#### IN RE: PETITION FOR ADMIN. VARIANCE

SW side of Thompson Blvd., 900 feet SE from the c/l of Sandal Wood Road 15<sup>th</sup> Election District 7<sup>th</sup> Councilmanic District (924 Thompson Blvd.)

Robert C. Waldhauser *Petitioner* 

- \* BEFORE THE
- \* OFFICE OF
- \* ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS
- FOR BALTIMORE COUNTY
- \* Case No. 2011-0224-A

#### OPINION AND ORDER

This matter comes before the Office of Administrative Hearings as a Petition for Administrative Variance filed by the legal owner of the subject waterfront property, Robert C. Waldhauser for property located at 924 Thompson Blvd. The variance request is from Section 1B02.3.C.1 of the Baltimore County Zoning Regulations ("B.C.Z.R.") to permit side yards of 1 existing foot and 5 feet in lieu of the required 10 feet and 10 feet for an addition to an existing dwelling. The subject property and requested relief are more particularly described on Petitioner's Exhibit No. 1. Petitioner desires to construct a one story addition of 975 square feet on the front or water side of the existing residence. The 5 feet side yard variance is requested because of the existing 45 feet lot width, location of the existing dwelling in relation to the property lines, and to construct the addition to conform to the layout/design of the existing dwelling. The proposed carport does not require a variance; however, by attaching the proposed carport to the existing utility building, a variance is needed. The file contains a letter of support from the neighbors residing at 922 Thompson Blvd.

The Zoning Advisory Committee (ZAC) comments were received and are made part of the record of this case. Comments were received from the Office of Planning dated February 7, 2011, which states that it appears the proposed carport and breezeway to the existing house will be roofed but open on the sides. The second story addition and water front one story addition will be

ORDER RECEIVED FOR FILING

Bv\_\_\_\_

enclosed. The Planning Office does not oppose the request provided certain conditions are met. These conditions include building materials should be similar to that of the existing dwelling, and vegetative screening on the north side of the dwelling. Comments were received from the Bureau of Development Plans Review dated February 2, 2011. The comments indicate that the first floor or basement must be at least one foot above the flood plain elevation in all construction, the building should be designed and adequately anchored to prevent flotation or collapse and constructed of materials resistant to flood damage. Flood-resistant construction should be in accordance with the Baltimore County Building Code which adopts the International Building Code. Comments were received from the Department of Environmental Protection and Sustainability (DEPS) dated February 15, 2011. DEPS has reviewed the subject zoning petition for compliance with the goals of the State-mandated Critical Area Law listed in the Baltimore County Zoning Regulations, Section 500.14. Based upon this review, they offer the following comments:

- 1. This waterfront property is located in a Limited Development Area and a Buffer Management Area within the Chesapeake Bay Critical Area. Development of this property must comply with a maximum lot coverage limit of 31.25% with mitigation for the lot coverage amount over 25%, must meet restrictions on development within the 100-foot tidal buffer, and must meet a 15% tree cover requirement (minimum of 5 trees). Based on this, DEPS has determined that adverse impacts on water quality from the pollutants discharged from the proposed development can be minimized with compliance and mitigation pursuant to Critical Area requirements. Mitigation requirements may include removal of lot coverage and the planting of native trees and shrubs.
- 2. The subject development can meet the requirement to conserve fish, wildlife, and plant habitat by bringing the site into compliance with all Critical Area requirements and through mitigation.
- 3. The proposed development is permitted under the State-mandated Critical Area regulations provided that development is in compliance with all Critical Area requirements. Lot coverage on the property and within the tidal buffer is limited. Compliance with the Critical Area requirements, and mitigation can allow the subject development to be consistent with established land use policy for development in the Chesapeake Bay Critical Area which accommodate growth and also address the fact that, even if pollution is controlled, the number, movement, and activities of persons in that area can create adverse environmental impacts.

ORDER RECEIVE	D FOR FILING
---------------	--------------

Date\_\_\_\_\_ 2-24-1

The Petitioner having filed a Petition for Administrative Variance and the subject property having been posted on February 6, 2011, and there being no request for a public hearing, a decision shall be rendered based upon the documentation presented.

