaction of either the undue hardship or practical difficulty standard.

The distinction between these standards was clarified by the Court of Special Appeals in Anderson v. Board of Appeals, Town of Chesapeake Beach, 22 Md. App. 28, 322A.2d. 220 (1974). Within that inion, the Court held that the undue hardship standard applies to a position for a use variance. The Court noted that a use variance, which permits a use on the property other than that specifically permitted in that particular district, requires the imposition of a higher standard. That is, to allow the change of use for a particular property requires the Petitioner to demonstrate real hardship, where the land cannot allow a reasonable return if used only in accordance with the use restrictions of the ordinance.

Compared with this heavy burden, the Court reviewed the practical difficulty standard applicable for area variances. The court characterized area variances as having a much less drastic affect than use variances, in that they seek relief only from height, area, setback, or side property line restrictions, and would not affect the properties use, per se. The Court envisioned the impact of area variances on the surrounding locale to be less than that generated by use variances, and, thus, the lesser practical difficulty standard applies. The prongs of that standard which must be satisfied by the Petitioner, as enunciated in Anderson, Supra, are as follows:


- (i) whether compliance with the strict letter of restrictions governing area, setbacks, frontage, height, bulk or density would unreasonably prevent the owner from using the property for a permitted purpose or would render conformity with such restrictions unnecessarily burdensome;
- (ii) whether a grant of the variance applied for would do substantial justice to the applicant as well as to other property owners in the district or whether a lesser relaxation than that applied for would give substantial relief to the owner of the property involved and be more consistent with justice to other property owners; and
- (ili) whether relief can be granted in such fashion that the spirit of the ordinance will be observed and public safety and welfare secured.

 Anderson, p. 39. See, also, McLean v. Soley, 270 Md. 208 (1973) @ 214-215.

I find from the testimony and evidence presented at the hearing before me that the Petitioner has, in fact, proven the practical difficulty standards as set forth above and that the variances requested should be granted. I also find from the testimony and evidence presented before me that the Petitioner has in fact proven that the requirements set forth in Section 233.3B of BCZR creates an undue hardship on the Petitioner and that the Modified Plan of the Petitioner should be granted. I further find that the granting of these variances and the approval of the Modified Plan is in strict harmony with the spirit and intent of the BCZR and the granting of this relief is accomplished without injury to the public health, safety or general welfare.

Therefore, pursuant to the standards and requirements

contal publimore : accom Varia-IS OR Count Section



rtising of the Properties and riance relief requested, as of the Petitioners that approved, the Petition for lan approved. THEREFORE, IT missioner for Baltimore that a variance from permit a combined forty-

seven (47) parking spaces for the combined properties of 1807 and 1815 Whitehead Road in lieu of the required fifty-six (56) parking spaces, and from Sections 233.3 B & C of the BCZR to permit fifty-five (55) persons employed directly in manufacturing during the peak shift at 1807 Whitehead Road (Parcel B) in lieu of the maximum allowed of twenty-five (25) and thirty (30) persons employed directly in manufacturing during the peak shift at 1815 Whitehead Road (Parcel A) in lieu of the maximum allowed of twenty-five (25) are hereby GRANTED, and (ii) the Petitioner's Modified Plan for the approval of that set forth in subparagraph (1) above, pursuant to Section 233.3C of BCZR is hereby GRANTED, subject, however, to the following restrictions which are conditions precedent to the relief granted herein:

1. The Petitioner is hereby made aware that proceeding at this time is at its own risk until such time as the thirty day Appellate process from this Order has expired. If, for whatever reasons, this Order is reversed, the Petitioner would be required to return, and be responsible for returning, said Properties to its original condition.

TIMOTHY M. KOTROCO, Deputy Zoning Commissioner for ballimore County

G:11659025, FOF HRP:071096 REAL ESTATE TITLE CO. Koyaar Building Ballimore, Md. LE stagton 0.3212

ten himple been



This Deed, Made this

2474

day of October

In the year one thousand nine hundred and sixty-three by Garden Construction Corporation, a Maryland Corporation, party of the first part, and Wolk Printing Company, a Compartnership, party of the second part.

PAID - Beltimore County, Md. - Office of Finance









0 8 6 0 41814 APD-- 467.86 0 8 6 0 41814 APD-- 467.86

WITNESETH that in consideration of the sum of five dollars, and other good and valuable considerations, the receipt of which is hereby acknowledged, the said party of the first part herein

does grant and convey unto the said party of the second part, its successors and assigns, in fee simple

beirsonidanishmishistersilaiplit, all that lot or parcel of ground

Baltimore County, Maryland _ _ _ _ _ _ and _ _ _ and described as follows, that is to say

Beginning for the same at a point on the south side of Whitehead Road 70 feet wide as shown on the Plat of Meadows Industrial Park as filed among the Land Records of Baltimore County in Plat Book No. 27 folio 21, said point being distant 502.75 feet measured westerly flong the south side of said Whitehead Road from the end of the curve from Clarke Avenue as shown on said Plat; and running from said beginning and binding on the south side of said Whitehead Road westerly by a line curving to the south with a radius of 1200.000 feet for a distance of 158.55 feet (the chord of said are being South 64 degrees, 21 minutes, 07 seconds West 158.414 feet) to the point of tangent as shown on said Plat the coordinates of said point being North 7267.42 and West 35,169.49 thehea leaving the South side of said road and running south 18 degrees, 55 minutes, 22 seconds East 249.90 feet North 76 degrees, 15 minutes, 37 seconds East 130.00 feet containing 0.8795 hores of land more or less.

Being part of the property described in deed dated December 22, 1954 from Charles A. Knott, et al, to Carden Construction Obroporation recorded among the Land Records of Baltimore County in Liber C L B 2617 follo 293 in fee Simple.















TOGETHER, with the buildings and improvements thereon erected, made or being; and all and every, the rights, alleys, ways, waters, privileges, appurtenances and advantages, to the same belonging, or in any wise appertaining.

above described and mentioned, and hereby intended to be conveyed; together with the rights, privileges, appurtuantees and advantages thereto belonging or appertaining unto and to the proper use and benefit of the said party of the second part, its successors and assigns, in fee simple.

AND the said party of the first part herein

hereby ebvenants that has not done or suffered to be done any act, matter or thing whatsoever, to encumber the property hereby conveyed; that has will warrant specially the property hereby granted, and that box/ will execute such further assurances of the same as may be requisite.

WITNESS the handxxxxx seal of said granter and the signature of its. the day and year first above writteh. Carden Construction Corporation Test: CHARLES A. KNOTT PRESIDE [SEAL] [SEAL] STATE OF MARYLAND. City of Baltimore to wit: I HEREBY CERTIFY, that on this day of October in the year one thousand nine hundred and sixty three before me, the subscriber. a Nobary Public of the State of Maryland, in and for Baltimore aforesaid, personally appeared CHARLES A. KNOTT PRESIDENT of Garden Construction Corporation, a body corporate, grantor herein Hild acknowledged the foregoldy Deed to be its corporate Witness thy hand and notarial seal the day and rear last above written MARY HOLLAND

Rec'd for record NOV 7 1963

Mail to REAL ESTATE TITLE CO. INC

Por Robert R. Cill. Clerk

RETURN TO: 4-119080-05 hicago Title Insurance Company 10 St. Paul Street Baltimore, MD 21202

DEED

THIS DEED is made as of the Eighth day of December, 1994, from A&E PARTNERS, L.P., a Delaware limited partnership, Grantor to UNITED BOOK PRESS, INC., a Maryland corporation, Grantec.

THE GRANTOR, for a consideration of One Million, Two Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$1,200,000.00) grants, conveys and assigns to the Grantee its successors and assigns, in fee simple, the real property located in Baltimore County, Maryland, and described in Exhibit A attached hereto.

THE improvements thereon are known as No. 1807 Whitehead Road.

BEING a part of all that real property which by Deed dated September 30, 1994, and recorded among the Land Records of Baltimore County, Maryland, in Liber SM No. 10780, folio 523, was granted and conveyed from Meadows Park Limited Partnership, a Maryland limited partnership, to the Grantor.

TOGETHER WITH all improvements thereupon, and the rights, alleys, ways, waters, easements, privileges, appurtenances and advantages belonging or appertaining thereto.

TO HAVE AND TO HOLD the property hereby conveyed unto the Grantee, its successors and assigns, in fee simple, forever.

THE GRANTOR covenants to warrant specially the property, and to execute such further assurances of the property as may be requisite.

IN TESTIMONY WHEREOF, Grantor has caused this Deed to be executed and delivered by its general partners, being authorized so to do, as the act and deed of the partnership.

WITNESS:

RECEIVED FOR TRANSFER State Department of Assessments & Taxation for Bultimore County

GRANTOR:

A & E PARTNERS, L.P., a Delaware limited partnership, by its general partners,

EMMES MEADOWS CORP.. a Delaware corporation

general partner

ACRICULTURAL TRANSFER TAX

NOT APPLICABLE

SIGNATURE M.

03AQ3#0024TLTRTX

Andrew Davidoff, Vice President

\$19,200.00

(SEAL)

BA CO02:27PM12/14/94

MCROTINE

H0808.oc8

and

APOLLO MEADOWS CORP., a Delaware corporation general partner,

(SEAL)

2

1 - K AM,

Acknowledgements

STATE OF NEW YORK , COUNTY OF NEW YORK , to wit:

17. 18. Och

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on this 7th day of December, 1994, before me, the subscriber, a Notary Public of the State of New York personally appeared ANDREW DAVIDOFF, known to be or satisfactorily proven to be the person whose name is subscribed to the foregoing instrument, who acknowledged that he is the duly authorized corporate officer of EMMES MEADOWS CORP., a Delaware corporation, general partner of A & E PARTNERS, L.P., a limited partnership organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, that he has been duly authorized to execute, and has executed, such instrument on behalf of said partnership for the purposes therein set forth, and that the same is its act and deed.

AS WITNESS my hand and Notarial Seal.

Notary Public

My Commission Expires:

KATHLEEN A. McCARTHY NOTARY PUBLIC, Stras of New York No. 41-4848144 Custified in Queens County Commission Expires April 30, 19_75

1 18 mg

STATE OF NEW YORK, COUNTYOF NEW YORK, to wit:

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on this 7th day of December, 1994, before me, the subscriber, a Notary Public of the State of New Yor personally appeared RICHARD MACK, known to be or satisfactorily proven to be the person whose name is subscribed to the foregoing instrument, who acknowledged that he is the duly authorized corporate officer of APOLLO MEADOWS CORP., a Delaware corporation, general partner of A & E PARTNERS, L.P., a limited partnership organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, that he has been duly authorized to execute, and has executed, such instrument on behalf of said partnership for the purposes therein set forth, and that the same is its act and deed.

AS WITNESS my hand and Notarial Seal.

Notary Public

My Commission Expires:

KATHLEEN A. MCCARTHY NOTARY PUBLIC, State of New York No. 41-4848144 Southlied in Queens County Commission Expires April 30, 19

Attorney Certification

THE UNDERSIGNED, an attorney duly admitted to practice before the Court of Appeals of Maryland and in good standing thereunder, certifies that the foregoing instrument was prepared by him.

