

JOHN A. OLSZEWSKI, JR. County Executive

PAUL M. MAYHEW
Managing Administrative Law Judge
MAUREEN E. MURPHY
Administrative Law Judge

July 19, 2023

Adam Baker, Esquire – <u>abaker@rosenbergmartin.com</u> Rosenberg Martin Greenberg, LLP 25 S. Charles Street, 21st Floor Baltimore, MD 21201

RE:

Petitions for Special Hearing & Variance

Case No. 2023-0113- SPHA Property: 4038 Chestnut Road

Dear Mr. Baker:

Enclosed please find a copy of the decision rendered in the above-captioned matter.

Pursuant to Baltimore County Code § 32-3-401(a), "a person aggrieved or feeling aggrieved" by this Decision and Order may file an appeal to the County Board of Appeals within thirty (30) days of the date of this Order. For further information on filing an appeal, please contact the Office of Administrative Hearings at 410-887-3868.

Sincerely,

MAUREEN E. MURPHY Administrative Law Judge for Baltimore County

MEM:dlm Enclosure

c: Joseph and Andrea Stumbroski – <u>jstumbroski@msn.com</u> Christopher and Grace Stumbroski – <u>chrisstumbroski@kw.com</u>

Π	N RE: PETITIONS FOR SPECIAL HEARING AND VARIANCE	*	BEFORE THE
	(4038 Chestnut Road)	*	OFFICE OF
	15 th Election District		
	5 th Council District	*	ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS
	Joseph and Andrea Stumbroski		
	Legal Owner	*	FOR BALTIMORE COUNTY
	Christopher and Grace Stumbroski		
	Contract Purchaser/Lessee	*	
	Petitioners		Case No. 2023-0113-SPHA

OPINION AND ORDER

This matter comes before the Office of Administrative Hearings ("OAH") for consideration of Petitions for Special Hearing and Variance filed on behalf of Joseph and Andrea Stumbroski, legal owners, and Christopher and Grace Stumbroski, contract purchasers/lessees ("Petitioners"), for the property located at 4038 Chestnut Road, Middle River (the "Property"). A Special Hearing is being requested from the Baltimore County Zoning Regulations ("BCZR") §1A04.3.B.1b to permit a lot with less than the required 1.5 acres in the RC-5 zone.

In addition, Variance requests are as follows:

- (1) From the BCZR § 1A04.3.A: To permit a 37 ft. tall building in lieu of the maximum permitted 35 ft. tall building in the RC-5 zone.
- (2) From the BCZR § 1A04.3A: To permit a proposed building lot coverage of 31.25% in lieu of the maximum permitted 15% in the RC-5 zone.
- (3) From the BCZR § 1A04.3.B.2: To permit side yard setbacks for proposed dwelling of 10 ft. and 12 ft. in lieu of the required 50 ft. in the RC-5 zone.
- (4) From the BCZR §1A04.3.B.2: To permit a side yard setback for existing accessory garage of 0 ft. in lieu of the required 50 ft. in the RC-5 zone.
- (5) From the BCZR § 400.3: To permit an accessory building (garage) 21 ft. tall in lieu of the maximum permitted 15 ft. tall.

A public WebEx hearing was conducted virtually in lieu of an in-person hearing. The Petition was properly advertised and posted. Christopher Stumbroski was present at the hearing in support of the Petition along with Bernedette Moskunas of Site Rite Surveying, the professional land surveyors who prepared a site plan (the "Site Plan"). (Pet. Ex. 1). Adam Baker, Esquire and Rosenberg Martin Greenberg, LLP represented the Petitioners. There were no Protestants or interested citizens at the hearing.

A Zoning Advisory Committee ("ZAC") comment was received from the Department of Planning ("DOP") and Department of Environmental Protection and Sustainability ("DEPS"), which agencies did not oppose the requested relief.