The Petitioner has filed the supporting affidavits as required by Section 32-3-303 of the Baltimore County Code. Based upon the information available, there is no evidence in the file to indicate that the requested variance would adversely affect the health, safety or general welfare of the public and should therefore be granted. In the opinion of the Administrative Law Judge, the information, photographs, and affidavits submitted provide sufficient facts that comply with the requirements of Section 307.1 of the B.C.Z.R. Furthermore, strict compliance with the B.C.Z.R. would result in practical difficulty and/or unreasonable hardship upon the Petitioner.

Pursuant to the posting of the property and the provisions of both the Baltimore County Code and the Baltimore County Zoning Regulations, and for the reasons given above, the requested variance should be granted.

- 1. The Petitioner may apply for his building permit and be granted same upon receipt of this Order; however, Petitioner is hereby made aware that proceeding at this time is at his own risk until such time as the 30 day appellate process from this Order has expired. If, for whatever reason, this Order is reversed, the Petitioner would be required to return, and be responsible for returning, said property to its original condition.
- 2. The building materials for the proposed additions shall be similar to that of the existing dwelling.

ORDER RECEIVED FOR FILING

Date	2-24-11	3
Rv	R	

- 3. There shall be vegetative screening on the north side of the dwelling. The proposed addition on that side will not have windows and therefore shall be visually enhanced. A 58 feet black wall shall be mitigated with mature vertical plantings.
- 4. The base flood elevation for this site is 7.7 feet [NAVD 88].
- 5. The flood protection elevation for this site is 8.7 feet.
- 6. In conformance with Federal Flood Insurance Requirements, the first floor or basement floor must be at least 1 foot above the flood plain elevation in all construction.
- 7. The property to be developed is located adjacent to tidewater. The developer is advised that the proper sections of the Baltimore County Building Code must be followed whereby elevation limitations are placed on the lowest floor (including basements) of residential (commercial) development.
- 8. The building engineer shall require a permit for this project.
- 9. The building shall be designed and adequately anchored to prevent flotation, collapse, or lateral movement of structure with materials resistant to flood damage.
- 10. Flood-resistant construction shall be in accordance with the Baltimore County Building Code which adopts, with exceptions, the International Building Code.
- 11. Development of the subject property shall be in compliance with all Critical Area requirements.
- 12. This waterfront property is located in a Limited Development Area and a Buffer Management Area within the Chesapeake Bay Critical Area. Development of this property must comply with a maximum lot coverage limit of 31.25% with mitigation for the lot coverage amount over 25%, must meet restrictions on development within the 100-foot tidal buffer, and must meet a 15% tree cover requirement (minimum of 5 trees).

Any appeal of this decision must be made within thirty (30) days of the date of this Order.

WAWRENCE M. STAHL

Managing Administrative Law Judge

for Baltimore County

LMS:pz

ORDER RECEIVED FOR FILING

Date\_\_\_\_\_

4



KEVIN KAMENETZ County Executive LAWRENCE M. STAHL

Managing Administrative Law Judge

JOHN E. BEVERUNGEN

TIMOTHY M. KOTROCO

Administrative Law Judges

February 24, 2011

ROBERT C. WALDHAUSER 924 THOMPSON BLVD. BALTIMORE MD 21221

Re: Petition for Administrative Variance

Case No. 2011-0224-A

Property: 924 Thompson Blvd.

Dear Mr. Waldhauser:

Enclosed please find the decision rendered in the above-captioned case. The petition for Administrative Variance has been granted in accordance with the enclosed Order.

In the event the decision rendered is unfavorable to any party, please be advised that any party may file with the Department of Permits, Applications and Inspections an appeal within thirty (30) days from the date of this Order. If you require additional information concerning filing an appeal, please contact our appeals clerk at 410-887-3391.

AWRENCE M. STAHL

Managing Administrative Law Judge

for Baltimore County

LMS/pz

Enclosure

c: David Billingsley, Central Drafting & Design, Inc., 601 Charwood Court, Edgewood MD 21040



# Petition for Administrative Variance



to the Zoning Commissioner of Baltimore County for the property located at 974 THOMPSON BOULEYARD

DR 5.5 which is presently zoned

Deed Reference: 16/01 / 763 Tax Account # 1573002920

I/We do solemnly declare and affirm, under the penalties of

This Petition shall be filed with the Department of Permits and Development Management. The undersigned, legal owner(s) of the property situate in Baltimore County and which is described in the description and plat attached hereto and made a part hereof, hereby petition for a Variance from Section(s)

### SEE ATTACHED

of the zoning regulations of Baltimore County, to the zoning law of Baltimore County.