Lawrence F. Haislip

Exhibit A

BEGINNING for the same on the southernmost side of Whitehead Road (70 feet wide) as shown on the Revised Plat of Meadows Industrial Park, as filed among the Land Records of Baltimore County in Plat Book No. 45, folio 137, the coordinates of said point being north 7267.42 and west 35,169.49, said point being also at the end of the 14th or southwesterly by a line curving to the south with a radius of 1200.00 feet, for a distance of 616.44 foot line of the 13th parcel of land referred to in the mortgage dated November 21, 1963 and recorded among the Land Records of Baltimore County in Liber R.R.G. No. 4233, folio 491, etc. from Garden Construction Corporation to The American National Building and Loan Association of Baltimore City, and running thence from said beginning and binding on the southernmost side of said Whitehead Road, as shown on said Plat, the two following courses and distances:

- (1) South 60°34'02" West 252.96 feet and Southwesterly by a line curving to the south with a radius of 630.00 feet, for a distance of 47.04 feet (the chord of said are being South 58°25'41" West 47.03 feet), thence leaving the southernmost side of said Whitehead Road and running for lines of division the two following courses and distances:
 - (2) South 33°42'40" East 339,87 feet and
- (3) North 60°34'02" East 211.40 feet to a point in line with the projection southeasterly of the 15th or South 18°55'22" Bast 249.90 foot line of the 15th parcel of said mortgage; thence binding on said line so projected and on said line reversely
- (4) North 18°55'22" West 346.50 feet to the place of beginning. Containing 1.9994 acres of land, more or less.

BEING part of Parcel F, the "Winner" lot as shown on the Revised Plat of Meadows Industrial Park, filed as aforesaid.

Also being the fifth parcel described in a deed dated January 31, 1974 between Knott Industries, Inc. and The Equitable Life Assurance Society of the United States and recorded among said Land Records in Liber 5423, Page 910,

ORIGINALLIBER 6 4 5 8 FASE 4 5 6

CONFIRMATORY DEED

THIS CONFIRMATORY DEED, made as of October 25, 1982, between MEADOWS WAREHOUSE CORP., a corporation of the State of Maryland, hereinafter called "Meadows", of the first part, and LEROY E. WOLK, Trustee, t/a WOLK PRINTING CO., hereinafter called "Wolk", of the second part.

Whereas, the deed from Meadows to Wolk, dated April 24,

1981 and recorded among the Land Records of Baltimore County
in Liber No. 6294, folio 249 ("Deed") contains certain errors

B RC/F 39.00
in Paragraph 2 thereof; and

EW JR I 39.00
HIGOTO COO4 ROI ILE:5:

Whereas, Meadows and Wolk desire to correct these errors by this Confirmatory Deed;

11/24/82

NOW, THEREFORE, for valuable consideration, the parties hereby agree that the Deed is hereby amended to read as follows:

"WITNESSETH, that in consideration of the sum of Twenty-Five Thousand Dollars (\$25,000.00), Meadows does grant and convey unto Wolk, its successors and assigns, in fee simple, all those parcels of ground, situate, lying and being in Baltimore County, State of Maryland, aforesaid, and described as follows, that is to say:

The property (hereinafter called "the Property") is situated in the First Election District of Baltimore County, Maryland, shown and designated as "Area to be Acquired 0.455 Acres t (By Wolk)" on that plat (the "Plat") entitled "Resubdivision of Part of Parcel "F" Meadows Industrial Park," dated December 9, 1980, and recorded in the aforesaid Land Records at Plat Book EHK, Jr. No. 47, folio 59, consisting of 0.455 acres, more or less, being part of that property conveyed to Meadows by Deed from Hochschild Kohn & Co., Incorporated, dated January 27, 1967, and recorded among the aforesaid Land Records in Liber O.T.G. No. 4722, folio 25.

Together with the buildings and improvements thereupon erected, and all the rights, alleys, ways, water, privileges, appurentances and advantages, to the same belonging, or anywise appertaining.

WHILLING THE LEWISSER LY DRIE 11:3493 STRIE DESTRUCTORY OF LY MILL ON JOHNE

TRANSFER TAX NOT REQUIRED
Director of Finance
BALTIMORE COUNTY, MARYLAND
Per Authorized Signature
Date 1/12/182 Sec. 11-85 - 7

This conveyance is made subject to the following restrictions and conditions:

PRINCEPH TERMENTALING DESCRIPTION OF THE ACT OF THE ACT

1. Meadows, as owner of land adjacent to the Property, and its successors and assigns, shall have the right to install, repair, maintain, alter, connect and operate storm drains into, upon, over, across and under a strip of land ten feet (10°) in width and one hundred thirty feet, twelve inches (130'12") in length, more or less, along the rear lot lines of Parcel B, and identified on Exhibit A, attached hereto and incorporated herein, as "10' Storm Drainage Easement." The right of Meadows contained in this Paragraph 1 shall attach to and run with the Property.

2. The parties have agreed to divide the Property into two (2) separate lots, Parcel "A" ("Parcel A") and Parcel "B" ("Parcel B") as more particularly described on the Plat. Neither Wolk nor its successors or assigns shall erect any improvements, buildings or other structures of any type on Parcel B of the Property, except that a) Wolk has the right to erect an improvement on Parcel B, on the thirty feet by forty feet (30' \times 40') area marked with crosshatches on Exhibit A, the western 30' boundary being a part of the boundary line between Parcels A and B; and b) Meadows has the right to construct a storm drainage upon, over, across and under Parcel B, the location of said storm drainage to be selected by Meadows and to be at least forty-five feet (45') east of the common boundary line between Parcel A and Parcel B. One end of said storm drainage shall be located in the ten foot (10') Storm Drainage Easement, as hereinafter described (in Section 4(m),) at a point selected by Meadows, and the other end of said storm drainage shall be located on the land owned by Meadows directly adjacent to Parcel B on the south, at a point selected by Meadows. The restrictive covenant and the rights of Meadows contained in this Paragraph 2 shall attach to and run with the Property for the benefit of the adjacent land owned by Meadows; and it shall be lawful for Meadows and its successors and assigns to institute and prosecute any proceedings at law or in equity against the person or persons violating or threatening to violate the same.

LIBERG 4 5 8 PAGE 4 5 B

the a structure and in according to the contraction in the state of the state of the state of the state of the

- 3. Wolk intends to do excavation work on Parcel A, and on Parcel B in that limited area on which Wolk has the right to erect an improvement. In connection therewith, Wolk shall construct and maintain a downward slope on the Property running from the existing grade of Meadow's adjoining land at not steeper than a 2:1 ratio. In constructing the slope, Wolk shall utilize dirt excavated from Parcel A and Parcel B, and Wolk may, until six (6) months from the date hereof, spread materials excavated from Parcel A and Parcel B evenly on the unimproved part of Meadow's remaining land designated for such purpose on Exhibit B, attached hereto and incorporated herein. Such excavated material shall not include any rock, stone or other matter larger than three inches (3") in diameter. Meadows and its successors and assigns, as owners of adjacent land, shall have the right to enter upon the Property for the purpose of maintaining, constructing, altering or repairing the slope. Wolk agrees to fertilize and hydroseed the sloped area with a seed mixture designed to promote a dense grass cover, and to perform the necessary repairs and maintenance of the slope at its cost and expense. The covenant contained in this Paragraph 3 shall attach to and run with the Property.
- 4. This conveyance is made subject to restrictions, conditions, and covenants of record, including those contained in the following:
- (a) Plat of Meadows Industrial Park, dated
 December 9, 1980 and filed in the aforesaid Land Records in Plat
 Book 47, folio 59;
- (b) Certificate of Merger of Hochschild Kohn & Co., Inc. into Supermarkets General Corporation, approved by the State Department of Assessments and Taxation on November 2, 1973. The Merger Agreement is dated October 25, 1973, and recorded in the aforesaid Land Records at Liber 5452, folio 672;
- (c) Assignment Agreement dated January 27, 1964, between Meadows Warehouse Corp. and Provident Life and Accident Insurance Company, recorded in the aforesaid Land Records of Baltimore County at Liber 5015, folio 260;
- (d) Easement Agreement dated April 3, 1968, by and between Hochschild Kohn & Company, Incorporated and Garden Construction Corporation recorded in the aforesaid Land Records at Liber 4876, folio 262;

- (e) Deed from Garden Construction Corporation to Hochshild Kohn & Co., Inc., dated December 1st, 1964, and recorded among the aforesaid Land Records in Liber R.R.G. No. 4397, folio 347, as modified by a Confirmatory Agreement by and between Garden Construction Corporation and Hochschild Kohn & Co., Inc., et al, dated January 27, 1967 and recorded among the aforesaid Land Records in Liber O.T.G. No. 4722, folio 20;
- (f) Agreement between Glen Finance Company of Woodlawn, Inc., et al, and Garden Construction Corporation, dated August 3rd, 1964, and recorded among the aforesaid Land Records in Liber R.R.G. No. 4397, folio 388;
- tion, dated July 28th, 1964, and recorded among the aforesaid Land Records in Liber R.R.G. No. 4397, folio 402;
 - (h) Waiver and Consent made by Food Fair Stores, Baltimore County, Inc., dated July 31st, 1964, and recorded among the aforesaid Land Records in Liber R.R.G. No. 4397, folio 408;
 - (i) Supplemental Agreement by and between Garden Construction Corporation and W.T. Grant Company, et al, dated January 27, 1967, and recorded among the aforesaid Land Records in Liber O.T.G. No. 4722, folio 20;
 - (j) Lease from Garden Construction Company to Atlantic Life Insurance Company, dated July 14th, 1958, and recorded among the aforesaid Land Records in Liber G.L.B. No. 3382, folio 507;
 - (k) Deed from Garden Construction Corporation to Baltimore County, Maryland dated June 9th, 1960, and recorded among the aforesaid Land Records in Liber W.J.R. No. 3712, folio 493, conveying unto the latter the bed of Clarke Avenue, together with slope easements adjacent thereto;
 - (1) Agreement between Garden Construction Corporation and Baltimore Gas and Electric Company, dated August 25, 1960, and recorded among the aforesaid Land Records in Liber W.J.R. No. 3750, folio 372, as to poles, wire, etc.;
 - (m) Easement for storm drainage, 10 feet in width, adjacent to and south of the ninth or north 76 degrees 45 minutes 37 seconds east 130.12 foot line of the Property as set forth in the Deed from Garden Construction Corporation to Hochschild

LIBER 6, 4 5 8, 14 st 4 6 0

Kohn & Co., Inc., dated December 1st, 1964, and recorded among the aforesaid Land Records in Liber R.R.G. No. 4397, folio 347; and all other terms, restrictions, conditions and covenants of record pertaining to the Property.

To Have and To Hold the Property as above described and mentioned, and hereby intended to be conveyed; together with the rights, privileges, appurtenances and advantages thereto belonging or appertaining unto and to the proper use and benefit of Wolk, its successors and assigns, in fee simple, subject to the covenants, restrictions and conditions hereinabove set forth.

And Meadows hereby covenants that it has not done or suffered to be done any act, matter or thing whatsoever to encumber the Property; that it will warrant specially the mann Broperty and Meadows will execute such further assurances of the same, as may be requisite."