The case proceeded by way of proffer by Mr. Baker. The Property is a long, narrow, waterfront lot which is improved with an older dwelling on the water side, and a detached garage located in the rear yard and closer to the western side next to 4036 Chestnut Rd. The Property measures 0.3868 acres +/- (16,850 sf). (Pet. Ex. 1). The Property was platted as Lot 141 on the Plat 2 of Bowleys Quarters filed in Land Records of Baltimore County on May 26, 1921 (Book, WPC 7, page 13). (Pet. Ex. 5). Aerial photographs of the Property show the dwellings located along the water front of Seneca Creek. (Pet. Exs. 3A, 3B). Street view photographs show the existing dwelling and existing garage. (Pet. Ex.6). The Property is zoned Rural Residential (RC5).

Petitioner proposes to raze the existing home and construct a 3-story home on a crawl space with the front of the home facing the water. Architectural renderings were provided. (Pet. Ex. 2). The height of the home is proposed at 2 ft. over the required height of 35 ft. The street view photographs showing the newer home at 4036 to be very tall. In fact, in Case No.: 98-117-A, the same Variance relief was granted to the owners of 4036 to construct a replacement dwelling. The

lot sizes were set when the Plat was recorded and thereafter, the RC5 zoning regulations were applied requiring at least 1.5 acres of land for a new home. Additionally, the RC5 setback to property lines of 50 ft. make the construction of a replacement dwelling impossible on a lot which is 50 ft. wide. The size of the lot also limits impervious surface coverage at 15% which is difficult to meet for a modern home and garage.

Petitioner also proposes to construct at second floor onto the existing garage and need an additional 6 ft. in height beyond the maximum 15 ft. The garage is presently constructed on the property line and therefore provides no setback. The only way to remedy the zero ft setback is to require the garage to be moved.

SPECIAL HEARING

A hearing to request special zoning relief is proper under BCZR, §500.7 as follows:

The said Zoning Commissioner shall have the power to conduct such other hearings and pass such orders thereon as shall, in his discretion, be necessary for the proper enforcement of all zoning regulations, subject to the right of appeal to the County Board of Appeals as hereinafter provided. The power given hereunder shall include the right of any interested person to petition the Zoning Commissioner for a public hearing after advertisement and notice to determine the existence of any purported nonconforming use on any premises or to determine any rights whatsoever of such person in any property in Baltimore County insofar as they are affected by these regulations.

"A request for special hearing is, in legal effect, a request for a declaratory judgment." *Antwerpen v. Baltimore County*, 163 Md. App. 194, 877 A.2d 1166, 1175 (2005). And, "the administrative practice in Baltimore County has been to determine whether the proposed Special Hearing would be compatible with the community and generally consistent with the spirit and intent of the regulations." *Kiesling v. Long*, Unreported Opinion, No. 1485, Md. App. (Sept. Term 2016).

Petitioner filed for the Special Hearing relief under BCZR, §1A04.3.B.1.b(1) which reads:

Exceptions to minimum lot size.

(1) The owner of a single lot of record that is not a subdivision and that is in existence prior to September 2, 2003, but does not meet the minimum acreage requirement, or does not meet the setback requirement of Paragraph 2, may apply for a special hearing under Article 5 to alter the minimum lot size requirement. However, the provisions of Section 1A04.4 may not be varied.

Based on the evidence, I find that the Special Hearing relief should be granted. The size of this Property was created when Plat 2 of Bowleys Quarters was recorded in 1921. Petitioner does not own adjoining land and therefore is unable to meet the required 1.5 acres of land. The Petitioner is not requesting the waiver of any RC5 performance standards. Given that a residential lot has existed in this community since the 1920s, I find the requested Special Hearing relief is within the spirit and intent of the BCZR and will not be detrimental to the residential nature of the community.

VARIANCE

A variance request involves a two-step process, summarized as follows:

- (1) It must be shown the property is unique in a manner which makes it unlike surrounding properties, and that uniqueness or peculiarity must necessitate variance relief; and
- (2) If variance relief is denied, Petitioner will experience a practical difficulty or hardship.

Cromwell v. Ward, 102 Md. App. 691 (1995).