Property is to be posted and advertised as prescribed by the zoning regulations. I, or we, agree to pay expenses of above Administrative Variance, advertising, posting, etc. and further agree to and are to be bounded by the zoning regulations and restrictions of Baltimore County adopted pursuant to the zoning law for Baltimore County.

			perjury, that I/we are the I is the subject of this Petiti	egal owner(s) of the on.	property which	
Contract Purchase	r/Lessee:		Legal Owner(s):			
			ROBERT C.	WALDHAL	ISER	
Name - Type or Print			Name, Type or P	rint Dre thouse	)	
Signature			Signature	0.00000		
Address		Telephone No.	Name - Type or Print			
City	State	Zip Code	Signature		. \	
Attorney For Petition	oner:		924 THOMPSO	IN BLVD, (44	-3) 250 - 154	
			Address	140	Telephone No.	
Name - Type or Print			BALTQ	MD. State	Z/ZZ/ Zip Code	
Manie - Type of Film					zip code	
Signature			Representative to b			
oignatoro			DAVID BILLING	ETING & DE	SIGN INT	
Company			Name	. \		
			GOI CHARWOO.	D CT. (410)	679-8719	
Address		Telephone No.	Address		Telephone No.	
			EDGEWOOD	M0.	Z/04-0 Zip Code	
City	State	Zip Code	City	State	Zip Code	
A Public Hearing having bethis day of regulations of Baltimore Cou	th:	at the subject matter of	equired, it is ordered by the Zonin this petition be set for a public hea	ng Commissioner of Ba aring, advertised, as re	altimore County, equired by the zoning	
			Zoning Commission	oner of Baltimore Cour	nty	
Case No. 2011	-0274-H	,	00	- 1.70	2.11	
				_ Date	2.01	
REV 7/20/07 DER RE	ECEIVED FOR I	Estimated P	osting Date			
Date	7-24-11					
Date		тетинетыйцийні Айфа Мұйуйштошкайтейес — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —				
D	2					

## Affidavit in Support of Administrative Variance

The undersigned hereby affirms under the penalties of perjury to the Zoning Commissioner of Baltimore County, as follows: That the information herein given is within the personal knowledge of the Affiant(s) and that Affiant(s) is/are competent to testify thereto in the event that a public hearing is scheduled in the future with regard thereto.

That the Affiant(s) does/do presently reside at	974 THOM	MPSON BLYD.	
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Address BALTQ.	MO.	2/22/
	City	State	Zip Code

That based upon personal knowledge, the following are the facts upon which I/we base the request for an Administrative Variance at the above address (indicate hardship or practical difficulty):

## SEE ATTACHED

That the Affiant(s) acknowledge(s) that if a formal advertising fee and may be required to provide additionable to the control of the control	demand is filed, Affiant(s) will be required to pay a reposting and ional information.
Signature	Signature
ROBERT C. WALDHAUSER Name - Type or Print	Name - Type or Print
STATE OF MARYLAND, COUNTY OF HARFORD  I HEREBY CERTIFY, this 21-ST day of JA  of Maryland, in and for the County aforesaid, persons  ROBERT C. WALDHALISER	NUARY, 2011, before me, a Notary Public of the State
the Affiant(s) herein, personally known or satisfactori	hy itentified to me as such Attiant(s).
AS WITNESS my hand and Notarial Seal	Notary Public
	My Commission Expires 01.71,72

REV 7/24/07

I WISH TO CONSTRUCT A ONE STORY ADDITION (975 SQUARE FEET) ON THE FRONT OR WATER SIDE OF THE EXISTING RESIDENCE. BECAUSE OF THE EXISTING 45 FOOT LOT WIDTH, THE LOCATION OF THE EXISTING DWELLING IN RELATION TO THE PROPERTY LINES AND IN ORDER TO CONSTRUCT THE ADDITION TO CONFORM TO THE LAYOUT AND DESIGN OF THE EXISTING DWELLING, THE 5 FOOT SIDE YARD VARIANCE IS REQUESTED.

THE PROPOSED CARPORT, IN ITSELF, DOES NOT REQUIRE A VARIANCE, HOWEVER, BY

ATTACHING THE PROPOSED CARPORT TO THE EXISTING UTILITY BUILDING, I AM REQUIRED TO

REQUEST A VARIANCE TO MAINTAIN THE EXISTING 1 FOOT SETBACK.

