IN RE: PETITION FOR VARIANCE
W/S Beach Avenue, 530' NW
Centerline Rockaway Beach Avenue
15th Election District
5th Councilmanic District
(2403 Beach Avenue)

Pamela Hengemihle & Lucinda Garriques Petitioners

BEFORE THE

DEPUTY ZONING COMMISSIONER

OF BALTIMORE COUNTY

CASE NO. 00-294

FEB 2 8 2000

FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

This matter comes before this Deputy Zoning Commissioner as a Petition for Variance filed by the legal owners of the subject property, Pamela Hengemihle and Lucinda Garriques. The Petitioners are requesting a variance for property they own at 2403 Beach Avenue, which property is zoned D.R.3.5. The variance request is from Sections 303.1, 1B02.3.C, 303 and 304 of the Baltimore County Zoning Regulations (B.C.Z.R.), to permit a dwelling to have a front yard setback of 16 ft. in lieu of the required front average of 25.8 ft., a side yard setback of 6 ft. totaling a sum of 12 ft. in lieu of the required 10 ft. and 25 ft. respectively, to allow an open projection with a setback of 8 ft. in lieu of the required 19.3 ft., and to approve an undersized lot.

Appearing at the hearing on behalf of the variance request were Lucinda Garriques and Pamela Hengemihle, property owners, Cheryl Williams, homebuilder and Bruce Doak, property line surveyor. There were no others in attendance.

Testimony and evidence indicated that the property, which is the subject of this variance request consists of 0.193 acres, more or less, and is zoned D.R.3.5. The subject property is a waterfront lot located on Sue Creek in the Turkey Point area of Baltimore County. The property is currently improved with a small one-story single family frame dwelling. The Petitioners are

PROEST PRECEIVED FOR FILING Fig. 2/23/00 By 76 James & S.

OFFICE NECESTAGE SOFFILING

ONE OF A STAGE

ON

desirous of tearing down the old shore home and constructing a new single family residential dwelling in its place. In order to proceed with their plans, the variance requests are necessary.

An area variance may be granted where strict application of the zoning regulations would cause practical difficulty to the Petitioners and their property. McLean v. Soley, 270 Md. 208 (1973). To prove practical difficulty for an area variance, the Petitioners must meet the following:

- 1) whether strict compliance with requirement would unreasonably prevent the use of the property for a permitted purpose or render conformance unnecessarily burdensome;
- 2) whether a grant of the variance would do a substantial justice to the applicant as well as other property owners in the district or whether a lesser relaxation than that applied for would give sufficient relief; and,
- 3) whether relief can be granted in such fashion that the spirit of the ordinance will be observed and public safety and welfare secured.

Anderson v. Bd. Of Appeals, Town of Chesapeake Beach, 22 Md. App. 28 (1974).

After due consideration of the testimony and evidence presented, it is clear that practical difficulty or unreasonable hardship will result if the variance is not granted. It has been established that special circumstances or conditions exist that are peculiar to the property which is the subject of this request and that the requirements from which the Petitioners seek relief will unduly restrict the use of the land due to the special conditions unique to this particular parcel. In addition, the relief requested will not cause any injury to the public health, safety or general welfare, and meets the spirit and intent of the B.C.Z.R.

The facts and evidence presented tend to establish that special conditions or circumstances exist which are peculiar to the land or structures located within the Chesapeake Bay Critical Areas of Baltimore County; that to deny the relief requested would result in practical difficulty, unreasonable hardship, or severe economic hardship upon the Petitioner; and

CHOEST PROFINED FOR FILING
Date 2/23/00

that strict compliance with the Chesapeake Bay Critical Area requirements and the B.C.Z.R. would deprive the Petitioners of rights commonly enjoyed by other properties in similar areas within the Chesapeake Bay Critical Areas in Baltimore County. The granting of the relief requested will not confer upon the Petitioners any special privilege that would be denied by the critical area regulations to other lands or structures within the Chesapeake Bay Critical Areas. The relief requested is in harmony with the general spirit and intent of the Critical Areas legislation for Baltimore County and conforms to the requirements as set forth in Section 500.14 of the B.C.Z.R.

In accordance with Section 500.14 of the B.C.Z.R., the Director of the Department of Environmental Protection and Resource Management has submitted recommendations (attached herewith) which describe what steps the Petitioners must take to insure that the relief requested complies with the following Chesapeake Bay Critical Areas requirements to:

- Minimize adverse impacts on water quality that result from pollutants that are discharged from structures or conveyances or that have run off from surrounding lands;
- 2) Conserve fish, wildlife, and plant habitat; and
- 3) Be consistent with established land use policies for development in the Chesapeake Bay Critical Area which accommodate growth and also address the fact that, even if pollution is controlled, the number, movement, and activities of persons in that area can create adverse environmental impacts.

These recommendations shall be attached hereto and become a permanent part of the decision rendered in this case. There is no evidence in the record that the relief requested would adversely affect the health, safety, and/or general welfare of the public provided there is compliance with the requirements of the Department of Environmental Protection and Resource Management as more fully described below.