The Property is unique due to its long, narrow and irregular shape. The unique features cause a practical difficulty as delineated above in trying to meet the RC5 lot coverage and setbacks for the proposed dwelling. As the home currently exists, if does not meet the RC5 setbacks. Additionally, I find that the requested additional height of 2 ft. for the dwelling, and 6 ft. for the detached garage, are reasonable given the additional height is necessary to counterbalance the limit

on impervious surface coverage. Finally, the garage already exists and could remain on the Property line if the Petitioner chosen not to add the second floor. Therefore, I do not find any detrimental impact in allowing the garage to remain while permitting the second floor storage to be constructed. I also find that the requested Variance relief can be granted in strict harmony with the spirit and intent of the BCZR and without injury to the health, safety or general welfare, particularly in light of the lack of opposition.

THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED this <u>19th</u> day of **July**, **2023** by this Administrative Law Judge, that the Petition for Special Hearing from the BCZR, §1A04.3.B.1b to permit a lot with less than the required 1.5 acres in the RC-5 zone is hereby, **GRANTED**; and

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Variance relief as follows:

- (1) From the BCZR, §1A04.3.A to permit a 37 ft. tall building in lieu of the maximum permitted 35 ft. tall building in the RC-5 zone is hereby **GRANTED**; and
- (2) From the BCZR, §1A04.3A to permit a proposed building lot coverage of 31.25% in lieu of the maximum permitted 15% in the RC-5 zone is hereby **GRANTED**; and
- (3) From the BCZR, §1A04.3.B.2 to permit side yard setbacks for proposed dwelling of 10 ft. and 12 ft. in lieu of the required 50 ft. in the RC-5 zone is hereby **GRANTED**; and
- (4) From the BCZR §1A04.3.B.2 to permit a side yard setback for existing accessory garage of 0 ft. in lieu of the required 50 ft. in the RC-5 zone is hereby **GRANTED**; and
- (5) From the BCZR § 400.3 to permit an accessory building (garage) 21 ft. tall in lieu of the maximum permitted 15 ft. tall is hereby **GRANTED**.

The relief granted herein shall be subject to the following:

1. Petitioners may apply for necessary permits and/or licenses upon receipt of this Order. However, Petitioners are hereby made aware that proceeding at this time is at their own risk until 30 days from the date hereof, during which time an appeal can be filed by any party. If for whatever reason this Order

- is reversed, Petitioners would be required to return the subject property to its original condition.
- 2. Prior to issuance of permits, Petitioners must comply with the ZAC comments submitted by DEPS and DOP, copies of which are attached hereto and made a part hereof.

Any appeal of this decision must be filed within thirty (30) days of the date of this Order.

MAUREEN E. MURPHY Administrative Law Judge

for Baltimore County

Mauren E. Murphy

MEM:dlm

DEPARTMENT OF PERMITS, APPROVALS AND INSPECTIONS ZONING REVIEW OFFICE

ADVERTISING REQUIREMENTS AND PROCEDURES FOR ZONING HEARINGS

The Baltimore County Zoning Regulations (BCZR) require that notice be given to the general public/ neighboring property owners relative to property which is the subject of an upcoming zoning hearing. For those petitions which require a public hearing, this notice is accomplished by posting a sign on the property (responsibility of the legal owner/petitioner) and placement of a notice in a newspaper of general circulation in the County, both at least twenty (20) days before the hearing.*

Zoning Review will ensure that the legal requirements for advertising are satisfied. However, the legal owner/petitioner is responsible for the costs associated with these requirements. The newspaper will bill the person listed below for the advertising. This advertising is due upon receipt and should be remitted directly to the newspaper.

OPINIONS MAY NOT BE ISSUED UNTIL ALL ADVERTISING COSTS ARE PAID.