Existing

SECTION 1B02.3.C.1 (BCZR) TO PERMIT SIDE YARDS OF \*FOOT AND 5
FEET IN LIEU OF THE REQUIRED 10 FEET AND 10 FEET FOR AN
ADDITION TO AN EXISTING DWELLING.

## **ZONING DESCRIPTION**

#### 924 THOMPSON BOULEVARD

Beginning at a point in the center of Thompson Boulevard (30 feet wide), distant 610 feet southeasterly from it's intersection with the center of Sandalwood Road (30 feet wide), thence (1) S 50 21 E 46.90 feet, thence (2) S 48 13 W 385 feet to a point along Back River, thence binding thereon (3) Northwesterly 46 feet, more or less, thence (4) N 47 56 E 393 feet to the place of beginning. Containing 17,900 square feet or 0.411 acre of land, more or less

Being known as 924 Thompson Boulevard. Located in the 15<sup>TH</sup> Election District, 7<sup>TH</sup>

Councilmanic District of Baltimore County, Md.

## **ZONING DESCRIPTION**

#### 924 THOMPSON BOULEVARD

Beginning at a point in the center of Thompson Boulevard (30 feet wide), distant 610 feet southeasterly from it's intersection with the center of Sandalwood Road (30 feet wide), thence (1) S 50 21 E 46.90 feet, thence (2) S 48 13 W 385 feet to a point along Back River, thence binding thereon (3) Northwesterly 46 feet, more or less, thence (4) N 47 56 E 393 feet to the place of beginning. Containing 17,900 square feet or 0.411 acre of land, more or less Being known as 924 Thompson Boulevard. Located in the 15<sup>TH</sup> Election District, 7<sup>TH</sup> Councilmanic District of Baltimore County, Md.

BALTIMORE COUNTY, MARYLA OFFICE OF BUDGET AND FINANCE MISCELLANEOUS CASH RECEIPT	63605 No. Date 7/26/11	PAID RECEIPT  NOTICES ACTUAL THE NAME  27/2011 1/25/2011 07:02:08 2
Fund Dept Unit Sub Unit Obj	Sub Rev/ >> Sub Obj Dept Obj BS Acct Amount 75	EIPT # 582739 1/26/2011 GFLN B 528 70MING VERIFICATION
Rec From: 1085=1 WALL For: 2011-0224-	Total:	
DISTRIBUTION  WHITE: CASHIER PINK - AGENCY YELLOW PLEASE PRESS HARD!		CASHIER'S VALIDATION

#### BALTIMORE COUNTY, MARYLAND

#### INTER-OFFICE CORRESPONDENCE

TO:

Arnold Jablon, Director

Department of Permits, Approvals and Inspections

FROM:

Jeff Mayhew

(Acting) Director, Office of Planning

**SUBJECT:** 

974 Thompson Boulevard

**INFORMATION:** 

Item Number:

11-224

**Petitioner:** 

Robert C. Waldhauser

Zoning:

**DR 5.5** 

Requested Action:

**Administrative Variance** 

The petitioner requests an administrative variance to permit an existing side yard of 1 foot and 5 feet in lieu of the required 10 feet and 10 feet respectively for an addition to an existing dwelling.

#### **SUMMARY OF RECOMMENDATIONS:**

The Office of Planning has reviewed the petitioner's request and accompanying site plan. The following comments are offered:

It appears that the proposed carport and breezeway to the existing house will be roofed but open on the sides. The second storey addition and water front one storey addition will be enclosed. The Office of Planning does not oppose the subject requests provided the following conditions are met:

- 1. Building materials for the proposed additions should be similar to that of the existing dwelling.
- 2. There should be vegetative screening on the north side of the home. The proposed addition on that side will not have windows and therefore should be visually enhanced. A 58-foot blank wall should be mitigated with mature vertical plantings.

For further information concerning the matters stated here in, please contact John Alexander at 410-887-3480.