WITNESS the hands and seals of said grantor and

grantee. ATTEST:

APPROVED RE AS TO CHIENT . LEGAL AS Myron D. Wakberg TO FORM

MEADOWS WAREHOUSE CORP.

Arnold J. Pearl VICE PRESIDENT

WITNESS:

CarlKing

Leroy E. Wolk, Tr WOLK PRINTING CO. Trustee t/a STATE OF NEW JERSEY
COUNTY OF Middlesup

ss.:

BE IT REMEMBERED, that on this 25th day of Citibut 1982, before me, the subscriber, a Notary Public of the State of New Jersey, personally appeared would be the Vice President of MEADOWS WAREHOUSE CGRP., who, I am satisfied, is the person who signed the within instrument; and I having first made known to him the contents thereof, he thereupon acknowledged that he signed, sealed with the corporate seal, and delivered the said instrument as such officer aforesaid, and that the within instrument is the voluntary act and deed of said corporation, made by virtue of authority from its Board of Directors.

NOTARY PUBLIC OF NEW TERSEK MY Commission Expires July 6- 1988

STATE OF Maryland
COUNTY OF Bettimere

SS:

BE IT REMEMBERED, that on this John day of Cotton, 1982, before me, the subscriber, a Notary Public of the State of Large 6. Course personally appeared LEROY E. WOLK, TRUSTEE t/a WOLK PRINTING CO., who, I am satisfied, is the person who signed the within instrument; and I having first made known to him the contents thereof, he thereupon acknowledged that he signed, sealed with the corporate seal, and delivered the said instrument as such officer aforesaid, and that the within instrument is the voluntary act and deed of said corporation, made by virtue of authority from its Board of Directors.

Notary Public

NOTARY PUBLIC

.'

LIBER 6 4 5 8 PALE 4 6 2

LIST OF EXHIBITS

Exhibit A - Boundaries of Improvement on Parcel "B" (see p.2)

Exhibit B - land on which excavated materials may be spread (see p.3)

119:151 R034FF:10

13.00

 $\{\{i_j\}_{j=1}^n\}$




EXHIBIT "B" CLERK'S NOTATION


Document submitted for record in a condition not permitting variefactory photographic reproduction WOODLAWN Trope anieno africos Remaining land for excavated material from Parcels A and B. (<u>+</u> 6 acres) Rea a for teadre how in 1999 at 1997 a

NAME


PETITIONER(S) SIGN-IN SHEET

ADDRESS

PHLP13 COWIANA 9.9 FNOTONA	2 HOPKIDS PLALA BULL MD. 27601
Hame C. Diele	1807 Whiteheal Pel Boltom 21207
Chi of has to	400 WISHINDOWN AUB 21215
Howard L. Alderman Ir.	305 W. Chesope Ke Agre # 113 7170
BULL MONIS	222 Bosies AVIE
	SUITE C-60 21204























Pet. dx. 6

WILLIAM MONK, INC.


COURTHOUSE COMMONS 222 BOSLEY AVENUE SUITE C-6 TOWSON, MD 21204 410-494-8931 FAX 410-494-9903

SITE PLANNING/DESIGN • ZONING • DEVELOPMENT SERVICES



ADJACENT LAND USES

1817-19 WHITHEAD ROAD



NORTH SIDE
WHITEHEAD ROAD

EXHIBIT ONERS


Pet . Ot.

WILLIAM MONK, INC.


1807

COURTHOUSE COMMONS 222 BOSLEY AVENUE SUITE C-6 TOWSON, MD 21204 410-494-8931 FAX 410-494-9903

SITE PLANNING/DESIGN • ZONING • DEVELOPMENT SERVICES



1807-1815 WHITEHEAD ROAD CASE#96-477-A



1815

MICROFILMED

SIDNEY LEVIN B 419 466 2522

01/18/96 13:37

between THE EQUITABLE LIFE ASSURANCE SOCIETY OF THE UNITED STATES, hervinafter called the Landlord, having its principal place of business at 1285 Avenue of the Americas, New York, New York 10019,

Wolk Press, Inc. 1815 Whitehead Road und Woodlawn, Md. 21207 , hereinafter called the Tenant, (Address).

Mitnesseth:

That the Landlord, for and in consideration of the payment of the rentals, and performance of the covenants and agreements hereinafter mentioned, demises and leases unto the Tenant, and the latter does let from the former, the following described premises:

As more particularly shown by the single hatched portions of the plan annexed hereto as exhibit A and made a part hereof. Lucation

PETITIONER'S EXHIBIT

to be used by the Tenentas parking and entry road Line

and for no other purposes, for the term of Fifteen (15) years

April day of First Beginning on the

. 1995

. 1981

31 duy of March and ending on the

at the base rental of Pive Hundred Dollars (\$500.00) per annum

YKAKONI JOYKA KOTKIEDIKK

Kodak do digital science™ Co

of each month, in each year during the term of this lease, to P. O. Box 7674, Baltimore, Haryland 21207

: of this lease shall be as defined above, or on the date when the of the demised premises, if said date is earlier than that defined piration of the term of this lease shall remain unchanged. In the to tender possession of the demised premises and have same ready encement of the lease term, Landlord shall not be liable for any

Million of the MED

damage caused thereby, nor shall this lease be void or voidable by Tenant, but in such event, no rantal shall be payable by Tenant, prior to actual tender to Tenant of possession of the demixed premises. In the event the delay results from fallure of Tenant to provide plans or otherwise perform in accordance with the requirements of the lease, then there shall be no abatement of rent. In any event, late delivery of the premises will not extend the term.

This tenancy shall be on the following terms and conditions:

Rent

01/10/1996

13:08

Tenant covenants, without any previous demand therefor, to pay the said specified rentals at the times and in the manner above provided.

Tax Escalator

2. The Tonant chall pay to the Lundlord, as additional rent, the amount of any increase in the real estate taxes (including any paving or other special assessments) levied against the property during each year of the demised term over and above the amount of such taxes levied during the tax year ending . such payment to be made within thirty (30) days of receipt by the Tenant of a statement from the Landlord showing the amount of such increase, with appropriate supporting data. Proration of said payments by Tenunt shall be made when necessary for the first and last year of this lease term or any extensions thereof. If this lease covers less than all of the building in which the leased premises are located, the Tenunt's obligation under this paragraph shall be of the total increase for the building and property of which the leased promines are a parte

Use Fees: Utilities

3. Tenant covenants to pay, when billed, as additional rent, collectible in the same manner as the rents hereinabove provided for: all licenses, fees and charges arising out of its use of the demised premises, all charges occasioned by the occupancy of the Tenant; and tax on rents; the Tenant also covenants and agrees to furnish and to pay all charges for gas, electric current, heating fuel, water, sewer service and any other utilities used in or on the demised premises during the continuances hereof, and also to pay any governmental charge imposed on the property measured by the rate of utility consumed.

Use: ompliance. with Insurance

4. Tenant agrees to use the demised premises in a claun, orderly and sanitary manner solely for the purposes above described, in a safe and careful manner, and that it will not overload the premises or the utility lines serving it. Tenant will keep all sidewalks, driveways and parking areas included in the leased premises free of ice, snow and debris. The Tenant covenants that it will not do nor permit to be done, nor keep nor permit to be kept upon the said premises, anything which will contravene the policy or policies of insurance against loss by fire or other causes, or which will increase the rate of fire or other insurance on the buildings on the premises beyond the rate chargeable at the commencement of this lease. Should any act of the Tenant so increase said rate, then, in addition to the rentals hereinabove provided for, the Tenant shall be liable for such additional premium, which shall be payable when billed, as additional rent, collectible in the same manner as the rents hereinabove provided for. Tenant covenants that under no circumstances will it keep or permit to be kept, do or permit to be done in or about said premises, anything of a character so hazardous as to render it difficult, impracticable or impossible to secure such insurance in companies acceptable to the Landford, and further, immediately upon notice, to remove from the premises and/or to desist from any practice deemed by the insurance companies or the Association of Fire Underwriters as so affecting the insurance risk.

intenance

5. The Tenant will, during the term of this lease, keep said demised premises and appurtenances (including glass, exterior doors and related hardware, plumbing, heating, electrical, aprinkler and air conditioning systems thereof) in good order and condition and will make all necessary repairs thereto, including repairs to any damage caused by any waste, misuse or neglect of said premises, its apparatus or appurtenances by Tenant, its agents, servants or invitees, at Tenant's own expense, except that the Landlord will make all necessary repairs (except painting and glass) to the exterior walls, roof, gutters and downspouts of the demised premises, after being notified in writing of the need for such repairs. Tenant will also maintain any driveways and parking areas designated for its exclusive use. The Tenant will, at the expiration of the term or at the sooner termination thereof by forfeiture or otherwise, deliver up the demised premises in the same good order and condition as they were at the beginning

MAN 100 (Initique) MEE (Inilials. / ZW

changes of any kind to the demised premises, without first securing the written consent of the Landlord, after submission of the plans therefor, and any such alterations or changes as shall be permitted in writing shall be made at Tenant's expense, and will, at the expiration of the term, or the sooner termination thereof, become the property of the Landlord; or, at the Landlord's option, the premises shall be restored to their former condition at the expense of the Tenant, The Tenant will, in making any such repairs, as well as in its use of the premises, fully comply with all national and state laws, city ordinances, and regulations of public authority, as well as the requirements of the Association of Fire Underwriters, or similar governing insurance body, all at Tenant's expense. Tenant covenants, at its own expense, promptly to comply with and do all things required by any notice served upon it or upon the Landlord in relation to said demised premises or any part thereof, from any of the departments of the City or County, or of the State, or the United States, if the same shall be caused by the Tenant's use of the premises, or any alteration, addition or change thereof. Tenant covenants that no liens shall attach to the demised premises by virtue of any alterations or changes made by Tenant, and that if any such lien is filed will cause the same to be removed within thirty (80) days.

Signs, etc.

7. The Tenant covenants that it will not place or permit any signs, lights, awnings or poles in or about said premises without the written permission of the Landlord and, in the event such consent is given, the Tenant agrees to pay any privilege or other tax therefor. Tenant further covenants that it will not paint or make any change in or on the outside of said premises without the permission of the Landlord in writing. Tenant agrees that it will do nothing on the outside of said premises to change the uniform architecture, paint or appearance of said building, without the consent of the Landlord in writing.

Subjetting ssignment.