For Newspaper Advertising:	
Case Number: <u>2023</u> -	0113-5PHA
Property Address: 403	38 Chestnut Road
Legal Owners (Petitioners):	
Contract Purchaser/Lessee:	N/A
PLEASE FORWARD ADVERTIS	ING BILL TO:
Name: Company/Firm (if app	plicable): Chris Stumbroski
Address:	3008 1st Avenue
*****	Baltimore MD 21234
Telephone Number	443-834-4499

^{*}Failure to advertise and/or post a sign on the property within the designated time will result in the Hearing request being delayed. The delayed Hearing Case will be cycled to the end of pending case files and rescheduled in the order that it is received. Also, a \$250.00 rescheduling fee may be required after two failed advertisings and/or postings.



Adam D. Baker Direct Dial: (410) 649-1241 abaker@rosenbergmartin.com

May 17, 2023

VIA HAND-DELIVERY

Mr. Jeff Perlow Supervisor County Office Building 111 West Chesapeake Avenue, Room 111 Towson, Maryland 21204

Re: Zoning Variance and Special Hearing - Residential

Dear Mr. Perlow:

Rosenberg Martin Greenberg, LLP represents Christopher and Grace Stumbroski, the Petitioner for the attached Zoning Petition for the above-referenced property. Enclosed for filing please find the following:

- 1. Three (3) original copies of the Zoning Petition;
- 2. Three (3) signed and sealed zoning descriptions;
- 3. One (1) Zoning Map;
- 4. One (1) Advertising Farm;
- 5. Fourteen (14) copies of the site plan;
- 6. A check in the amount of \$150.00 to cover the filing fee for both Special Hearing and Zoning Variance.

Sincerely,

Adam D. Baker

ADB/tlm

2023-0113-5PHA

OFFICE	OF BUI	DGET AN	MARYLAN D FINANC I RECEIPT	E	Sub	No.	222! 5/18	555 <u>//2023</u>	
				Source/	Rev/				
Fund	Dept	Unit	Sub Unit			Dept Obj	BS Acct	Amount	
00/	806	0000		6150				\$ 150. "]
]
						Total:	-	# 150° c	
Rec From:		Ros	scuberg	Ma	din (Preent	cra		
For:		Rosi	senberg Sentia	1 700	nuy V	d/lance	2 E S	recial	_
				Har	125	,, ,,			-
			4038	Ches-	fort	Kock		-7:17	<u> </u> .
		····	204	ing C	asc Z	<u> 1023-</u>	0/13	-5144	
				<u> </u>					CASHIER'S
DISTRIBU		BB 114 - 14		VEL 1 0141	OUOTOL	'D	0010 40	COUNTING	VALIDATION
WHITE -	CASHIER	PINK - AG	SENCY ASE PRES		- CUSTOME !!!!	:K	GULD - AL	COUNTING	

BALTIMORE COUNTY, MARYLAND

Inter-Office Correspondence



TO:

Hon. Paul M. Mayhew; Managing Administrative Law Judge

Office of Administrative Hearings

FROM:

Jeff Livingston, Department of Environmental Protection and

Sustainability (EPS) - Development Coordination

DATE:

May 30, 2023

SUBJECT:

DEPS Comment for Zoning Item

2023-0113-SPHA

Address:

4038 Chestnut Rd

Legal Owner: Joseph and Andrea Stumbroski

Zoning Advisory Committee Meeting of May 30, 2023.

The Department of Environmental Protection and Sustainability (EPS) has reviewed the subject zoning petition for compliance with the goals of the State-mandated Critical Area Law listed in the Baltimore County Zoning Regulations, Section 500.14. Based upon this review, we offer the following comments:

1. Minimize adverse impacts on water quality that result from pollutants that are discharged from structures or conveyances or that have run off from surrounding lands.

The subject property is located within a Limited Development Area (LDA), Modified Buffer Area (MBA), and is subject to Critical Area requirements. The applicant is seeking a special hearing to be exempt from various zoning requirements. Concerning item 3 (Variance from Section 1A043.A of the Baltimore County Zoning Regulations to permit a proposed building lot coverage of 31.25% in lieu of the maximum permitted 15% in the RC 5 zone.), LDA regulations impose a lot coverage limit of 25%, not 15%, on this lot. Alternately, a lot coverage limit of 31.25% is allowed with on-site mitigation for the amount above 25%. During building permit review, adverse impacts on water quality from the pollutants discharged from the proposed development can be minimized with mitigation pursuant to Critical Area requirements.