Prepared by:

JM/LL: CM

RECEIVED

DATE: February 7, 2011

RECEIVED

ZONING COMMISSIONER

FFB 09 : 811

**ZONING COMMISSIONER** 

#### **BALTIMORE COUNTY, MARYLAND**

#### **Inter-Office Correspondence**

RECEIVED



FEB 2 3 2011

ZONING COMMISSIONER

TO:

Lawrence M. Stahl; Managing Administrative Law Judge

Office of Administrative Hearings

FROM:

Dave Lykens, Department of Environmental Protection and Sustainability (DEPS) -

**Development Coordination** 

DATE:

February 15, 2011

SUBJECT:

DEPS Comment for Zoning Item

# 11-224-A

Address

924 Thompson Boulevard (Waldhauser Property)

Zoning Advisory Committee Meeting of January 31, 2011.

DEPS has reviewed the subject zoning petition for compliance with the goals of the Statemandated Critical Area Law listed in the Baltimore County Zoning Regulations, Section 500.14. Based upon this review, we offer the following comments:

- 1. This waterfront property is located in a Limited Development Area and a Buffer Management Area within the Chesapeake Bay Critical Area. Development of this property must comply with a maximum lot coverage limit of 31.25% with mitigation for the lot coverage amount over 25%, must meet restrictions on development within the 100-foot tidal buffer, and must meet a 15% tree cover requirement (minimum of 5 trees). Based on this, DEPS has determined that adverse impacts on water quality from the pollutants discharged from the proposed development can be minimized with compliance and mitigation pursuant to Critical Area requirements. Mitigation requirements may include removal of lot coverage and the planting of native trees and shrubs.
- 2. The subject development can meet the requirement to conserve fish, wildlife, and plant habitat by bringing the site into compliance with all Critical Area requirements and through mitigation.
- 3. The proposed development is permitted under the State-mandated Critical Area regulations provided that development is in compliance with all Critical Area requirements. Lot coverage on the property and within the tidal buffer is limited. Compliance with the Critical Area requirements, and mitigation can allow the subject development to be consistent with established land use policy for development in the Chesapeake Bay Critical Area which accommodate growth and also address the fact that, even if pollution is controlled, the number, movement, and activities of persons in that area can create adverse environmental impacts.

- Paul Dennis; Environmental Impact Review

## BALTIMORE COUNTY, MARYLAND INTEROFFICE CORRESPONDENCE

DATE: February 2, 2011

TO:

Timothy M. Kotroco, Director

Department of Permits & Development

Management

FROM:

Dennis A. Kennedy, Supervisor

Bureau of Development Plans Review

SUBJECT:

**Zoning Advisory Committee Meeting** 

For February 7, 2011 Item No. 2011-224

The Bureau of Development Plans Review has reviewed the subject zoning item and we have the following comment(s).

The base flood elevation for this site is 7.7 feet [NAVD 88].

The flood protection elevation is 8.7 feet.

In conformance with Federal Flood Insurance requirements, the first floor or basement floor must be at least 1 foot above the flood plain elevation in all construction.

The property to be developed is located adjacent to tidewater. The developer is advised that the proper sections of the *Baltimore County Building Code* must be followed whereby elevation limitations are placed on the lowest floor (*including basements*) of residential (*commercial*) development.

The building engineer shall require a permit for this project.

The building shall be designed and adequately anchored to prevent flotation, collapse, or lateral movement of structure with materials resistant to flood damage.

Flood-resistant construction shall be in accordance with the Baltimore County Building Code which adopts, with exceptions, the *International Building Code*.

DAK:CEN:cab

cc: File

ZAC-ITEM NO 11-224-02072011.doc

## LETTER OF TRANSMITTAL

#### CENTRAL DRAFTING AND DESIGN, INC. 601 CHARWOOD COURT EDGEWOOD, MARYLAND 21040 (410) 679-8719 FAX (410) 679-1298

ZONING REVIEW	SUBJEC	CT: 974 THOMPSON BLVO.
		CASE NO. 2011-0224
ATTENTION:	OUR F	ILE: 1056
WE ARE TRANSMITTING VIA	MESSENGEI U.S. MAIL	THE FOLLOWING:
OUANTITY	DESCRIPTION AND/OR I	ПЕ
1 COPY	OF APPROYAL B	Y ADJACENT PROPERTY
OWNE	RS	
		COMMENTS
		COMMENTS PLACE IN CASE FILE
TRANSMITTED AS INDICATED:		
TRANSMITTED AS INDICATED:	PLEASE	PLACE IN CASE FILE

Lawrence Stahl, Managing Administrative Law Judge / Zoning Commissioner 105 West Chesapeake Avenue, Suite 103 Towson, Md. 21204

**RE: 924 Thompson Boulevard** Case No. 2011-0224-A

We, the undersigned, have reviewed the Plat to Accompany Petition for Zoning Variance filed by Robert C. Waldhauser and have no objection to the approval of same.