8. Tenant covenants that unless written consent of the Landlord first be obtained, the said premises, or any part thereof, shall not be sublet or used by any other person than Tenant, or employees of Tenant, nor shall this lease be assigned, under penalty of forfeiting the residue of term, at the option of the Landlord. Any lawful levy or sale on execution or other legal process, and also any assignment or sale in bankruptcy, or insolvency, or under any compulsory procedure, or the appointment of a Receiver by a State or Federal Court, shall be classed as an assignment within the meaning of this lease. The Landlord agrees, however, that his written consent for subletting or assignment will not be unreasonably withheld, and provided further that, in the event of such subletting or assignment, the Tenant hereunder shall remain liable for the performance of all the covenants and conditions of this lease.

indemnity and Liability | Insurance

9. Tanant shall and will save and keep harmless and indemnify the Landlord from and against any and all claims for damages whatsoever, and the costs of defending against the same, of any kind or nature, including personal injuries, arising in any manner or under any circumstances through the exercise by the Tenant of any right granted or conferred hereby, whether such damage, including personal injury, be sustained by the Tenant or its officers, agents, employees or invitees or by other persons or corporations which seek to hold the Landlord liable. Tenant further agrees to maintain public liability and property damage insurance with an insurance company acceptable to Landlord to protect the Landlord in the amounts of \$ 100,000/500,000 coverage for personal injuries and \$ 30,000

MON (Initials) 海盤E (Int' die.

coverage for property damage. Such policy shall cover the entire demised premises including any elevator thereon, and any sidewalk, streets and parking areas adjoining the demised premiaes; shall be issued in form satisfactory to Landlord; shall provide for at least five (5) days' notice to Landlord before cancellation; and such policies or certificates thereof shall be delivered to Landlord. Tenent will also carry and pay for plate glass insurance.

injoyment; Subordination to Marigage during the term of this lease, or for so long as Tenant shall not be in default hereunder. Tenant agrees that this lease shall be subordinate to any lien Landlord may cause to be imposed on the premises, provided that such lien holder and persons claiming thereunder shall not disturb Tenant's possession under this lease so long as Tenant shall not be in default hereunder. Tenant agrees to execute any appropriate instrument effecting such subordination.

Trade Fixtures 11. Tenant shall have the right to remove any trade fixtures or other fixtures installed by Tenant, provided Tenant shall be responsible for repair of any damages to the freehold occasioned by the removal thereof.

Inspection of Premises

12. The Tenant agrees that the Landlord shall have the right to inspect the premises at all reasonable times during business hours, and to place upon the same, for and during the period of ninety (90) days prior to the termination of this lease, sale or rent notices or signs, in such part thereof as it may designate, continuously during the period aforesaid.

Fire 'Damage

13. In the event the said premises are damaged by fire, storm, the elements, act of God, unavoidable accident and/or the public enemy, but not to such an extent as to render the same untenantable, then the Landlord shall restore said premises are injured or damaged by any of the aforesaid causes only to such an extent as to render them partially untenantable the Landlord shall restore such premises so injured or damaged as speedily as possible, rent to abute proportionately on such part of said premises as may have been rendered wholly untenantable until such time as such part shall be fit for occupancy, and after which time the full amount of rent reserved in this lease shall be payable as hereinbefore set out. And if said premises (or the building in which the demised premises are located) are injured or damaged by any of the aforesaid causes to such an extent as to render the same wholly untenantable, then this lease shall, at the option of the Landlord, thereupon become null and void and all lisbility of the Tenant shall terminate upon payment of all rent due and payable to the date of such happening.

Condemnation

14. If the whole or any part of the demised premises shall be taken under the power of eminent domain, or shall be sold by the Landlord under threat of condemnation proceedings, then this lease shall terminate as to the part so taken or sold on the day when Tenant is required to yield possession thereof, and Landlord shall make such repairs and alterations as may be necessary in order to restore the part not taken or sold to useful condition, and the rental hereinbefore specified shall be reduced proportionately as to the portion of the demised premises so taken or sold is such as to impair substantially the usefulness of the demised premises for the purposes for which the same are hereby leased, then Tenant shall have the option to terminate this lease as of the date when Tenant is required to yield possession. In any and all events, all compensation awarded or paid for any such taking or sale of the fee and the leasehold, or any part thereof, shall belong to and be the property of the Landlord, except for such part of such award as shall be made to Tenant for relocation of his business, or on account of the taking of fixtures installed by the Tenant, which shall not have become the property of the Landlord. Landlord shall notify Tenant of receipt of notice of condemnation.

Default by Tenant 15. (a) In the event of the appointment of a receiver or trustee for the Tenant in any court, which appointment is not vacated in thirty (80) days, or if the Tenant is adjudicated bankrupt or insolvent or makes an assignment for the benefit of creditors, or in the event of the failure of the Tenant to pay the rent reserved hereunder within ten (10) days of the due date thereof, or in the event of the violation of any of the other covenants, terms or conditions of this lease by the Tenant, which violation shall remain uncured for a period of fifteen (15) days after notice thereof in writing, the Landlord may, at his option, in any such event, cancel and annul this lease, in which event he shall be entitled to the benefit of all the provisions of law for the speedy recovery of lands and tenements as against a tenant holding over, now in force or which may hereafter be enacted including but not by way of limitations, payment by Tenant of all rent payments that are then due, and a sum equal to rent payments



8 410 466 2522 SIDNEY LEVIN

Federal Reserve Bank plus one percent, or he may relet the said premises for any unexpired balance of the term and collect the rent therefor. And in any event, the Landlord may distrain, by any legal means, for any overdue instalment of rent or rental payment hereinsbove provided for, and may enter the property for such purpose by force if necessary without liability (which liability is hereby expressly waived). In the event of reletting by the Landlord without cancellation of this lease, the reletting shall be on such terms, conditions and rentals as the Landlord may deem proper, and the proceeds that may be collected from the same, less the expense of reletting, including necessary renovations and alteration of the demised premises for the new tenant, reasonable attorney's fees, and broker's commissions, shall be applied against the rental to be paid by the Tenant, and the Tenant shall be liable for any balance that may be due under this lease, and such reletting shall not operate as a termination of this

lease or as a waiver or postponement of any right of the Landlord against the Tenant.

Tenant Holding Over (b) If the Tenant shall not immediately aurrender possession of the premises at the termination of this lease, the Tenant shall become a tenant from month to month, provided rent shall be paid to and accepted by the Landlord, in advance, at the rate of rental payable hereunder just prior to the termination of this lease; but unless and until the Landlord shall accept such rental from the Tenant, the Landlord shall continue to be entitled to re-take possession of the premises without any prior notice whatever to Tenant. If the Tenant shall fail to surrender possession of the premises immediately upon the expiration of the term hereof, the Tenant hereby agrees that all of the obligations of the Tenant and all rights of the Landlord applicable during the term of this lease shall be equally applicable during such period of subsequent occupancy, whether or not a month to month tenancy shall have been created as aforesaid.

Waiver

(c) Any waiver of any covenant or condition of this lease shall extend to the particular case only, and only in the manner specified, and shall not be construed as applying to or in any way waiving any further or other rights hereunder. The exercise of any of the options aforesaid shall not be construed as a waiver of the Landlord's right to recover actual damages for any breach in an action at law, or to restrain any breach or threatened breach in equity of otherwise.

Notices

16. Any notice required or permitted by this lease, to be given by either party to the other, may be either personally delivered or sent by certified mail, properly addressed and prepaid, to the addressess of the parties herein given, unless another address shall have been substituted for such address by notice in writing, the date of so depositing or date of personal delivery, being taken as the date of the giving of such notice.

Notice to Landlord:

Notice to Tenant: .

Wolk Press, Inc.
1815 Whitehead Road
Woodlawn, Md. 21207

with the same to the

Property Manager
DericontoCourage
Equitable Life Assurance Society
F.O. Box 7674.
Baltimore, Maryland 21207

Miscellaneous

17 (a) The use of the singular herein shall include the plural and vice versa, and the use of the masculine personal pronoun shall include all genders. The covenants herein shall be binding upon, and the rights hereunder shall inure to the benefit of, the parties, their parsonal representatives, successors and assigns, except that the Tenant's rights hereunder shall inure only to such assigns as are consented to by the Landlord pursuant to paragraph 8 hereof. This lease constitutes the entire agreement between the parties in respect of the premises, and there are no oral agreements between the parties in connection herewith.



01/10/96 13:42 P.07

(b) If any covenant, agreement or condition of this lease or the application of any person, firm or corporation or to any circumstance, shall to any extent be invalid or unenforceable, the remainder of this lease shall not be affected thereby. Each covenant, agreement or condition of this lease shall be valid and enforceable to the fullest extent permitted by law.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties hereto have caused these presents to be duly executed.

WITNESS:

THE EQUITABLE LIFE ASSURANCE SOCIETY OF THE UNITED STATES

Landlord

WOLK PRESS, INC.

WOOM CHINA

Puring the Term of this lease, should the use of the demised premises for a puring the Term of this lease, should the use of the demised premises for a parking area and driveway as described in Exhibit A, be prohibited, limited or restricted by the terms of any restrictions, covenants, regulations, ordinance or zoning resolutuion, the Tenant or Landlord may upon 30 days notice in writting terminate this lease.





Baltimore County has a program designed to promote CAR POOLING. Attached is a Baltimore County form which describes the Program. If you think you can benefit from the Program, at your option, complete the application and return the same to Baltimore County.


Baltimore County has a program designed to promote CAR POOLING. Attached is a Baltimore County form which describes the Program. If you think you can benefit from the Program, at your option, complete the application and return the same to Baltimore County.

Baltimore County has a program designed to promote CAR POOLING. Attached is a Baltimore County form which describes the Program. If you think you can benefit from the Program, at your option, complete the application and return the same to Baltimore County.

Baltimore County has a program designed to promote CAR POOLING. Attached is a Baltimore County form which describes the Program. If you think you can benefit from the Program, at your option, complete the application and return the same to Baltimore County.

Baltimore County has a program designed to promote CAR POOLING. Attached is a Baltimore County form which describes the Program. If you think you can benefit from the Program, at your option, complete the application and return the same to Baltimore County.

"MICROFILMED




PLACE STAMP HERE

SEND TO:

COMMUTER ASSISTANCE BALTIMORE COUNTY

401 BOSLEY AVENUE/SUITE 405 TOWSON, MARYLAND 21204

BY USING CARPOOLS, VANPOOLS, MTA BUSES, SUBWAY, LIGHT RAIL, OR MARC TRAINS TO GET TO WORK CAN SAVE:



THE HIDDEN COSTS OF DRIVING

Compare the costs and save by ridesharing!

COST (CENTS) PER MILE CHART						
AUTO SIZE	ORIGINAL COST DEPRECIATION	MAINTENANCE ACCESSORIES PARTS/TIRES	GAS/OIL (INCLUDES TAXES)	INSURANCE	TOTAL	
Large	10.2	6.4	6.9	6.7	29.2	
Medium	9,1	6.5	6.6	6.6	26.7	
Compact	7.7	4.9	4.6	6.0	22.2	
Subcompact	6,3	6.4	4.3	6.8	21.8	
Source: F	ederal Highw	ay Administra	tion, 1989			


FOR INFORMATION CALL: 887-3554

Fill in the blanks to calculate your own total cost				
Round Trip Commuting Distance	Total Coat From Left For Your Type Of Car	Daily Round Trip Commuting Cost		
Daily Round Trip Cost	X 21.5 Avg. Workdays/Month	Monthly Round Trip Commuting Cost		

YES, I'm interested in RIDESHARING

I understand that, by completing this ridesharing application, I am under no obligation to join any ridesharing arrangement. No fees will be charged to me, and all information given by me will be confidential-for use by the BALTIMORE COUNTY COMMUTER ASSISTANCE OFFICE.

(Please print, using only one letter per space. Abbreviate where necessary. Provide apartment number if applicable.)