2. Conserve fish, plant, and wildlife habitat.

This is a waterfront lot with a 100-foot Critical Area buffer extending onto the property from Seneca Creek. Meeting buffer requirements, lot coverage requirements, and the

C:\Users\dmignon\AppData\Local\Microsoft\Windows\INetCache\Content.Outlook\D7EWEZC4\2023-0113-SPHA 4038 Chestnut Rd.doc

15% afforestation requirement will aid in the conservation of fish, plant, and wildlife habitat in the watershed.

3. Be consistent with established land use policies for development in the Chesapeake Bay Critical Area, which accommodate growth and also address the fact that, even if pollution is controlled, the number, movement and activities of persons in that area can create adverse environmental impacts.

If the proposed structures meet buffer, lot coverage, and afforestation requirements, this development will be consistent with established land-use policies.

Additional Comments:

Reviewer: Marie Brady

BALTIMORE COUNTY, MARYLAND INTER-OFFICE MEMORANDUM

TO:

C. Pete Gutwald

DATE: 6/28/2023

Director, Department of Permits, Approvals and Inspections

FROM:

Steve Lafferty

Director, Department of Planning

SUBJECT: ZONING ADVISORY COMMITTEE COMMENTS

Case Number: 2023-0113-SPHA

INFORMATION:

Property Address: 4038 Chestnut Road

Petitioner:

Joseph and Andrea Stumbroski

Zoning:

RC 5

Requested Action: Special Hearing and Variance

The Department of Planning has reviewed the petition for the following:

Special Hearing - Pursuant to Section IA04.3.B.l.b of the Baltimore County Zoning Regulations to permit a lot with less than the required 1.5 acres in the RC 5 zone;

Variance(s) - From Section 1A04.3.A, Section 1A04.3.B.2, and Section 400.3 respectively

- a. To permit a 37' tall building in lieu of the maximum permitted 35' tall building in the RC 5 zone;
- b. Too permit a proposed building lot coverage of 31.25% in lieu of the maximum permitted 15% in the RC 5 zone:
- c. To permit side yard setbacks for proposed dwelling of 10' and 12' in lieu of the required 50' in the RC 5 zone;
- d. To permit side yard setbacks for existing accessory garage of 0' in lieu of the required 50' in the RC 5 zone;
- e. To permit an accessory building (garage) 21' tall in lieu of the maximum permitted 15'
- f. For such other and further relief as may be deemed necessary by the Administrative Law Judge.

The subject property is located on Seneca Creek along Chestnut Road in the Middle River area of Baltimore County. The property consists of an approximately 16,850 SF parcel zoned R.C. 5 and is improved with an existing one story frame garage. Residential dwellings, forest conservation and the Seneca Creek surround the property.

The R.C.5 zoning classification was established in response to concerns over wasteful and disorderly rural-residential development and inadequate lot sizes for on-lot sewer and water systems. These issues could result in undue financial hardships and negatively affect the safety and welfare of citizens. In identifying specific areas suitable for rural-residential development, the aim is to direct future growth towards these areas and prevent disorderly development patterns. The R.C.5 zoning classification serves to provide suitable areas for rural-residential development, minimize encroachments on natural resource areas, and provide a minimum lot size for proper on-lot sewer and water system functioning.

The Department of Planning has no objections to the relief request. The requested relief is not uncommon to this area. It should be noted that this site is subject to the RC 5 Performance Standards as listed in Section 1A04.4 of the BCZR. Architectural elevations must be submitted to the Department of Planning for review at permitting.

For further information concerning the matters stated herein, please contact Henry Ayakwah at 410-887-3482.