OWNER: 922 THOMPSON BOULEVARD

**OWNER: 926 THOMPSON BOULEVARD** 



KEVIN KAMENETZ County Executive

ARNOLD JABLON
Deputy Administrative Officer
Director, Department of Permits,
Approvals & Inspections

February 14, 2011

Mr. Robert Waldhauser 924 Thompson Blvd. Baltimore, MD 21221

RE: Case Number 2011-0224A, 924 Thompson Blvd., Baltimore, MD 21221

Dear Mr. Waldhauser,

The above referenced petition was accepted for processing **ONLY** by the Bureau of Zoning Review, Department of Permits and Development Management (PDM) on January 28, 2011. This letter is not an approval, but only a **NOTIFICATION**.

The Zoning Advisory Committee (ZAC), which consists of representatives from several approval agencies, has reviewed the plans that were submitted with your petition. All comments submitted thus far from the members of the ZAC are attached. These comments are not intended to indicate the appropriateness of the zoning action requested, but to ensure that all parties (zoning commissioner, attorney, petitioner, etc.) are made aware of plans or problems with regard to the proposed improvements that may have a bearing on this case. All comments will be placed in the permanent case file.

If you need further information or have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact the commenting agency.

U. Call Richall

W. Carl Richards, Jr. Supervisor, Zoning Review

WCR:mcn

Enclosures

c: People's Counsel
David Billingsley, Central Draftings & Design, Inc., 601 Charwood Ct., Edgewood, MD 21040



Martin O'Malley, Governor Anthony G. Brown, Lt. Governor

Beverley K. Swaim-Staley, Secretary Neil J. Pedersen, Administrator

Maryland Department of Transportation

Closing 2/21/11

Date: 2-14-2011

Ms. Kristen Matthews Baltimore County Office of Permits and Development Management County Office Building, Room 109 Towson, Maryland 21204

RE: **Baltimore County** 

Item No 2011-0224-A

924 THOMPSON BLUD. WALDERHAMSER PROPERTY ADMIN. VARIANCE.

Dear Ms. Matthews:

Thank you for the opportunity to review your referral request on the subject of the above captioned. We have determined that the subject property does not access a State roadway and is not affected by any State Highway Administration projects. Therefore, based upon available information this office has no objection to Baltimore County Zoning Advisory Committee approval of Item No. 2011-0224-A.

Should you have any questions regarding this matter, please contact Michael Bailey at 410-545-2803 or 1-800-876-4742 extension 5593. Also, you may E-mail him at (mbailey@sha.state.md.us).

Very truly yours,

Steven D. Foster, Chief

Access Management Division

SDF/mb



KEVIN KAMENETZ County Executive

JOHN J. HOHMAN, Chief Fire Department

Closin 2 21

February 3,2011

County Office Building, Room 111 Mail Stop #1105 111 West Chesapeake Avenue Towson, Maryland 21204

ATTENTION: Zoning Review

Distribution Meeting of: January 31, 2011

Item No.:

Administrative Variance: 2011-0215A - 0216A, 2011-0224A

Special Hearing: 2011-0213-SPH, 2011-0219-SPHA, 2011-0220-SPH, 2011-0225-SPHA

Variance: 2011-0217A, 2011-0219-SPHA, 2011-0221-XA, 2011-0222A - 0223A, 2011-0225-SPHA

Special Exception: 2011-0221-XA,

#### Comments:

The Baltimore County Fire Marshal's Office has no comments on the above case numbers at this time.

Don W. Muddiman, Acting Lieutenant Baltimore County Fire Marshal's Office 700 E. Joppa Road, 3<sup>RD</sup> Floor Towson, Maryland 21286 Office: 410-887-4880

dmuddiman@baltimorecountymd.gov

cc: File

#### **CERTIFICATE OF POSTING**

	RE: Case No 2011-0224-A
	Petitioner/Developer DAVE BILLINUSLEX
	Date Of Hearing/Closing: 2/2///
Baltimore County Department of Permits and Development Mana County Office Building, Room 11 111 West Chesapeake Avenue	gement
Attention:	
Ladies and Gentlemen	
sign(s) required by law were post	alties of perjury that the necessary ted conspicuously on the property THOMPSON BLUD
This sign(s) were posted on	Month, Day, Year
	Sincerely,
	Signature of Sign Poster and Date Martin Ogle
	60 Chelmsford Court
	Baltimore, Md, 21220 443-629-3411



make the while

Maryland Department of Assessments and Taxation Real Property Data Search (vw2.3A) BALTIMORE COUNTY Go Back
View Map
New Search
GroundRent Redemption
GroundRent Registration