- Mr. Dilli has been in the book manufacturing business for forty (40) years and the operation of a fully integrated book manufacturer of soft cover and paperback books cannon to accomplished with twenty-five persons or less directly Recturing process during the day shift that employs the all 130ns. The operation is labor intensive and requires employeds at all the various pieces of equipment necessary to manufacture these books, including but not limited to, the presses, the bindery equipment, the folding equipment, the sewing equipment, etc. The limitation of twenty-five persons creates an undue hardship on United because its application to United at dd render it impossible to conduct its operation this far ted book manufacturer, forcing it to close its as a ful Whitehead Road and finding alternative space operation pense to United. at consid
- pursuant to the contract of sale shown at the hearing, it has the authority to pursue the relief requested in this Petition as it pertains to Parcel A. The current legal owners of Parcel A, present through Mr. Leroy E. Wolk, purchased what now consists of Parcel A pursuant to two deeds, the first being a deed dated october 24, 1963, introduced as Petitioner's Exhibit No. ..., and the second, for the rear yard portion of Parcel A, being a deed dated october 25, 1982, introduced as Petitioner's Exhibit No. ..., and the second, for the rear yard portion of Parcel A, being a deed dated october 25, 1982, introduced as Petitioner's Exhibit No. In the will between 1963 and 1982 and used the facility as a book manufacturer in the areas of catalogs and brochures. The only available parking on Parcel A are the ten (10) spaces in front of the building. The building on Parcel A is a one (1) story improvement with a building height of approximately 20' consisting of both office and manufacturing space, totaling
- 6. The rear yard of Parcel A cannot be reached by vehicle and is totally unusable because of its steep slope and topography. There have been no additions or expansions made to the existing building since its completion in 1982. There are no additional areas on Parcel A that can be used for parking and there has been no expansion into areas that could have been utilized for parking by the least owners. In fact, parking spaces that were costorically available for use by the owners of lost due to the expiration of a lease for an Parcel A have h original term : 5 years which ended March 31, 1996, a copy of which was introduced as Petitioner's Exhibit No. 3. This lease allowed the current owners of Parcel A to lease land that was contiguous with and adjacent to the eastern building line of the building on Parcel B for additional parking. The current owners of that leased portion has refused to either lease or sell that area of land to the current owners.

approximately 35,430 square feet.

7. The proposed use of Parcel A by United is to expand into case bound and hard cover book manufacturing, thereby enabling United to be a fully integrated book manufacturer of

PETITION FOR VARIANCE S/S Whitehead Road, 530' W of (1807 & 1815 Whitehead Road) 1st Election District 2nd Councilmanic District

* OF BALTIMORE COUNTY * Case No. 96-477-A Leroy E. Wolk, et al - Owners; and United Book Press, Inc., Contract Purchasers * * * * * * * * * *

* BEFORE THE

* DEPUTY ZONING COMMISSIONER

FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

This matter comes before the Deputy Zoning Commissioner as a Petition for Variance for the properties known as 1815 and 1807 Whitehead Road, also known as Parcels A and B, respectively, located in the vicinity of Woodlawn Drive and Security Boulevard in Woodlawn. The Petition was filed by the owners of Parcel A, Leroy E. Wolk and Daniel Katz, Surviving Partners of the LSD Partnership, and Leroy E. Wolk, Stanford G. Gann, Andrew Sandler, and Sidney Levin, as Trustees Under the Wills of Dorothea F. Wolk and Seymour Wolk, and the Contract Purchasers of Parcel A, (owners of Parcel B), United Book Press, Inc., by George Dilli, President, through their attorney, Anthony P. Palaigos, Esquire. The Petitioners seek relief from Section 233.3.B and 233.3.C to permit 30 and 55 manufacturing employees per establishment on Parcel A and Parcel B, respectively, in lieu of the maximum permitted 25 persons for each establishment and to approve a modified plan, accordingly, and from Sections 409.6.A.2 and 3 to permit 47 parking spaces for both parcels combined in lieu of the required 56. The subject properties and relief sought are more particularly described on the site plan submitted which was accepted and marked into evidence as Petitioner's Exhibit 1.

Appearing at the hearing on behalf of the Petition were Leroy E. Wolk, General Partner of LSD Partnership, Owners of Parcel A, George Dilli, President of United Book Press, Inc., Owners of Parcel B and Contract Purchasers of Parcel A, William P. Monk, Land Planning and Zoning Consultant who prepared the site plan for this project, and Anthony G. Palaigos, Esquire, attorney for the Petitioners. Also in attendance were Jamie Gilbert of the Baltimore County Economic Development Commission, and Howard L. Alderman, Esquire. There were no Protestants present.

Testimony and evidence offered at the hearing revealed that the subject properties are adjoining parcels, consisting of a combined area of 3.333 acres, more or less, zoned B.M.-I.M. Both properties, identified as Parcels A and B on Petitioner's Exhibit 1, have been, or are currently in use as a printing/book manufacturing business, and are improved with a large one-story building. The Petitioners are desirous of combining the two operations, and thus, the requested variances and approval of a modified site plan are necessary.

By way of background, testimony revealed that United Book Press, Inc. (United) is a Maryland corporation which in 1990, began its operations as a tenant, leasing 30,000 sq.ft. of space on Parcel B as a book manufacturer, which is a permitted use. Ultimately, United's operation expanded into the remaining 20,000 sq.ft. of space on Parcel B. United is a fully integrated book manufacturer of soft cover and paperback books. As such, United does off-set printing, binding, sewing, folding, packaging and shipping of its book products to its customers. Testimony indicated that United purchased Parcel B, by Deed dated December 8, 1994, a copy of which was introduced into evidence. As noted above, Parcel B is improved with a one-story manufacturing facility, with combined office and manufacturing space of 51,302 sq.ft. There have been no additions made to the building and United has not enlarged the building into areas on Parcel B that could have been used for parking. The exterior dimensions of the building have remained the same since United has been occupying and using the land and buildings on Parcel B, both as a tenant originally, and subsequently, as Owner. Presently, 37 parking spaces are provided on Parcel B. There is a rear yard directly behind the building, however, it cannot be accessed by vehicle or utilized for parking due to its steep slope and topography. There is no other available space on Parcel B where additional parking spaces can be provided.

United has contracted to purchase Parcel A, and, pursuant to the contract of sale shown at the hearing, has the authority to pursue the relief requested in the Petition for Variance as it pertains to Parcel A. The current legal owners of Parcel A, present through Mr. Leroy E. Wolk, purchased what now consists of Parcel A, pursuant to two deeds; the first being a deed dated October 24, 1963 and the second, being the rear yard portion of Parcel A, by deed dated October 25, 1982. The Wolk Press Company built the existing building on Parcel A between 1963 and 1982 and used the facility as a book manufacturer in the areas of catalogs and brochures. That building is a one-story manufacturing facility consisting of approximately 35,430 sq.ft. There have been no additions or expansions made to the existing building since its completion in 1982. The only available parking on Parcel A are the 10 spaces located in front of the

The proposed use of Parcel A by United Book Press, Inc. is to expand into case bound and hard cover book manufacturing, thereby enabling United to be a fully integrated book manufacturer of both soft cover and hard cover books. The expanded use within the existing building on Parcel A will require the purchase and installation of additional and different

equipment than what is in use on Parcel B, and the operation of such equipment to manufacture hard cover books is also labor intensive.

In support of the relief requested from Sections 233.3.8 & C. testimony revealed that Mr. Dilli has been in the book manufacturing business for 40 years. Testimony indicated that the day shift of a fully integrated book manufacturer of soft cover and paperback books cannot operate efficiently with 25 persons or less. The operation is labor intensive and requires employees at all the various pieces of equipment necessary to compile these books, including, but not limited to, the presses, the bindery equipment, the folding equipment, the sewing equipment, etc. The limitation of 25 persons or less creates an undue hardship for this Petitioner because its application at the subject location would render it impossible to conduct its business as a fully integrated book manufacturer. Likewise, a fully integrated book manufacturer for hard cover books cannot operate with 25 persons or less who are directly engaged in the manufacturing process during the day shift, which would employ the most persons. Enforcement of the 25 person limit would create an undue hardsnip to the Petitioner, and would, in fact, prevent United from using Parcel A as a fully integrated hard cover book manufacturing facility, even though it is a permitted use.

The Petitioners have also requested a parking variance from Section 409.6.A.2 & 3. As is the case with Parcel B, the rear yard of Parcel A cannot be reached by vehicle and is totally unusable due to the steep slope and topography. There are no additional areas on Parcel A that can be used for parking and there has been no expansion into areas that could have been used for parking. In fact, parking spaces that were historically available for use by the owners of Parcel A have been lost

due to the expiration of a lease, which expired March 31, 1996, after an original term of 15 years. A copy of this lease was introduced as Petitioner's Exhibit 3. This lease allowed the current owners of Parcel A to lease land that was contiguous with and adjacent to the eastern building line of the building on Parcel B for additional parking; however, the current owners of that land have refused to either lease or sell that portion of land to the owners of Parcel A.

Through the joint efforts and cooperation between United Book Press, Inc. and Baltimore County, there currently is available street parking on Whitehead Road and efforts are being undertaken, as of the date this Petition was filed, to expand that number of street spaces available for parking. There currently exists sufficient parking on both Parcels A and B to accommodate the employees and customers of United and the available 28 spaces on Whitehead Road are sufficient to accommodate any additional demand for parking by employees and customers. There will be no impact of any kind whatsoever on the residential community closest to Parcels A and B because there is a wooded buffer between that residential community and Whitehead Road, and there is no direct street access off of Whitehead Road into that residential community. Moreover, United has distributed and encouraged its employees to participate in Baltimore County's ride sharing program as evidenced by the introduction of Petitioner's Exhibit 6.

The testimony offered was uncontradicted and showed clearly that the conditions that exist and which justify the variance and modified plan are peculiar and unique to the land and existing buildings on Parcels A and B. Additionally, the proffered testin my showed that strict compliance with the B.C.Z.R. would unreasonably prevent the use of Parcels A and

- 5-

B by United as a fully integrated book marufacturer, which is a permitted use under the existing zoning classifications, thereby resulting in practical difficulty to the Petitioner with regard to the parking requirements, and an undue hardship with regard to the maximum number of persons engaged in the manufacturing process during the peak, day shift. It is clear that the relief requested is the minimum relief necessary to grant substantial justice to the Petitioner as well as other property owners in the district, and is within the spirit and intent of the B.C.Z.R.

Based upon the testimony and evidence presented, it is apparent that the requested relief is necessary as a result of the size and shape of Parcels A and B as recorded and the nature of the existing and proposed use of Parcels A and B. The B.C.Z.R., specifically, Section 307.1 thereof, established a two-step process for the granting of variances. That twostep process was addressed and identified by the Court of Special Appeals in the case of Cromwell v. Ward, 102 Md. App. 691 (1995). The opinion in that case, issued January 4, 1995, and authored by the Honorable J. Cathell, interpreted our regulations to require the applicant to establish the following:

First, the applicant (Petitioner) must prove, and this Deputy Zoning Commissioner must find, that the "uniqueness and peculiarity of the subject property causes the zoning provision to impact disproportionately upon that property".

I find from the testimony and evidence presented in this case that the subject properties are unique, unusual and different from properties which surround them so as to cause the applicable zoning provisions to impact disproportionately upon both Parcels A and B.