Prepared by:

Krystle Patchak

Division Chief:

enifer G. Nugent

SL/JGN

c: Adam Baker – Rosenberg Martin Greenberg LLC.
David Birkenthal, Community Planner
Ngone Seye Diop, Community Planning Division Chief
Jeff Perlow, Zoning Review
Kristin Lewis, Zoning Review
Office of Administrative Hearings
People's Counsel for Baltimore County

BALTIMORE COUNTY, MARYLAND INTER-OFFICE MEMORANDUM

TO: C. Pete Gutwald **DATE:** 6/28/2023

Director, Department of Permits, Approvals and Inspections

FROM: Steve Lafferty

Director, Department of Planning

SUBJECT: ZONING ADVISORY COMMITTEE COMMENTS

Case Number: 2023-0113-SPHA

INFORMATION:

Property Address: 4038 Chestnut Road

Petitioner: Joseph and Andrea Stumbroski

Zoning: RC 5

Requested Action: Special Hearing and Variance

The Department of Planning has reviewed the petition for the following:

Special Hearing - Pursuant to Section IA04.3.B.l.b of the Baltimore County Zoning Regulations to permit a lot with less than the required 1.5 acres in the RC 5 zone;

Variance(s) - From Section 1A04.3.A, Section 1A04.3.B.2, and Section 400.3 respectively

- a. To permit a 37' tall building in lieu of the maximum permitted 35' tall building in the RC 5 zone:
- b. Too permit a proposed building lot coverage of 31.25% in lieu of the maximum permitted 15% in the RC 5 zone;
- c. To permit side yard setbacks for proposed dwelling of 10' and 12' in lieu of the required 50' in the RC 5 zone;
- d. To permit side yard setbacks for existing accessory garage of 0' in lieu of the required 50' in the RC 5 zone;
- e. To permit an accessory building (garage) 21' tall in lieu of the maximum permitted 15' tall; and
- f. For such other and further relief as may be deemed necessary by the Administrative Law Judge.

The subject property is located on Seneca Creek along Chestnut Road in the Middle River area of Baltimore County. The property consists of an approximately 16,850 SF parcel zoned R.C. 5 and is improved with an existing one story frame garage. Residential dwellings, forest conservation and the Seneca Creek surround the property.

The R.C.5 zoning classification was established in response to concerns over wasteful and disorderly rural-residential development and inadequate lot sizes for on-lot sewer and water systems. These issues could result in undue financial hardships and negatively affect the safety and welfare of citizens. In identifying specific areas suitable for rural-residential development, the aim is to direct future growth towards these areas and prevent disorderly development patterns. The R.C.5 zoning classification serves

to provide suitable areas for rural-residential development, minimize encroachments on natural resource areas, and provide a minimum lot size for proper on-lot sewer and water system functioning.

The Department of Planning has no objections to the relief request. The requested relief is not uncommon to this area. It should be noted that this site is subject to the RC 5 Performance Standards as listed in Section 1A04.4 of the BCZR. Architectural elevations must be submitted to the Department of Planning for review at permitting.

For further information concerning the matters stated herein, please contact Henry Ayakwah at 410-887-3482.

Prepared by:

Krystle Patchak

Division Chief:

Jenifer G. Nugent

SL/JGN

c: Adam Baker – Rosenberg Martin Greenberg LLC.
David Birkenthal, Community Planner
Ngone Seye Diop, Community Planning Division Chief
Jeff Perlow, Zoning Review
Kristin Lewis, Zoning Review
Office of Administrative Hearings
People's Counsel for Baltimore County

Maryland The Daily Record 200 St. Paul Place Baltimore, MD, 21202 Phone: 4435248100



Affidavit of Publication

To: Chris Stumbroski -

3008 First Avenue Baltimore, MD, 21234

Re: Legal Notice 2515882,

CASE NUMBER: 2023-0113-SPHA

By Jan Hay

We hereby certify that the annexed advertisement was published in Maryland The Daily Record, a Daily newspaper published

in the State of Maryland 1 time(s) on the following date(s): 06/27/2023

Joy Hough
Authorized Designee of the Publisher

Baltimore County

NOTICE OF ZONING HEARING

The Administrative Law Judge of Baltimore County, by authority of the Zoning Act and Regulations of Baltimore County, will hold a virtual hearing on the property identified herein as follows:

CASÉ NUMBER: 2023-0113-SPHA

4038 Chestnut Road

Northside of Chestnut Road, north of centerline of Seneca Road

15th Election District - 5th Councilmanic District

Legal Owners: Joseph & Andrea Stumbroski

Contract Purchaser/Lessee: Christopher & Grace Stumbroski

Special Hearing to permit a lot with less than the required 1.5 acres in the RC-5 zone. Variance to permit a 37 ft. tall building in lieu of the maximum permitted 35 ft. tall building in the RC-5 zone. To permit a proposed building lot coverage of 31.25% in lieu of the maximum permitted 15% in the RC-5 zone. To permit side yard setbacks for proposed dwelling of 10 ft. and 12 ft. in lieu of the required 50 ft. in the RC-5 zone. To permit a side yard setback for existing accessory garage of 0 ft. in lieu of the required 50 ft. in the RC-5 zone. To permit an accessory building (garage) 21 ft. tall in lieu of the maximum permitted 15 ft. tall; and for such other and further relief as may be deemed necessary by the Administrative Law Judge.

Hearing: Monday, July 17, 2023 at 11:00 a.m.

For information on how to participate in the hearings please go to www.baltimorecountymd/gov/adminhearings no later than 48 hours prior to the hearing. You will be asked to provide your contact information and the case number provided above. You may also call 410-887-3868, ext. 0.

Pete Gutwal

Director of Permits, Approvals and Inspections for Baltimore County je27 2515882



Background Photo $1^{\rm st}$ set of Signs Background Photo $2^{\rm nd}$ set of Signs Posted @ 4038 Chestnut Road $\sim 6/25/2023$ CASE # 2023-0113-SPHA

BALTIMORE COUNTY, MARYLAND

INTEROFFICE CORRESPONDENCE

TO:

Peter Gutwald, Director

DATE: June 1, 2023

Department of Permits, Approvals

FROM:

Vishnu Desai, Supervisor

Bureau of Development Plans Review

SUBJECT:

Zoning Advisory Committee Meeting

For May 30, 2023

Item No. 2023-0113-SPHA

The Bureau of Development Plans Review has reviewed the subject zoning items and we have the following comments.

DPW&T Comment:

The property is within the Tidal Special Flood Hazard Area Zone AE (BFE=8.5) and X-Shaded per panel FIRM 2400100435F dated 9/26/08. Must comply with Flood Plain Ordinance, See County Bill 40-15 (the latest edition of the Baltimore county Code) and Bill 42-15, and Section 32-4-414 of Article 32, Title 4 of the Baltimore County Code, 2003, as amended. The LiMWA as shown on FIRM 2400100435G dated 5/5/14 as amended by LOMR 19-03-1117P effective 7/29/2019 and LOMR 19-03-1183P effective 12/31/19 is not on the property.

VKD: cen

BALTIMORE COUNTY, MARYLAND

Inter-Office Correspondence



TO: Hon. Paul M. Mayhew; Managing Administrative Law Judge

Office of Administrative Hearings

FROM: Jeff Livingston, Department of Environmental Protection and

Sustainability (EPS) - Development Coordination

DATE: May 30, 2023

SUBJECT: DEPS Comment for Zoning Item # 2023-0113-SPHA

Address: 4038 Chestnut Rd

Legal Owner: Joseph and Andrea Stumbroski

Zoning Advisory Committee Meeting of May 30, 2023.

The Department of Environmental Protection and Sustainability (EPS) has reviewed the subject zoning petition for compliance with the goals of the State-mandated Critical Area Law listed in the Baltimore County Zoning Regulations, Section 500.14. Based upon this review, we offer the following comments:

1. Minimize adverse impacts on water quality that result from pollutants that are discharged from structures or conveyances or that have run off from surrounding lands.