Account Identifier: District - 15 Account Number - 1523002920 Owner Information RESIDENTIAL Owner Name: WALDHAUSER ROBERT C Use: NO Principal Residence: 1)/16101/00269 102 LINHIGH AVE Deed Reference: Mailing Address: **BALTIMORE MD 21236-4301** 2) **Location & Structure Information** Premises Address Legal Description 924 THOMPSON BLVD PT LT 15 0-0000 924 THOMPSON BLVD Waterfront DANIEL CROOK PROPERTY Plat No: Grid **Sub District** Subdivision Section Block Lot **Assessment Area** Map Parcel 0004/0141 040097 0016 0340 150000 Plat Ref: NONE Town Special Tax Areas Ad Valorem **Tax Class Primary Structure Built Enclosed Area Property Land Area** County Use 17,820 SF 966 SF 34 1930 Stories Basement **Type** Exterior 1,000000 NO STANDARD UNIT BRICK Value Information **Base Value** Value Phase-in Assessments As Of As Of As Of 01/01/2009 07/01/2010 07/01/2011 163,950 163,950 Land 77,630 71,670 Improvements: 235,620 241,580 235,620 235,620 Total: 0 0 Preferential Land: **Transfer Information** 02/13/2002 \$50,000 WALDHAUSER GEORGE J Price: Seller: Date: NON-ARMS LENGTH OTHER Deed1: /16101/00269 Deed2: Type: Seller: Price: Date: Deed2: Deed1: Type: Date: Price: Seller:

Type:	Deed1:	<u>De</u>	ed2:	
	Exemption Information			
Partial Exempt Assessments	Class	07/01/2011	07/01/2012	
County			0.00	
State			0.00	
Municipal			0.00	
Tax Exempt:		Special Tax I	Recapture:	
Exempt Class:		* NON	E *	

BALTIMORE COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF PERMITS AND DEVELOPMENT MANAGEMENT ZONING REVIEW

## ADMINISTRATIVE VARIANCE INFORMATION SHEET AND DATES

Case	Number	r 2011 -	0224	-A	Address _	924	Thompson	BLUD.
	act Perso		Dlanner	MERP Please Print Your N	Name		Phone Number	
Filing	Date:	1.2	6.11	Post	ing Date:	2/6	Closing Da	ate: 2/2/
Any o	contact	made v	vith this offi		the status	s of the a	dministrative varia	
1.	reverse reposti is agai	e side c ng mus n respo	f this form) t be done on nsible for al	and the peti ly by one of l associated	tioner is res the sign po costs. Th	sponsible fosters on the zoning n	osters on the appr or all printing/pos ne approved list an otice sign must b d remain there thro	ting costs. Any nd the petitioner e visible on the
2.	a form	al requ	est for a pu	blic hearing	. Please	understand	nt or owner within I that even if the he closing date.	1,000 feet to file re is no formal
3.	commis order the within whether	ssioner. hat the 10 day er the pe	He may: matter be se s of the clo	(a) grant the t in for a put sing date if een granted	e requested olic hearing all County	d relief; (b) . You will i v agencies	by the zoning of deny the reques eceive written not comments are public hearing. T	ted relief; or (c) ification, usually received, as to
4.	(whether commission change	er due ssioner) ed givin , certific	to a neight , notification a notice of the	or's formal will be for he hearing d	request or rwarded to ate, time ar	by order you. The location	s that must go to of the zoning or e sign on the pr . As when the sig altered sign must	deputy zoning operty must be in was originally
				(Detac	ch Along Dotted I	_ine)		
Petitio	oner: T	his Par	t of the For	n is for the	Sign Poste	er Only 🔻		
			USE THE A	DMINISTRA	TIVE VARI	ANCE SIG	N FORMAT	
Case	Number	2011-	0224	A Addre	ess 92	4 The	MOSON B	LVD.
Petitic	ner's Na	ame _/	POBERT	WALD	HAUSER		Telephone 44	3-250-154
Postii	ng Date	:	2/6/11	<u> </u>	_ Cle	osing Date	2/21/	11
Wordi	ing for S	ign:7	o Permit	SIDE	YAR	D SE	TBACKS	of oneft.
(E	HISTIA	46)	AND	5 44	FOR	AN	ADDITION !	is LIEY
of	The	R	EQUIRE	D 10	ft.,	× ×		