Having satisfied this "first step", the applicant (Petitioner) must proceed to the "second step" of this variance process, which is to show that strict compliance with the B.C.Z.R. would result in a practical difficulty or unreasonable hardship.

The practical difficulty or unreasonable hardship guidelines that have been imposed by the B.C.2.R. have been thoroughly examined and discussed by the appellate courts of this State. In Loyola Federal Savings & Loan Association v. Buschman, 227 Md. 243, 176 A.2d, 355 (1961), the Court of Appeals considered the identical regulation to Section 307.1 of the B.C.Z.R.

As the Court noted: "Section 307 of the Regulations uses the two terms (practical difficulty or unreasonable hardship) in the disjunctive." Loyola Federal, at 358. Thus, by the use of the term "or", Section 307 offers the Petitioner an opportunity to obtain its variance upon satisfacmion of either the undue hardship or practical difficulty standard.

The distinction between these standards was clarified by the Court of Special Appeals in Anderson v. Board of Appeals, Town of Chesapeake Beach, 22 Md. App. 28, 322 A.2d, 220 (1974). Within that opinion, the Court held that the undue hardship standard applies to a Petition for a use variance. The Court noted that a use variance, which permits a use on the property other than that specifically permitted in that particular district, requires the imposition of a higher standard. That is, to allow the change or demonstrate real hardship, where the land cannot allow a reasonable return if used only in accordance with the use restrictions of the ordinance.

Compared with this heavy burden, the Court reviewed the practical difficulty standard applicable for area variances. The Court characterized

- 7-

area variances as having a much less drastic affect than use variances, in that they seek relief only from height, area, setback or side property line restrictions, and would not affect the property's use, per se. The Court envisioned the impact of area variances on the surrounding locale to be less than that generated by use variances, and thus, the lesser practicar difficulty standard applies. The prongs of that standard which must be satisfied by the Petitioner, as enunciated in Anderson, supra, are as follows:

> whether compliance with the strict letter of restrictions governing area setbacks, frontage, height, bulk or density would unreasonably prevent the owner from using the property for a permitted purpose or would render conformity with such restrictions unnecessarily burdensome;

> ii) whether a grant of the variance applied for would. do substantial justice to the applicant as well as to wither property owners in the district or whether a lesser relaxation than that applied for would give substantial relief to the owner of the property involved and be more consistent with justice to other property owners; and,

3) whether relief can be granted in such fashion. that the spirit of the ordinance will be observed and public safety and welfare secured.

Anderson v. Ed. of Appeals, Town of Chesapeake Beach, 22 Md. App. 28 (1974). See, also, McLean v. Soley, 270 Md. 208 (1973) at 314-215.

I find from the testimony and evidence presented at the hearing before me that the Petitioner has, in fact, proven the practical difficulty standards as set forth above, and that the variances requested should be granted. I also find from the testimony and evidence presented before me that the Petitioner has in fact proven that the requirements set forth in Section 233.3.B of the B.C.Z.R. creates an undue hardship on the Petitioner and that the modified plan should be approved. I further find that the granting of these variances and the approval of the modified plan is in

- 8-

strict harmony with the spirit and intent of the B.C.Z.R. and the granting of this relief is accomplished without injury to the public health, safety

Pursuant to the advertisement, posting of the properties and public hearing on this Petition held, and for the reasons set forth above, the relief requested should be granted.

THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED by the Zoning Commissioner for Baltimore County this 17^m day of July, 1996 that the Petition for Variance seeking relief from Section 233.3.B and 233.3.C to permit 30 and 55 manufacturing employees per establishment in Parcel A and Parcel B, respectively, in lieu of the maximum 25 per establishment, and to approve a modified plan, and from Section 409.6.A.2 and 3 to permit 47 parking spaces for both parcels combined in lieu of the required 56, in accordance with Petitioner's Exhibit 1, be and is hereby GRANTED, subject to the following

> 1) The Petitioners may apply for their building permit and be granted same upon receipt of this Order; however, Petitioners are hereby made aware that proceeding at this time is at their own risk until such time as the 30-day appellate process from this Order has expired. If, for whatever reason, this Order is reversed, the relief granted herein shall be rescinded.

> > Must be thetre on TIMOTHY M. KOTROCO Deputy Zoning Commissioner for Baltimore County

Baltimore County Government Zoning Commissioner Office of Planning and Zoning

Suite 112 Courthouse 400 Washington Avenue Towson, MD 21204

(410) 887-4386

Anthony P. Palaigos, Esquire Blum, Yumkas, Mailman, Gutman & Denick 1200 Mercantile Bank & Trust Building 2 Hopkins Plaza Baltimore, Maryland 21201-2914

RE: PETITION FOR VARIANCE S/S Whitehead Road, 530' W of Woodlawn Drive (1807 & 1815 Whitehead Road) 1st Election District - 2nd Councilmanic District Leroy E. Wolk, et al - Owners; and United Book Press, Inc., Contract Purchasers Case No. 96-477-A

Dear Mr. Palaigos:

Enclosed please find a copy of the decision rendered in the above-captioned matter. The Petition for Variance has been granted in accordance with the attached Order.

July 18, 1996

In the event any party finds the decision rendered is unfavorable, any party may file an appeal to the County Board of Appeals within thirty (30) days of the date of this Order. For further information on filing an appeal, please contact the Zoning Administration and Development Management office at 887-3391.

> Very truly yours, Munthy Hotroco TIMOTHY M. KOTROCO Deputy Zoning Commissioner

for Baltimore County

cc: Mr. George Dilli, President, United Book Press, Inc. 1807 Whitehead Road, Baltimore, Md. 21207

Mr. William P. Monk 222 Bosley Avenue, Suite C-6, Towson, Md. 21204 Mr. Jamie Gilbert, Economic Development Commission People's Counsel; Case File

CZRTIFICATE OF POSTING

Petitioner: Larry Not - Paris / Kata- LSD Parlambia - United Books Pross Location of property: 1207 TITES White head Pd., 5/3

NOTICE OF HEARING The Zoning Commissioner of Baltimore County, by authority of the Zoning Act and Regula-tions of Baltimore County wi old a public hearing on th Maryland 21204 as follows: (frem 467) 1807 and 1815 Whitehead Road SS Whitehead Road, 530' +/-W of Woodlawn Drive 1st Election District 2nd Councilmanic Legal Owner(s): Parcel A - Lerby E. Wolk and

Hearing: Tuesday, July 9, 1996 at 2:00 p.m. in Rm. 118,

6/196 June 20 C61198

CERTIFICATE OF PUBLICATION

TOWSON, MD., 6/20 , 1996 THIS IS TO CERTIFY, that the annexed advertisement was published in THE JEFFERSONIAN, a weekly newspaper published in Towson, Baltimore County, Md., once in each of ____ successive weeks, the first publication appearing on $\frac{6/20}{1996}$.

THE JEFFERSONIAN,

OFFICE OF FINA - REVENUE DIVISION 1207-1215 4-12-210 20 1- 11AR 10015 Ex 111-*子さやが*え、そうでな*る*一。 VALIDATION OR SIGNATURE OF CASHIER

This Petition shall be filed with the Office of Zoning Administration & Development Management. The undersigned, legal owner(s) of the property situate in Baltimore County and which is described in the description and plat attached hereto and made a part hereof, hereby petition for a Variance from Section(s)
SECTION 233.3 B & 233.3 C TO PERMIT 30 AND 55 MANUFACTURING E-PLOYEES PER ESTABLISHENT IN PARCEL A AND PARCEL B RESPECTIVELY IN LIEU OF THE MAXIMUM OF 25 PER ESTABLISHMENT AND TO APPROVE A MODIFIED PLAN. SECTION 409.6 A 283 TO PERMIT 47 PARKING SPACES FOR BOTH PARCELS COMBINED IN LIEU OF THE REQUIRED 50. of the Zoning Regulations of Baltimore County, to the Zoning Law of Baltimore County, for the following reasons: (indicate hardship or THE LABOR INTENSIVE NATURE OF THE PRINTING OPERATINS REQUIRE ADDITIONAL SKILLED EMPLOYEES ALTHOUGH NO BUILDING EXPANSION IS PROPOSED.

THE EXISTING SITE IMPROVEMENTS (BUILDINGS AND PARKING AREAS) PROHIBITS ANY FURTHER EXPANSION OF THE PARKING AREAS.

Property is to be posted and advertised as prescribed by Zoning Regulations. I, or we, agree to pay expenses of above Variance advertising, posting, etc., upon filing of this petition, and further agree to and are to be bound by the zoning regulations and restrictions of Baltimore County adopted pursuant to the Zoning Law for Baltimore County.

CONTRACT PURCHASER PARCEL A tWe do solemnly declare and affirm, under the penalties of pequity, that tiwe are the LEGAL OWNER OF PARCEL B legal owner(s) of the property which is the subject of this Petition Legal Conner(s: OF PARCEL A LEROY E. WOLK & DANIEL KATZ, SURVIVING PARTNERS OF LSD PARTNERSHIP Sgrature Carely Company SIGNATURE FARY MISSE SEDREZ KILL - JUNEZ LEROYE. WOLK, STAFFORD G. GAW, ANDREW SANDLER, SIDNEY PRIZE OEN T LEVAN TRUSTEES UNDER WILLS OF DOROTHEA F. WOLK & SEYMOUR WOLK 1807 WHITEHEAD ROAD Attorney for Petitioner

2 HOPKINS PLAZA, 385-4927 STANFORD G. GANN. ESQUIRE BALTIMORE MARYLAND 21201

ESTIMATED LENGTH OF HEARING unavailable for Hearing the following dates ______ Next Two Months Printed with Soybean Ink on Recycled Paper ALL____OTHER___

to the Zoning Commissioner of Baltimore County for the property located at

1807 & 1815 WHITEHEAD ROAD


which is presently zoned

BM-IM

ZONING DESCRIPTION UNITED BOOK PRESS 1807 - 1815 WHITEHEAD ROAD IST ELECTION DISTRICT BALTIMORE COUNTY, MARYLAND

BEGINNING FOR THE SAME ON THE SOUTHERNMOST RIGHT OF WAY LINE OF WHTEHEAD ROAD (70 FEET WIDE), SAID POINT BEING 530 FEET +/- WEST OF THE INTERSECTION OF WHITEHEAD ROAD AND WOODLAWN DRIVE. THENCE DEPARTING SAID POINT SO FIXED AND BINDING ON SAID SOUTHERLY RIGHT OF WAY LINE AND RUNNING (1) WESTERLY BY A LINE CURVING TO THE LEFT WITH A RADIUS OF 1200.00 FEET FOR A DISTANCE OF 158.55 FEET. (2) SOUTH 60 DEGREES 34 MINUTES 02 SECONDS WEST 252.96 FEET. (3) SOUTHWESTERLY BY A LINE CURVING TO THE LEFT WITH A RADIUS OF 630.00 FEET, FOR A DISTANCE OF 47.04 FEET; LEAVING SAID RIGHT OF WAY LINE AND RUNNING (4) SOUTH 33 DEGREES 42 MINUTES 40 SECONDS EAST 339.87 FEET AND (5) NORTH 60 DEGREES 34 MINUTES 02 SECONDS EAST 211.40 FEET. (6) NORTH 67 DEGREES 34 MINUTES 49 SECONDS EAST 265.63 FEET. (7) NORTH 25 DEGREES 29 MINUTES 34 SECONDS WEST 55.00 FEET. (8) SOUTH 76 DEGREES 45 MINUTES 37 SECONDS WEST 130.12 FEET. (9) NORTH 13 DEGREES 14 MINUTES 23 SECONDS WEST 282.72 FEET TO THE PLACE OF BEGINNING.