Pursuant to the advertisement, posting of the property, and public hearing on this Petition held, and after considering the testimony and evidence offered by the Petitioners, I find that the Petitioners' variance request should be granted.

THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED this day of February, 2000, by this Deputy Zoning Commissioner, that the Petitioners' request for variance from Sections 303.1, 1B02.3.C, 303 and 304 of the Baltimore County Zoning Regulations (B.C.Z.R.), to permit a dwelling to have a front yard setback of 16 ft. in lieu of the required front average of 25.8 ft., a side yard setback of 6 ft. totaling a sum of 12 ft. in lieu of the required 10 ft. and 25 ft. respectively, to allow an open projection with a setback of 8 ft. in lieu of the required 19.3 ft., and to approve an undersized lot, be and is hereby GRANTED, subject, however, to the following restrictions which are conditions precedent to the relief granted herein:

- 1. The Petitioners may apply for their building permit and be granted same upon receipt of this Order; however, Petitioners are hereby made aware that proceeding at this time is at their own risk until such time as the 30 day appellate process from this Order has expired. If, for whatever reason, this Order is reversed, the Petitioners would be required to return, and be responsible for returning, said property to its original condition.
- 2. Compliance with the Zoning Plans Advisory Committee (ZAC) comment submitted by the Department of Environmental Protection and Resource Management (DEPRM) dated January 28, 2000, a copy of which is attached hereto and made a part hereof.
- 3. When applying for a building permit, the site plan filed must reference this case and set forth and address the restrictions of this Order.

TIMOTHY M. KOTROCO

DEPUTY ZONING COMMISSIONER

FOR BALTIMORE COUNTY

TMK:raj

part for covering the

4

BALTIMORE COUNTY, MARYLAND DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION & RESOURCE MANAGEMENT

TO:	Arnold Jablon			
FROM:	R. Bruce Seeley		RECEIVED FEB 0 4 2000	
DATE: January 28, 20		000		
SUBJECT:	Zoning Item	#294 2403 Beach Avenue		
Zonin	g Advisory Co	mmittee Meeting of Janua	ry 24, 2000	
	-	Environmental Protection a ove-referenced zoning item	and Resource Management has no	
an ext	ension for the		and Resource Management requests need zoning item to determine the by to the site.	
	•	Environmental Protection a ents on the above-reference	and Resource Management offers ed zoning item:	
	Protection of		ply with the Regulations for the Wetlands and Floodplains (Sections e County Code).	
	-		aply with the Forest Conservation 4-422 of the Baltimore County	
X	Critical Area		nply with the Chesapeake Bay 436 through 26-461, and other e).	

Reviewer: Keith Kelley

Date: January 28, 2000





Petition for Variance

to the Zoning Commissioner of Baltimore County

for the property located at <u>2403 BEACH AVENUE</u> which is presently zoned <u>DR-3.5</u>

This Petition shall be filed with the Department of Permits and Development Management. The undersigned, legal owner(s) of the property situate in Baltimore County and which is described in the description and plat attached hereto and made a part hereof, hereby petition for a Variance from Section(s) 303.1, 1802.3.0, 303, 304

SEE ATTACHED

of the Zoning Regulations of Baltimore County, to the zoning law of Baltimore County, for the following reasons: (indicate

hardship or practical difficulty)

REV 9115198

The lot is undersized for today's living standard. Being only 50' wide does not conform to the current zoning requirement of minium 60' wide lots. The building envelope is also dimished by the 85' water line buffer area. The proposed house is normal size for house's being built today, and it had to be longer due to the narrowness of the lot. There are other houses, garages and structures in the area which are situated closer to the water and closer to the road.

I/We do solemnly declare and affirm, under the penalties of

Property is to be posted and advertised as prescribed by the zoning regulations.

I, or we, agree to pay expenses of above Variance, advertising, posting, etc. and further agree to and are to be bounded by the zoning regulations and restrictions of Baltimore County adopted pursuant to the zoning law for Baltimore County.

perjury, that I/we are the legal owner(s) of the property which is the subject of this Petition. Contract Purchaser/Lessee: Legal Owner(s): PAMELA Name - Type or Print Name - Type or Print Signature Signature LUCIMDA Address Telephone No. Name - Type/or Prin City State Zip Code Signature 4/0-391-5617 2403 BEACH Attorney For Petitioner: Telephone No. Address BALTIMORE Name - Type or Print Representative to be Contacted: Signature BRUCE DOAK GENHOLD CRUSS + ETZEL Company Name 320 E. TOWSONTOWN BLUD SUITE 100 Address Telephone No. Address Telephone No. BALTO 21286 State City Zip Code OFFICE USE ONLY ESTIMATED LENGTH OF HEARING Case No UNAVAILABLE FOR HEARING Reviewed By ______

A FRONT YARD SETBACK OF IG FEET

IN LIEU OF THE REQUIRED FRONT

AVERAGE OF 25.8 FEET, A SIDE

YARD SETBACK OF G FEET TOTALING

A SUM OF 12 FFET IN LIEU OF THE

REQUIRED 10 FEET AND 25 FEET

RESPECTIVELY, TO HAVE AN OPEN

PROJECTION WITH A SETBACK OF 8

FEET IN LIEU OF THE REQUIRED

19.3 FEET AND ANY OTHER VARIANCES

DEEMED NECCESARY BY THE ZONING

COMMISSIONER. AND TO APPROVE

AN UNDERSIZED LOT.