The subject property is located within a Limited Development Area (LDA), Modified Buffer Area (MBA), and is subject to Critical Area requirements. The applicant is seeking a special hearing to be exempt from various zoning requirements. Concerning item 3 (*Variance from Section 1A043.A of the Baltimore County Zoning Regulations to permit a proposed building lot coverage of 31.25% in lieu of the maximum permitted 15% in the RC 5 zone.*), LDA regulations impose a lot coverage limit of 25%, not 15%, on this lot. Alternately, a lot coverage limit of 31.25% is allowed with on-site mitigation for the amount above 25%. During building permit review, adverse impacts on water quality from the pollutants discharged from the proposed development can be minimized with mitigation pursuant to Critical Area requirements.

2. Conserve fish, plant, and wildlife habitat.

This is a waterfront lot with a 100-foot Critical Area buffer extending onto the property from Seneca Creek. Meeting buffer requirements, lot coverage requirements, and the

\\bcg.ad.bcgov.us\BCG\PAI\Zoning Review\Zoning Review\2023 Zoning Case Files\2023-0113\2023-0113-SPHA 4038 Chestnut Rd.doc

15% afforestation requirement will aid in the conservation of fish, plant, and wildlife habitat in the watershed.

3. Be consistent with established land use policies for development in the Chesapeake Bay Critical Area, which accommodate growth and also address the fact that, even if pollution is controlled, the number, movement and activities of persons in that area can create adverse environmental impacts.

If the proposed structures meet buffer, lot coverage, and afforestation requirements, this development will be consistent with established land-use policies.

Additional Comments:

Reviewer: Marie Brady

Real Property Data Search () Search Result for BALTIMORE COUNTY

View Map	View GroundRent F		View GroundRent Registration				
Special Tax Recapture	······································						
Account Identifier:	District - 15	Account Number -	1508653540				
e	c) Wner Informa	tion				
Owner Name:		(I JOSEPH A KI ANDREA R	Use: Principal Resid	RESIDENTIAL dence: YES			
		NUT RD ER MD 21220-4025	Deed Reference	e: /47700/ 00010			
	Location	1 & Structure I	nformation				
Premises Address:	Waterfront	ER 21220-4025	Legal Descript	CHESTNUT RD BOWLEYS QUARTERS			
Map: Grid: Parcel: Neig	hborhood: Subdivis	ion: Section: Bloc	k: Lot: Assessm	ent Year: Plat No:			
0091 0022 0150 150	30020.04 0000	2	141 2021	Plat Ref: 0007/ 001			
Town: None							
Primary Structure Buil	t Above Grade Livin	g Area Finished B	asement Area P	roperty Land Area County Us 6,850 SF 34			
StoriesBasementType	181	QualityFull/Half Bat	hGarage Las	t Notice of Major Improvemen			
	DARD UNITSIDING/3		1 Detached	,			
		Value Informat	tion				
		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•			
	Base Value	Value	Pnase-ir As of	n Assessments As of			
		As of 01/01/2021					
Land:	263,200	263,200					
Improvements	51,200	56,800					
Total:	314,400	320,000	318,133	320,000			
Preferential Land:	0	0					
	Т	ransfer Inform	ation				
Seller: HOERNER JOH	IN EDWARD	Date: 01/17/2023		Price: \$275,000			
Type: NON-ARMS LEN		Deed1: /47700/ 00010		Deed2:			
Seller: HOERNER JOH	₹N E	Date: 04/24/1974		Price: \$0			
Type: NON-ARMS LEN		Deed1: /05440/ 00	1429	Deed2:			
Seller:		Date:		Price:			
Туре:		Deed1:		Deed2:			
	Ex	emption Infor	mation				
Partial Exempt Asses	sments: Class		07/01/2022	07/01/2023			
County:	000		0.00				
State:	000		0.00				
Municipal:	000		0.00 0.00	0.00 0.00			
Special Tax Recaptur	e: None						
	Homeste	ad Application	n Informatio	n			
Homestead Application	on Status: No Applicat	ion					
Homestean whiteam	211 Ctates i 110 r (ppiloa)						

Date:

Homeowners' Tax Credit Application Status: No Application

2023-0113. STHA