CONTAINING 3.333 ACRES OF LAND MORE OR LESS.



Baltimore County Government Office of Zoning Administration and Development Management

111 West Chesapeake Avenue TONING HEARING ADVERTISING AND POSTING REQUIREMENTS & PROCEDURES

> Baltimore County Zoning Regulations require that notice be given to the general public/neighboring property owners relative to property which is the subject of an upcoming zoning hearing. For those petitions which require a public hearing, this notice is accomplished by posting a sign on the property and placement of a notice in at least one newspaper of general circulation in the County.

This office will ensure that the legal requirements for posting and advertising are satisfied. However, the petitioner is responsible for the costs associated with these requirements.

PAYMENT WILL BE MADE AS FOLLOWS:

 Posting fees will be accessed and paid to this office at the time of filing. 2) Billing for legal advertising, due upon receipt, will come

from and should be remitted directly to the newspaper. NON-PAYMENT OF ADVERTISING FEES WILL STAY ISSUANCE OF ZONING ORDER.

For newspaper advertising:
Item No.: 487
Petitioner: 41112> ROOK FPS NC
Incalion: 1807-1815 WHITEHERE ROAL
PLEASE FORWARD ADVERTISING BILL TO:
NAME: GEORGE DILL
ADDRESS: 1801 WHITE WEND ROAD
BUSTIMORE, MD 21207
PHONE NUMBER: 944-4044

(Revised 04/09/93)

TO: PUTUXENT PUBLISHING COMPANY June 20, 1996 Issue - Jeffersonian

Flease foward billing to: George Dilli 1807 Whitehead Road Baltimore, MD 21207

341-4044

NOTICE OF HEARING

The Zoning Commissioner of Baltimore County, by authority of the Zoning Act and Regulations of Baltimore County, will hold a public hearing on the property identified herein in Room 105 of the County Office Building, 111 W. Chesapeake Avenue in Towson, Maryland 21204

Room 118, 01d Courthouse, 400 Washington Avenue, Towson, Maryland 21204 as follows:

CASE NUMBER: 96-477-A (Item 487) 1607 and 1815 Whitehead Road S/S Whitehead Road, 530'+/- W of Woodlawn Drive Ist Election District - 2nd Councilmanic Legal Owner(s): Parcel A - Leroy E. Wolk and Daniel Katz, Surviving Partners of LSD Partnership Parcel B - United Book Press, Inc. Contract Purchaser: Farcel A - United Book Press


Variance to permit 30 and 55 manufacturing employees per establishment in Parcel A and Parcel B respectively in lieu of the maximum of 25 per establishment and to approve a modified plan; to permit 47 parking spaces for both parcel combined in lieu of the required 56.

HEARING: TUESDAY, JULY 9, 1996 at 2:00 p.m. in Room 118, Old Courthouse.

ZONING COMMISSIONER FOR BALTIMORE COUNTY

NOTES: (1) HEARINGS ARE HANDICAPPED ACCESSIBLE; FOR SPECIAL ACCOMMODATIONS PLEASE CALL 887-3353. (2) FOR INFORMATION CONCERNING THE FILE AND/OR HEARING, PLEASE CALL 887-3391.

Towson, MD 21204



Development Processing County Office Building 111 West Chesapeake Avenue Towson, Maryland 21204

June 14, 1996

NOTICE OF HEARING

The Zoning Commissioner of Baltimore County, by authority of the Zoning Act and Regulations of Baltimore County, will hold a public hearing on the property identified herein in Room 106 of the County Office Building, 111 W. Chesapeake Avenue in Towson, Maryland 21204

Room 118, Old Courthouse, 400 Washington Avenue, Towson, Maryland 21204 as follows:

CASE NUMBER: 96-477-A (Item 487) 1807 and 1815 Whitehead Road S/S Whitehead Road, 530'+/- W of Woodlawn Drive 1st Election District - 2nd Councilmanic Legal Owner(s): Parcel A - Leroy E. Wolk and Daniel Katz, Surviving Partners of LSD Partnership Parcel B - United Book Press, Inc. Contract Purchaser: Parcel A - United Book Press

Variance to permit 30 and 55 manufacturing employees per establishment in Parcel A and Parcel B respectively in lieu of the maximum of 25 per establishment and to approve a modified plan; to permit 47 parking spaces for both parcel combined in lieu of the required 56.

HEARING: TUESDAY, JULY 9, 1996 at 2:00 p.m. in Room 118, Old Courthouse.

cc: Lerov Wolk and Daniel Katz Stanford G. Gann, Esq. United Book Press, Inc. Anthony P. Palaigos, Esq.

NOTES: (1) ZONING SIGN & POST MUST BE RETURNED TO RM. 104, 111 W. CHESAPEAKE AVENUE ON THE HEARING DATE. (2) HEARINGS ARE HANDICAPPED ACCESSIBLE; FOR SPECIAL ACCOMMODATIONS PLEASE CALL 887-3353. (3) FOR INFORMATION CONCERING THE FILE AND/OR HEARING, CONTACT THIS OFFICE AT 887-3391.

Frinted with Soybean Ink
on Recycled Paper

Department of Permits and Development Management

Development Processing County Office Building 111 West Chesapeake Avenue Towson, Maryland 21204

July 3, 1996

Anthony P. Palaigos, Esquire 2 Hopkins Plaza Baltimore, MD 21201

> RE: Item No.: 487 Case No.: 96-477-A Petitioner: Leroy E. Wolk

Dear Mr. Palaigos:

The Zoning Advisory Committee (ZAC), which consists of representatives from Baltimore County approval agencies, has reviewed the plans submitted with the above referenced petition, which was accepted for processing by Permits and Development Management (PDM), Zoning Review, on June 4, 1996.

Any comments submitted thus far from the members of ZAC that offer or request information on your petition are attached. These comments are not intended to indicate the appropriateness of the zoning action requested, but to assure that all parties (zoning commissioner, attorney, petitioner, etc.) are made aware of plans or problems with regard to the proposed improvements that may have a bearing on this case. Only those comments that are informative will be forwarded to you; those that are not informative will be placed in the permanent case file.

If you need further information or have any questions regarding these comments, please do not hesitate to contact the commenting agency or Roslyn Eubanks in the zoning office (887-3391).

Zoning Supervisor

Attachment(s)

Printed with Soybean Ink on Recycled Paper

BALTIMORE COUNTY, MARYLAND INTER-OFFICE CORRESPONDENCE

TO: Arnold Jablon, Director, PDM DATE: June 20, 1996

FROM: Arnold F. "Pat" Keller, III, Director, OP

INFORMATION:

Item Number:

SUBJECT: 1807 & 1815 Whitehead Road

United Book Press, Inc.

Zoning:

SUMMARY OF RECOMMENDATIONS

The subject property is located in an area designated "Employment Center" by the Baltimore County Growth Management Map adopted by Baltimore County Planning Board on June 15, 1995.

The <u>Baltimore County Master Plan 1989-2000</u> growth management policy suggests that in Employment Centers, we should "promote higher intensity new development and redevelopment of older areas instead of rezoning new areas."

The Office of Planning supports the petitioner's requested variances to allow 30 and 55 manufacturing employees per establishment in parcel A and parcel B, respectively, in lieu of the maximum of 25 employees per establishment.

The Office of Planning, however, suggests that the petitioner contact the individual listed below in order to discuss possible mitigation of the requested variances via ride share efforts and public transportation:

> Patsy Brewer, Rideshare Coordinator Baltimore County Department of Fublic Works 401 Boslev Avenue Towson, Maryland 21204 Phone - (410) 887-3554 Fax - (410) 887-5784

ITEM487/PZONE/ZAC1

ZONE140



David L. Winstead Secretary Hal Kassoff

Administrator

6 18 96

RE: Baltimore County Item No. 467 (744

Dear Ms. Watson:

Ms. Joyce Watson

Baltimore County Office of

Towson, Maryland 21204

Permits and Development Management

County Office Building, Room 109

This office has reviewed the referenced plan and we have no objection to approval as the development does not access a State roadway and is not effected by any State Highway Administration projects.

Please contact Bob Small at 410-545-5581 if you have any questions. Thank you for the opportunity to review this plan.

> Ronald Burns, Chief Engineering Access Permits Division

INTER-OFFICE CORRESPONDENCE R. Bruce Seeley Permits and Development Review SUBJECT: Zoning Advisory Committee

BALTIMORE COUNTY, MARYLAND DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AND RESOURCE MANAGEMENT

The Department of Environmental Protection & Resource Management has no comments for the following Zoning Advisory Committee Items:

RBS:sp BRUCE2/DEPRM/TXTSBP Baltimore County Government Fire Department

Office of the Fire Marshal (410)887-4880

DATE: 06/20/96

Arnold Jablor Director Zoning Administration and Development Management Baltimore County Office Building Towson, MD 21204 MAIL STOP-1105

PE: Property Owner: PARCEL A: LERCY E. WOLK & DANIEL DATZ. SURVIVING PARTNERS OF LSD PARTNERSHIP: PARCEL B: UNITED BOOK PRESS. INC. Location:S/S WHITEHEAD RD.530'W OF WOODLAWN DR(1807 % 1815 WHITEHEAD RD)

Item No.: 487

700 East Joppa Road Towson, MD 21286-5500

Zoning Agenda: VARIANCE

Gentlemen:

Pursuant to your request. the referenced property has been surveyed by this Eureau and the comments below are applicable and required to be corrected or incorporated into the final plans for the property. 4. The site shall be made to comply with all applicable parts of the

Fire Prevention Code prior to occupancy or beginning of operation.

5. The buildings and structures existing or proposed on the site shall comply with all applicable requirements of the National Fire Protection Association Standard No. 101 "Life Safety Code". 1991 edition prior to occupancy.

21...

REVIEWER: LT. ROBERT P. SAUERWALD Fire Marshal Office. PHONE 887-4881, MS-1102F

cc: File

Printed with Soybean Int

PETITION PROBLEMS

BALTIMORE COUNTY, MARYLAND

Arnold Jablon, Director

Robert W. Bowling, Chief

SUBJECT: Zoning Advisory Committee Meeting

for June 24, 1996

Item No. 487

be modified.

RWB:jrb

cc: File

Management

Department of Permits & Development

Development Plans Review Division

INTEROFFICE CORRESPONDENCE

The Development Plans Review Division has reviewed the subject

zoning item. The "angled" parking arrangement is not acceptable and should

Date: June 21, 1996

#483 --- RT

No telephone number for legal owner.

#485 --- MJK

Only one legal owner signature on back of petition form.

#487 --- JLL

Need authorization for person signing for legal owner.