BALTIMORE COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF PERMITS AND DEVELOPMENT MANAGEMENT ZONING REVIEW

#### ADMINISTRATIVE VARIANCE INFORMATION SHEET AND DATES

Case	Number 2011 - 0224 - A Address 924 Thompson BLuo.
Cont	ct Person: Phone Number: 410-887-3391
Filing	Date: $1/26/1$ Posting Date: $2/6$ Closing Date: $2/2/$
Any throu	ontact made with this office regarding the status of the administrative variance should be high the contact person (planner) using the case number.
1.	<u>POSTING/COST:</u> The petitioner must use one of the sign posters on the approved list (on the reverse side of this form) and the petitioner is responsible for all printing/posting costs. Any reposting must be done only by one of the sign posters on the approved list and the petitioner is again responsible for all associated costs. The zoning notice sign must be visible on the property on or before the posting date noted above. It should remain there through the closing date.
2.	<b>DEADLINE:</b> The closing date is the deadline for an occupant or owner within 1,000 feet to file a formal request for a public hearing. Please understand that even if there is no formal request for a public hearing, the process is not complete on the closing date.
3.	ORDER: After the closing date, the file will be reviewed by the zoning or deputy zoning commissioner. He may: (a) grant the requested relief; (b) deny the requested relief; or (c) order that the matter be set in for a public hearing. You will receive written notification, usually within 10 days of the closing date if all County agencies' comments are received, as to whether the petition has been granted, denied, or will go to public hearing. The order will be mailed to you by First Class mail.
4.	POSSIBLE PUBLIC HEARING AND REPOSTING: In cases that must go to a public hearing (whether due to a neighbor's formal request or by order of the zoning or deputy zoning commissioner), notification will be forwarded to you. The sign on the property must be changed giving notice of the hearing date, time and location. As when the sign was originally posted, certification of this change and a photograph of the altered sign must be forwarded to this office.
	(Detach Along Dotted Line)
Petiti	oner: This Part of the Form is for the Sign Poster Only
	USE THE ADMINISTRATIVE VARIANCE SIGN FORMAT
Case	Number 2011- 0224 - Address 924 Thompson BLUD.
	ner's Name R. WAULDhayser Telephone 443-250-1543
Posti	ig Date: 2/6/11 Closing Date: 2/21/11
Word	ng for Sign: To Permit & AN EXISTING ONE POOT SIDE
	YARD PARIABLE SETBACK AND A PROPOSED 5 Ft.
	SIDE YARD SETBACK FOR AN ADDITION.

## DEPARTMENT OF PERMITS AND DEVELOPMENT MANAGEMENT ZONING REVIEW

#### ADVERTISING REQUIREMENTS AND PROCEDURES FOR ZONING HEARINGS

The <u>Baltimore County Zoning Regulations</u> (BCZR) require that notice be given to the general public/neighboring property owners relative to property which is the subject of an upcoming zoning hearing. For those petitions which require a public hearing, this notice is accomplished by posting a sign on the property (responsibility of the petitioner) and placement of a notice in a newspaper of general circulation in the County, both at least fifteen (15) days before the hearing.

Zoning Review will ensure that the legal requirements for advertising are satisfied. However, the petitioner is responsible for the costs associated with these requirements. The newspaper will bill the person listed below for the advertising. This advertising is due upon receipt and should be remitted directly to the newspaper.

#### OPINIONS MAY NOT BE ISSUED UNTIL ALL ADVERTISING COSTS ARE PAID.

For Newspaper Advertising:
Item Number or Case Number: Z011-0274A   Petitioner: ROBERT WALOHALISER
Address or Location: 974 THOMPSON BUYO
PLEASE FORWARD ADVERTISING BILL TO:  Name: ROBERT WALDHAUSER  Address: 924 THOMPSON BLVO  BALTO MD. MMMM 21221
Telephone Number: (443) 750-1543