BALTIMORE COUNTY, MARYLAND

INTER-OFFICE CORRESPONDENCE

Date: January 28, 2000

RECEIVED FEB 0 2 2000

TO: Arnold Jablon, Director

Department of Permits

and Development Management

FROM: Arnold F. 'Pat' Keller, III, Director

Office of Planning

SUBJECT: Zoning Advisory Petitions

The Office of Planning has no comment on the following petition(s):

Item No(s): 284, 290, and 294)

If there should be any questions or this office can provide additional information, please

contact Jeffrey Long in the Office of Planning at 410-887-3480.

Section Chief:

AFK/JL

BALTIMORE COUNTY, MARYLAND

INTEROFFICE CORRESPONDENCE

TO:

Arnold Jablon, Director Department of Permits and Development Management

DATE: February 3, 2000

FROM:

Robert W. Bowling, Supervisor Bureau of Development Plans Review

SUBJECT: Zoning Advisory Committee Meeting

for January 31, 2000

Item Nos. 286, 288, 290, 291, 293,

(294,) and 295

The Bureau of Development Plans Review has reviewed the subject zoning items, and we have no comments.

RWB: HJO: jrb

cc: File

BALTIMORE COUNTY, MARYLAND DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION & RESOURCE MANAGEMENT

TO:	Arnold Jablon	· :	DE0=0
FROM:	R. Bruce Seele	eylus	RECEIVED FEB 0 4 2000
DATE: January 28		000	
SUBJECT:	Zoning Item	#294 2403 Beach Avenue	
Zonir	ng Advisory Con	nmittee Meeting of Ja	nuary 24, 2000
	_	nvironmental Protective-referenced zoning	on and Resource Management has no item.
an ex	tension for the re		on and Resource Management requests ferenced zoning item to determine the apply to the site.
	•	nvironmental Protection on the above-reference	on and Resource Management offers enced zoning item:
· , ———	Protection of V		comply with the Regulations for the as, Wetlands and Floodplains (Sections more County Code).
-			comply with the Forest Conservation the 14-422 of the Baltimore County
. <u>X</u>	Critical Area I		comply with the Chesapeake Bay 26-436 through 26-461, and other Code).

Date: January 28, 2000

Reviewer: Keith Kelley



Office of the Fire Marshal 700 East Joppa Road Towson, Maryland 21286-5500 410-887-4880

January 28, 2000

RECEIVED FEB 0 4 2000

Department of Permits and
Development Management (PDM)
County Office Building, Room 111
Mail Stop #1105
111 West Chesapeake Avenue
Towson, Maryland 21204

ATTENTION: Gwen Stephens

RE: Property Owner: PAMELA A. HENGEMIHLE AND LUCINDA GARRIQUES

Location: DISTRIBUTION MEETING OF JANUARY 24, 2000 Item No.: 294

Dear Ms. Stephens:

Pursuant to your request, the referenced property has been surveyed by this Bureau and the comments below are applicable and required to be corrected or incorporated into the final plans for the property.

- 1. Fire hydrants for the referenced property are required and shall be located at proper intervals, along an approved road in accordance with Baltimore County Standard Design Manual Sec. 2.4.4 Fire Hydrants, as published by the Department of Public Works.
- 9. ACCESS FOR FIRE DEPARTMENT EMERGENCY APPARATUS IS NEEDED AT THE FOLLOWING PORTION(S) OF THE BUILDING FRONT. THE ACCESS ROAD SHALL BE A MINIMUM OF 18 FEET IN WIDTH.

REVIEWER: LIEUTENANT HERB TAYLOR, Fire Marshal's Office

PHONE 887-4881, MS-1102F

cc: File



RE: PETITION FOR VARIANCE 2403 Beach Avenue, W/S Beach Ave, 530' NW of c/l Rockaway Beach Ave 15th Election District, 5th Councilmanic

Legal Owner: Pamela A. Hengemihle and Lucinda Garriques Petitioner(s)

- BEFORE THE
- * ZONING COMMISSIONER
- * FOR
- * BALTIMORE COUNTY
- * Case No. 00-294-A

ENTRY OF APPEARANCE

Please enter the appearance of the People's Counsel in the above-captioned matter. Notice should be sent of any hearing dates or other proceedings in this matter and of the passage of any preliminary or final Order.

PETER MAX ZIMMERMAN

People's Counsel for Baltimore County

CAROLE S. DEMILIO

Deputy People's Counsel

Old Courthouse, Room 47

400 Washington Avenue

Towson, MD 21204

(410) 887-2188

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on this 7th day of February, 2000 a copy of the foregoing Entry of Appearance was mailed to Bruce E. Doak, Gerhold, Cross & Etzel, Ltd., 320 E. Towsontowne Blvd., Suite 100, Towson, MD 21286, representative for Petitioners.

PETER MAX ZIMMERMAN