June 11, 1996

Maryland Relay Service for Impaired Hearing or Speech 1-800-735-2258 Statewide Toll Free Mailing Address: P.O. Box 717 • Baltimore, MD 21203-0717 Street Address: 707 North Calvert Street • Baltimore, Maryland 21202

My telephone number is

RE: PETITION FOR VARIANCE 1807 and 1815 Whitehead Road, S/S Whitehead Road, 530'+/- W of Woodlawn Drive 1st Election District, 2nd Councilmanic

BEFORE THE ZONING COMMISSIONER OF BALTIMORE COUNTY Legal Owner(s): Parcel A - Leroy E. Wolk CASE NO. 96-477-A & Daniel Katz, Surviving *

Partners of LSD Partnership Parcel B - United Book Press, Inc. Contract Purchaser: United Book Press, Inc. (Parcel A) * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *

ENTRY OF APPEARANCE

Please enter the appearance of the People's Counsel in the abovecaptioned matter. Notice should be sent of any hearing dates or other proceedings in this matter and of the passage of any preliminary or final Order.

> Ether Cimnuman People's Counsel for Baltimore County Chaple S. Demilis CAROLE S. DEMILIO

Deputy People's Counsel Room 47, Courthouse 400 Washington Avenue Towson, MD 21204 (410) 887-2188

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on this 28 day of June, 1996, a copy of the foregoing Entry of Appearance was mailed to Anthony P. Palaigos, Esquire, 2 Hopkins Plaza, Baltimore, MD 21201, and Stanford G. Gann, Esquire, 2 Hopkins Plaza, Suite 900, Baltimore, MD 21201, attorneys for Petitioners.

Peter Max Zimmainen

Jul 10,96 13:49 No.024 P.03

The - - A RECEITON FOR VARIANCE AND ALLE VAL OF MODIFIED PLAN and Road and was some and Road and related Road, ± 530' . _ n n Drive 1st Election District 2nd Councilmanic District BEFORE THE DEPUTY UNITED BOOK PRESS, INC. Legal Owner of 1807 Whitehead Road and Contract Purchaser of 1815 Whitehead Road and LEROY E. WOLK and

DANIEL KATZ, Surviving Partners of LSD Partnership and LEROY E. WOLK, STANFORD G. GANN, * ANDREW SANDLER, and SIDNEY LEVIN, TRUSTEES under Wills of Dorothea * F. Wolk and Seymour Wolf, Legal Owners of 1815 Whitehead Road *

ZONING COMMISSIONER

BALTIMORE COUNTY

Case No. 96-477-A (Item 487)

FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

This matter comes before the Deputy Zoning Commissioner as a Petition for a Zoning Variance and approval of a Modified Plan for the contiguous properties known as 1807 Whitehead Road (identified as Parcel B) and 1815 Whitehead Road (identified as Parcel A) (hereinafter collectively referred to as the Properties) in the Woodlawn area of Baltimore County. The variance relief for the Properties is requested from Sections 409.6A 2 & 3 and Sections 233.3 B & C of the Baltimore County Zoning Regulations (BCZR) to permit a combined forty-seven (47) parking spaces for both Properties combined in lieu of the required fifty-six (56) parking spaces, and to permit fifty-five (55) manufacturing employees during the shift that employs the most persons for Parcel B in lieu of the twenty-five (25) person limit and thirty (30) manufacturing employees during the shift that employs the most persons for Parcel A in lieu of the twentyfive (25) person limit, respectively. The Petition also requests

aforement oned number of manufacturing employees in each Parcel

approval of a Modified Plan for the allowance of the

in lion of the twenty-five (25) person maximum allowed under the All of the relief requested is more particularly shown on the Plan that was attached to the Petition filed in this case, a color under version having been introduced as Petitioner's Exhibit No. / . Appearing at the public hearing for this case were the tellowing persons: William Monk, the Land Planner who ple and the llan that was filed with the Petition, as well as the line plan previously identified hereinabove as

COURTHOUSE COMMONS 222 BOSLEY AVENUE TOWSON MD 21204

91-477-A

SUITE C-6

410-494-8931

FAX 410-494-9903

WILLIAM MONK, INC.

SITE PLANNING DESIGN • ZONING • DEVELOPMENT SERVICES

File

Variance Case 1807 - 1815 Whitehead Road

At the time of the hearing the applicant will present documentation establishing Leroy Wolk as authorized to speak for the partnership/owner of 1815 Whitehead

> Maria de la constanta de la co The transfer of the same of th

ting the properties of the control o The second state of the second u<u>l.</u>10.35 13:49 No.024 P.01

LAW OFFICES BLUM, YUMKAS, MAILMAN, GUTMAN & DENICK, P.A. 1200 MERCANTILE BANK & TRUST BUILDING 2 HOPKINS PLAZA BALTIMORE, MARYLAND 21201-2914 (410) 385-4000

FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

FAX (410) 385-4070

CLIENT NUMBER __11659-25 INCLUDING COVER SHEET TRANSMIT TO: TELECOPY NUMBER: 887-3468 Timothy M. Kotroco CITY/STATE/COUNTRY _____Towson, MD. TELEPHONE: 887-3468 FROM: NAME: ___ANTHONY P. PALAIGOS, ESOUIRE DIRECT DIAL TELEPHONE: (410) 385-4027 MESSAGE __Dear Mr. Kotroco: Enclosed please find a draft of your proposed Order in case number 96-477-A. Once you have reviewed same, please call me so that I can put same in final form.

THE INFORMALION IN THIS FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION IS INTENDED ONLY FOR THE USE OF THE INDIVIDUAL OR ENTITY TO WHICH IT IS ADDRESSED, AND MAY CONTAIN. DRMATION THAT IS PRIVILEGED, CONFIDENTIAL AND EXEMPT FROM DISCLOSURE UNDER APPLICABLE LAW. It ... E READER OF THIS MESSAGE IS NOT THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, OR THE EMPLOYEE OR AGENT RESPONSIBLE FOR DELIVERING THE MESSAGE TO THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, YOU ARE PROHIBITED. IF YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS COMMUNICATION IN ERROR, PLEASE NOTIFY US IMMEDIATELY BY TELEPHONE (410)385-4014 AND RETURN THE ORIGINAL MESSAGE TO US AT THE ABOVE ADDRESS VIA THE U.S. POSTAL

E. PA TEL Mo.410-335-4070 Jul <u>10</u>.96 13:49 No.024 P.02

> LAW OFFICES BLUM, YUMKAS, MAILMAN, GUTMAN & DENICK, P.A. 1200 MERCANTILE BANK & TRUST BUILDING Z HOPKINS PLAZA

BALTIMORE, MARYLAND 21201-2914 (410) 385-4000

> FAX (410) 385-4070 (410) 385-4027

WRITER'S DIRECT DIAL

July 10, 1996

VIA FACSIMILE NO. 887-3468 Timothy M. Kotroco

Deputy Zoning Commissioner for Baltimore County Office of Zoning Administration and Development Management 111 West Chesapeake Avenue Towson, Maryland 21204

> Re: Case No. 96-477-A (Item 487) Subject: Proposed Order Our File No. 11659(25)

Dear Mr. Kotroco:

ANTHONY P. PALAIGOS

Enclosed please find a draft of your Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law in regards to the above captioned proceeding.

Unfortunately, I did not write down what numbers you gave to the various exhibits introduced at the public hearing on Tuesday, July 9, 1996, and as a result I left those exhibit numbers blank in your proposed Findings of Fact and Conclusions

If after you have reviewed the proposed Order, you give me the exhibit numbers, I will insert them into the final hard copy of this proposed Order and have delivered directly to you for your signature.

I look forward to hearing from you and preparing a final Order for your approval and signature.

> Very truly yours, Anthony P. Palaigos

Enclosure

RETURN TO: 4-119080-05 hicago Title Insurance Company 10 St. Paul Street THIS DEED is made as of the Eighth day of December, 1994, from A&E PARTNERS, L.P., a Delaware limited partnership, Grantor to UNITED BOOK PRESS, INC., a Maryland THE GRANTOR, for a consideration of One Million, Two Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$1,200,000.00) grants, conveys and assigns to the Grantee its successors and assigns, in fee simple, the real property located in Baltimore County, Maryland, and described in Exhibit A. THE improvements thereon are known as No. 1807 Whitehead Road. BEING a part of all that real property which by Deed dated September 30, 1994, and recorded among the Land Records of Baltimore County, Maryland, in Liber SM No. 10780, folio 523, was granted and conveyed from Meacows Park Limited Partnership, a Maryland TOGETHER WITH all improvements thereupon, and the rights, alleys, ways, waters, easements, privileges, appurtenances and advantages belonging or appertaining thereto. TO HAVE AND TO HOLD the property hereby conveyed unto the Grantee, its successors and assigns, in fee simple, forever. THE GRANTOR covenants to warrant specially the property, and to execute such further assurances of the property as may be requisite. IN TESTIMONY WHEREOF, Grantor has caused this Deed to be executed and delivered by its general partners, being authorized so to do, as the act and deed of the partnership. RECEIVED FOR TRANSFER A & E PARTNERS, L.P., a Delaware limited partnership, by its general partners, EMMES MEADOWS CORP., a Delaware corporation EA COOZ:27PH12/14/94

and the second s ORIGINAL LIBERG 4 5 8 FASE 4 5 6

CONFIRMATORY DEED

THIS CONFIRMATORY DEED, made as of October 25 1982, between MEADOWS WAREHOUSE CORP., a corporation of the State of Maryland, hereinafter called "Meadows", of the first part, and LEROY E. WOLK, Trustee, t/a WOLK PRINTING CO., hereinafter called "Wolk", of the second part.

Whereas, the deed from Meadows to Wolk, dated April 24, 1981 and recorded among the Land Records of Baltimore County in Liber No. 6294, folio 249 ("Deed") contains certain errors in Paragraph 2 thereof; and Whereas, Meadows and Wolk desire to correct these

errors by this Confirmatory Deed;

NOW, THEREFORE, for valuable consideration, the parties hereby agree that the Deed is hereby amended to read as

"WITNESSETH, that in consideration of the sum of Twenty-Five Thousand Dollars (\$25,000.00), Meadows does grant and convey unto Wolk, its successors and assigns, in fee simple, all those parcels of ground, situate, lying and being in Baltimore County, State of Maryland, aforesaid, and described as follows, that is

The property (hereinafter called "the Property") is situated in the First Election District of Baltimore County, Maryland, shown and designated as "Area to be Acquired 0.455 Acres ± (By Wolk) " on that plat (the "Plat") entitled "Resubdivision of Part of Parcel "F" Meadows Industrial Park," dated December 9, 1980, and recorded in the aforesaid Land Records at Plat Book EHK, Jr. No. 47, folio 59, consisting of 0.455 acres, more or less, being part of that property conveyed to Meadows by Deed from Hochschild Kohn & Co., Incorporated, dated January 27, 1967, and recorded among the aforesaid Land Records in Liber O.T.G. No. 4722,

Together with the buildings and improvements thereupon erected, and all the rights, alleys, ways, water, privileges, appurentances and advantages, to the same belonging, or anywise appertaining.

Director of Finance
SALTIMORE COUNTY MARYLAND
Per Authorized Schature
Date # 18 12 Sec. 11-85 -

